

# A supervision guide for AAU Economics students

Robert Smith

2021-05-07



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Book description</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Introduction to supervision</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Guidelines for supervision</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Examinations . . . . .	9
3.2	Leave periods (absenteeism) . . . . .	10
3.3	Teaching and time pressures . . . . .	10
3.4	Rough guide to project structures . . . . .	10
3.5	For a journal article size paper these are some rough guidelines: .	11
<b>4</b>	<b>Time planning and limitations</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1	Reverse time-planning . . . . .	14
<b>5</b>	<b>Data sources for project inspiration</b>	<b>15</b>
5.1	Diverse datasets . . . . .	15
5.2	Economic time-series databases . . . . .	15
<b>6</b>	<b>Rough guide to writing a problem statement</b>	<b>17</b>
6.1	Step 1: Brainstorm / ideation . . . . .	17
6.2	Step 2: Getting that problem statement clear . . . . .	20
6.3	Using the introduction as the route to the problem statement . .	22
6.4	Some general notes about writing a problem statement . . . . .	22
<b>7</b>	<b>Alternative platforms for writing projects</b>	<b>29</b>
7.1	Overleaf (LaTeX) . . . . .	29
7.2	RMarkdown . . . . .	30
7.3	MS Word via MS Teams . . . . .	30
<b>8</b>	<b>Reference and bibliography management</b>	<b>31</b>
8.1	Videos of reference software . . . . .	32
<b>9</b>	<b>Literature searching</b>	<b>33</b>
9.1	Free search options . . . . .	33
9.2	Paid search options . . . . .	33

9.3 Literature search videos . . . . .	34
<b>10 Appendix: Literature search construction</b>	<b>35</b>
10.1 Project title . . . . .	35
10.2 Description of subject . . . . .	35
10.3 Problem statement for project . . . . .	35
10.4 Search criteria development – summary . . . . .	35
10.5 Search criteria development . . . . .	36
10.6 Summary . . . . .	41

# Chapter 1

## Book description

This is a simple GitBook to assist students to write projects in partial fulfilment of the requirements of a degree in economics at Aalborg University.



## Chapter 2

# Introduction to supervision

This is an introduction text that explains that I will be your supervisor for your project and outlines some guidelines for our collaboration.

As you can see from this text, my first language is English. So anyone that wants to work on their English writing, or just get more exposure to economics in English it might be a good opportunity for you – If you want to work outside of the country or in most multinationals here in DK it is typically a requirement (Danmarks Nationalbank included). You will not be assessed on your grammar, but you will need to make sense and write in a professional manner.

If you would prefer to work in Danish, you are of course welcome to.

To get the most out of the supervision I recommend that in addition to the introductory meeting, 3 group meetings should be sufficient.

**0. Introductory meeting:** We will go through literature search and a few useful tools for writing in a collaborative project. (I will try to do a digital/video guide so that you can watch / view / pause rewind the info as much as you please.)

Here I will also try to get to understand where you are as a group in terms of 5 main areas:

1. Your subject specific knowledge (this will be in terms of the specific topic that you have chosen to work on).
2. Your experience and knowledge of group project work.
3. Your skills in project and process management for getting the job done.
4. Your technical academic skills – Theory of science, methodology, methods and theory.

5. Your project writing skills – The practical writing tools, reference managers, programming skills, collaboration tools etc.
1. **Meeting 1:** You need to have worked on and bring a complete problem statement (see the guide and tips below), we will discuss it in the first meeting.
2. **Meeting 2:** The literature review, and expected method should be done, and any data or materials you plan to use should be collected. We will go through your planned method and argumentation in the meeting.
3. **Meeting 3:** The analysis should be complete, and you should have some working points for your discussion / conclusions. We will go through your arguments verbally, and I will probe any major gaps I see in your thinking.



## Chapter 3

# Guidelines for supervision

1. Any team member can communicate with me via Teams on behalf of your group. I expect that all communication has been discussed and agreed upon.
2. Just as you can expect me to read and provide comments on the days of meetings, I expect you to respect the deadlines you choose.
  - a. If you want something read before the meeting, it must be sent to me **at least 2 working days before the meeting, i.e. Midnight Thursday for a Monday meeting.** (Max 10 pages per meeting)
3. I will read and comment generally on the work but **will not make decisions for you.** Your ability to choose and apply the correct methods is part of what you will be assessed on.
4. Each meeting is planned for one hour.
5. **For every meeting you should bring with:**
  - a. Your problem statement (as it evolves with your work).
  - b. A list of literature that you have covered up to that point (only the literature you have already read).
  - c. Any additional formalities (this will depend on how big your group is).
6. The date by which you will be ready for the next meeting.

### 3.1 Examinations

You can write and be examined in Danish or English. If you choose Danish, it might be the case that one of our Danish speaking staff will join in the

examination, 1x external examiner + me + possibly 1x Danish AAU examiner. This will depend on departmental resources, but you will not be disadvantaged in any way because of any limitations that I might have with the Danish language.

