

CSE 549: Genome Assembly

De Bruijn Graph



Lecture slides adapted from the dBG lecture slides of Ben Langmead.
All slides in this lecture **not** marked with "*" courtesy of Ben Langmead.

Different kind of graph

“tomorrow and tomorrow and tomorrow”

Different kind of graph


“tomorrow and tomorrow and tomorrow”

tomorrow

and


Different kind of graph

“tomorrow and tomorrow and tomorrow”




Different kind of graph

“tomorrow and tomorrow and tomorrow”




Different kind of graph

“tomorrow and tomorrow and tomorrow”




Different kind of graph

“tomorrow and tomorrow and tomorrow”



Different kind of graph


“tomorrow and tomorrow and tomorrow”



An edge represents an ordered pair of adjacent words in the input

Different kind of graph

“tomorrow and tomorrow and tomorrow”



An edge represents an ordered pair of adjacent words in the input

Multigraph: there can be more than one edge from node A to node B

De Bruijn graph

genome:**AAABBBBA**

De Bruijn graph

genome:**AAABBBBA**

3-mers:**AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

De Bruijn graph

genome:**AAABBBBA**

3-mers:**AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers:**AA, AA**




De Bruijn graph

genome:**AAABBBBA**

3-mers:**AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers:**AA, AA**




De Bruijn graph

genome: **AAABBBBA**

3-mers: **AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**



L/R 2-mers: **AA, AA**



De Bruijn graph

genome:**AAABBBBA**

3-mers:**AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**




De Bruijn graph

genome: **AAABBBBA**

3-mers: **AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers: **AA, AA AA, AB**




De Bruijn graph

genome: **AAABBBBA**

3-mers: **AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers: **AA, AA AA, AB**




De Bruijn graph

genome: **AAABBBBA**

3-mers: **AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers: **AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB**




De Bruijn graph

genome: **AAABBBBA**

3-mers: **AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers: **AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB**




De Bruijn graph

genome: **AAABBBBA**

3-mers: **AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers: **AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB**




De Bruijn graph

genome: **AAABBBBA**

3-mers: **AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers: **AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB BB, BB**




De Bruijn graph

genome: **AAABBBBA**

3-mers: **AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers: **AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB BB, BB**




De Bruijn graph

genome: **AAABBBBA**

3-mers: **AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers: **AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB BB, BB BB, BB**




De Bruijn graph

genome: **AAABBBBA**

3-mers: **AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers: **AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB BB, BB BB, BB**




De Bruijn graph

genome:**AAABBBBA**

3-mers:**AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers:**AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB BB, BB BB, BB BB, BA**




De Bruijn graph

genome: **AAABBBBA**

3-mers: **AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers: **AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB BB, BB BB, BB BB, BA**




De Bruijn graph

genome: **AAABBBBA**

3-mers: **AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers: **AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB BB, BB BB, BB BB, BA**




De Bruijn graph

genome:**AAABBBBA**

3-mers:**AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers:**AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB BB, BB BB, BB BB, BA**




One edge per k -mer

De Bruijn graph

genome:**AAABBBBA**


3-mers:**AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers:**AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB BB, BB BB, BB BB, BA**




One edge per k -mer
One node per distinct $k-1$ -mer

De Bruijn graph




De Bruijn graph



Walk crossing each edge exactly once gives a reconstruction of the genome


De Bruijn graph



AAA

Walk crossing each edge exactly once gives a reconstruction of the genome


De Bruijn graph



AAAB

Walk crossing each edge exactly once gives a reconstruction of the genome


De Bruijn graph



AAABB

Walk crossing each edge exactly once gives a reconstruction of the genome


De Bruijn graph



AAABBB

Walk crossing each edge exactly once gives a reconstruction of the genome


De Bruijn graph



AAABBBBB

Walk crossing each edge exactly once gives a reconstruction of the genome


De Bruijn graph



AAABBBBA

Walk crossing each edge exactly once gives a reconstruction of the genome

De Bruijn graph



AAABBBBA

Walk crossing each edge exactly once gives a reconstruction of the genome . This is an *Eulerian walk*.

De Bruijn graph

Aside: how do you pronounce "De Bruijn"?

