

## Solutions to Exercises 3 for *Introduction to Logic*

Robert Craven and Florian Steinberger

### Exercise 3.1

1.  $p$ .
2.  $(p \wedge q)$ .
3.  $(p \wedge q)$ .
4.  $p$ .
5.  $(p \wedge q)$ .
6.  $\neg(p \wedge q)$ .
7.  $(p \rightarrow q)$ .
8.  $p$ .
9.  $(p \wedge (q \wedge r))$ . (' $p$ ' represents that Thales was Ioanian, ' $q$ ' that he was a philosopher, and ' $r$ ' that he predicted the eclipse.)
11.  $p$ .
13.  $p$ .
14.  $p$ .
15.  $p$ .
16.  $(p \rightarrow q)$
17.  $p$ . (Other candidates were  $(p \wedge q)$  and  $(p \rightarrow q)$ —but these can't be right.)
18.  $p$ . (This is *not* a material conditional.)
19.  $(p \wedge \neg q)$ .
20.  $(p \wedge (q \wedge \neg r))$ .
21.  $p \wedge (q \wedge r)$ . (Look! The English 'or' sometimes does not get represented using ' $\vee$ '.  $(p \vee (q \vee r))$  would be true even if only  $p$ , of the disjuncts, were true. Since all three lunch options are available, we need conjunction.)
22.  $p$ .
23.  $p$ .
24.  $(p \wedge q)$ . (Where ' $p$ ' represents that silver is shiny, and ' $q$ ' represents that gold is shinier than silver. Yet  $(p \wedge (r \wedge q))$  would be acceptable, with ' $r$ ' representing that gold is shiny.)
25. The best answer is probably just:  $p$ . (But there could be debate.)
26.  $p$ .
27.  $(p \wedge q)$ .
28.  $p$ . (A tricky one. The alternative is obviously  $(p \rightarrow q)$ , but this is inadequate.)
29.  $(p \rightarrow (q \wedge \neg r))$ .
30.  $((p \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow r)) \wedge (\neg p \rightarrow \neg s))$ . ┘

**Exercise 3.2**

1.  $(p \rightarrow q), \neg q \therefore \neg p$
2.  $(p \rightarrow q), p \therefore q$
3.  $(p \rightarrow q), q \therefore p$
4.  $(p \rightarrow q), q \therefore p$
5.  $\therefore (p \vee \neg p)$
6.  $(p \wedge q), (r \wedge s), (t \wedge u) \therefore v$