

# Differential Geometry

---

**Lecturer:**

Mihalis Dafermos  
md384@cam.ac.uk

**Notes by:**

Robert Frederik Uy  
rfu20@cam.ac.uk

## Course schedule

Smooth manifolds in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , tangent spaces, smooth maps and the inverse function theorem. Examples, regular values, Sard's theorem (statement only). Transverse intersection of submanifolds. [4]

Manifolds with boundary, degree mod 2 of smooth maps, applications. [3]

Curves in 2-space and 3-space, arc-length, curvature, torsion. The isoperimetric inequality. [2]

Smooth surfaces in 3-space, first fundamental form, area. [1]

The Gauss map, second fundamental form, principal curvatures and Gaussian curvature. Theorema Egregium. [3]

Minimal surfaces. Normal variations and characterization of minimal surfaces as critical points of the area functional. Isothermal coordinates and relation with harmonic functions. The Weierstrass representation. Examples. [3]

Parallel transport and geodesics for surfaces in 3-space. Geodesic curvature. [2]

The exponential map and geodesic polar coordinates. The Gauss-Bonnet theorem (including the statement about classification of compact surfaces). [4]

Global theorems on curves: Fenchel's theorem (the total curvature of a simple closed curve is greater than or equal to  $2\pi$ ); the Fary-Milnor theorem (the total curvature of a simple knotted closed curve is greater than  $4\pi$ ). [2]

## Recommended books

J. Milnor *Topology from the differentiable viewpoint*. Princeton University Press, 1997.

M. Do Carmo *Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces*. Pearson Higher Education, 1976

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Differential topology</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Tangent spaces . . . . .	4
1.2	Regular values and Sard's theorem . . . . .	5
1.3	Transversality . . . . .	8
1.4	Manifolds with boundary . . . . .	8
1.5	Degree modulo 2 . . . . .	11
1.6	Abstract manifolds . . . . .	14
<b>2</b>	<b>Curves and surfaces</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	Curves in 3-space . . . . .	15
2.2	Isoperimetric inequality . . . . .	17
2.3	First fundamental form . . . . .	19
2.4	Second fundamental form . . . . .	21
2.5	Theorema egregium . . . . .	23

# 1 Differential topology

**Definition** Smooth map on an open subset

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is smooth if all partial derivatives to all orders exist and are continuous.

**Definition** Smooth map

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is smooth if, for each  $x \in X$ , there exists (i) an open neighbourhood  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of  $x$  and (ii) a smooth map  $\tilde{f}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $\tilde{f}|_{X \cap U} = f|_{X \cap U}$ .

**Definition** Diffeomorphism

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ . We say that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a diffeomorphism if  $f$  is a smooth bijection with a smooth inverse. If such a map exists, we say that  $X$  and  $Y$  are diffeomorphic.

**Exercise.** Give an example of a smooth bijection that is not a diffeomorphism.

**Definition**  $k$ -dimensional manifold

We say that  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a  $k$ -dimensional manifold if, for each  $x \in X$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $V \subset X$  of  $x$  such that  $V$  is diffeomorphic to an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ . A diffeomorphism  $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$  is called a local parametrisation of  $V$ , whereas its inverse  $\psi := \varphi^{-1}: V \rightarrow U$  is called a coordinate system or a chart on  $V$ .

**Remarks**

- By composing  $\varphi^{-1}$  with the projections  $\pi_i: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto x_i$ , we get smooth maps  $x_i := \pi_i \circ \varphi^{-1}$  which we call *coordinate functions*.
- WLOG, we can replace ‘diffeomorphic to an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ ’ with ‘diffeomorphic to an open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ’.
- It is easy to see that, if  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is both a  $k$ -dimensional manifold and a  $\tilde{k}$ -dimensional manifold, then  $k = \tilde{k}$ .

**Definition** Dimension

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a  $k$ -dimensional manifold. The dimension of  $X$  is  $k$ , and it is denoted by  $\dim X$ .

**Example** Some trivial examples

- $X = \mathbb{R}^N$
- $X = W \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  for any open subset  $W$
- $X = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

**Example**  $S^n$

$S^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}: \|x\|_2 = 1\}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional manifold. To see this, consider the projection  $\Pi_k: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{n+1})$ . It is easy to verify that maps of the form  $\psi_k^\pm = \Pi_k|_{S^n \cap \{\text{sign}(x_k) = \pm 1\}}$  are diffeomorphisms  $S^n \cap \{\text{sign}(x_k) = \pm 1\} \rightarrow B_1(0)$ .

**Remark.** It is easy to show that  $X$  is a 0-dimensional manifold iff  $X$  is a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

**Exercise.** Show that, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are manifolds, then  $X \times Y$  is also a manifold, with  $\dim X \times Y = \dim X + \dim Y$ .

**Definition** Submanifold

Let  $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be manifolds. If  $Y \subset X$ , then we say that  $Y$  is a submanifold of  $X$ . The codimension of  $Y$  in  $X$  is defined as

$$\text{codim}_X Y := \dim X - \dim Y$$

## 1.1 Tangent spaces

We first recall some basic facts from our youth. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  be open. The *differential* of a smooth map  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  at  $x \in U$  is defined by

$$df_x: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$h \mapsto \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + th) - f(x)}{t}$$

This is a linear map, with matrix representation

$$df_x = \left( \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x^j} \right)_{i,j}$$

Moreover, differentials satisfy the chain rule: given (i) two smooth maps  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$  and  $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k, V \subset \mathbb{R}^l$  open and (ii) a point  $x \in U$  with  $f(x) \in V$ , we have

$$d(g \circ f)_x = dg_{f(x)} \circ df_x$$

**Definition** Tangent space

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a  $k$ -dimensional manifold and  $x \in X$ . Choose a local parametrisation  $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$  around  $x$ . We then define the tangent space  $T_x X$  of  $X$  at  $x$  to be

$$T_x X := \text{im } d\varphi_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(\mathbb{R}^k)$$

Of course, before we can safely proceed, we must show that  $T_x X$  is well-defined:

**Lemma 1.1**

Let  $X$  be as above.  $T_x X$  is independent of  $\varphi$ , and  $\dim T_x X = k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$  be another local parametrisation near  $x$ . WLOG, by restricting if necessary, we may assume  $\tilde{V} = V$ . By the chain rule, we have

$$d\varphi_{\varphi^{-1}(x)} = d\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x)} \circ d(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \varphi)_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}$$

Since  $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \varphi$  is a diffeomorphism of open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the corresponding differential  $d(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \varphi)$  is a linear isomorphism. Thus,

$$d\varphi_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(\mathbb{R}^k) = d\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x)}(d(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \varphi)_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(\mathbb{R}^k)) = d\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x)}(\mathbb{R}^k)$$

as claimed.

