Grid Interpolation Layer

Robert Kirkby*

July 1, 2025

Abstract

Interpolation is a powerful technique for improving the accuracy-runtime (and accuracy-memory) trade-off when solving value function iteration problems. But standard interpolation routines are highly serial and thus not appropriate for the gpu. This brief pdf describes the 'grid interpolation layer' for the GPU that is implemented in VFI Toolkit.

Keywords: Value Function Iteration, Grid Interpolation Layer, VFI Toolkit.

^{*}Thanks to AAA. Thanks to Rāpoi at Victoria University of Wellington for the use of their computing facilities. Kirkby: Victoria University of Wellington. Please address all correspondence about this article to Robert Kirkby at <robertdkirkby@gmail.com>, robertdkirkby.com.

This document is going to largely assume you are already familiar with the concept of interpolation. The basic idea when used in value function iteration is that we have a grid on assets (the endogenous state) and we know the next period expected value function on that same grid for next period assets. If we just restrict our choice of next period assets to fall on the grid, we get the pure discretization that is the basic algorithm of VFI Toolkit. To make the solution more accurate (without changing the grid we are using) we would like to choose values of next period assets without restricting them to be on the grid. This requires evaluating two things at potential values of next period assets (so we can find the optimal value for next period assets), the return function and the expected next period value function. Evaluating the return function off the grid is trivial, it is a known function and so we can just evaluate this function. Evaluating the next period expected value function is trickier, we only know the value function at the points corresponding to the grid on next period assets, so for values not on this grid we must perform interpolation.

So we will use interpolation to evaluate the expected next period value function in terms of next period assets at points between the grid on next period assets. The question then is how to pick which values for next period assets to try, so as to find the optimal value for next period assets (given a value for todays assets). Standard CPU implementations involve an initial guess for next period assets, call it x^0 , and then update this guess repeatedly as x^1 , x^2 , x^3 , until convering to an optimal x^* ; this might be done using bisection search, golden search, Newton-Raphson, or many other optimization algorithms. This would be a bad idea on the GPU, as x^0 , x^1 ,..., x^m are highly serial (we have to finish calculating x^m before we can calculate x^{m+1}) with any of these approaches.

Before proceeding to introduce an approach to interpolation that is highly parallel and hence GPU friendly, let's do a bit of notation to set things up. Let our grid on assets be $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$, and use $i = 1, \ldots, n$ as to index these grid points. We will use this same grid on next period assets, but refer to it as $\{aprime_1, aprime_2, \ldots, aprime_n\}$.\(^1\) The grid is assumed to be stictly increasing, so $a_i < a_{i+1}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

For interpolation to work on the GPU, we need to use a more parallel/less serial approach. The idea implemented as 'grid interpolation layer' in VFI Toolkit is that we first solve the standard pure discretization problem as the 'first layer'; we already know $E[V(aprime_i)]$ for all the points on the next period assets grid. For a given value of todays assets, this will give us an optimal on-the-grid point for next period assets, call it $aprime_i$. We will then perform a 'second layer' by creating a finer grid around $aprime_i$; based on an assumption of monotonicity we know from the fact that $aprime_i$ was on-the-grid-optimal that the true optimal value will lie in the range $(aprime_{i-1}, aprime_{i+1})$. So our second layer will consider the points $aprime_{i-1}, a_{i-1,1}, a_{i-1,2}, \ldots, a_{i-1,n2}, aprime_i, a_{i-1,1}, a_{i-1,2}, \ldots, a_{i-1,n2}, aprime_i$; note that this is the points $aprime_{i-1}$ and $aprime_i$ with n2 points between them, and then the points $aprime_i$ (not duplicated) and $aprime_{i+1}$ with n2 points between them, for a total of 2 * n2 + 3 points in the 'second layer' grid on next period assets. We can

¹In theory we could have these being two distinct grids, and they could have different numbers of grid points. Here we assume they are the same grid as it simplifies the exposition, and as that is what the code does.

