

Modular Forms

Robert Sweeney Blanco

Advisor: Brandon Williams

May 9, 2018

Defining Modular Forms

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

In this presentation, I will be working with the modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma_1$

Definition: Modular form

$f(z)$ is a modular form of weight k if

- 1 f is holomorphic on \mathbb{H}
- 2 f continues to be holomorphic as $\Im(z) \rightarrow \infty$
- 3 $f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k f(z) \quad \forall \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_1$

Simplifying the full Modular Group

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

Theorem

Γ_1 is a group generated by $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

This simplifies proving a function is a modular form when working with the full modular group because now we only need to check that the desired behavior for composition with Mobius transformations from the matrix group works for the transformations corresponding with S and T . That is $S(z) = f(\frac{-1}{z}) = z^k f(z)$ and $T(z) = f(z+1) = f(z)$. Note this means modular forms are periodic and have Fourier series!

Dimension of $M_k(\Gamma_1)$

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

Modular forms of a fixed weight form a vector space over the Complex numbers. The dimension of $M_k(\Gamma_1)$ is 0 for all negative and odd values of k . Otherwise the dimension is given by the following formula:

$$\dim(M_k(\Gamma_1)) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{12} \\ \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{12} \end{cases}$$

Defining Eisenstein Series

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

There are different ways of defining the Eisenstein Series, but they all differ by a constant. Here is a common definition for series of even weight $k > 2$:

Eisenstein Series

$$G_k(z) = \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(mz + n)^k}$$

This series converges absolutely for $k > 2$.

Transformation under T

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(z+1) &= \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m(z+1) + n)^k} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(mz + m + n)^k} \end{aligned}$$

Define $\mu = m + n$ (Note $(m, n) \neq (0, 0) \Rightarrow (m, \mu) \neq (0, 0)$)

$$= \sum_{\substack{(m,\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,\mu) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(mz + \mu)^k} = G_k(z)$$

Transformation under S

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

$$\begin{aligned} G_k\left(\frac{-1}{z}\right) &= \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{\left(m\left(\frac{-1}{z}\right) + n\right)^k} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{z^k}{(-m + nz)^k} = z^k \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(-m + nz)^k} \end{aligned}$$

Define $(\mu, \eta) = (n, -m)$ (Note $(m, n) \neq (0, 0) \Rightarrow (\mu, \eta) \neq (0, 0)$)

$$= z^k \sum_{\substack{(\mu, \eta) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (\mu, \eta) \neq (0, 0)}} \frac{1}{(\mu z + \eta)^k} = z^k G_k(z)$$

Proving the Growth Condition

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\Im(z) \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(mz + n)^k} \\ &= \lim_{\Im(z) \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{1}{n^k} + \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ m \neq 0}} \frac{1}{(mz + n)^k} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{1}{n^k} = 2 \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{1}{n^k} = 2\zeta(k) \end{aligned}$$

Proof Conclusion

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

- 1 Since the series converges uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{H} , it is holomorphic
- 2 The series transforms properly for S and $T \Rightarrow$ satisfies Modularity Condition
- 3 The series satisfies the growth condition

$\therefore G_k$ is a modular form for $k > 2$. Moreover, it is a modular form of weight k .

Fourier Expansion for Eisenstein Series

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

Since Eisenstein Series for even $k > 2$ are modular forms, they have a unique Fourier expansion.

Fourier Definition of Eisenstein Series

$$E_k(z) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{d,n \geq 1} n^{k-1} e^{nd2\pi iz}$$

Where B_k is the k th Bernoulli number.

Eisenstein Identities

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

The set of modular forms $M_*(\Gamma_1)$ form a ring. Moreover, the weight of the product of modular forms is the sum of their weights. This paves the way for several easy identities:

- 1 E_4^2 is a modular form of weight 8, both E_4^2 and E_8 have Fourier series that start with 1, and
 $\dim(M_8(\Gamma_1)) = 1 \Rightarrow E_4^2 \equiv E_8$
- 2 $E_4 \cdot E_6$ is a modular form of weight 10, the product of their Fourier series has a leading term of 1, and
 $\dim(M_{10}(\Gamma_1)) = 1 \Rightarrow E_4 \cdot E_6 \equiv E_{10}$

Discriminant Function

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

A famous modular form of weight 12 is the Discriminant Function.

Discriminant Function

$$\Delta(z) = e^{2\pi iz} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi inz})^{24}$$

Fourier Expansion of Discriminant Function

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

Since the Discriminant function is a modular form, it has a Fourier expansion. The coefficients of the series can be calculated with the Ramanujan tau function $\tau(n)$.

$$\Delta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n) e^{2n\pi iz} = e^{2\pi iz} - 24e^{4\pi iz} + 252e^{6\pi iz} - \dots$$

Discriminant Function identity

Modular
Forms

Robert
Sweeney
Blanco

Note that $E_4^3 = (1 + 240e^{2\pi iz} + \dots)^3 = 1 + 720e^{2\pi iz} + \dots$ and $E_6^2 = (1 - 540e^{2\pi iz} + \dots)^2 = 1 - 1080e^{2\pi iz} + \dots$ are not scalar multiples of each other. Since $\dim(M_{12}(\Gamma_1)) = 2$, both E_4^3 and E_6^2 span $M_{12}(\Gamma_1)$, and thus there is a linear combination of them that equal $\Delta(z)$. Since the first term in the Fourier series of $\Delta(z)$ is $e^{2\pi iz}$, it must be some scalar multiple of the difference of E_4^3 and E_6^2 (to get rid of the leading one). The first term of $E_4^3 - E_6^2$ is $1728e^{2\pi iz}$, so we need to scale down by 1728, which will match the first term of $\Delta(z)$ and thus we are done. $\therefore \Delta(z) = \frac{E_4^3 - E_6^2}{1728}$.