### 3.2 Leave periods (absenteeism)

I will be away from Aalborg for the following periods:

1. Weeks 13 and 14. Thursday 2021/03/25 to Monday 2021/04/12.

### 3.3 Teaching and time pressures

I will be teaching Mathematics 2 on each Wednesday of weeks 17, 18, and 19 – for these weeks the best days to meet will be Thursday or Friday.

### 3.4 Rough guide to project structures

This is a **very rough** guide to writing a project. It is intended to give you a very basic idea of what to include in a good project.

In terms of pages, each group will know how many people they have, the official **maximum number of pages** (by character count, 2400 key-strokes including spaces) are:

1 Person: 15 pages

2 People: 25 pages

3 People: 35 pages

4 People: 40 pages

**Filling the pages is not the goal**, and you will not be given a higher grade for filling all of your allocated pages with pointless text. You will also not be penalised if you can get your message across clearly in fewer pages. Keep in mind, that the average journal article is roughly 15 – 25 double spaced pages (around 8000 words).

You only need to address **one** problem, and to do it as well as possible.

The written project is intended **to communicate** that you have done your homework on your subject. This means that as a student **you should be able to demonstrate that you:**

1. Can identify an economic problem (or gap in the literature) that you think needs to be addressed (and why?!).
2. Can find, read and understand literature about the problem, and how others have dealt with it (reading and organising literature).

### 3.5. FOR A JOURNAL ARTICLE SIZE PAPER THESE ARE SOME ROUGH GUIDELINES:11

3. Can find the relevant information or data that you need to assess the problem, and that you know what to do with it when you do find it (number 2 helps with this) (data and methods).
4. Can present your findings in a well written document, where you give credit to all the authors that helped you to understand the problem (references).
5. If you make a statement, you either need to back it up with your own evidence, or someone else's.

Compressing all of that into 8000 words is much more challenging than filling 40 pages with unnecessary text and graphics. It also requires much more cooperation on and discussion of what needs to go into those pages to make them as effective as possible.

A good group member is one that can read a piece of writing critically and give constructive feedback – to do this effectively is necessary for all group members to be clear about the “red thread” in the project (the “why”).

**I would personally prefer that you write about 15 pages of really good work than 40 pages of low-quality work.**

### 3.5 For a journal article size paper these are some rough guidelines:

The share of pages between the sections depends on how much space you *need*. I say *need*, because people reading your work want to get the clearest message, in as few words as possible. A (very) rough guide as to how many (academic) references each section could have is included in red text.

1. Abstract (+150 words)
2. Introduction (0.75 – 1.25 pages) (Motivation, justification, explanation of why? (4 – 5 references))
3. Literature / theory (1.5 – 3 pages, depending on how theoretical your paper is) (Demonstrate reading (6 – 12 references))
4. Method (0.75 – 3 pages, depending on how complex the explanation needs to be) (Justify choice, explain details (4 – 5 references))
5. Results (1 – 3 pages) (Presentation of results (2 – 5 references))
6. Discussion (2 – 5 pages) (Interpretations, comparisons, perspectives (4 – 5 references))
7. Conclusion (1 page) (Link discussion to introduction (No new references))

(The max pages in this example is 16.5 pages – it is just an example, and the split between the sections will change depending on the type of research.)

(Min references in this example is 20, but this is on the high side. You won't have time to read as much as that. 8 – 15 references in total should be enough if you find some really good ones.)

Keep it simple! That is the best advice I ever got. . . and the hardest to follow, because you really need to be sure of what you're talking about to write clearly and simply.

## Chapter 4

# Time planning and limitations

Be aware of the time you have left to do the research. Data / information collection and organisation takes time, and you need to get started on it early if you want to be able to say anything useful by the time you finish writing your project up. If you are efficient in how you work this guide might help:

### **A brief guide:**

1. Problem identification and reading: 1 week
2. Write literature review: 1 week
3. Write first draft of introduction: 2 days
4. Data collection: 1 week
5. Write method and methodology section: 3 days
6. Data cleaning and analysis: 2 weeks
7. Write results: 1 week
8. Write conclusion: 2 days
9. Re-write introduction: 2 days
10. Check document for references and errors: 1 day

Total: 8 weeks. From mid-March this would get you to mid-May, or from mid-September it would take you to mid-November.

The later you start, the later you finish, or... if you have a deadline... the more you have to sacrifice in terms of quality.

Remember to add time for:

- Reading and feedback

## 4.1 Reverse time-planning

A very powerful way to plan your project is to take the delivery date and work backwards.

Pick your delivery date, and then work out how much time you need for each of the jobs you need to do – but starting from the last job first.

I will also share a project planner with you all when we have shared supervision space established.