simply evaluate the return function at each of these points (by function evaluation) and use linear interpolation to evaluate the expected next period value function at each of these points, we can then find the optimal next period assets from among these 'second layer' grid points. To keep things simple, we will assume that the n2 second layer points are always evenly spaced between the first layer grid points.²

So to implement this, we solve the 'first layer' considering only values of aprime on the grid. Then in the second layer we consider only values of aprime that are on the second layer grid, which puts n2 points between the first layer grid points above and below the first layer grid point that was found to be optimal in the first layer. Note that the effect of all of this is that we are implicitly considering the 'fine' grid on aprime that is given by the Matlab code $aprime_grid = interp1(1 : n, a_grid, linspace(1, n, n + n2 * (n - 1)))$, which contains n2 * (n - 1) + n points (the n points on the first layer grid, plus n2 points between each of them). But by doing it in two layers we only actually end up considering n + (3 + 2 * n2) points, and so runtimes are fine. As an example, we might use 301 points in the first layer and 20 in the second, meaning we are implicitly considering a grid of 6301 points on next period assets, but only have to actually evaluate 344 points in two layers. Most important for the GPU, there are just two steps in serial, and everything else is done in parallel within these two layers.

0.1 Pseudocode

This is the pseudocode for solving a simple finite horizon value function problem. One endogenous state, no decision variable, one markov shock. Let $a_grid = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ be the grid on assets (for both today and tomorrow), and $z_grid = \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$ be the grid on the markov shock and let pi_z be the associated markov transition matrix.

Let Θ be all the parameters of the model, and let θ_j be the values of those parameters which are relevant in period j.³

```
for j count backward from N_j to 1 do

Get \theta_j from \Theta

for All values z do

Calculate EV_j(a',z) = E[V_{j+1}(a',z')]

For j = N_j this is zero

for All values of (a,z) do

First Layer

Solve for optimal aprime on grid:

g_j^1(a,z) = argmax_{i=1,...,n}F(a\_grid(i),a,z) + EV_j(a\_grid(i),z)

Note: a\_grid(i) is i-th grid point in grid on aprime, and we
```

²This is obviously not important to being able to do grid interpolation layer, just keeps things simple in the code. ³So if a parameter is independent of age, then it is just in θ_j as is. If a parameter depends on age, then only the value relevant to age j is in θ_j .

get $g_i^1(a,z)$ as an index for the optimal aprime, not a value.

Second Layer

Create second layer grid:

$$aL2_grid = linspace(g_{N_j}(a, z) - 1, g_{N_j}(a, z) + 1, 3 + 2 * n2)$$
 \triangleright Given (a,z)

Interpolate $EV_j(a, z)$, which exists on the first layer grid, onto the second layer grid. Solve for optimal *aprime* on second layer grid:

$$g_i^2(a, z) = argmax_{i=1,...,3+2*n2}F(aL2_grid(i), a, z)$$

end for

end for

end for

Turn the two layer optimal indexes $[g_1^1, g_2^1, ..., g_{N_j}^1]$ and $[g_1^2, g_2^2, ..., g_{N_j}^2]$ into some optimal policy $[g_1, g_2, ..., g_{N_j}]$. \triangleright Explained below how I do this **return** $[V_1, V_2, ..., V_{N_j}], [g_1, g_2, ..., g_{N_j}]$ \triangleright V are the max from second stage (g was argmax)

The notation $EV_j(a',z) = E[V_{j+1}(a',z')]$ is capturing that since z is a markov, the expected value $E[V_{j+1}(a',z')]$ can be expressed as a function of (a'z) (by taking expectations over z' using the transition matrix pi_-z which depends on z); this follows from z is markov.