Now, it remains to show that  $\dim T_x X = k$ . By definition, there exists an open set  $\hat{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and a smooth map  $\Psi: \hat{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  that extends the chart  $\psi := \varphi^{-1}$ . Note that  $\Psi \circ \varphi = \text{id}_U$ , so by the chain rule,

$$d\Psi_x \circ d\varphi_{\varphi^{-1}(x)} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^k}$$

Then,  $d\varphi_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}$  must be an isomorphism  $\mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow T_x X$ , and hence  $\dim T_x X = k$ . ■

**Example** Tangent spaces for our trivial examples

Returning to the trivial examples we previously gave, we now state the corresponding tangent space for an arbitrary point  $x$  on each manifold.

- $X = \mathbb{R}^N$ :  $T_x X = \mathbb{R}^N$
- $X = W \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  for any open subset  $W$ :  $T_x X = \mathbb{R}^N$
- $X = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ :  $T_x X = X$

**Example** *Tangent spaces for  $S^n$*

From any given chart, we can compute ( $\varphi$  and)  $d\varphi$ :

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^1} = (1, 0, \dots, 0, -x_1/x_{n+1})$$

and similarly for  $\partial \varphi / \partial x^i$ . Manifestly, each partial derivative is perpendicular to  $x$ . Thus,  $T_x X \subset x^\perp := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \langle v, x \rangle = 0\}$ . Since we know from the above lemma that  $\dim T_x X = n$ , we conclude that  $T_x X = x^\perp$ .

**Definition** *Differential map for manifolds*

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map between manifolds and  $x \in X$ . Choose a local parametrisation  $\varphi_1$  around  $x$  and  $\varphi_2$  around  $f(x) \in Y$ . We define the differential  $df_x: T_x X \rightarrow T_{f(x)} Y$  of  $f$  at  $x$  by

$$df_x = d\varphi_{2, \varphi_2^{-1}(f(x))} \circ d(\varphi_2^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi_1)_{\varphi_1^{-1}(x)} \circ (d\varphi_{1, \varphi_1^{-1}(x)})^{-1}$$

**Lemma 1.2**

$df_x$  is independent of the choice of local parametrisations.

*Proof.* Trivial exercise. ■

**Proposition 1.3** *Chain rule for manifolds*

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  be smooth maps between manifolds. For any  $x \in X$ ,

$$d(g \circ f)_x = dg_{f(x)} \circ df_x$$

*Proof.* Trivial exercise. ■

**Theorem 1.4** *Inverse function theorem*

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map between manifolds and  $x \in X$ . Suppose  $df_x: T_x X \rightarrow T_{f(x)} Y$  is an isomorphism. Then  $f$  is a local diffeomorphism, i.e., each  $x \in X$  has an open neighbourhood  $V \subset X$  such that  $f|_V: V \rightarrow f(V)$  is a diffeomorphism.

*Proof.* Since  $df_x$  is an isomorphism, it follows that  $d(\varphi_2^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi_1)_{\varphi_1^{-1}(x)}$  is also an isomorphism. We can then use the usual inverse function theorem to deduce the result. ■

## 1.2 Regular values and Sard's theorem

**Definition** *Critical and regular points*

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map between manifolds. We say that  $x \in X$  is a critical point of  $f$  if  $df_x: T_x X \rightarrow T_{f(x)} Y$  is not surjective. Otherwise, it is a regular point.

**Notation.** We denote by  $C$  the set of all critical points of  $f$ .

**Remark.** If  $\dim Y > \dim X$ , then  $C = X$  and the pre-image of any regular value is  $\emptyset$ .

**Definition** *Critical and regular values*

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map between manifolds. We say that  $y \in Y$  is a critical value of  $f$  if  $y = f(x)$  for some  $x \in C$ . Otherwise, we say that  $y$  is a regular value of  $f$ .

**Theorem 1.5** *Pre-image theorem*

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map between manifolds. Suppose  $y \in Y$  is a regular value of  $f$ . If  $f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $f^{-1}(y) \subset X$  is a submanifold of  $X$  with  $\text{codim}_X f^{-1}(y) = \dim Y$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $y$  is a regular value, we know that  $df_x: T_x X \rightarrow T_y Y$  is surjective. By the rank-nullity theorem,  $\dim \ker df_x = \text{codim}_X Y$ . Suppose  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , and pick a linear map  $T: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\text{codim}_X Y}$  such that  $\ker T \cap \ker df_x = \{0\}$ .<sup>1</sup>

Now, extend  $f$  to the map  $F: X \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{R}^{\text{codim}_X Y}$  given by  $z \mapsto (f(z), T(z))$ . Note that the differential of  $F$  at  $x$  is given by

$$dF_x = (df_x, dT_x) = (df_x, T)$$

Since  $\ker T \cap \ker df_x = \{0\}$ , we have  $\ker dF_x = \{0\}$ , i.e.,  $dF_x$  is injective. By the inverse function theorem for manifolds, there exists an open neighbourhood  $U \subset X$  of  $x$  such that  $F|_U: U \rightarrow V$  is a diffeomorphism to an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $(y, T(x))$ . Hence,  $F|_{f^{-1}(y) \cap U}$  is a local parametrisation of  $(\{y\} \times \mathbb{R}^{\text{codim}_X Y}) \cap V$ , proving that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a manifold of dimension  $\text{codim}_X Y$ . ■

**Exercise.** Show that, under the conditions of the pre-image theorem,  $T_x f^{-1}(y) = \ker df_x$ .

### Corollary 1.6

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map between manifolds of the same dimension, with  $X$  compact. If  $y$  is a regular value of  $f$ , then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is finite.