## Chapter 5

# Data sources for project inspiration

### 5.1 Diverse datasets

1. The Harvard Dataverse
  - <https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataverse/harvard>
2. Kaggle - datasets used for machine learning and AI applications
  - <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets>
3. The New York Times Github repository
  - <https://github.com/nytimes>
4. FiveThirtyEight Github page
  - <https://github.com/fivethirtyeight>
5. The MIT Observatory of Economic Complexity - Economic trade data
  - <https://oec.world>
6. The Google public data explorer (run in Chrome)
  - <https://www.google.com/publicdata/directory>
7. European Union Open Data portal
  - <https://data.europa.eu/euodp/en/data/>
8. Luxumborg income database
  - <https://www.lisdatacenter.org/our-data/>

### 5.2 Economic time-series databases

1. The Bank for International Settlements
  - <https://www.bis.org/statistics/index.htm>
2. OECD - stat-bank
  - <https://stats.oecd.org>

3. AMECO - Annual macroeconomic of the European Commission's Directorate General for Economic and Financial Affairs
  - [https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/indicators-statistics/economic-databases/macro-economic-database-ameco\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/indicators-statistics/economic-databases/macro-economic-database-ameco_en)
4. The World Bank open data source
  - <https://data.worldbank.org>
  - Sub-components for microdata, DataBank and a data catalogue
5. Eurostat Database
  - <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>
6. Danish Data - Kim Abildgren's historical database
  - <https://sites.google.com/view/kim-abildgren/historical-statistics>
7. Jorda-Schularick-Taylor Macrohistory Database
  - <http://www.macrohistory.net/data/>



## Chapter 6

# Rough guide to writing a problem statement

Coming up with a good question does not mean coming up with a question that will change the world. It means coming up with a question that you can answer **in the time you are allowed**, and **with the tools you have (or have time to learn)**.

A note on how to get started with your problem selection – try to be curious. It is going to take some active effort.

**Most of you have identified an area of interest, rather than any specific question.** These are just a few pointers to help you to identify a good problem.

- If you want to read a pretty good “how-to” guide, try this one: <https://www.wikihow.com/Write-a-Problem-Statement>

You need to actively apply yourselves to *finding* a question:

### 6.1 Step 1: Brainstorm / ideation

- Find a meeting room and mind-map an area of economics that you are interested in.
- A very high-level overview of the courses (like the table of contents in your textbooks) you have done so far should help you to understand the tools that you have, which you can use to answer whatever question you end up asking.
  - Some of these tools will be theories, models, data types and sources. The learning outcomes of your courses are also a good guide (check Moodle).

- If you really want to be active in your search for problems, and deal with real life issues – pick up a phone and make some calls to people in the area or industry you want to look at. These kinds of discussion can be really motivating and insightful.
- As a group you can really benefit by getting ideas and input from each other. This does not need to take a long time but does require effort.
- Don't get caught up by not deciding what to do – get into a room, set a time frame and get finished with the choice early.

Some interesting possible approaches can be seen here – many of these are related to innovation to products, but the general ideas can be used to create research ideas too:

- “The 7 All-time Greatest Ideation Techniques”
  - <https://innovationmanagement.se/2013/05/30/the-7-all-time-greatest-ideation-techniques/>
- “The master ideation toolbox - 10 tools to unleash creativity from anyone.”
  - <https://uxdesign.cc/the-master-online-ideation-toolbox-part-1-2-47401c3ad861>
- “Our favorite ideation tools”
  - [https://www.boardofinnovation.com/staff\\_picks/our-favorite-ideation-tools/](https://www.boardofinnovation.com/staff_picks/our-favorite-ideation-tools/)
- “Ideation in Design Thinking: Tools and Methods” - 05/02/2017 - by Rafiq Elmansy
  - <https://www.designorate.com/ideation-design-thinking-tools/>

### 6.1.1 Digital tools for getting your project started

There are a heap of tools available:

#### 6.1.1.1 Tools that can help with brainstorming and project management

1. Trello board
2. Padlet
3. Notion
4. Prezi
5. Wonder
6. Mural

**6.1.1.2 Tools that can help with content creation for questionnaires / interactive quizzes**

1. Mentimeter
2. Peergrade
3. MS Forms
4. Fyrebbox
5. Coogole
6. Kahoot

**6.1.1.3 Communication tools for collaboration**

1. OSX apple screen sharing (with control option)
2. OSX Facetime
3. MS Teams
4. Facebook messenger
5. Disqord
6. Skype
7. Whatsapp

**6.1.1.4 Additional learning tools for self-learning or training**

1. DataCamp
2. Khan Academy
3. Udemy
4. Corsera
5. Edx
6. Google Academy
7. Microsoft

**6.1.2 Courses in Econometrics at AAU**

The following main methods are covered in each of the semesters, and economic analyses should be conducted that reflect a knowledge up to at least the level of technical analysis of each semester.

**6.1.2.1 Methods notes - Econometrics 4. semester**

1. OLS - simple linear regression
2. OLS - multiple linear regression
3. Linear regressions in matrices
4. Inference and hypothesis testing
5. And 6. OLS - Asymptotics, functional form and prediction
  1. Goodness of fit
6. Multiple regression analysis with qualitative data
  1. Using dummy variables
7. Heteroskedasticity.

