Smoothing Splines

Robert Dahl Jacobsen

February 5, 2022

Here I document the math used in the Julia package *SmoothSpline* that performs regression with B-splines using a Tikhonov regularisation.

The *SmoothSpline* package is a port of the *smooth.spline* function from R. During the implementation I discovered two special things in *smooth.spline*'s implementation that I have not seen in its documentation:

- Two matrix traces are computed. In the code the first two and last two entries on the diagonal are *not* included in the trace.
- In one computation $\frac{1}{3}$ is hard-coded to be 0.333. This approximation is a bit crude when the word size of the computer is 64 bit.

I will comment more on these points later in this document.

1 B-splines

My source for B-splines is the excellent book [3] with very detailed algorithms. The only downside for a Julia implementation is that all algorithms use 0-based arrays. I do not include further details about about evaluation of B-splines here, but note that we have algorithms for computing the values of B-splines and all of their derivatives.

In *SmoothSpline* we use cubic B-splines, so the general degree p from [3] is always p = 3. We therefore use a more compact notation here: The i'th spline of degree p is in [3] denoted $N_{i,p}$, but is here simply denoted N_i . However, to avoid "magic" occurrences of 3, I still use p in the following to denote the degree.

A collection of B-splines are determined solely by their knots. Boundaries are handled by reusing the boundary knots: If we have m distinct breakpoints u_1, \ldots, u_m we construct the B-splines from the knots, where we have augmented by p endpoints in each end (u_1 and u_m repeated p times each).

The function bs from the {splines} package in R can be used to compute B-splines.

2 Regression with B-splines

We consider observations (x_i, y_i) for i = 1, ..., n. It is allowed to have multiple observations with similar x values (and y values). Observation i has an associated weight w_i , that by default is 1. Observations with similar x values are grouped by summing their weights and taking the average of thier y values. In the following we assume that all x values are distinct and that the observations are sorted by their x values.

The choice of B-splines (that is, the choice of their knots) of course influences everything. In smooth.spline the number of internal knots m is determined from the number of observations n. Let

$$a_1 = \log_2(50), \quad a_2 = \log_2(100), \quad a_3 = \log_2(140), \quad a_4 = \log_2(200)$$

and

$$m' = \begin{cases} n, & n < 50, \\ 2^{a_1 + (a_2 - a_1)(n - 50)/150}, & 50 \le n < 200, \\ 2^{a_2 + (a_3 - a_2)(n - 200)/600}, & 200 \le n < 800, \\ 2^{a_3 + (a_4 - a_3)(n - 800)/2400}, & 800 \le n < 3200, \\ 200 + (n - 3200)^{0.2}, & n \ge 3200. \end{cases}$$

Then m = [m']. The breakpoints u_1, \ldots, u_m are computed from the observations in the following way. Define $j : \{1, \ldots, m\} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$ by

$$j(i) = \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{m-1}(i-1) + 1 \right\rfloor.$$

That is, the indices are equally distanced before being rounded. Then

$$u_i = x_{i(i)}, \quad 1 \le i \le m.$$

We want to perform regression with m B-splines on the interval $[x_1, x_n]$. That is, compute an approximation with the function

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \beta_j N_j(x).$$

The design matrix of the regression problem is the matrix X of size $n \times m$ with entries

$$X_{i,j} = N_j(u_i).$$

The weights are collected in a diagonal matrix $\mathbf{W} = \operatorname{diag}(w_i, i = 1, \dots, n)$. To enforce a smoother interpolant we choose the β 's with a least squares criterion and limit the curvature (measured by the second derivative):

$$\min_{\beta} \| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|^2 + \lambda \int_{x_1}^{x_n} \{ f^{(2)}(t) \}^2 dt.$$