*Proof.* By the pre-image theorem,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a 0-dimensional manifold, i.e., a collection of points. Since  $X$  is compact, such a collection must be finite. ■

With just a bit more analysis and topology, we can actually say more than just finiteness:

### Theorem 1.7 Stack of records theorem

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map between manifolds of the same dimension, with  $X$  compact. Let  $y$  be a regular value of  $f$ , and list the elements of  $f^{-1}(y)$  as  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . There exists an open neighbourhood  $V \subset Y$  of  $y$  and a collection of open neighbourhoods  $W_i \subset X$  of each  $x_i$  such that

$$f^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n W_i$$

and each  $f|_{W_i}: W_i \rightarrow V$  is a diffeomorphism.

*Proof.* By the inverse function theorem for manifolds, we can pick open neighbourhoods  $W_i$  of  $x_i$  such that each  $f|_{W_i}$  is a diffeomorphism to an open neighbourhood of  $y$ . By shrinking neighbourhoods if necessary,  $W_i$  can be taken WLOG to be pairwise disjoint. Now, set

$$V = \left[ \bigcap_{i=1}^n f(W_i) \right] \setminus f \left( X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n W_i \right)$$

Note that  $f(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n W_i)$  is a compact set that does not contain  $y$ , so  $V$  is an open neighbourhood of  $y$ . Finally, note that  $f^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n W_i$  by construction. ■

Now, the pre-image theorem can be a powerful tool for generating manifolds or showing that a certain set is one.

### Application $S^n$ is a manifold

Consider the map  $f: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \mapsto x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2$ . Note that  $f^{-1}(1) = S^n$ , so to show that  $S^n$  is a manifold, it suffices to show that 1 is a regular point. Indeed, note that  $df_x = (2x_1, \dots, 2x_{n+1})$ , which is not surjective only if  $x = 0 \notin f^{-1}(1)$ .

<sup>1</sup>It is easy to constructively show using IB Linear Algebra that such a map exists. [Exercise!]

**Application** *Orthogonal group as a manifold*

Denote by  $M(n)$  [resp.  $S(n)$ ] the space of all [resp. symmetric]  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider the orthogonal group  $O(n) = \{A \in M(n) : AA^t = I\} \subset M(n) = \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ .

Let  $f: M(n) \rightarrow O(n)$  be the map  $A \mapsto AA^t$ . This is smooth since multiplication and addition in  $\mathbb{R}$  are smooth. Since  $O(n) = f^{-1}(I)$ , it suffices to show that  $I$  is a regular value of  $f$ . Note that

$$df_A(H) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(A + tH) - f(A)}{t} = AH^t + HA^t$$

Now, fix  $A \in M(n)$ . Given  $B \in S(n)$ , observe that

$$df_A\left(\frac{1}{2}CA\right) = \frac{1}{2}AA^tC^t + \frac{1}{2}CAA^t = \frac{1}{2}C + \frac{1}{2}C = C$$

completing the proof that  $I$  is a regular value of  $f$ .

**Remark.** Recall that, besides being a manifold as we've just shown,  $O(n)$  is also a group. In fact, the group operations  $(A, B) \mapsto AB$  and  $A \mapsto A^{-1} = A^t$  are smooth. Hence, we see that  $O(n)$  is a *Lie group*.

Now, the pre-image theorem raises the question: how easy is to find regular values? This leads us to Sard's theorem.

**Definition** *Measure-zero subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$*

We say that  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is of measure zero in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  if, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a countable family  $\{R_i\}$  of sets of the form  $R_i = \prod_{j=1}^N [x_i^{(j)}, y_i^{(j)}]$  such that  $S \subset \bigcup_i R_i$  and  $\sum_i \text{vol}(R_i) < \varepsilon$ .

**Definition** *Measure zero subsets of manifolds*

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a  $k$ -dimensional manifold. We say that  $A \subset X$  is of measure zero in  $X$  if, for all local parametrisations  $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$  of  $X$ ,  $\varepsilon^{-1}(V \cap A) \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  has measure zero in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

**Exercise.** Let  $U, \tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  be open and  $\psi: U \rightarrow \tilde{U}$  a diffeomorphism. Show that, if  $A \subset U$  is of measure zero in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , then  $\tilde{T} = \psi(T)$  is of measure zero in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

**Remarks**

- In view of the above exercise,  $A \subset X$  is of measure zero in  $X$  iff  $\varphi_i^{-1}(S \cap V_i)$  is of measure zero for all  $\varphi_i: U \rightarrow V$  in an atlas of local parametrisations.
- If  $\dim Y = 0$ , then  $Y$  is of measure zero. If  $\dim Y > 0$ , then every non-empty open subset  $V \subset Y$  is not of measure zero in  $Y$ .
- If  $S \subset X$  is of measure zero in  $X$ , then any  $\tilde{S} \subset S$  is also of measure zero in  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.8** *Sard's theorem*

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map between manifolds. Then the set of critical values of  $f$  is of measure zero in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Non-examinable — see Milnor's book if interested. ■

**Corollary 1.9**

The set of regular values of a smooth map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between manifolds is dense in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Any open set  $V \subset Y$  cannot lie entirely in  $f(C)$  since it has measure zero. ■

### 1.3 Transversality

**Definition** Transversal

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be smooth and  $Z \subset Y$  a submanifold of  $Y$ . We say that  $f$  is transversal to  $Z$  if, for each  $x \in f^{-1}(Z)$ ,

$$T_{f(x)}Y = T_{f(x)}Z + \text{im } df_x$$

We then write  $f \pitchfork Z$ .

**Remarks**

- If  $f(X) \cap Z = \emptyset$ , then  $f$  is transversal to  $Z$ .
- If  $Z = \{y\}$ , then  $f$  is transversal to  $Z$  iff  $y$  is a regular value of  $f$ . Thus, transversality is really a generalisation of the notion of regular values.