One thing worth discussing is what form the optimal policy $[g_1, g_2, ..., g_{N_j}]$ should be stored in. I choose to store it as the 'index of the lower grid point of a_grid ' (which is a number from 1 to n-1) together with the index of the second layer (which is a number from 1 to n2+2). When using the policy to create the agent distribution we will want the 'upper and lower grid points of a_grid ' (the upper is just lower plus 1, so that is easy) and the 'weights' which can be trivially calculated from the second layer index (weight of lower grid point is 1-(second layer index-1)/n2; because we placed the second layer points evenly spaced). When using the policy to evaluate fins on the agent dist we want the aprime value, which we can trivially do by creating the 'fine' grid, $aprime_grid = interp1(1:1:n,a_grid,linspace(1,n,n+n2*(n-1)))$ and getting the index for the fine grid as 'fine grid index'=n* ('index of the lower grid point of a_grid '-1)+'second layer index', then simply use the index for the fine grid to get the grid value from the fine grid. Since we created the indexes while solving the value fn problem, the makes sense to store these, and only get the weights as needed for the agent dist.

One minor detail I have omitted above. The index we get solving the first layer is a 'midpoint' for the second layer. But if it is at the edges of the grid we cannot use it as a midpoint for the second layer. So just check if it is the first/last grid point, and if so then add/subtract one, respectively, before using it as midpoint for second layer.

⁴When we solve the first layer we got the 'midpoint', switching this to the 'lower grid point' just requires substracting one from it.

Decision Variables and Exogenous Shocks

The obvious approach is to apply this idea 'conditional on' any decision variables and exogenous shocks. This is exactly how VFI Toolkit handles it.

Note that when there is a decision variable this does rather change how the 'first layer' is done, relative to pure discretization. In pure discretization we would find the optimal (d*, aprime*), which is a single value for each of d and aprime (for each point in todays state-space). But now in the first layer we have to find the optimal aprime for each possible d, so aprime*(d), which is a single value of aprime for each possible value of d, and the in the second layer we create a different second layer grid for each possible value of d. The second layer is more standard as now we just want the optimal (d*, aprime*).

Linear interpolation and evenly spaced points

Until now we have not specified how the interpolation should be done. In VFI Toolkit linear interpolation is used.

Everything described above could easily be done without the second layer grids being evenly spaced, and by using any interpolation other than linear. The evenly spaced grids are intuitive and easy, and it is not obvious that there is an alternative choice that would meaningully improve performance (the choice of a good a_grid is likely much more important, as are the choice of n and n2). As for linear interpolation, you could easily use something else. I have not tested the alternatives: they will increase runtime and improve accuracy, but will the improved accuracy be worth the increased runtime? The code in VFI Toolkit does the interpolation using Matlab's 'interp1()', so if anyone does want to test them it is as trivial as changing $interp1(a_grid,EV,aprime_grid)$ to $interp1(a_grid,EV,aprime_grid,'spline')$, or similar (note, not all the interpolation methods in interp1() work with GPU).

VFI Toolkit

You turn on the use of grid interpolation layer by setting vfoptions.gridinterplayer = 1, and you must also say what the number of points to use in the second layer between the first layer grid points, n2 above, which you do by setting, e.g., vfoptions.ngridinterp = 20.

Normally the first dimension of 'Policy' (the policy function created by the value fn iteration commands) contains the indexes for each of the policies (so if you have one decision variable and one endogenous state, there would be two policies and the second would correspond to endogenous state). When you use the grid interpolation layer the endogenous state is now two indices, one for the 'lower grid point of first layer' and the second for the 'second layer index'; these are described

above.

Note that because the interpretation of Policy has changed, we need to tell all the other commands about it so they know what to do. Hence you also need to set simoptions.gridinterplayer = vfoptions.gridinterplayer and simoptions.gridinterp = vfoptions.gridinterp.

Combination with divide-and-conquer

Notice that the first layer is essentially just the pure discretization problem. So we can apply divide-and-conquer to the first layer just as we would to any other problem. This gives us an algorithm where we do two-layer grid interpolation and use divide-and-conquer on the first layer; the second layer just standard. This is what VFI Toolkit does if you set both vfoptions.divideandconquer = 1 and vfoptions.gridinterplayer = 1.

References

Robert Kirkby. VFI toolkit, v2. Zenodo, 2022. doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8136790.