We can also write this as a Tikhonov regularisation

$$\min_{oldsymbol{eta}} \|oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{X} \sqrt{oldsymbol{W}} oldsymbol{eta} \| + \lambda oldsymbol{eta}^T oldsymbol{\Sigma} oldsymbol{eta},$$

where the regularisation term Σ is the Gram matrix of size $m \times m$ with entries

$$\Sigma_{i,j} = \int_{x_1}^{x_n} N_i^{(2)}(t) N_j^{(2)}(t) dt.$$

For each value of $\lambda > 0$, we have a solution β to the corresponding regression problem, namely the solution to the equation

$$A\beta = (X^T W X + \lambda \Sigma)\beta = X^T W y = \widetilde{y}.$$
 (1)

This can be solved fast with a few tricks. Both X and Σ are banded with lower and upper band p. That is, $X_{i,j} = \Sigma_{i,j} = 0$ when |i-j| > p. This implies that the Cholesky factorization of A is also banded with the same band. More specifically, we let C denote the upper triangular matrix of the Cholesky factorization such that

$$C^TC = X^TWX + \lambda \Sigma.$$

With this convention C^T is a lower triangular matrix and we can the use the classic forward and backward substitution to solve eq. (1): First solve $C^T z = \widetilde{y}$ and then $C\beta = z$.

Note that the matrix \boldsymbol{A} may be ill-conditioned even with the Tikhonov term. In fact, I have seen substantial differences between $\boldsymbol{\beta}$'s computed with generic solvers and the special solvers used in SmoothSpline.

2.1 Computing matrices

Before solving eq. (1) we must compute the matrices involved.

In the documentation for smooth spline (and therefore not under the same license as the code) it is noted that the Lagrange multiplier λ is data dependent. That is, an appropriate value for λ depends on the values of the observations. This makes it more delicate to choose λ .

But *smooth.spline* aids the user by offering a data independent parameter "spar", where $0 < \text{spar} \le 1$, that is mapped to an appropriate λ . The map is

$$\lambda = r \cdot 256^{3 \cdot \mathrm{spar} - 1}, \quad r = \frac{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{X})}{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})}.$$

In the code, however, it is not the standard traces that are computed – both in *Smooth-Spline* and *smooth-spline*. The first two entries and the last two entries of the diagonals are discarded in the sum. I have not found documentation to explain this, but I *suspect* that it is to reduce the impact of the endpoint knots that occur in multiple splines – cf. section 1.

Furthermore, *smooth.spline* rescales the x values to the closed unit interval. This has an impact on Σ , but the impact on A is defacto alleviated by the above mapping.

2.1.1 Design matrix

The design matrix to compute is straightforward once we know how to compute the splines.

2.1.2 Gram matrix

We have closed-form expressions for the Tikhonov matrix Σ . All spline functions are supported on (a subset of) $[u_0, u_m]$ and we first note that

$$\Sigma_{i,j} = \int_{u_0}^{u_m} N_i^{(2)}(t) N_j^{(2)}(t) dt = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \int_{u_k}^{u_{k+1}} N_i^{(2)}(t) N_j^{(2)}(t) dt.$$
 (2)

With partial integration each of the integrals can be computed as

$$\int_{u_k}^{u_{k+1}} N_i^{(2)}(t) N_j^{(2)}(t) dt = \left[N_i'(t) N_j^{(2)}(t) \right]_{u_k}^{u_{k+1}} - \int_{u_k}^{u_{k+1}} N_i'(t) N_j^{(3)}(t) dt.$$

On each interval $[u_k, u_{k+1}]$, every spline is a polynomial of degree at most three. Hence the third derivative is a constant and

$$\int_{u_k}^{u_{k+1}} N_i'(t) N_j^{(3)}(t) dt = N_j^{(3)}(u_k) (N_i(u_{k+1}) - N_i(u_k)).$$

Substituting all this into eq. (2) we note a telescoping sum and arrive at an expression:

$$\Sigma_{i,j} = N_i'(u_m)N_j^{(2)}(u_m) - N_i'(u_0)N_j^{(2)}(u_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} N_j^{(3)}(u_k) (N_i(u_{k+1}) - N_i(u_k)).$$