There is debate:

<https://www.biostars.org/p/7186/>

I still don't quite know. I say "De Broin" (rhymes with "groin")

I asked a Dutch person once; his pronunciation sounded more like "De Brown"



Nicolaas
Govert de
Bruijn

1918 -- 2012

Directed multigraph


Directed **multigraph** $G(V, E)$ consists of set of *vertices*, V and **multiset** of *directed edges*, E

Otherwise, like a directed graph

Node's *indegree* = # incoming edges

Node's *outdegree* = # outgoing edges

De Bruijn graph is a directed multigraph




$$V = \{a, b, c, d\}$$

$$E = \{ (a, b), (a, b), (a, b), (a, c), (c, b) \}$$

Repeated

Eulerian walk definitions and statements


Node is *balanced* if indegree equals outdegree



Eulerian walk definitions and statements

Node is *balanced* if indegree equals outdegree

Node is *semi-balanced* if indegree differs from outdegree by 1




Eulerian walk definitions and statements

Node is *balanced* if indegree equals outdegree

Node is *semi-balanced* if indegree differs from outdegree by 1

Graph is *connected* if each node can be reached by some other node




Eulerian walk definitions and statements

Node is *balanced* if indegree equals outdegree

Node is *semi-balanced* if indegree differs from outdegree by 1

Graph is *connected* if each node can be reached by some other node

Eulerian walk visits each edge exactly once



Eulerian walk definitions and statements


Node is *balanced* if indegree equals outdegree

Node is *semi-balanced* if indegree differs from outdegree by 1

Graph is *connected* if each node can be reached by some other node

Eulerian walk visits each edge exactly once

Not all graphs have Eulerian walks. Graphs that do are *Eulerian*. (For simplicity, we won't distinguish Eulerian from semi-Eulerian.)



Eulerian walk definitions and statements

Node is *balanced* if indegree equals outdegree

Node is *semi-balanced* if indegree differs from outdegree by 1


Graph is *connected* if each node can be reached by some other node

Eulerian walk visits each edge exactly once

Not all graphs have Eulerian walks. Graphs that do are *Eulerian*. (For simplicity, we won't distinguish Eulerian from semi-Eulerian.)



A directed, connected graph is Eulerian if and only if it has at most 2 semi-balanced nodes and all other nodes are balanced

Jones and Pevzner section 8.8



De Bruijn graph



Back to de Bruijn graph



Is it Eulerian?

De Bruijn graph



Back to de Bruijn graph



Is it Eulerian? Yes

De Bruijn graph

Back to de Bruijn graph





Is it Eulerian? Yes

Argument 1: AA → AA → AB → BB → BB → BA

De Bruijn graph

Back to de Bruijn graph



Is it Eulerian? Yes

Argument 1: **AA** → **AA** → **AB** → **BB** → **BB** → **BA**

Argument 2: **AA** and **BA** are semi-balanced, **AB** and **BB** are balanced

De Bruijn graph


A procedure for making a de Bruijn graph for a genome

Assume “perfect sequencing”: each genome k -mer is sequenced exactly once with no errors


Pick a substring length k : 5

Start with an input string: **a_long_long_long_time**


Take each k mer and split into left and right $k-1$ mers



Add $k-1$ mers as nodes to de Bruijn graph (if not already there), add edge from left $k-1$ mer to right $k-1$ mer




De Bruijn graph



First 8 k -mer additions, $k = 5$


a_long_long_long_time

De Bruijn graph



De Bruijn graph


Procedure yields Eulerian graph. Why?



De Bruijn graph

Procedure yields Eulerian graph. Why?

Node for k -1-mer from **left end** is semi-balanced with one more outgoing edge than incoming *



* Unless left- and right-most k -1-mers are equal


De Bruijn graph

Procedure yields Eulerian graph. Why?

Node for k -mer from **left end** is semi-balanced with one more outgoing edge than incoming *

Node for k -mer at **right end** is semi-balanced with one more incoming than outgoing *

* Unless left- and right-most k -mers are equal



De Bruijn graph


Procedure yields Eulerian graph. Why?

Node for k -mer from **left end** is semi-balanced with one more outgoing edge than incoming *

Node for k -mer at **right end** is semi-balanced with one more incoming than outgoing *


Other nodes are balanced since # times k -mer occurs as a left k -mer = # times it occurs as a right k -mer

* Unless left- and right-most k -mers are equal



De Bruijn graph


What string does the Eulerian path spell out?