**Exercise.** Let  $X$  also be a submanifold of  $Y$  and  $\iota: X \hookrightarrow Y$  the inclusion map. Show that  $d\iota_x$  is just the inclusion map  $T_xX \hookrightarrow T_xY$  of the tangent spaces. Thus,  $\iota \pitchfork Z$  iff  $T_xX + T_xZ = T_xY$  for all  $x \in X \cap Z$ .

Now, we state a generalisation of the pre-image theorem for transversal maps:

**Theorem 1.10**

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be smooth map that is transversal to a submanifold  $Z \subset Y$  of  $Y$ . If  $f^{-1}(Z) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $f^{-1}(Z) \subset X$  is a submanifold of  $X$ , with  $\text{codim}_X f^{-1}(Z) = \text{codim}_Y Z$ .

**Remark.** If  $Z = \{y\}$ , then  $\text{codim}_Y Z = \dim Y$  as in the pre-image theorem.

*Sketch of proof (non-examinable).* Fix  $z \in Z$  with  $z = f(x)$  for some  $x \in X$ . Note that, for some open neighbourhood  $V \subset Y$  of  $z$ , there exists a smooth map  $h: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\text{codim}_Y Z}$  such that  $Z \cap V = h^{-1}(0)$  and  $dh_z$  is surjective. Locally around  $x \in X$ ,  $f^{-1}(Z) = (h \circ f)^{-1}(0)$ . Thus, by the pre-image theorem, it suffices to show that 0 is a regular value of  $h \circ f$ .

Now, since  $f \pitchfork Z$ , we have  $T_zY = T_zZ + \text{im } df_x$ . By the exercise after the pre-image theorem, we have  $dh_z = T_zZ$ . Moreover,  $f \pitchfork Z$  gives us

$$T_zY = T_zZ + \text{im } df_x = \ker dh_z + \text{im } df_x$$

This then implies that  $\text{im } dh_z = \text{im}(dh_z \circ df_x) = \text{im } d(h \circ f)_x$ . Since  $dh_z$  is surjective,  $d(h \circ f)_z$  is also surjective and hence 0 is a regular value of  $h \circ f$ . ■

**Exercise.** Construct the required map  $h$ .

**Remark.** Transversality is both a stable and generic property. It is stable in the sense that small perturbations of  $f$  remain transversal to a given submanifold. It is generic in the sense that any given smooth map may be deformed by arbitrarily small amounts into a map that is transversal to  $Z$ . See *Differential Topology* by Guillemin and Pollack for more details.

### 1.4 Manifolds with boundary

Consider the closed upper half plane

$$\mathbb{H}^k := \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_k \geq 0\}$$

We denote its boundary by  $\partial\mathbb{H}^k = \{x_k = 0\}$ .



**Definition** Manifold with boundary

We say that  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a (smooth)  $k$ -dimensional manifold with boundary if every  $x \in X$  has an open neighbourhood  $V \subset X$  that is diffeomorphic to an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{H}^k$ .

**Remark.** Note that a diffeomorphism  $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$  has a smooth extension defined on an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . This allows us to deduce as before that, if  $X$  is both  $k$ - and  $\tilde{k}$ -dimensional, then  $k = \tilde{k}$ .

**Definition** Dimension

The dimension of a  $k$ -dimensional manifold with boundary  $X$  is  $k$ .

**Definition** Boundary of a manifold with boundary

Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -dimensional manifold with boundary. Its boundary is defined to be

$$\partial X := \{x \in X : \exists \text{ open nhod } V \subset X \text{ and diffeomorphism } \psi: V \rightarrow \psi(V) \text{ s.t. } x \in \psi^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{H}^k)\}$$

**Remarks**

- In fact, if  $x \in \psi^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{H}^k)$  for some diffeomorphism  $\psi: V \rightarrow \psi(V) \subset \mathbb{H}^k$  on an open nhod  $V$  of  $x$ , then it is true for *all* diffeomorphisms on an open nhod of  $x$  to its image in  $\mathbb{H}^k$ .
- In the definition of manifold with boundary, we may take  $U = \mathbb{R}^k$  or  $U = \mathbb{H}^k$  WLOG.

**Exercise.** Prove the first remark.

**Definition** Interior of manifold with boundary

Let  $X$  be a manifold with boundary. We define its interior to be

$$\text{int } X := X \setminus \partial X$$

**Proposition 1.11**

Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -dimensional manifold with boundary. Then  $\text{int } X$  is a manifold of dimension  $k$  and  $\partial X$  is a manifold of dimension  $k - 1$ .

*Proof.*  $\text{int } X$  is a manifold of dimension  $k$  because we can always restrict our diffeomorphisms  $\varphi: V \rightarrow U$  such that  $U \cap \partial \mathbb{H}^k = \emptyset$ . See Example Sheet 1 for  $\partial X$ . ■

**Example**

- Trivially,  $\mathbb{H}^k$  is a  $k$ -dimensional manifold with boundary
- As we will prove later,  $B^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_2 \leq 1\}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional manifold with boundary. Note that  $\partial B^n = S^{n-1}$  is a manifold of dimension  $n - 1$ .
- $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  is not a manifold with boundary (see Example Sheet 1)
- If  $X$  is a manifold with boundary and  $Y$  is a manifold, then  $X \times Y$  is a manifold with boundary, with  $\partial(X \times Y) = (\partial X) \times Y$ . (Of course, the previous example is a counterexample to the case that  $Y$  is also a manifold with boundary.)

**Remark.** Note that  $\partial X$  and  $\text{int } X$  are not the same as the topological notions of ‘boundary’ and ‘interior’ as subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Indeed, if  $\dim X < N$ , the topological interior of  $X$  is empty, whereas  $\text{int } X$  is not.