In smooth.spline the integral in eq. (2) is computed differently: On each interval $[u_k, u_{k+1}]$, the function $N_i^{(2)}$ is a polynomial of degree at most one. In the code, this polynomial is parameterized as

$$N_i^{(2)}(t) = a_{i,k} + b_{i,k}(t - u_k), \quad u_k \le t \le u_{k+1}.$$

Expanding parentheses in $N_i^{(2)}N_j^{(2)}$ we see that

$$N_i^{(2)}(t)N_j^{(2)}(t) = a_{i,k}a_{j,k} + (a_{i,k}b_{j,k} + a_{j,k}b_{i,k})(t - u_k) + b_{i,k}b_{j,k}(t - u_k)^2.$$

With this expression and $\Delta_k = u_{k+1} - u_k$,

$$\int_{u_k}^{u_{k+1}} N_i^{(2)}(t) N_j^{(2)}(t) dt$$

$$= \int_0^{\Delta_k} a_{i,k} a_{j,k} + (a_{i,k} b_{j,k} + a_{j,k} b_{i,k}) s + b_{i,k} b_{j,k} s^2 ds$$

$$= a_{i,k} a_{j,k} \Delta_k + (a_{i,k} b_{j,k} + a_{j,k} b_{i,k}) \frac{1}{2} \Delta_k^2 + b_{i,k} b_{j,k} \frac{1}{3} \Delta_k^3.$$
(3)

The slope $b_{i,k} = N_i^{(3)}(t)$, but smooth.spline only use the second order derivatives. We see readily that $a_{i,k} = N_i^{(2)}(u_k)$. Let $\Delta y_{i,k} = N_i^{(2)}(u_{k+1}) - N_i^{(2)}(u_k)$. Then $b_{i,k} = \Delta y_{i,k}/\Delta_k$. With these expressions, many of the Δ_k 's can be removed from eq. (3):

$$\int_{u_k}^{u_{k+1}} N_i^{(2)}(t) N_j^{(2)}(t) dt$$

$$= a_{i,k} a_{j,k} \Delta_k + \left(a_{i,k} \frac{\Delta y_{j,k}}{\Delta_k} + a_{j,k} \frac{\Delta y_{i,k}}{\Delta_k} \right) \frac{1}{2} \Delta_k^2 + \frac{\Delta y_{i,k}}{\Delta_k} \frac{\Delta y_{j,k}}{\Delta_k} \frac{1}{3} \Delta_k^3$$

$$= a_{i,k} a_{j,k} \Delta_k + \left(a_{i,k} \Delta y_{j,k} + a_{j,k} \Delta y_{i,k} \right) \frac{1}{2} \Delta_k + \Delta y_{i,k} \Delta y_{j,k} \frac{1}{3} \Delta_k.$$

In *smooth.spline* the value of $\frac{1}{3}$ is hard-coded to be 0.333. Even in small examples this can result in entry-wise deviations of about 1%.

Speed-ups From the definition we readily see that Σ is symmetric. We know from [3, P2.1] that the support of N_i is $[u_i, u_{i+p+1}]$ and therefore

$$\operatorname{supp} N_i \cap \operatorname{supp} N_i = \emptyset \quad \text{if } |i - j| > p.$$

This implies that $\Sigma_{i,j} = 0$ if |i - j| > p and that the sum in eq. (2) goes from $\min\{i, j\}$ to $\min\{\max\{i, j\} + p + 1, m\}$.

2.2 Banded matrices

A banded matrix can be represented and stored efficiently [2, Section 1.2.5]. Furthermore, there are efficient algorithms for computing the Cholesky factorization of a banded matrix [2, Section 4.3].

In R, smooth.spline rely on the Fortran routines dpbfa and dpbsl from Linpack [1] to compute the Cholesky factorization and solving eq. (1), respectively.

References

- J. J. Dongarra et al. LINPACK Users' Guide. SIAM, 1979. DOI: 10.1137/1. 9781611971811.
- [2] Gene H. Golub and Charles F. van Loan. *Matrix Computations*. 4th ed. Johns Hopkins University Press, 2013.
- [3] Les Piegl and Wayne Tiller. *The NURBS Book*. 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1997. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-59223-2.