

# STA261 - Module 5

## Asymptotic Extensions

Rob Zimmerman

University of Toronto

August 2-4, 2022

# Limitations of Finite Sample Sizes

- In almost everything we've done so far, we've assumed a sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$  of fixed size  $n$
- We've needed to know the distributions of various statistics of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$
- This requirement has been very limiting, as the distributions of most statistics don't have closed forms (or are unknown entirely)
- Even the exact distribution of the sample mean  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is only available for a few parametric families

# Driving Up the Sample Size

- On the other hand, we have plenty of *limiting* distributions as  $n \rightarrow \infty$
- Example 5.1:
- Example 5.2:
- Of course, we never have  $n = \infty$  in real life
- But if we have the luxury of a very large sample size, the “difference” between the exact distribution and the limiting distribution should (hopefully) be tolerable
- Since the Normal distribution is particularly nice, we will milk the CLT for all it's worth

# A Review of Standard Limiting Results

- In the following, let  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be sequences of random variables, let  $X$  be another random variable, let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  be constants, and let  $g(\cdot)$  be a continuous function
- **Theorem 5.1:** If  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ , then  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ . If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} x$ , then  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} x$ .
- **Theorem 5.2 (Slutsky's theorem):** If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} y$ , then  $Y_n \cdot X_n \xrightarrow{d} y \cdot X$  and  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + y$ .
- **Theorem 5.3 (Continuous mapping theorem):** If  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ , then  $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} g(X)$ . If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ , then  $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{d} g(X)$ .

# Poll Time!

# Notation Update

- For the rest of this module, we will accentuate statistics of finite samples with the subscript  $n$  (so  $\mathbf{X}$  is now  $\mathbf{X}_n$ ,  $\bar{X}$  is now  $\bar{X}_n$ , and so on)
- For a generic statistic, we'll write  $T_n = T_n(\mathbf{X}_n)$
- If we're talking about a limiting property of a sequence  $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , we'll abuse notation and just write that  $T_n$  has that limiting property, when the meaning is clear from context
- Example 5.3:

# Two Big Ones

- **Theorem 5.4 (Weak law of large numbers (WLLN)):** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of iid random variables with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$ . Then

$$\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu.$$

- **Theorem 5.5 (Central limit theorem (CLT)):** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of iid random variables with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2$ . Then

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

- The CLT is equivalent to  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , which is the form we'll be using most often

# Asymptotic Unbiasedness

- As in Module 2, we're interested in estimators of  $\tau(\theta)$
- But now we're concerned with their limiting behaviour as  $n \rightarrow \infty$
- For finite  $n$ , we insisted that our “best” estimators be unbiased
- In the asymptotic setup, we can relax that slightly
- **Definition 5.1:** Suppose that  $\{W_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$ . If  $\text{Bias}_\theta(W_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then  $\{W_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is said to be **asymptotically unbiased** for  $\tau(\theta)$ .
- **Example 5.4:**



# Consistency

- $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$  is the prototypical example of an estimator converging in probability to the “right thing”
- We have a special name for this
- **Definition 5.2:** A sequence of estimators  $W_n$  of  $\tau(\theta)$  is said to be **consistent** for  $\tau(\theta)$  if  $W_n \xrightarrow{p} \tau(\theta)$  for every  $\theta \in \Theta$ .
- **Example 5.5:**

# Showing Consistency

- Sometimes it's easy to show consistency directly from the definition
- **Example 5.6:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Is the sample mean  $\bar{X}_n$  consistent for  $\mu$ ?

# Showing Consistency

- It's usually easier to use standard limiting results (Slutsky, continuous mapping, etc.) than to go directly from the definition
- **Example 5.7:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Is the sample variance  $S_n^2$  consistent for  $\sigma^2$ ?

# Bringing Back the MSE

- In Module 2, we compared estimators by their MSEs
- To extend that idea to the asymptotic setup, we need a new mode of convergence
- **Definition 5.3:** Suppose that  $W_n$  is a sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$ . If  $\text{MSE}_\theta(W_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then  $W_n$  is said to **converge in MSE** to  $\tau(\theta)$ .
- **Example 5.8:**

# Poll Time!

# Convergence in MSE is Already Good Enough

- It turns out that convergence in MSE is strong enough to guarantee consistency
- **Theorem 5.6:** If  $W_n$  is a sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$  that converges in MSE for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then  $W_n$  is consistent for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

*Proof.*

# A Criterion for Consistency

- If we know  $\mathbb{E}_\theta [W_n]$  and  $\text{Var}_\theta (W_n)$ , this next theorem often makes short work out of checking for consistency
- **Theorem 5.7:** If  $W_n$  is a sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$  such that  $\text{Bias}_\theta (W_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$  and  $\text{Var}_\theta (W_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then  $W_n$  is consistent for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

*Proof.*

# The Sample Mean is Always Consistent

- **Example 5.9:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ , where  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$ . Show that  $\bar{X}_n$  is consistent for  $\mu$ .