**Definition** Tangent space

Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -dimensional manifold with boundary and  $x \in X$ . Let  $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$  be a diffeomorphism from an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{H}^k$  to an open neighbourhood  $V \subset X$  of  $x$ . Since  $\varphi$  is smooth, there exists

a smooth extension  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , with  $d\tilde{\varphi}_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}$  well-defined. We then define the tangent space to be

$$T_x X := \text{im } d\tilde{\varphi}_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}$$

**Remark.** As before,  $T_x X$  is well-defined.

**Exercise.** Show that, for every  $x \in \partial X$ ,  $T_x \partial X \subset T_x X$ .

**Lemma 1.12**

Let  $X$  be a manifold of dimension  $k$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be smooth, with  $0$  a regular value of  $f$ . Then  $f^{-1}([0, \infty)) \subset X$  is a  $k$ -dimensional manifold with boundary  $\partial(f^{-1}([0, \infty))) = f^{-1}(0)$ .

*Proof.* The subset  $f^{-1}((0, \infty)) \subset X$  is open in  $X$  and thus a submanifold of  $X$ . This means that we can restrict a local parametrisation to  $X$  such that its image lies in  $f^{-1}((0, \infty))$  and get the diffeomorphism we need.

It remains to consider  $x \in f^{-1}(0)$ . Extend  $f$  to a map  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$  as in the proof of the pre-image theorem (Theorem 1.5). We can then proceed as before using the inverse function theorem. ■

**Corollary 1.13**

$B^n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional manifold with boundary.

*Proof.* This is immediate from the above lemma. ■

**Theorem 1.14** Pre-image theorem for manifolds with boundary

Let  $X$  be a manifold with boundary and  $Y$  a manifold, with  $\dim X > \dim Y$ . Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is smooth and  $y \in Y$  is a regular value of both  $f$  and  $f|_{\partial X}$ . Then  $f^{-1}(y) \subset X$  is a manifold with boundary, with  $\text{codim}_X f^{-1}(y) = \dim Y$  and  $\partial(f^{-1}(y)) = f^{-1}(y) \cap \partial X$ .

*Proof.* WLOG, we may assume that  $X = \mathbb{H}^m$  and  $Y = \mathbb{R}^n$  since we are always working locally. The easy case  $x \in f^{-1}(y) \cap \text{int } \partial \mathbb{H}^m$  is left as an exercise. Now, suppose  $x \in f^{-1}(y) \cap \partial \mathbb{H}^m$ . Then there exists an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $f|_{U \cap \mathbb{H}^m}$  extends to a smooth map  $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $y$  is a regular value of  $f|_{U \cap \mathbb{H}^m}$ ,  $dF_x$  is surjective. Since the map  $z \mapsto dF_z$  (defined on  $U$ ) is smooth, we can shrink  $U$  such that  $dF_z$  is surjective for all  $z \in U$ .<sup>2</sup> Applying the pre-image theorem to  $F$ , we have that  $F^{-1}(y)$  is a submanifold of  $U$  with  $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}^m} F^{-1}(y) = \dim Y$ . Let  $\pi: F^{-1}(y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto x_m$ . Note that

$$(f|_{U \cap \mathbb{H}^m})^{-1}(y) = \pi^{-1}([0, \infty))$$

It then suffices to show that  $0$  is a regular value of  $\pi$  since, by the previous lemma, it would follow that  $\pi^{-1}([0, \infty))$  is a submanifold of  $F^{-1}(y)$  with boundary  $\pi^{-1}(0) = F^{-1}(y) \cap \partial \mathbb{H}^m = f^{-1}(y) \cap U \cap \partial \mathbb{H}^m$ .

Now, to show that, for any  $z \in \pi^{-1}(0)$ , the map  $d\pi_z: T_z F^{-1}(y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is surjective, it suffices to show that  $T_z F^{-1}(y) = \ker dF_z = \ker df_z \not\subset \ker d\pi_z = \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \times \{0\} = T_z \partial \mathbb{H}^m$ . Indeed, note that

$$df_z|_{T_z \partial \mathbb{H}^m} = d(f|_{\partial \mathbb{H}^m})_z$$

is surjective. If  $\ker df_z \subset T_z \partial \mathbb{H}^m$ , then  $\ker(df_z|_{T_z \partial \mathbb{H}^m}) = \ker(df_z)$ , but these have different dimensions by the rank-nullity theorem — a contradiction! ■

<sup>2</sup>Indeed, we know that some submatrix of  $dF_x$  has nonzero determinant. By continuity of  $\det$ , there is some open neighbourhood  $\tilde{U} \subset U$  of  $x$  on which the determinant of that submatrix remains nonzero and thus  $\dim \text{im } dF_z = n$  for all  $z \in \tilde{U}$ .

**Theorem 1.15**

Let  $X$  be a manifold with boundary and  $Y$  a manifold with  $Z \subset Y$  a submanifold. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be smooth such that  $f \pitchfork Z$  and  $f|_{\partial X} \pitchfork Z$ . Then  $f^{-1}(Z) \subset X$  is a manifold with boundary, with  $\text{codim}_X f^{-1}(Z) = \text{codim}_Y Z$  and  $\partial f^{-1}(Z) = f^{-1}(Z) \cap \partial X$ .

## 1.5 Degree modulo 2

**Definition** Smooth homotopy

Let  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  be smooth maps between manifolds. A smooth homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$  is a smooth map  $F: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  such that  $F|_{X \times \{0\}} = f$  and  $F|_{X \times \{1\}} = g$ . If such a map exists, we say that  $f$  and  $g$  are smoothly homotopic and write  $f_0 \simeq f_1$ .

**Exercise.** Show that  $\simeq$  is an equivalence relation (cf. Example Sheet 1 Q14).

**Definition** Smooth isotopy

Let  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  be diffeomorphisms. A smooth isotopy between  $f$  and  $g$  is a smooth homotopy  $F: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  for which  $F|_{X \times \{t\}}$  is a diffeomorphism for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . If such a map exists, we say that  $f$  and  $g$  are smoothly isotopic.