8. Normal distribution model specification.
  1. Proxy variables measure error.
9. Non-nested models, missing data, outliers, least absolute deviations, estimations and multicollinearity.
10. Instrumental variables - 2 stage least squares.
11. Instrumental variables continued - 2 stage least squares with heteroskedasticity.
12. PROBIT and LOGIT

#### 6.1.2.2 Methods notes - Econometrics 5. semester

1. Time series and seasonality trends
2. Cyclical correlation in univariate time-series
3. AR and MA processes
  1. ACF and PACF
4. Stationarity
5. ARIMA - Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Model
6. Mandatory exercise in forecasting
  1. Properties and evaluation
7. Multivariate time series analysis
  1. Engel-Granger
  2. ARDL - Auto-regressive distributed lag models
8. Dynamic models with non stationary variables
  1. Cointegration and error correction models
  2. Spurious regression
9. Further extension
  1. Cointegration and bounce test
10. VAR
  1. Vector

## 6.2 Step 2: Getting that problem statement clear

Where to start?

Read. This starts with a good literature search.

Some reflective questions that I recommend you consider before the meeting:

1. What are you investigating (you have a problem statement for this already - but it still lacks some clarity)?  
i.e. What do you mean by: “årsagen”? What do you mean by: “handel med omverden”? How do you define globalisation? When you say “påvirke”, what do you mean?

Is it all people in the USA? All industries? Aggregate economic

measures?

When you talk about effects, it usually implies that there is some kind of causal relationship... When looking at national economic aggregates - there are many possible “causes” for changes. Political, social, economic, technological, etc.

2. Why is it important to investigate this?
3. Who would care about this result? i.e. why are you doing this research? what is the motivation behind finding an answer to this question?
4. What is the problem that you are actually trying to throw some light on?
5. How have others explored this?
  1. What did they find?
  2. What are some of the conclusions that have been drawn?
  3. Are there some concerns/issues with how other people have investigated it?
6. How could you possibly explore this question?
  1. Are there some alternative explanations that have not been considered?
  2. Are there some other perspectives that have been ignored? For example... if the USA is able to strengthen its trade position, what are the consequences for smaller countries that compete in the same markets?
  3. Is there some new data available that illuminates a new part of the problem?
  4. Are there better measures available for inequality / trade performance / competitiveness / etc. ?
7. How are you going to make the choice about what road to take?
  1. If you had all the money and time in the world, how would you investigate this problem?
  2. What are the first steps / minimum requirements needed to be able to answer the question?
  3. What options are real possibilities in the time that is left?
  4. Who is going to do what?

### 6.3 Using the introduction as the route to the problem statement

A good introduction leads to a good problem statement, but this is only possible if you have some good material to work with.

#### 6.3.1 Example flow of a good introduction

1. You could list some shocking figures or numbers that highlight that there is some area / issue that we should be concerned about. It could also be some clear contradiction or controversy in the literature that needs clarification - but this requires some careful reading. (This defines the problem area that you want to address - normally these are the effects of some other problem that can be identified.)
2. You could then explain that some people see (explain) these facts/figures from the perspective of A / B / C . . . and conclude that X / Y / Z. Another group of researchers suggest that there may be another way to interpret these figures.. they say. . . etc. (This highlights existing theories in the literature - these could be conflicting explanations for the effects that are observed by different researchers. Always remember that research can have a particular agenda, i.e. to promote efficiency of markets, or to critique main-stream literature - so be a little critical when reading. Every researcher is trying to sell their ideas.)
3. Next, you could explain that ‘these’ different approaches suggest that this problem could be investigated by doing E/F/G. (Identification of possible ways of addressing the issue - There are a variety of quantitative and qualitative methods, and some people rely more on some than on others. This section should still be able to refer to how other people have addressed the issue. Here is where you narrow down what might be a good way to look at the problem.)
4. More specifically, therefore, we want to investigate how / if / what the effects of / etc. (By this stage you should be able to be specific about the exact part of the problem that you are interested in addressing, and all of the arguments for why it is interesting should already have been made.)

### 6.4 Some general notes about writing a problem statement

The general structure of questions in most economic analyses is: What is, has been, or will be the impact of **A** on **B**?

### 6.4.1 Where do you find a problem in macroeconomics?

Many economic queries attempt to measure social consequences, and most often try to assign a financial value to those consequences. Sometimes this is phrased as a “comparative outcome” or “alternative scenario”. Normally this means that one option is *better* than another. This is not surprising, since pretty much all macroeconomic variables are aggregated financial values or derivatives thereof.

Other ways to frame a question could be:

1. Why is one option better than the other? How can you tell?
2. How does a change in X affect income for the economy / households / teachers?
3. How many people are employed?
4. Labour productivity?
5. How is the standard of living affected?