# The Sample Variance is Always Consistent

- One can (very tediously) show that if  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are a random sample from a distribution with a finite fourth moment, then

$$\text{Var}(S_n^2) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])^4]}{n} - \frac{\text{Var}(X_i)^2(n-3)}{n(n-1)}$$

- Example 5.10:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ , where  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^4] < \infty$ . Show that  $S_n^2$  is consistent for  $\sigma^2$ .

# Choosing Among Consistent Estimators

- Consistency is practically the bare minimum we can ask for from a sequence of estimators
- There are usually plenty of sequences that are consistent for  $\tau(\theta)$
- Which one should we use?
- It's tempting to go with whichever has the lowest variance for fixed  $n$ , but that would rule out a lot of fine estimators
- Example 5.11:

# Asymptotic Normality

- There's a much more useful criterion, but first we need an important CLT-inspired definition
- **Definition 5.4:** Let  $T_n$  be a sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$ . If there exists some  $\sigma^2 > 0$  such that

$$\sqrt{n}[T_n - \tau(\theta)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2),$$

then  $T_n$  is said to be **asymptotically normal** with mean  $\tau(\theta)$  and **asymptotic variance**  $\sigma^2$ .

- By virtue of the CLT, most unbiased estimators are asymptotically normal

# Asymptotic Normality: Examples

- **Example 5.12:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bin}(k, p)$ . Show that the sample mean  $\bar{X}_n$  is asymptotically normal.

## Asymptotic Normality: Examples

- **Example 5.13:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Show that the second sample moment  $\overline{X^2}_n$  is asymptotically normal.

# Asymptotic Distributions

- More generally, we can talk about the limiting distribution of  $\sqrt{n}[T_n - \tau(\theta)]$  even when it's not Normal
- **Definition 5.5:** Suppose that  $T_n$  is a sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$ . When it exists, the distribution of  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}[T_n - \tau(\theta)]$  is called the **asymptotic distribution** (or **limiting distribution**) of  $T_n$ .
- So if  $T_n$  is an asymptotically normal sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$  with asymptotic variance  $\sigma^2$ , then its asymptotic distribution is  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
- **Example 5.14:**
- We might prefer to speak of the distribution of  $T_n$  itself when  $n$  is large

# Poll Time!

# The Delta Method

- If some sequence  $T_n$  is asymptotically normal for  $\theta$  and some function  $g(\cdot)$  is nice enough, then the next result gives a remarkably easy method of producing an asymptotically normal sequence of estimators of for  $g(\theta)$
- **Theorem 5.8 (Delta method):** Suppose that  $\theta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ . If  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable with  $g'(\theta) \neq 0$ , then

$$\sqrt{n}[g(T_n) - g(\theta)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, [g'(\theta)]^2 \sigma^2).$$

*Proof.*



# The Delta Method: Examples

- **Example 5.15:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Find the limiting distribution of  $1/\bar{X}_n$ .

# The Delta Method: Examples

- **Example 5.16:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$  where  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Find the limiting distribution of  $\log(1 - \bar{X}_n)$ .

# The Delta Method: Examples

- **Example 5.17:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$  where  $\mathbb{E}_\theta[X_i] = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}_\theta(X_i) = \sigma^2$ . If  $\tau : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable with  $\tau'(\mu) \neq 0$ , describe the distribution of  $\tau(\bar{X}_n)$  as  $n$  becomes large.

# Back to Choosing Estimators

- We know that when  $T_n = \bar{X}_n$ , the CLT says that

$$\frac{T_n - \mathbb{E}_\theta [T_n]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}_\theta (T_n)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- Recall the Fisher information  $I_n(\theta) = \text{Var}_\theta (S(\theta | \mathbf{X}_n))$
- In Module 2, we said that an unbiased estimator  $W_n$  of  $\tau(\theta)$  was efficient if its variance attained the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound  $[\tau'(\theta)]^2 / I_n(\theta)$
- We also noticed that if the  $X_i$ 's were iid, then  $I_n(\theta) = nI_1(\theta)$

# Asymptotic Efficiency

- So if we could replace the  $T_n$  in the CLT statement with a general unbiased and efficient  $W_n$ , it would look like

$$\frac{W_n - \tau(\theta)}{\sqrt{[\tau'(\theta)]^2/nI_1(\theta)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- Or equivalently

$$\sqrt{n}[W_n - \tau(\theta)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I_1(\theta)}\right)$$

- This is not a *result*, but a *condition* that we can demand of our estimators
- **Definition 5.6:** A sequence of estimators  $W_n$  is **asymptotically efficient** for  $\tau(\theta)$  if

$$\sqrt{n}[W_n - \tau(\theta)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I_1(\theta)}\right)$$

## Asymptotic Efficiency: Examples

- **Example 5.18:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ . Show that  $1/\bar{X}_n$  is asymptotically efficient for  $\lambda$ .

