Modular Forms

Sweeney Blanco

Modular Forms

Robert Sweeney Blanco

Advisor: Brandon Williams

May 9, 2018

Defining Modular Forms

Modular Forms

Robert Sweeney Blanco

In this presentation, I will be working with the modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma_1$

Definition: Modular form

f is a modular form of weight k if

- $lue{1}$ f is holomorphic on $\mathbb H$
- **2** f continues to be holomorphic as $z \longrightarrow i\infty$

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k f(z) \quad \forall \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_1$$

Simplifying the full Modular Group

Modular Forms

Robert Sweeney Blanco

Theorem

$$\Gamma_1$$
 is a group generated by $S=\begin{bmatrix}0&1\\-1&0\end{bmatrix}$ and $T=\begin{bmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{bmatrix}$

This simplifies proving a function is a modular form when working with the full modular group because now we only need to check that the desired behavior for composition with Mobius transformations from the matrix group works for the transformations corresponding with S and T. That is $S(z) = f(\frac{-1}{z}) = z^k f(z)$ and T(z) = f(z+1) = f(z). Note this means modular forms are periodic and have Fourier series!

Dimension of $M_k(\Gamma_1)$

Modular Forms

Robert Sweeney Blanco

Modular forms of a fixed weight form a vector space over the Complex numbers. The dimension of $M_k(\Gamma_1)$ is 0 for all negative and odd values of k. Otherwise the dimension is given by the following formula:

$$dim(M_k(\Gamma_1)) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } k \not\equiv 12 \ mod(12) \\ \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor & \text{if } k \equiv 12 \ mod(12) \end{cases}$$

There are different ways of defining the Eisenstein Series, but they all differ by a constant. Here is a common definition for series of even weight k > 2:

Eisenstein Series

$$G_k(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m\tau + n)^k}$$

This series converges absolutely for k > 2.

Transformation under T

Modular Forms

$$egin{aligned} G_k(au+1) &= \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \ (m,n)
eq (0,0)}} rac{1}{(m(au+1)+n)^k} \ &= \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \ (m,n)
eq (0,0)}} rac{1}{(m au+m+n)^k} \end{aligned}$$

Define
$$\mu=m+n$$
 (Note $(m,n) \neq (0,0) \Rightarrow (m,\mu) \neq (0,0)$)
$$=\sum_{\substack{(m,\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,\mu) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m\tau+\mu)^k} = G_k(\tau)$$

Transformation under S

Modular Forms

$$G_{k}\left(\frac{-1}{\tau}\right) = \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m(\frac{-1}{\tau}) + n)^{k}}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{\tau^{k}}{(-m+n\tau)^{k}} = \tau^{k} \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(-m+n\tau)^{k}}$$

$$\text{Define}(\mu,\eta) = (n,-m)(\text{Note}(m,n) \neq (0,0) \Rightarrow (\mu,\eta) \neq (0,0))$$

$$= \tau^{k} \sum_{\substack{(\mu,\eta) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\ (\mu,\eta) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(\mu\tau+\eta)^{k}} = \tau^{k} G_{k}(\tau)$$

Proving the Growth Condition

Modular Forms

$$\lim_{\Im(\tau) \to \infty} \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m\tau + n)^k}$$

$$= \lim_{\Im(\tau) \to \infty} \left[\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{1}{n^k} + \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ m \neq 0}} \frac{1}{(m\tau + n)^k} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{1}{n^k}$$

Proof Conclusion

Modular Forms

- I Since the series converges uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{H} , it is holomorphic
- **2** The series transforms properly for S and $T \Rightarrow$ satisfies Modularity Condition
- 3 The series satisfies the growth condition
- \therefore G_k is a modular form for k > 2. Moreover, it is a modular form of weight k.