These are justification options (evidence) to motivate *why* an investigation is a good idea. Just remember that you need to be able to find the evidence to back up your arguments. As noted in the example of an introduction flow above, your selection of a method should be the logical conclusion of your reading.

### 6.4.2 Answering the Why?

Why is it that investigating this problem will be beneficial? To answer the question generally requires awareness of:

1. What?
2. When?
3. Where?
4. Who?
5. In what way?
6. How?

These things are the specifics of the problem statement, but they will not provide you with the answer to why it is important.

The best place to find an answer to *why?* is to read some of the most recent work on the topic you have found. Anything that has been published has been read and edited by at least 5 people by the time it goes to a journal. The arguments in those articles should therefore be pretty reasonable.

Once you understand your *why?* you can start with the specifics

### 6.4.3 What?

For example: If you chose to research currency markets, some of the sub-categories could be (What?):

- Trading platforms
- Exchange rate policies
- Regulations
- Common currency areas
- Clearing and settlement systems
- Speculation
- Risk-mitigation / hedging

This delimitation is often determined by the problem area that you identify. Normally illustrated by something interesting or concerning, which leads you to think that investigating the area might be interesting.

You can go the other way around, and check what has been written about recently or is currently being funded at the EU or national levels. Read some of the latest literature relating to it and then identify if there is a similar problem in an area that has not yet been investigated.

A third possibility is to open up some of the really amazing data repositories of major institutions and see if some of those can inspire you.

### 6.4.4 When?

You need to be carefully aware of what time period you choose to investigate, as it has direct consequences for the types of conclusions you can make from your analysis. If you look at 1950 -1970 consumption data, you can't really say anything intelligent about the use of disposable income in 2020.

Historical analysis is crucial in economics, but you should always be aware of how the structure of the economies of the world have shifted over time.

Education rates, the type of institutions that exist, levels of unemployment, the size of government, etc.

A trendy catch phrase for this kind of context is PESTLE, an anagram for how the context of countries change:

1. Political
2. Economic
3. Social
4. Technological



5. Legal

6. Environmental

Please **don't write this list out and do a PESTLE analysis** in your projects, it is just to tell you that there are many changes that take place over time. And that you need to think specifically about time in your problem statement.

### 6.4.5 Where?

This is quite obvious but is not only related to geographical borders. For example, a study could be:

- National
- Municipal
- Regional
- Global
- Local (or micro)

### 6.4.6 Who?

Which groups are involved in your project, and who is it that will be interested in reading the results of your research? Who will the research / problem investigation be useful or interesting for.

- Demographic specific (Ex., students, low-income families, employees at public institutions)
- Institution specific (Ex. Banks, the national bank, the stock exchange, or one specific institution)
- Industry specific (Ex. Mining, agriculture, home owners associations, mortgage institutes, or alternatively at a "sector" level, such as households, firms, government etc.)
- Country specific
- Etc.

### 6.4.7 In what way?

You also need to know what kind of impact or relationship you are looking for. Is it,

- *How much of the behaviour of A can be explained by B?*
- Is it a *theoretical or empirical issue* or are you interested in?

- Or *how much influence will A have on B? Or vice versa, or both?*
- Is it causal? Are you arguing that one thing is *causing* another thing? – you have to be quite careful trying to do these ones.

### 6.4.8 How?

Finally, you need to be able to explain how you are going to investigate the problem. You should consider your tools and your course on methodology (and philosophy of science) to be able to figure out how will you answer the question. You can boggle your brain a bit with the wiki-page on philosophy of science here:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Philosophy\\_of\\_science](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Philosophy_of_science)

Recall that methodology is layered, a very simplistic way to think about it is as a hierarchy:

```
> Philosophy of science
-> Methodology
--> Theory
----> Methods
```

**You can watch a few videos about what this is:**

1. This one is pretty good, but a little dry: <https://youtu.be/IvwmMxgahA4>
2. Daniel Hausman - This one has some interesting perspectives (but is much better in 2x speed): [https://youtu.be/EfF6WD8s\\_ps](https://youtu.be/EfF6WD8s_ps) (but he doesn't really list any specific philosophies)
3. Paul Hoyningen-Huene at Leibniz Universität Hannover has a whole course on it if you get really caught up in it - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tP8teUgZcBY&list=PLGV2ddg-PFGvWKDeTyrUji7TXY8y1SHjl> (He is pretty entertaining and you could learn a lot in his course – and have a few laughs at dad jokes – in 22 lectures)
4. Marc Lavoie – This might help if you are interested in locating the theory you are using in either heterodox or orthodox categories of economic methodology. Disclaimer: I do not believe this is a constructive way to categorise methodology, but it is a common way. <https://youtu.be/DEROFQIao4o>

The philosophy of science defines what kind of results will be considered valid. For example, is it valid to make a conclusion about the future based on the past (i.e. to use data to make predictions)?