## Asymptotic Efficiency: Examples

- **Example 5.19:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ . Show that  $\bar{X}_n$  is asymptotically efficient for  $\lambda$ .

# Large Sample Behaviour for the MLE

- We're ready to see why the MLE is almost always the point estimator of choice when  $n$  is large
- To understand this, we need to distinguish between an arbitrary parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$  and the true parameter that generated the data, which we will call  $\theta_0$
- We'll show that the MLE is asymptotically efficient, under certain regularity conditions
- Under what?



# Regularity Conditions

- Recall how the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound required some conditions:
- Such conditions are generically referred to as *regularity conditions*, and they're used to rule out various pathological counterexamples and edge cases
- The exact regularity conditions for our next result are quite technical and not worth getting involved with in this course
- Instead, we will go with four *sufficient* regularity conditions that are relatively easy to check, and which are satisfied by many common parametric models

# Poll Time!

# The MLE is Often Asymptotically Normal

- **Theorem 5.9:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\theta_0}$ , and let  $\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{X}_n)$  be the MLE of  $\theta_0$  based on a sample of size  $n$ . Suppose the following regularity conditions hold:
  - ▶  $\Theta$  is an open interval (not necessarily finite) in  $\mathbb{R}$
  - ▶ The log-likelihood  $\ell(\theta \mid \mathbf{x}_n)$  is three times continuously differentiable in  $\theta$
  - ▶ The support of  $f_{\theta}$  does not depend on  $\theta$
  - ▶  $I_1(\theta) < \infty$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$

Then

$$\sqrt{n}[\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{X}_n) - \theta_0] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{I_1(\theta_0)}\right).$$

That is,  $\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{X}_n)$  is a consistent and asymptotically efficient estimator of  $\theta_0$ .

*Proof (sketch).*



## A Useful Corollary

- **Theorem 5.10:** Suppose the hypotheses of Theorem 5.9 hold, and that  $\tau : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable with  $\tau'(\theta_0) \neq 0$ . Then

$$\sqrt{n}[\tau(\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{X}_n)) - \tau(\theta_0)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{[\tau'(\theta_0)]^2}{I_1(\theta_0)}\right).$$

That is,  $\tau(\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{X}_n))$  is a consistent and asymptotically efficient estimator of  $\tau(\theta_0)$ .

## Asymptotically Efficient MLEs: Examples

- **Example 5.20:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2$  is known. Find the asymptotic distribution of the MLE of  $\mu$ .

## Asymptotically Efficient MLEs: Examples

- **Example 5.21:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ , where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Find the asymptotic distribution of the MLE of  $p$ , and then that of  $1/p$ .

# The MLE Isn't Always Asymptotically Normal

- **Example 5.22:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Unif}(0, \theta)$ , where  $\theta > 0$ . Show that the MLE of  $\theta$  is not asymptotically normal.



# Approximate Tests and Intervals

- We've seen that a lot of statistics are asymptotically normal
- What about test statistics?
- If we're willing to approximate a test statistic (whose exact distribution we might not know for fixed  $n$ ) by one with a Normal distribution, we can perform tests and create intervals that we couldn't have before
- As in Modules 3 and 4, we'll start off with tests and then use the test statistics from those to construct confidence intervals

# Wilks' Theorem

- Recall the LRT statistic for testing  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_A : \theta \neq \theta_0$  was given by  $\lambda(\mathbf{X}_n) = \frac{L(\theta_0|\mathbf{X}_n)}{L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{X}_n)}$ , where  $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_n)$  is the unrestricted MLE of  $\theta$  based on  $\mathbf{X}_n$
- Amazingly, the LRT statistic always converges in distribution to a known distribution, regardless of the statistical model (assuming it's nice enough)
- Theorem 5.11 (Wilks' theorem):** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ , where the model satisfies the same regularity conditions as in Theorem 5.9. If we test  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_A : \theta \neq \theta_0$  using  $\lambda(\mathbf{X}_n)$ , then under  $H_0$ ,

$$-2 \log(\lambda(\mathbf{X}_n)) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_{(1)}.$$

# Poll Time!

## Approximate LRTs: Examples

- **Example 5.23:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ , where  $p \in (0, 1)$ .  
Construct an approximate size- $\alpha$  LRT of  $H_0 : p = p_0$  versus  $H_A : p \neq p_0$ .

## Approximate LRTs: Examples

- **Example 5.24:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Construct an approximate size- $\alpha$  LRT of  $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$  versus  $H_A : \mu \neq \mu_0$ .