De Bruijn graph

What string does the Eulerian path spell out?

a_long_long_long_time




De Bruijn graph

What string does the Eulerian path spell out?


a_long_long_long_time

The original string! No collapsing!



De Bruijn graph builder implementation

```
class DeBruijnGraph:  
    """ A de Bruijn multigraph built from a collection of strings.  
    User supplies strings and k-mer length k. Nodes of the de  
    Bruijn graph are k-1-mers and edges join a left k-1-mer to a  
    right k-1-mer. """  
  
    @staticmethod  
    def chop(st, k):  
        """ Chop a string up into k mers of given length """  
        for i in xrange(0, len(st)-(k-1)): yield st[i:i+k]  
  
    class Node:  
        """ Node in a de Bruijn graph, representing a k-1 mer """  
        def __init__(self, km1mer):  
            self.km1mer = km1mer  
  
        def __hash__(self):  
            return hash(self.km1mer)  
  
        def __init__(self, strIter, k):  
            """ Build de Bruijn multigraph given strings and k-mer length k """  
            self.G = {} # multimap from nodes to neighbors  
            self.nodes = {} # maps k-1-mers to Node objects  
            self.k = k  
            for st in strIter:  
                for kmer in self.chop(st, k):  
                    km1L, km1R = kmer[:-1], kmer[1:]  
                    nodeL, nodeR = None, None  
                    if km1L in self.nodes:  
                        nodeL = self.nodes[km1L]  
                    else:  
                        nodeL = self.nodes[km1L] = self.Node(km1L)  
                    if km1R in self.nodes:  
                        nodeR = self.nodes[km1R]  
                    else:  
                        nodeR = self.nodes[km1R] = self.Node(km1R)  
                    self.G.setdefault(nodeL, []).append(nodeR)
```



De Bruijn graph

For Eulerian graph, Eulerian walk can be found in $O(|E|)$ time. $|E|$ is # edges.

Convert graph into one with Eulerian *cycle* (add an edge to make all nodes balanced), then use this recursive procedure

Insight: If C is a cycle in an Eulerian graph, then after removing edges of C , remaining connected components are also Eulerian

```
# Make all nodes balanced, if not already
tour = []
# Pick arbitrary node
src = g.iterkeys().next()

def __visit(n):
    while len(g[n]) > 0:
        dst = g[n].pop()
        __visit(dst)
    tour.append(n)

__visit(src)
# Reverse order, omit repeated node
tour = tour[::-1][:-1]

# Turn tour into walk, if necessary
```


De Bruijn graph

Full illustrative de Bruijn graph and Eulerian walk implementation:

http://bit.ly/CG_DeBruijn

Example where Eulerian walk gives correct answer for small k whereas Greedy-SCS could spuriously collapse repeat:


```
>>> G = DeBruijnGraph(["a_long_long_long_time"], 5)
>>> print G.eulerianWalkOrCycle()
['a_lo', '_lon', 'long', 'ong_', 'ng_l', 'g_lo',
 '_lon', 'long', 'ong_', 'ng_l', 'g_lo', '_lon',
 'long', 'ong_', 'ng_t', 'g_ti', '_tim', 'time']
```



De Bruijn graph

```
>>> st = "to_every_thing_turn_turn_there_is_a_season"
>>> G = DeBruijnGraph([st], 4)
>>> path = G.eulerianWalkOrCycle() # Fast! Linear in # edges
>>> superstring = path[0] + ''.join(map(lambda x: x[-1], path[1:]))
>>> print superstring
to_every_thing_turn_turn_there_is_a_season
```


http://bit.ly/CG_DeBruijn



De Bruijn graph

```
>>> st = "to_every_thing_turn_turn_there_is_a_season"
>>> G = DeBruijnGraph([st], 4)
>>> path = G.eulerianWalkOrCycle() # Fast! Linear in # edges
>>> superstring = path[0] + ''.join(map(lambda x: x[-1], path[1:]))
>>> print superstring
to_every_thing_turn_turn_there_is_a_season
```

http://bit.ly/CG_DeBruijn




Recall: This is not generally possible or tractable in the overlap/SCS formulation

De Bruijn graph


Assuming perfect sequencing, procedure yields graph with Eulerian walk that can be found efficiently.

We saw cases where Eulerian walk corresponds to the original superstring. Is this always the case?



