



Lecture 9:

Variational Autoencoders

Robert Bamler · Summer Term of 2023

These slides are part of the course “Data Compression With and Without Deep Probabilistic Models” taught at University of Tübingen. More course materials—including video recordings, lecture notes, and problem sets with solutions—are publicly available at <https://robamler.github.io/teaching/compress23/>.

Recall: Variational Inference



► Idea:

- approximate the (inaccessible) true posterior $P(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})$ with a *variational distribution* $Q_\phi(\mathbf{Z})$.
- Find the best approximation $\phi^* := \arg \max_\phi \text{ELBO}(\phi, \mathbf{x})$.

► Evidence Lower Bound:

$$\text{ELBO}(\phi, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{Q_\phi(\mathbf{Z})} [\log P(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}) - \log Q_\phi(\mathbf{Z})]$$

- negative expected net bit rate of bits-back coding: $\text{ELBO}(\phi, \mathbf{x}) = -\mathbb{E}_s [R_\phi^{\text{net}}(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{s})]$
- bound on the evidence: $\text{ELBO}(\phi, \mathbf{x}) = \log P(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}) - D_{\text{KL}}(Q_\phi(\mathbf{Z}) \| P(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})) \leq \log P(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})$
- regularized maximum likelihood: $\text{ELBO}(\phi, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{Q_\phi(\mathbf{Z})} [\log P(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{Z})] - D_{\text{KL}}(Q_\phi(\mathbf{Z}) \| P(\mathbf{Z}))$

- **today:** rate/distortion-tradeoff:
(actually, next week 😊)

$$\text{ELBO}_\beta(\phi, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{Q_\phi(\mathbf{Z})} [\log P(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{Z})] - \beta D_{\text{KL}}(Q_\phi(\mathbf{Z}) \| P(\mathbf{Z}))$$

► Problems:

- What's the generative model $P(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X})$? → **variational expectation maximization**
- Expensive “arg max $_\phi$ ” for *each message* \mathbf{x} in both encoder & decoder. → **amortized inference**

Part 1: Learning the Generative Model



► Goal: learn optimal parameters θ^* of the *generative* model $P_\theta(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X}) = P_\theta(\mathbf{Z}) P_\theta(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{Z})$.

- Thus, the ELBO now depends on θ , i.e., $\text{ELBO}(\theta, \phi, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{Q_\phi(\mathbf{Z})} [\log P_\theta(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}) - \log Q_\phi(\mathbf{Z})]$

- **Example:** data $\mathbf{X} = (X_i)_i$ are binarized images, i.e., each X_i is a pixel value $\in \{0, 1\}$.

→ Prior is fixed: $P(\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}; 0, I)$ (standard normal distribution)

→ Likelihood is parameterized by a (deconvolutional) neural network g_θ :

$$P_\theta(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{Z}) = \prod_i P_\theta(X_i | \mathbf{Z}) \text{ with } P_\theta(X_i = 1 | \mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{z}) = \sigma(g_{\theta,i}(\mathbf{z}))$$

} see class “Decoder Model”
in jupyter notebook.

► Distinguish:

- *global* parameters θ^* (“model parameters”):
 - specify the *generative model* $P_{\theta^*}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X})$
 - same for all data points $\mathbf{x} \Rightarrow$ known to both sender & receiver
- *local* parameters ϕ^* (“variational parameters”):
 - specify an approximation $Q_{\phi^*}(\mathbf{Z})$ to the posterior $P_{\theta^*}(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})$ for a *specific data point* \mathbf{x}
 - different for each data point $\mathbf{x} \Rightarrow$ not available to the receiver until it has decoded \mathbf{x}

Variational Expectation Maximization

1. In order to develop a new compression method:

- learn optimal parameters θ^* of the generative model $P_\theta(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^*(\vartheta, \mathbf{x}) &= \arg \max_{\phi} \text{ELBO}(\vartheta, \phi, \mathbf{x}) \\ \vartheta^* &\leftarrow \arg \max_{\vartheta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \text{training set}} [\text{ELBO}(\vartheta, \phi^*(\vartheta, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x})] \\ &= \arg \max_{\vartheta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \text{training set}} [\max_{\phi} \text{ELBO}(\vartheta, \phi, \mathbf{x})] \end{aligned}$$

2. Share the learned generative model $P_{\theta^*}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X})$ between sender & receiver.