# Wald Tests

- **Definition 5.7:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ . For testing  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_A : \theta \neq \theta_0$ , a **Wald test** is a test based on the **Wald statistic**

$$W_n(\mathbf{X}_n) = (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^2 I_n(\hat{\theta}),$$

where  $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_n)$  is the (unrestricted) MLE.

- **Theorem 5.12:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ , where the model satisfies the same regularity conditions as in Theorem 5.9. If we test  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_A : \theta \neq \theta_0$  using  $W_n(\mathbf{X}_n)$ , then

$$W_n(\mathbf{X}_n) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_{(1)}.$$

# Wald Tests: Examples

- **Example 5.25:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ , where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Construct an approximate size- $\alpha$  Wald test of  $H_0 : p = p_0$  versus  $H_A : p \neq p_0$ .

# Wald Tests: Examples

- **Example 5.26:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Construct an approximate size- $\alpha$  Wald test of  $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$  versus  $H_A : \mu \neq \mu_0$ .



# Score Tests

- **Definition 5.8:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ . For testing  $H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$  versus  $H_A : \theta \in \Theta_0^c$ , a **score test** (also called a **Rao test** or a **Lagrange multiplier test**) is a test based on the **score statistic**

$$R_n(\mathbf{X}_n) = \frac{[S_n(\hat{\theta}_0 | \mathbf{X}_n)]^2}{I_n(\hat{\theta}_0)},$$

where  $\hat{\theta}_0 = \hat{\theta}_0(\mathbf{X}_n) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta | \mathbf{X}_n)$  is the restricted MLE under  $H_0$ .

- **Theorem 5.13:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ , where the model satisfies the same regularity conditions as in Theorem 5.9. If we test  $H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$  versus  $H_A : \theta \in \Theta_0^c$  using  $R_n(\mathbf{X}_n)$ , then

$$R_n(\mathbf{X}_n) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_{(1)}.$$

## Score Tests: Examples

- **Example 5.27:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ , where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Construct an approximate size- $\alpha$  score test of  $H_0 : p = p_0$  versus  $H_A : p \neq p_0$ .

## Score Tests: Examples

- **Example 5.28:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Construct an approximate size- $\alpha$  score test of  $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$  versus  $H_A : \mu \neq \mu_0$ .

# The Trinity of Tests

- The LRT, the Wald test, and the score test form the backbone of classical hypothesis testing
- Observe that under  $H_0$ , all three tests are asymptotically equivalent (i.e., all three test statistics all converge in distribution to a  $\chi^2_{(1)}$ )
- For this reason, the three tests are sometimes collectively referred to as the **trinity of tests**
- Although asymptotically equivalent, the speed of convergence to  $\chi^2_{(1)}$  can be quite different for each one – for small  $n$ , they can be quite different in terms of power and other “small-sample” properties
- One might tell you to reject  $H_0$  while another might not!

# Approximate Confidence Intervals

- Using any of the asymptotic tests to test  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_A : \theta \neq \theta_0$ , it's sometimes possible to invert any of the test statistics to obtain an approximate  $(1 - \alpha)$ -confidence interval for  $\theta$
- Out of the three, the LRT is usually the hardest to invert into an actual interval, and the Wald statistic is usually the easiest
- In practice, you can always try to use numerical solvers when the algebra doesn't work
- For Wald and score intervals, the standard recipe is to take the square root of the test statistic and compare it to  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

## Approximate Confidence Intervals: Examples

- **Example 5.29:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ , where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Construct an approximate  $(1 - \alpha)$ -confidence interval for  $p$  based on the Wald statistic.

- This confidence interval shows up everywhere in polling (and is a staple of introductory Statistics classes); its half-length is called the **margin of error**

## Approximate Confidence Intervals: Examples

- **Example 5.30:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ , where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Construct an approximate  $(1 - \alpha)$ -confidence interval for  $\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)$  based on the Wald statistic.

## Approximate Confidence Intervals: Examples

- **Example 5.31:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ . Construct an approximate  $(1 - \alpha)$ -confidence interval for  $\lambda$  based on the Wald statistic.



# When the Fisher Information Causes Problems...

- When  $f_\theta$  is too complicated to allow for exact  $(1 - \alpha)$ -confidence intervals, it's standard practice to use Wald intervals and score intervals
- But there might be another problem:
- In real-life multiparameter models,  $I_n(\theta)$  is a matrix and is often impossible to work out directly, which makes calculating  $I_n(\hat{\theta}_0)$  or  $I_n(\hat{\theta})$  futile
- When this happens, people like to swap  $I_n(\cdot)$  with  $J_n(\cdot)$  in the Wald and score statistics
- 
- Moreover, in a famous 1978 paper, Efron and Hinkley showed empirically that  $J_n(\hat{\theta})$  is *superior* to  $I_n(\hat{\theta})$