Some examples of this are:

- Cartesian / Euclidian philosophies of science

#### 6.4. SOME GENERAL NOTES ABOUT WRITING A PROBLEM STATEMENT 27

- The Babylonian mode of thinking
- Critical realism
- Scepticism
- Logical positivism

This colour coded conceptual map of philosophy might put some of the terms you come across into perspective (with some spin on “education”):

[https://cmapspublic3.ihmc.us/rid%3D1196256709922\\_36526043\\_8120/1196256715039I908793757I8261Iimage](https://cmapspublic3.ihmc.us/rid%3D1196256709922_36526043_8120/1196256715039I908793757I8261Iimage)

Theories use methods, and methods are part of a particular methodology, and the methodology is made valid by the theory of science inside which it fits.

Methods are at the bottom of the pyramid, and can be quantitative or qualitative, or a combination of both. It is important to be aware of what you are doing and using. They are normally used to motivate one or another theory.

This stuff can be extremely dry and boring, or it can also be super interesting (if you are into it). It is how we know, that we know, that what we know, is really something factual – or what a fact is in the first place. It is closely related to metaphysics, epistemology and ontology. None of which will help you cook an egg in the morning, but you might find the study as fascinating as I do (even if I will never suck up the energy to finish reading Immanuel Kant’s *Critique of Pure Reason*).

This Saunders et al. research onion is a pretty useful way to think about each of the decisions we make when constructing out research. Starting from the outside moving inwards:




Figure 1. Research onion<sup>1</sup>

Source: Saunders, Lewis and Thornhill (2019), Research methods for business students

## Chapter 7

# Alternative platforms for writing projects

This is a brief list of options when writing a project. Some options are best suited to complex data work, such as Rmarkdown, whereas others are better suited to collaborative writing, such as Overleaf, MS Word via MS Teams.

Each of these platforms are presented in short videos below. There are some clear advantages to using some rather than others. For each you will need to have some knowledge about how the program works in order to use it, and this might require a time investment.

My preference is to recommend open-source options that allow for efficient collaboration and / or reproducible research.

### **Free / open source options**

1. Overleaf / LaTeX
2. RMarkdown
3. Google Docs (but you pay in terms of data security)

### **Paid / Subscription options**

1. MS Word

## 7.1 Overleaf (LaTeX)

Overleaf offers a number of excellent guides for getting started, but for those that would like an explanation from me of some of the basics, please feel free to watch the following videos.

1. How open an account in Overleaf

2. Template documents and resources
3. Integration of Mendely with Overleaf
4. Integration of Git with Overleaf

## 7.2 RMarkdown

RMarkdown is a derivative of Markdown syntax, and is a very simple way to write

- 1.

## 7.3 MS Word via MS Teams

## Chapter 8

# Reference and bibliography management

With the aid of technology, it is not possible to very easily and efficiently manage and utilise the literature sources that you need when writing an academic project.

The first step is finding the appropriate literature, which is explained in more detail in the chapter below. This section is included in advance as it is useful to select the bibliography management software in advance of completing a literature search.

Each of the more popular reference management software tools have a variety of advantages and disadvantages, depending on what program you choose to work in.

My own preferences are shaped by my experience with each program - and a desire to make sure that the content I create will be accessible to me always, regardless of whether I am at the university or not. If better options exist I would be most interested in hearing about them.

The first two software options enable in-text referencing and automatic generation of reference lists in MS word. The last option, of manually collecting your reference data, is the most inefficient, but has some benefits. The videos below will link to the **Loom** hosting service, and provide a guide to Mendeley. Refworks can do pretty much everything that Mendeley can, but it is expensive to use once you leave the university.

### Order of preference

1. Mendeley
2. Refworks

3. Manual storage

## **8.1 Videos of reference software**

1. Installation of Mendely
2. Use of in-text referencing in Ms Word
3. Creating a reference list in Ms Word
4. Integration of Mendely and Overleaf
5. Citation options and edits in Overleaf
6. Reference lists in RMarkdown
7. Citation options in RMarkdown



## Chapter 9

# Literature searching

Literature searches can be completed in a number of ways. There are several very useful free literature search options, as well as more expensive options that you will have access to as a university student.

### 9.1 Free search options

1. Google Scholar
2. Semantic Scholar

### 9.2 Paid search options

These options are very effectively combined and the University library website. Where the *Primo* service can be used to search a wide variety of databases for a specific search string (or phrase).

1. Aalborg University Universitetsbiblioteket

The university site also allows students to access each of the available underlying databases individually and use the special search features that are available for each. Several of these databases allow for bulk exportation of bibliographic information, and can be easily synchronised with referencing software described in the section above.

2. Databases and suppliers

A short video introduction to literature search is included as a video reference below. This link will take you to a video recorded and stored on the **Loom** hosting platform.