**Lemma 1.16** Homotopy lemma

Suppose  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  are smoothly homotopic, with  $X$  compact and  $\dim X = \dim Y$ . If  $y$  is a regular value of both  $f$  and  $g$ , then

$$\#f^{-1}(y) \equiv \#g^{-1}(y) \pmod{2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $F: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$ . We first suppose that  $y$  is also a regular value of  $F$ . By Theorem 1.14,  $F^{-1}(y)$  is a 1-dimensional manifold with boundary  $\partial F^{-1}(y) = F^{-1}(y) \cap (X \times \{0, 1\}) = f^{-1}(y) \times \{0\} \cup g^{-1}(y) \times \{1\}$ . Thus,  $\#\partial F^{-1}(y) = \#f^{-1}(y) + \#g^{-1}(y)$ . We then proceed by noting the following result:

► **Theorem.** Let  $Z$  be a compact 1-dimensional manifold with boundary. Then  $Z$  is diffeomorphic to a disjoint union of finitely many copies of  $[0, 1]$  and of  $S^1$ .

In particular, it follows from the above that  $\#\partial Z \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Hence, we conclude that  $\#f^{-1}(y) \equiv \#g^{-1}(y) \pmod{2}$ .

Now, suppose  $y$  is *not* a regular value of  $F$ . By Sard's theorem, the set of regular values of  $f$  (resp.  $g$ ) is dense in  $Y$ . Thus, every open set in  $Y$  contains a shared regular value of  $f, g, F$ . Then, by the stack of records theorem, we can pick an open set  $V \subset Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(V)$  and  $g^{-1}(V)$  are both disjoint union of open sets on which  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively, are diffeomorphisms to their images. In particular,  $z \mapsto \#f^{-1}(z)$  and  $z \mapsto \#g^{-1}(z)$  are both constant in  $V$ . Since our previous argument holds for the common regular value of  $f$  and  $g$  in  $V$ , it follows that  $\#f^{-1}(y) \equiv \#g^{-1}(y) \pmod{2}$ . ■

**Exercise.** Prove the theorem used in the proof of the homotopy lemma (Lemma 1.16).

**Exercise.** Prove the claim in the proof that  $f$  and  $g$  have a shared regular value in every open subset of  $Y$ .

**Lemma 1.17** Homogeneity lemma

Let  $X$  be a smooth connected manifold and  $y, z \in X$ . Then there exists a diffeomorphism  $h: X \rightarrow X$  smoothly isotopic to  $\text{id}_X$  and  $h(y) = z$ .

**Remark.** As suggested by the name, this lemma says that each point of  $X$  is essentially the same.

*Proof sketch.* It suffices to show that each  $y \in X$  has an open neighbourhood  $V \subset X$  such that, for every  $z \in V$ , there exists a diffeomorphism  $h$  with the required properties. Since  $X$  locally (i.e. in a neighbourhood of  $y$ ) is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , it suffices to show that there exists  $F: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  smoothly isotopic to  $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^k}$ , sends  $0 \mapsto z$ , and  $F|_{\mathbb{R}^k \setminus B_1(0)} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^k \setminus B_1(0)}$ . To do this, we pick a smooth vector field  $V: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $V(tz) = z$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $V = 0$  outside  $B_1(0)$ . By standard ODE theory, there exists a solution  $\gamma_x: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  to the ODE

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\gamma}_x(t) = V(\gamma(t)) \\ \gamma_x(0) = x \end{cases}$$

By defining the flow map  $\Phi_t: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k, x \mapsto \gamma_x(t)$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , we can then construct the smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \\ (x, t) &\mapsto \Phi_t(x) \end{aligned}$$

which we can restrict to  $\mathbb{R}^k \times [0, 1]$  to obtain the required map  $F$ . ■

### Theorem 1.18

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map between manifolds, with  $X$  compact,  $Y$  connected and  $\dim X = \dim Y$ . If  $y, z$  are regular values of  $f$ , then

$$\#f^{-1}(y) \equiv \#f^{-1}(z) \pmod{2}$$

*Proof.* By the homogeneity lemma (Lemma 1.17), there exists a smooth isotopy  $H: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  such that  $H|_{X \times \{0\}} = \text{id}$  and  $H|_{X \times \{1\}}$  is a diffeomorphism  $X \rightarrow X$  with  $h(y) = z$ . Observe that  $H \circ (f, \text{id}_{[0,1]})$  defines a smooth homotopy between  $f$  and  $h \circ f$ . Note also that  $z$  is a regular value of  $h \circ f$  since  $d(h \circ f) = dh \circ df$ ,  $dh$  is an isomorphism and  $y = h^{-1}(z)$  is a regular value of  $f$ . By the homotopy lemma (Lemma 1.16), we then have

$$\#f^{-1}(y) \equiv \#(h \circ f)^{-1}(z) \equiv \#f^{-1}(z) \pmod{2}$$

as required. ■

With the above theorem established, we can define the following:

### Definition Degree mod 2

For a smooth map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  from a compact manifold to a connected manifold of the same dimension, the degree mod 2 of  $f$  is defined to be

$$\deg_2(f) := \#f^{-1}(y) \pmod{2}$$

for any (equivalently, all) regular value of  $f$ .

**Remark.** The theorem, together with the homotopy lemma, implies that  $\deg_2(f)$  is a homotopy invariant.

### Lemma 1.19

Let  $X$  be a compact, connected manifold with  $\dim X \geq 1$ . The identity map  $\text{id}_X$  cannot be smoothly homotopic to a constant map on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose, on the contrary, that identity  $\text{id}_X$  and the constant map  $c_{x_0}: X \rightarrow X, x \mapsto x_0$  for some fixed  $x_0 \in X$ . Note that  $\deg_2(\text{id}_X) = 1$  since every  $x \in X$  is a regular value of  $\text{id}_X$  and has exactly one pre-image under  $\text{id}_X$ . On the other hand,  $\deg_2(c_{x_0}) = 0$  since we can simply pick  $x \in X \setminus \{x_0\}$  (this set is non-empty since  $\dim X \geq 1$  which is a regular value with no pre-image under  $c_{x_0}$ ). However, this contradicts the fact that degree mod 2 is a homotopy invariant. ■