De Bruijn graph

Problem 1: Repeats still cause misassembles




De Bruijn graph

Problem 1: Repeats still cause misassembles

ZA → AB → BE → EF → FA → AB → BC → CD → DA → AB → BY

ZA → AB → BC → CD → DA → AB → BE → EF → FA → AB → BY




De Bruijn graph

Problem 1: Repeats still cause misassembles

ZA → AB → BE → EF → FA → AB → BC → CD → DA → AB → BY

ZA → AB → BC → CD → DA → AB → BE → EF → FA → AB → BY

Problem 2:
We've been building DBGs assuming "perfect" sequencing: each k -mer reported exactly once, no mistakes. Real datasets aren't like that.



The Problem with Eulerian Paths


There are typically an astronomical number of possible Eulerian tours with perfect data.

Adding back constraints to limit # of tours leads to a NP-hard problem.

With imperfect data, there are usually NO Eulerian tours

Estimating # of parallel edges is usually tricky.

Aside: counting # of Eulerian tours in a directed graph is easy, but in an undirected graph is #P-complete (hard).



(Kingsford, Schatz, Pop, 2010)

Third law of assembly

Repeats make assembly difficult; whether we can assemble without mistakes depends on length of reads and repetitive patterns in genome


Collapsing:

a_long_long_long_time



a_long_long_time

Shuffling:




De Bruijn graph

genome:**AAABBBBA**

3-mers:**AAA, AAB, ABB, BBB, BBB, BBA**

L/R 2-mers:**AA, AA AA, AB AB, BB BB, BB BB, BB BB, BA**




One edge per k -mer
One node per distinct $k-1$ -mer

De Bruijn graph

```
>>> st = "to_every_thing_turn_turn_there_is_a_season"
>>> G = DeBruijnGraph([st], 4)
>>> path = G.eulerianWalkOrCycle() # Fast! Linear in # edges
>>> superstring = path[0] + ''.join(map(lambda x: x[-1], path[1:]))
>>> print superstring
to_every_thing_turn_turn_there_is_a_season
```

http://bit.ly/CG_DeBruijn



De Bruijn graph

Case where $k = 4$ works:

```
>>> st = "to_every_thing_turn_turn_turn_there_is_a_season"
>>> G = DeBruijnGraph([st], 4)
>>> path = G.eulerianWalkOrCycle()
>>> superstring = path[0] + ''.join(map(lambda x: x[-1], path[1:]))
>>> print superstring
to_every_thing_turn_turn_there_is_a_season
```

De Bruijn graph

Case where $k = 4$ works:

```
>>> st = "to_every_thing_turn_turn_turn_there_is_a_season"
>>> G = DeBruijnGraph([st], 4)
>>> path = G.eulerianWalkOrCycle()
>>> superstring = path[0] + ''.join(map(lambda x: x[-1], path[1:]))
>>> print superstring
to_every_thing_turn_turn_there_is_a_season
```

But $k = 3$ does not:

```
>>> st = "to_every_thing_turn_turn_there_is_a_season"
>>> G = DeBruijnGraph([st], 3)
>>> path = G.eulerianWalkOrCycle()
>>> superstring = path[0] + ''.join(map(lambda x: x[-1], path[1:]))
>>> print superstring
```

De Bruijn graph

Case where $k = 4$ works:

```
>>> st = "to_every_thing_turn_turn_turn_there_is_a_season"
>>> G = DeBruijnGraph([st], 4)
>>> path = G.eulerianWalkOrCycle()
>>> superstring = path[0] + ''.join(map(lambda x: x[-1], path[1:]))
>>> print superstring
to_every_thing_turn_turn_there_is_a_season
```


But $k = 3$ does not:

```
>>> st = "to_every_thing_turn_turn_there_is_a_season"
>>> G = DeBruijnGraph([st], 3)
>>> path = G.eulerianWalkOrCycle()
>>> superstring = path[0] + ''.join(map(lambda x: x[-1], path[1:]))
>>> print superstring
to_every_turn_there_is_a_season
      
```

Due to repeats that are unresolvable at $k = 3$

De Bruijn graph

Problem 1: Repeats still cause misassembles




De Bruijn graph

Problem 1: Repeats still cause misassembles

ZA → AB → BE → EF → FA → AB → BC → CD → DA → AB → BY

ZA → AB → BC → CD → DA → AB → BE → EF → FA → AB → BY



De Bruijn graph


Problem 1: Repeats still cause misassemblies

ZA → AB → BE → EF → FA → AB → BC → CD → DA → AB → BY

ZA → AB → BC → CD → DA → AB → BE → EF → FA → AB → BY

Problem 2:

We've been building DBGs assuming "perfect" sequencing: each k -mer reported exactly once, no mistakes. Real datasets aren't like that.