### 9.3 Literature search videos

1. How to conduct an efficient literature search
2. How to construct the key search criteria for your literature search
3. How to save and export search results

## Chapter 10

# Appendix: Literature search construction

### 10.1 Project title

Stock-flow consistent models – property and mortgage

### 10.2 Description of subject

Stock flow consistent model to cover mortgage debt of the household sector

### 10.3 Problem statement for project

Debt to disposable income levels in several Danish sectors have risen to the highest ever recorded levels, while the Danish central bank (Danmarks nationalbank), the IMF and Finanstilsynet all report that there are no serious threats to financial stability. Financial deregulation, relaxation of borrowing criteria and product innovation have been cited as the leading causes of this trend. This thesis aims to explore credit creation and macro-financial risks related to the expansion of household debt in Denmark by examining institutional sector and individual household balance sheets.

### 10.4 Search criteria development – summary

1. Step 01: List all concepts
2. Step 02: Group words into “Blocks” of concepts
3. Step 03: Check for any synonyms
4. Step 04: Add Boolean operators

5. Step 05: Prioritise blocks according to subject
6. Step 06: Selection of appropriate databases
7. Step 07: Perform search block by block
8. Step 08: Combine search blocks
9. Step 09: Refine search parameters based on results
10. Step 10: Document search results and search limiters
11. Step 11: Compare results and refine search parameters
12. Step 12: Export final list of documents
13. Step 13: Repeat steps 07 to 12 for each database
14. Step 14: Remove duplicates identified from different databases
15. Step 15: Remove non-relevant documents based on title and abstract
16. Step 16: Read core literature
17. Step 17: From core reading, find and read any key literature identified by other authors.

## 10.5 Search criteria development

### 10.5.1 Step 01: List all concepts

1. Stock Flow Consistent Models
2. Structural Econometric Models
3. Mortgage debt
4. Housing market
5. Macroeconomic models
6. Post Keynesian theory
7. Denmark
8. Households
9. Sector balance analysis
10. Household debt

### 10.5.2 Step 02: Group words into “Blocks” of concepts

1. “Stock Flow Consistent Models” OR “Structural Econometric Models” OR “Sector balance analysis”
2. “Macroeconomic”
3. “Mortgage debt” OR “Housing market”
4. “Post Keynesian theory”
5. “Denmark”
6. “Households”
7. “debt” OR Credit”

### 10.5.3 Step 03: Check for any synonyms (and use MS Word to check for spelling errors)

1. “Stock Flow Consistent Models” OR “stock flow consistent” OR “Stock-flow consistent” OR “SFC models” OR “SFC” OR “Structural Economet-

- ric Models” OR “Structural econometric” OR “SEM models” OR “Sector balance analysis” OR “SBA” OR “Sector financial balances”
- 2. “Macroeconomic model” OR “National model” OR “aggregate model”
- 3. “Mortgage debt” OR “mortgage bonds” OR “Mortgage credit” OR “mortgage borrowing” OR “Housing market”
- 4. “Post Keynesian” OR “Post-keynesian”
- 5. “Denmark” OR “Danish” OR “Nordic” OR “Scandinavian”
- 6. “Households”
- 7. “debt” OR Credit”

#### 10.5.4 Step 04: Add Boolean operators

- 1. “Stock Flow Consistent Model\*” OR “stock flow consistent” OR “Stock-flow consistent” OR “SFC model\*” OR “SFC” OR “Structural Econometric Model\*” OR “Structural econometric” OR “SEM model\*” OR “Sector\* balance analysis” OR “SBA” OR “Sector\* financial balance\*”
- 2. “Macroeconomic model\*” OR “National model\*” OR “aggregate model\*”
- 3. “Mortgage debt” OR “mortgage bonds” OR “Mortgage credit” OR “mortgage borrowing” OR “Housing debt”
- 4. “Post Keynesian” OR “Post-Keynesian”
- 5. “Denmark” OR “Danish” OR “Nordic” OR “Scandinavian”
- 6. “Household\*”
- 7. “debt” OR Credit”

#### 10.5.5 Step 05: Prioritise blocks according to subject

##### Starting with the most relevant first

(“Stock Flow Consistent” OR “Stock-flow consistent” OR “SFC model\*”)

OR

(“Structural Econometric” OR “SEM model\*”)

AND

(“Macroeconomic model\*” OR “National model\*” OR “aggregate model\*” OR “sector\* model”)

AND

(“Mortgage debt” OR “mortgage bonds” OR “Mortgage credit” OR “mortgage borrowing” OR “Housing debt” OR “Housing market” OR “Property market” OR “Property Prices”)

AND

(“Post Keynesian” OR “Post-Keynesian”)

AND

("Denmark\*" OR "Danish" OR "Nordic" OR "Scandinavia\*")

AND

("Household\*" OR "private sector")

AND

("debt" OR "Credit")

**Optional alternative to add to SFC** ("Sector\* balance analys\*" OR "Sector\* financial balance\*")

### 10.5.6 Step 06: Perform search block by block

### 10.5.7 Step 07: Combine search blocks

### 10.5.8 Step 08: Document search results and limitations

### 10.5.9 Step 09: Compare results and refine search parameters

#### 10.5.9.1 1. Scopus

**Scopus (371 results)**

*Search string:*

ALL(("Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent") AND (macroeconomic\* model\*)) AND DOCTYPE(ar OR re OR bk OR ch OR cp OR sh) AND (LIMIT-TO(LANGUAGE, "English"))