**Corollary 1.20**

*There does not exist a smooth retraction of  $B^{n+1}$  onto its boundary, i.e. there is no smooth map  $f: B^{n+1} \rightarrow \partial B^{n+1}$  such that  $f|_{\partial B^{n+1}} = \text{id}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose, on the contrary, that there exists such a map  $f$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} F: S^n \times [0, 1] &\rightarrow S^n \\ (x, t) &\mapsto f(xt) \end{aligned}$$

This is smooth since  $f$  is smooth. Moreover, we have  $F|_{S^n \times \{0\}}$  is the constant map  $S^n \rightarrow S^n, x \mapsto f(0)$ , whereas  $F|_{S^n \times \{1\}} = \text{id}_{S^n}$ . However, this contradicts Lemma 1.19. ■

**Theorem 1.21** (Smooth) Brouwer's fixed point theorem

*Every smooth map  $f: B^n \rightarrow B^n$  has a fixed point.*

*Proof.* Suppose, on the contrary, that  $f: B^n \rightarrow B^n$  is a smooth map without a fixed point. We will obtain a contradiction by constructing a smooth retraction  $g: B^n \rightarrow \partial B^n$ .

For each  $x \in B^n$ ,  $f(x) \neq x$  so there exists a line joining  $f(x)$  to  $x$  in  $B^n$ . Extending this line to  $\partial B^n$ , we define  $g(x)$  to be the unique intersection point. It is easy to show that  $g$  as we've constructed it is well-defined and smooth. But this contradicts Corollary 1.20! ■

**Corollary 1.22** (Topological) Brouwer fixed point theorem

*Every continuous map  $f: B^n \rightarrow B^n$  has a fixed point.*

*Proof.* Suppose not. Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - x| \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in B^n$ . By convolutions (cf. II Analysis of Functions), we can show that  $C^\infty(B^n, B^n)$  is dense in  $C(B^n, B^n)$ . We can then obtain a contradiction to the smooth Brouwer fixed point theorem. ■

Now, we consider a generalisation of degree mod 2 for regular values to submanifolds via transversality. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map, with  $X$  compact. Suppose  $Z \subset Y$  is a closed submanifold of  $Y$ , with  $f \pitchfork Z$  and  $\dim X + \dim Z = \dim Y$ . Note that  $f^{-1}(Z)$  is a closed 0-dimensional submanifold of  $X$ .

**Definition** Intersection number modulo 2

*We define the intersection number mod 2  $I_2(f, Z)$  of  $f$  with  $Z$  as*

$$I_2(f, Z) := \#f^{-1}(Z) \bmod 2$$

**Proposition 1.23**

*If  $g$  is another such map  $X \rightarrow Y$  and is smoothly homotopic to  $f$ , then  $I_2(f, Z) = I_2(g, Z)$ .*

**Remarks**

- Recall that we noted the genericity of transversality. This property allows us to define intersection number mod 2 even for maps  $f$  that are not transversal to  $Z$ . In that case, we define it to be  $I_2(\tilde{f}, Z)$  where  $\tilde{f}$  is a small perturbation of  $f$  with  $\tilde{f} \pitchfork Z$ .

- For  $X \subset Y$ , we can define the intersection number mod 2 of  $X$  and  $Z$  in  $Y$  via  $I_2(X, Z) = I_2(\iota, Z)$  where  $\iota: X \rightarrow Y$  is the inclusion map.
- If  $X \subset Y$  and  $\dim X = \frac{1}{2} \dim Y$ , we can define the self-intersection number mod 2 of  $X$ .

## 1.6 Abstract manifolds

Now, we will briefly discuss the more abstract notion of manifolds instead of the manifolds embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  that we've been dealing with.

**Definition** Abstract smooth  $k$ -dimensional manifold

An abstract smooth  $k$ -dimensional manifold is a second-countable, Hausdorff topological space  $X$  together with a collection  $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  of maps  $\varphi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow X$  (where  $U_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is open) such that

- (i)  $\varphi_\alpha$  is a homeomorphism onto its image
- (ii)  $X = \bigcup_\alpha V_\alpha$  where  $V_\alpha := \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha)$
- (iii)  $\varphi_\beta^{-1} \circ \varphi_\alpha \Big|_{U_\alpha \cap \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap V_\beta)}$  is a diffeomorphism  $U_\alpha \cap \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap V_\beta) \rightarrow U_\beta \cap \varphi_\beta^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap V_\beta)$
- (iv)  $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is maximal, i.e. if  $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow X$  is such that  $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I} \cup \{\tilde{\varphi}\}$  (i) to (iii), then  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in I$

The collection  $\{\psi_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha^{-1}: V_\alpha \rightarrow U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is called a smooth atlas.

**Remark.** By changing the regularity conditions on the transition maps, we can define abstract  $C^k$  manifolds and  $C^\omega$  manifolds.

**Theorem 1.24** Whitney's embedding theorem

Let  $X$  be an abstract smooth  $k$ -dimensional manifold. Then there exists a smooth embedding (diffeomorphism to its image)  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$ .

## 2 Curves and surfaces

### 2.1 Curves in 3-space

**Definition** Curve

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a manifold. Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  an interval. A curve in  $X$  is a smooth map  $\alpha: I \rightarrow X$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is regular if  $\alpha$  is an immersion, i.e.  $d\alpha_t(1) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in I$ .

**Notation.** We will sometimes write  $\dot{\alpha}(t)$  to mean  $d\alpha_t(1)$ .

In what follows, we will for the most part be discussing curves in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Definition** Arc length

The arc length of a curve  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  from a point  $t_0 \in I$  to any  $t \in I$  is

$$s(t) := \int_{t_0}^t |\dot{\alpha}(\tau)| d\tau$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Euclidean norm. If the interval  $I$  has endpoints  $a, b$  with  $a < b$ , then the length of  $\alpha$  is

$$\text{length}(\alpha) := \int_a^b |\dot{\alpha}(\tau)| d\tau$$

**Remarks**

- Arc length is a *Euclidean invariant*. Indeed, consider a general Euclidean transformation  $T$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the form  $v \mapsto Rv + b$ , where  $R \in O(n)$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Applying  $T$  to the curve  $\alpha$ , we get the new curve  $\tilde{\alpha}(s) = (R \circ \alpha)(s) + b$ . Then the arc length parameter  $s$  for  $\alpha$  is also an arc length parameter for  $\tilde{\alpha}$  since  $|\dot{\tilde{\alpha}}(s)| = |R\dot{\alpha}(s)| = |\dot{\alpha}(s)| = 1$ .
- Note that we can think of  $s$  as a smooth map  $I \rightarrow \tilde{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . For a regular curve,  $\tau \mapsto s(\tau)$  is a strictly increasing function and thus has a smooth inverse  $\tau = \tau(s)$ . We can then reparametrise  $\alpha$  by  $s$ :  $s \mapsto \alpha(\tau(s))$ . Thus, we may always assume WLOG that regular curves are parametrised by arc length.