Uneven coverage foils Eulerian Paths

r1: CTCGATCTAC

r2: ATCTACGGCTA

k=4




Uneven coverage foils Eulerian Paths

r1: CTCGATCTAC

r2: ATCTACGGCTA

k=4




Uneven coverage foils Eulerian Paths


r1: CTCGATCTAC

r2: ATCTACGGCTA

k=4




Bursting bubbles



De Bruijn graph

Gaps in coverage (missing k -mers)
lead to *disconnected* or non-Eulerian
graph


Graph for [a_long_long_long_time](#), $k = 5$:



De Bruijn graph

Gaps in coverage (missing k -mers)
lead to *disconnected* or non-Eulerian
graph


Graph for [a_long_long_long_time](#), $k = 5$ but *omitting* [ong_t](#):



De Bruijn graph

Coverage differences make graph non-Eulerian

Graph for `a_long_long_long_time`,
 $k = 5$, with *extra copy* of `ong_t`:




De Bruijn graph

Coverage differences make graph non-Eulerian

Graph for `a_long_long_long_time`,
 $k = 5$, with *extra copy* of `ong_t`:


4 semi-balanced nodes



De Bruijn graph

Errors and differences between chromosomes also lead to non-Eulerian graphs

Graph for [a_long_long_long_time](#), $k = 5$ but with error that turns one copy of [long_](#) into [lxng_](#)



De Bruijn graph

Casting assembly as Eulerian walk is appealing, but not practical

De Bruijn graph

Casting assembly as Eulerian walk is appealing, but not practical

Uneven coverage, sequencing errors, etc make graph non-Eulerian

De Bruijn graph

Casting assembly as Eulerian walk is appealing, but not practical

Uneven coverage, sequencing errors, etc make graph non-Eulerian

Even if graph were Eulerian, repeats yield many possible walks

Kingsford, Carl, Michael C. Schatz, and Mihai Pop. "Assembly complexity of prokaryotic genomes using short reads." *BMC bioinformatics* 11.1 (2010): 21.

De Bruijn graph

Casting assembly as Eulerian walk is appealing, but not practical

Uneven coverage, sequencing errors, etc make graph non-Eulerian

Even if graph were Eulerian, repeats yield many possible walks

Kingsford, Carl, Michael C. Schatz, and Mihai Pop. "Assembly complexity of prokaryotic genomes using short reads." *BMC bioinformatics* 11.1 (2010): 21.

De Bruijn Superwalk Problem (DBSP) seeks a walk over the De Bruijn graph, where walk contains each read as a *subwalk*

De Bruijn graph

Casting assembly as Eulerian walk is appealing, but not practical

Uneven coverage, sequencing errors, etc make graph non-Eulerian

Even if graph were Eulerian, repeats yield many possible walks

Kingsford, Carl, Michael C. Schatz, and Mihai Pop. "Assembly complexity of prokaryotic genomes using short reads." *BMC bioinformatics* 11.1 (2010): 21.

De Bruijn Superwalk Problem (DBSP) seeks a walk over the De Bruijn graph, where walk contains each read as a *subwalk*

Proven NP-hard!

Medvedev, Paul, et al. "Computability of models for sequence assembly." *Algorithms in Bioinformatics*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007. 289-301.

De Bruijn graph

In practice, De Bruijn graph-based tools give up on unresolvable repeats and yield fragmented assemblies, just like OLC tools.

But first we note that using the De Bruijn graph representation has **other advantages...**

De Bruijn graph

Say a sequencer produces **d** reads of length **n** from a genome of length **m**

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{d} = 6 \times 10^9 \text{ reads} \\ \mathbf{n} = 100 \text{ nt} \\ \mathbf{m} = 3 \times 10^9 \text{ nt} \approx \text{human} \end{array} \right\} \approx 1 \text{ sequencing run}$$

To build a De Bruijn graph in practice:

Pick k . Assume $k \leq$ shortest read length ($k = 30$ to 50 is common).

For each read:

For each k -mer:


Add k -mer's left and right $k-1$ -mers to graph if not there already. Draw an edge from left to right $k-1$ -mer.