3. In deployment: encode / decode a given data point \mathbf{x}

- Use entropy model $Q_{\phi^*}(\mathbf{Z})$.

ϕ^* depends on \mathbf{x} , so both encoder & decoder need to find it by running (expensive) SGD:
 $\phi^* \leftarrow \arg \max_{\phi} \text{ELBO}(\vartheta^*, \phi, \mathbf{x})$

training algorithm:

initialize $\vartheta \leftarrow \text{random}$
 repeat until convergence:
 draw $\mathbf{x} \sim \text{training set}$
 initialize $\phi \leftarrow \text{prior or random}$
 repeat until convergence:
 update $\phi \leftarrow \phi + \eta_{\phi} \nabla_{\phi} \text{ELBO}(\vartheta, \phi, \mathbf{x})$
 update $\vartheta \leftarrow \vartheta + \eta_{\vartheta} \nabla_{\vartheta} \text{ELBO}(\vartheta, \phi, \mathbf{x})$

expensive inner loop for each training step on ϑ

Part 2: Learning How to Do Inference (Fast)

► Problems:

- Learning the generative model requires an expensive inner loop for every training step.
- Expensive optimization over ϕ for each message \mathbf{x} we want compress / decompress.

► Solution: amortized variational inference

- learn a mapping f from \mathbf{x} to variational parameters such that setting $\phi \leftarrow f(\mathbf{x})$ approximately maximizes $\text{ELBO}(\theta^*, \phi, \mathbf{x})$ for a given \mathbf{x} .

- Notation:** inference network $f_{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$; variational distribution $Q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) \leftarrow$ in the notation we've used so far, this would be $Q_{f_{\phi}(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{Z})$

- Example:** Gaussian mean field variational distribution:

→ inference network $f_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mu_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \log \sigma_{\phi}^2(\mathbf{x}))$ outputs means and (log) variances

→ these parameterize a variational distribution $Q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \text{diag}(\sigma_{\phi,1}^2(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \sigma_{\phi,k}^2(\mathbf{x})))$

training algorithm now: $\begin{cases} \text{initialize } \vartheta, \phi \leftarrow \text{random} \\ \text{repeat until convergence:} \\ \quad \text{draw } \mathbf{x} \sim \text{training set} \\ \quad \text{update } (\vartheta, \phi) \leftarrow (\vartheta, \phi) + \eta \nabla_{\vartheta, \phi} \text{ELBO}(\vartheta, \phi, \mathbf{x}) \end{cases}$

ELBO for amortized inference:
 $\text{ELBO}(\vartheta, \phi, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x})} [\log P(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}) - \log Q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x})]$
 ⇒ no expensive inner loop; ϑ and ϕ are learned concurrently

Variational Autoencoders (VAEs)

Combine variational expectation maximization with amortized variational inference.

► Lossless compression with variational autoencoders:

- use bits-back trick → Problem 9.2

► Lossy compression with variational autoencoders:

- Example:** data $\mathbf{X} = (X_i)_i$ are color images, i.e., each X_i is a continuous RGB value $\in [0, 1]$.

→ Prior may be learned, e.g.: $P_{\theta}(\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}; 0, \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_{\text{num_channels}}^2))^{\otimes \text{spatial_dim}}$

→ Likelihood is parameterized by a (deconvolutional) neural network g_{θ} :

$$P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{Z}) = \prod_i P_{\theta}(X_i | \mathbf{Z}) \text{ with density function } p_{\theta}(x_i | \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{N}(x_i; g_{\theta,i}(\mathbf{z}), \frac{1}{\beta})$$

- Idea:** just use $g_{\theta,i}(\mathbf{z})$ as the reconstruction of an image.
 (Don't bother using the likelihood $P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{z})$ to encode the true image.)

β controls a "rate / distortion trade-off" (see next week)

- Likelihood no longer has a probabilistic meaning. But $-\log P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{z})$ is a distortion metric.
 ⇒ ELBO becomes a rate-distortion trade-off

- next week