**Scopus (138 results)**

*Search string:*

TITLE ("Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent" OR "SFC") AND ALL ( "propert\*" OR "hous\*" OR "mortgage") AND DOCTYPE ( ar OR re OR bk OR ch OR cp OR sh ) AND ( LIMIT-TO ( LANGUAGE , "English" ) )

**Scopus (6 results) + housing**

*Search string:*

TITLE ("Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent" OR "SFC") AND ALL ("housing") AND DOCTYPE ( ar OR re OR bk OR ch OR cp OR sh ) AND ( LIMIT-TO ( LANGUAGE , "English" ) )

**Scopus (3 results) + mortgage**

*Search string:*

TITLE ( "Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent" OR "SFC") AND ALL ("mortgage") AND DOCTYPE ( ar OR re OR bk OR ch OR cp OR sh ) AND ( LIMIT-TO ( LANGUAGE , "English" ) )

**Scopus (26 results) + property housing mortgage***Search string:*

TITLE ( "Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent" OR "SFC" )  
 AND ALL ( "propert\*" OR "hous\*" OR "mortgage" ) AND DOCTYPE ( ar  
 OR re OR bk OR ch OR cp OR sh ) AND NOT ( "Chromatography" OR "lipid  
 solid fat" OR "solid fat content" OR "silico-ferrite off calcium" OR molecular\*  
 OR "service function chaining" OR "service-function chaining" OR "chemistry"  
 OR "space-filling curve" OR "Sequential Function Chart\*" OR "SFC binder" )  
 AND ( LIMIT-TO ( LANGUAGE , "English" ) )

**Scopus (23 results) + property housing mortgage***Search string:*

TITLE ( "Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent" OR "SFC" )  
 AND ALL ( "property market" OR "housing market" OR "mortgage debt" )  
 AND ALL ( "economics" ) AND DOCTYPE ( ar OR re OR bk OR ch OR cp  
 OR sh ) AND ( LIMIT-TO ( LANGUAGE , "English" ) )

**10.5.9.2 2. EBSCOhost (Business Source Premier, Academic Source Premier) (119 results)**

(Search options: Also search in full text of the articles)

(Limits: Academic search premier Language: English Publication Type: All Document Type: Article, book chapter, proceeding, report)

(Limits: Business search premier Language: English Publication Type: Academic journal, Book Document Type: Article, book entry, proceeding, report, working paper)

**EBSCOhost (119 results)***Search string:*

("Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent") AND (macroeconomic\*  
 model\*)

**EBSCOhost (25 results) (included)***Search string:*

("Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent" OR "SFC") (Limit: TI-  
 TLE) AND (macroeconomic\* model\*)

**EBSCOhost (9 results)***Search string:*

("Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent") AND (macroeconomic\*  
 model\*) AND ("Denmark\*" OR "Danish" OR "Nordic" OR "Scandinavia\*")

### 10.5.9.3 3. ProQuest

#### ProQuest (529 results)

*Search string:*

("Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent" OR "SFC") AND  
(macroeconomic\* AND model\*) AND (LA(English))

#### ProQuest (43 results)

*Search string:*

TI("Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent" OR "SFC" ) AND  
ALL (macroeconomic\* AND model\*) AND (LA(English))

#### Source type

Conference Papers & Proceedings, Dissertations & Theses, Scholarly Journals,  
Working Papers

#### Document type

Article, Book, Book Chapter, Conference Paper, Country Report, Literature  
Review, Report, Technical Report, Working Paper/Pre-Print

#### Language

English

### 10.5.9.4 4. JSTOR

#### JSTOR (96 results) (selection included – JSTOR requires click to export)

*Search string:*

((“Stock Flow Consistent” OR “Stock-Flow Consistent”) AND (macroeconomic\*  
model\*)) AND la:(eng OR en)

#### JSTOR (19 results)

*Search string:*

(ti:(“Stock Flow Consistent” OR “Stock-Flow Consistent” OR “SFC”) la:(eng  
OR en)

#### JSTOR (13 results)

*Search string:*

(ti:(“Stock Flow Consistent” OR “Stock-Flow Consistent” OR “SFC”) AND  
(macroeconomic\* model\*)) AND la:(eng OR en)



**10.5.9.5 5. Web of Science****Web of Science (67 results)***Search string:*

("Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent") AND (macroeconomic\* model\*)

**Web of Science (24 results)***Search string:*

("Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent" OR "SFC") (Limit: TITLE) AND (macroeconomic\* model\*)

**Web of Science (1 results)***Search string:*

("Stock Flow Consistent" OR "Stock-Flow Consistent") AND (macroeconomic\* model\*) AND ("Denmark\*" OR "Danish" OR "Nordic" OR "Scandinavia\*")

**10.6 Summary**

Total of 146 documents found