De Bruijn graph

Pick $k = 8$ Genome: `a_long_long_long_time`

Reads: `a_long_long_long`, `ng_long_l`, `g_long_time`

k-mers: `a_long_l` `ng_long_` `g_long_t`
`_long_lo` `g_long_1` `_long_ti`
`long_lon` `long_lo` `long_tim`
`ong_long` `ong_long` `ong_time`




Given n (# reads), N (total length of all reads) and k , and assuming $k <$ length of shortest read:

Exact number of k-mers: $N - n(k-1)$ $O(N)$

This is also the number of edges, $|E|$

Number of nodes $|V|$ is at most $2 \cdot |E|$, but typically much smaller due to repeated $k-1$ -mers

De Bruijn graph



How much work to build graph?

For each k -mer, add 1 edge and up to 2 nodes

Reasonable to say this is $O(1)$ expected work

Assume hash map encodes nodes & edges

Assume $k-1$ -mers fit in $O(1)$ machine words,
and hashing $O(1)$ machine words is $O(1)$ work


Querying / adding a key is $O(1)$ expected work

$O(1)$ expected work for 1 k -mer, $O(N)$ overall

De Bruijn graph


Timed De Bruijn graph construction applied to progressively longer prefixes of lambda phage genome, $k = 14$

$O(N)$ expectation
appears to work in
practice, at least for this
small example



De Bruijn graph

In typical assembly projects,
average coverage is $\sim 30 - 50$



De Bruijn graph

Recall *average coverage*: average # reads covering a genome position

CTAGGCCCTCAATTTT	
CTCTAGGCCCTCAATTTT	
GGCTCTAGGCCCTCATTTTT	
CTCGGCTCTAGCCCCTCATTTT	
TATCTCGACTCTAGGCCCTCA	177 nucleotides
TATCTCGACTCTAGGCC	
TCTATATCTCGGCTCTAGG	
GGCGTCTATATCTCG	
GGCGTCGATATCT	
GGCGTCTATATCT	
GGCGTCTATATCTCGGCTCTAGGCCCTCATTTTT	35 nucleotides

$$\text{Average coverage} = 177 / 35 \approx 7x$$

De Bruijn graph


In typical assembly projects, average coverage is $\sim 30 - 50$

Same edge might appear in dozens of copies; let's use edge *weights* instead

Weight = # times k-mer occurs

Using weights, there's one *weighted* edge for each *distinct* k-mer

Before: one edge per k-mer



After: one *weighted* edge per *distinct* k-mer

De Bruijn graph

of nodes and edges both $O(N)$; N is total length of all reads

Say (a) reads are error-free, (b) we have one *weighted* edge for each *distinct k-mer*, and (c) length of genome is G

There's one node for each distinct $k-1$ -mer, one edge for each distinct k -mer

Can't be more distinct k -mers than there are k -mers in the genome; likewise for $k-1$ -mers

So # of nodes and edges are also both $O(G)$

Combine with the $O(N)$ bound and the # of nodes and edges are both $O(\min(N, G))$

De Bruijn graph


With high average coverage, $O(G)$ size bound is advantageous

Size of De Bruijn graph grows
sublinearly when average
coverage is high

Genome = lambda phage (~ 48.5 K nt)

Draw random k -mers until target
average coverage is reached (x axis)

Build De Bruijn graph and total the #
of nodes and edges (y axis)



De Bruijn graph

What De Bruijn graph advantages have we discovered?

Can be built in $O(N)$ expected time, N = total length of reads

With perfect data, graph is $O(\min(N, G))$ space; G = genome length

Note: when average coverage is high, $G \ll N$

Compares favorably with overlap graph

Space is $O(N + a)$.

Fast overlap graph construction (suffix tree) is $O(N + a)$ time

a is $O(n^2)$

De Bruijn graph

What did we give up?

Reads are immediately split into shorter k -mers; can't resolve repeats as well as overlap graph

Only a very specific type of "overlap" is considered, which makes dealing with errors more complicated, as we'll see


Read coherence is lost. Some paths through De Bruijn graph are inconsistent with respect to input reads.

This is the OLC \leftrightarrow DBG tradeoff

Single most important benefit of De Bruijn graph is the $O(\min(G, N))$ space bound, though we'll see this comes with large caveats


Error correction

When data is error-free, # nodes, edges in de Bruijn graph is $O(\min(G, N))$




What about data with sequencing errors?

