
Professor Russell Robbins

INTRO TO INFORMATION SYSTEMS(BUSMIS-1060)13011-2134 Spring 2013 RESPONDENTS = 68% OF NUMBER REGISTERED





Profile

Subunit:

BUSINESS


Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

Professor Russell Robbins,

INTRO TO INFORMATION SYSTEMS(BUSMIS-1060) (13011-2134)

1. TEACHING EVALUATION

- 1.1) The course was intellectually challenging.
- 1.2) The text and materials were helpful in learning concepts and techniques.
- 1.3) The course increased my knowledge.
- 1.4) The instructor was well prepared for class activities.
- 1.5) The instructor effectively interpreted difficult or abstract ideas.
- 1.6) The instructor provided appropriate feedback.
- 1.7) The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.
- (1.8) The instructor was accessible to students. (Do not answer if no basis to judge)
- 1.9) Express your judgment of the instructor's overall teaching effectiveness:



05/07/2013

Professor Russell Robbins
INTRO TO INFORMATION SYSTEMS(BUSMIS-1060)12898-2134
Spring 2013
RESPONDENTS = 86% OF NUMBER REGISTERED



EACHING EVALUATION						logy eggs		
he course was intellectually challenging.	Hardly at all	2.4%	7.3%	4.9%	36.6%	48.8%	To a very high degree	n=41 av.=4,22 dev.=1.01
he text and materials were helpful in learning oncepts and techniques.	Hardly at all			27%	35.1%	0%	To a very high degree	n=37 av.=2.73 dev.=1.19
he course increased my knowledge.	Hardly at all	0%	7.3%	3 17.1%	48.8%	26.8%	To a very high degree	n=41 av.=3.95 dev.=0.86
he instructor was well prepared for class activities.	Hardly at all	5.3%	5.3%	3 15.8%	36.8%	36.8%	To a very high degree	n=38 av.=3.95 dev.=1.11
he instructor effectively interpreted difficult or bstract ideas.	Hardly at all	8.3%	13.9%	3 22.2%	19.4%	36.1%	To a very high degree	n=36 av.=3.61 dev.=1.34
he instructor provided appropriate feedback.	Hardly at all	2.6%	5.1%	10.3%	38.5%	43.6%	To a very high degree	n=39 av.=4.15 dev.=0.99
he instructor created an atmosphere conducive to earning.	Hardly at all	2.8%	2.8%	5.6%	36.1%	5 52.8%	To a very high degree	n=36 av.=4,33 dev.=0,93
he instructor was accessible to students. (Do not nswer if no basis to judge)	Hardly at all	0%	2	12.5%	18.8%	5 68.8%	To a very high degree	n=32 av.=4.56 dev.=0.72
express your judgment of the instructor's overall eaching effectiveness:	Ineffective	2.8%	5.6%	16.7%	36.1%	38.9%	Excellent	n=36 av.=4.03 dev.=1.03
Vould you recommend this instructor to other students?	Definitely not)	2	3	4	5	8.6%	n=35
	Probably not Probably yes Definitely yes						5.7% 45.7% 40%	
	the course was intellectually challenging. The text and materials were helpful in learning oncepts and techniques. The course increased my knowledge. The instructor was well prepared for class activities. The instructor effectively interpreted difficult or betract ideas. The instructor provided appropriate feedback. The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to earning. The instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge)	he course was intellectually challenging. Hardly at all he text and materials were helpful in learning oncepts and techniques. Hardly at all he course increased my knowledge. Hardly at all he instructor was well prepared for class activities. Hardly at all he instructor effectively interpreted difficult or batract ideas. Hardly at all he instructor provided appropriate feedback. Hardly at all he instructor created an atmosphere conducive to saming. Hardly at all he instructor was accessible to students. (Do not nswer if no basis to judge) Hardly at all herfrective Appropriate feedback. Hardly at all Hardly at all Hardly at all Parchly at all Herdly at all Definitely not Probably not Probably not Probably yes	the course was intellectually challenging. Alardy at all	the course was intellectually challenging. Auroly at all	he course was intellectually challenging. Hardly at all 1 2 3 24,3% 13,5% 27% 1 2 3 Hardly at all Hardly at all 1 2 3 24,3% 13,5% 27% 1 2 3 Hardly at all Hardly at all 1 2 3 Abe course increased my knowledge. Hardly at all 1 2 3 Hardly at all 1 2 3 Abe instructor was well prepared for class activities. Hardly at all 1 2 3 Abe instructor effectively interpreted difficult or betract ideas. Hardly at all 1 2 3 Abe instructor provided appropriate feedback. Hardly at all 1 2 3 Abe instructor provided appropriate feedback. Hardly at all 1 2 3 Abe instructor provided appropriate feedback. Hardly at all 1 2 3 Abe instructor or created an atmosphere conducive to parming. Hardly at all 1 2 3 Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Hardly at all 1 2 3 Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor was accessible to students. (Do not newer if no basis to judge) Abe instructor wa	he course was intellectually challenging. Hardy at all 2.4% 7.3% 4.9% 36.8% 1 2 3 4 24.3% 13.5% 27% 35.1% The text and materials were helpful in learning oncepts and techniques. 1 2 3 4 Hardy at all 2.6% 5.1% 10.5% 38.9% Hardy at all 2.6% 5.6% 36.7% 15.7% 36.9% Hardy at all 2.6% 5.6% 16.7% 36.9% Hardy at all 2.6% 5.6% 16.7% 36.9% Hardy at all 2.6% 5.6% 16.7% 36.9% Probably not Probably not Probably oc Probably yes	he course was intellectually challenging. 2.4% 7.3% 4.9% 36.0% 48.8%	Heredy at all prepared for class activities. Hardy at all periodic feedback. To a very high degree feedback. To a very high degre