Error correction




Take an example we saw (left)
and mutate a *k*-mer character
to a random other character
with probability 1% (right)

6 errors result in 10 new nodes
and **6 new weighted edges**, all
with weight 1



Error correction

As more k -mers overlap errors, # nodes, edges approach N




Same experiment as before but with 5% error added

Errors wipe out much of the benefit of the G bound

Instead of $O(\min(G, N))$, we have something more like $O(N)$

Error correction



Error correction

If we can correct sequencing errors up-front, we can prevent De Bruijn graph from growing much beyond the G bound

How do we correct errors?


Analogy: design a spell checker for a language you've never seen before. How do you come up with suggestions?

Error correction

k -mer count histogram:

x axis is an integer k -mer count, y axis is # distinct k -mers with that count

Right: such a histogram for 3-mers of CATCATCATCAT:



Error correction


Say we have error-free sequencing reads drawn from a genome.

The amount of sequencing is such that average coverage = 200.

Let $k = 20$


How would the picture change for data with 1% error rate?

Hint: errors usually change high-count k -mer into low-count k -mer



Error correction


k -mers with errors usually occur fewer times than error-free k -mers



Error correction

Idea: errors tend to turn frequent k -mers to infrequent k -mers, so corrections should do the reverse

Say we have a collection of reads where each distinct 8-mer occurs an average of ~10 times, and we have the following read:



Error correction

Suppose there's an **error**

Read: GCGTACTACGCGTCTGGCCT

GCGTACTA:	1	Below average
CGTACTAC:	3	
GTACTACG:	1	
TACTACGC:	1	
ACTACGCG:	2	
CTACGCGT:	1	
TACGCGTC:	9	
ACGCGTCT:	8	Around average
CGCGTCTG:	10	
GCGTCTGG:	10	
CGTCTGGC:	11	
GTCTGGCC:	9	
TCTGGCCT:	8	

k-mer count profile has corresponding stretch of below-average counts




Error correction

k-mer count profiles when errors are in different parts of the read:

GCGTACTACGCGTCTGGCCT
GCGTACTA: 1
CGTACTAC: 3
GTACTACG: 1
TACTACGC: 1
ACTACGCG: 2
CTACGCGT: 1
TACGCGTC: 9
ACGCGTCT: 8
CGCGTCTG: 10
GCGTCTGG: 10
CGTCTGGC: 11
GTCTGGCC: 9
TCTGGCCT: 8


GCGTATTACACGTCTGGCCT
GCGTATTA: 8
CGTATTAC: 8
GTATTACA: 1
TATTACAC: 1
ATTACACG: 1
TTACACGT: 1
TACACGTC: 1
ACACGTCT: 2
CACGTCTG: 1
GCGTCTGG: 10
CGTCTGGC: 11
GTCTGGCC: 9
TCTGGCCT: 8

GCGTATTACGCGTCTGGTCT
GCGTATTA: 8
CGTATTAC: 8
GTATTACG: 9
TATTACGC: 9
ATTACGCG: 9
TTACGCGT: 12
TACGCGTC: 9
ACGCGTCT: 8
CGCGTCTG: 10
GCGTCTGG: 10
CGTCTGGT: 1
GTCTGGTC: 2
TCTGGTCT: 1



Error correction

k -mer count profile indicates where errors are



Error correction

Simple algorithm: given a count threshold t :

For each read:


For each k-mer:

If k -mer count $< t$:

Examine k -mer's neighbors within certain Hamming/edit distance.


If neighbor has count $\geq t$, replace old k -mer with neighbor.

Pick a t that lies in the trough
(the dip) between the peaks



Error correction: results


Corrects 99.2% of the errors in the example 0.1% error dataset



Error correction: results

For **uncorrected** reads, De Bruijn graph size is off the chart

For **corrected** reads, De Bruijn graph size is near **G bound**



Error correction

For error correction to work well:

Average coverage should be high enough and k should be set so we can distinguish infrequent from frequent k -mers

k -mer neighborhood we explore must be broad enough to find frequent neighbors. Depends on error rate and k .

Data structure for storing k -mer counts should be substantially smaller than the De Bruijn graph

Otherwise there's no point doing error correction separately

Counts don't have to be 100% accurate; just have to distinguish frequent and infrequent