Profile

Subunit:


BUSINESS

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Professor Russell Robbins,

INTRO TO INFORMATION SYSTEMS(BUSMIS-1060) (12898-2134)

1. TEACHING EVALUATION

- 1.1) The course was intellectually challenging.
- 1.2) The text and materials were helpful in learning concepts and techniques.
- 1.3) The course increased my knowledge.
- 1.4) The instructor was well prepared for class activities.
- 1.5) The instructor effectively interpreted difficult or abstract ideas.
- 1.6) The instructor provided appropriate feedback.
- 1.7) The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.
- 1.8) The instructor was accessible to students. (Do not answer if no basis to judge)
- 1.9) Express your judgment of the instructor's overall teaching effectiveness:



n=41 av.=4.22

n=37 av.=2.73 n=41 av.=3.95

n=38 av.=3.95


n=36 av.=3.61

n=39 av.=4.15 n=36 av.=4.33


n=32 av.=4.56

n=36 av.=4.03

Professor Russell Robbins







Profile

Subunit:

BUSINESS

Name of the instructor:

Professor Russell Robbins,

Name of the course: (Name of the survey) INTRO TO INFORMATION SYSTEMS(BUSMIS-1060) (12899-2134)

1. TEACHING EVALUATION

- 1.1) The course was intellectually challenging.
- 1.2) The text and materials were helpful in learning concepts and techniques.
- 1.3) The course increased my knowledge.
- 1.4) The instructor was well prepared for class activities.
- 1.5) The instructor effectively interpreted difficult or abstract ideas.
- 1.6) The instructor provided appropriate feedback.
- 1.7) The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.
- 1.8) The instructor was accessible to students. (Do not answer if no basis to judge)
- 1.9) Express your judgment of the instructor's overall teaching effectiveness:



n=31 av.=4.19

n=32 av.=2.97

n=33 av.=4.24

n=32 av.=4.28

n=32 av.=3.97

n=33 av.=4.21 n=33 av.=4.58

n=28 av.=4.43

n=31 av.=4.16