In what follows,  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is a regular curve in 3-space that is parametrised by arc length.

**Definition** Tangent vector

The tangent vector to the curve  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  at  $s \in I$  is  $t(s) := \dot{\alpha}(s)$ .

**Remark.** We can think of  $t$  as a map  $I \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ .

**Definition** Curvature and normal vector

The curvature of  $\alpha$  at  $s \in I$  is

$$\kappa(s) := |\ddot{\alpha}(s)|$$

If  $\kappa(s) \neq 0$ , we define the normal vector at  $s$  to be

$$n(s) := \frac{1}{\kappa(s)} \ddot{\alpha}(s)$$

**Definition** Binormal vector

The binormal vector to the curve  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  at  $s \in I$  is

$$b(s) := t(s) \times n(s)$$

**Remark.** For any  $s \in I$ ,  $\{t(s), n(s), b(s)\}$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which is called the *Frenet frame*.

Now, we compute

$$\dot{b}(s) = \dot{t}(s) \times n(s) + t(s) \times \dot{n}(s) = t(s) \times \dot{n}(s)$$

Differentiating the relation  $b(s) \cdot b(s) = 1$ , we get  $\dot{b}(s) \cdot b(s) = 0$ . Putting everything together, we have that  $\dot{b}$  is orthogonal to both  $t(s)$  and  $b(s)$ , so it must be parallel to  $n(s)$ . We may thus write

$$\dot{b}(s) = \tau(s)n(s)$$

**Definition** Torsion

The quantity  $\tau(s)$  defined above is called the *torsion* of  $\alpha$  at  $s \in I$ .

**Remark.** Curvature and torsion are proper Euclidean invariants. Fix  $R \in SO(3)$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be regular curves parametrised by arc length  $s$ , related by  $\tilde{\alpha} = R \circ \alpha + b$ . Observe that  $\tilde{\kappa}(s) = |\ddot{\tilde{\alpha}}(s)| = |R\ddot{\alpha}(s)| = |\ddot{\alpha}(s)| = \kappa(s)$ , so curvature is indeed a proper Euclidean invariant. Note also that  $\tilde{t}(s) = Rt(s)$  and

$$\tilde{n}(s) = \frac{\ddot{\tilde{\alpha}}(s)}{\tilde{\kappa}(s)} = \frac{R\ddot{\alpha}(s)}{\kappa(s)} = Rn(s)$$

Since  $R \in SO(3)$ , we have that

$$\tilde{b}(s) = \tilde{t}(s) \times \tilde{n}(s) = (Rt(s)) \times (Rn(s)) = R(t(s) \times n(s)) = Rb(s)$$

so  $\tilde{\tau}(s)(Rn(s)) = \tilde{\tau}(s)\tilde{n}(s) = R(\tau(s)n(s)) = \tau(s)(Rn(s))$  and hence  $\tilde{\tau} \equiv \tau$ .

**Proposition 2.1** Frenet equations

The vectors  $t, n, b$  satisfy the system of ODEs

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{t} \\ \dot{n} \\ \dot{b} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa & 0 \\ -\kappa & 0 & -\tau \\ 0 & \tau & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ n \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

**Remark.** Remark about antisymmetry of matrix to follow

**Theorem 2.2** Fundamental theorem of curves in  $\mathbb{R}^3$

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. If  $\kappa: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $\tau: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are smooth, then there exists a regular curve  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  parametrised by arc length such that  $\kappa(s)$  and  $\tau(s)$  are the curvature and torsion at  $s$ , respectively. Moreover, if  $\tilde{\alpha}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is another regular curve parametrised by arc length such that  $\tilde{\kappa}(s) = \kappa(s)$  and  $\tilde{\tau}(s) = \tau(s)$  for every  $s \in I$ , then  $\tilde{\alpha} = R \circ \alpha + a$  for some  $R \in SO(3)$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

*Proof.* Pick  $t_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  orthonormal vectors. Define  $b_0 = t_0 \times n_0$ . Fix  $s_0 \in I$ . By standard ODE theory, there exists a unique solution  $t, n, b: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  to the Frenet equations (Proposition 2.1). We then define  $\alpha$  by

$$\alpha(s) = \int_{s_0}^s t(\tilde{s}) d\tilde{s}$$

Now, let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be another such curve as in the theorem statement. Since  $\{t, n, b\}$  and  $\{\tilde{t}, \tilde{n}, \tilde{b}\}$  are both orthonormal bases for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we can pick  $R \in SO(3)$  that sends  $(t, n, b) \mapsto (\tilde{t}, \tilde{n}, \tilde{b})$ . Define  $a := \tilde{\alpha}(s_0) - \alpha(s_0)$ . Let  $\tilde{\tilde{\alpha}}(s) := (R \circ \alpha)(s) + a$ , with  $(\tilde{\tilde{t}}, \tilde{\tilde{n}}, \tilde{\tilde{b}})(s_0) = (\tilde{t}, \tilde{n}, \tilde{b})(s_0)$ . By uniqueness



of solution to the Frenet equations,  $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{n}, \tilde{b}) = (\tilde{t}, \tilde{n}, \tilde{b})$  on  $I$ . We then have that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(s) = \tilde{\alpha}(s_0) + \int_{s_0}^s \tilde{t}(\hat{s}) d\hat{s} = \tilde{\alpha}(s_0) + \int_{s_0}^s \tilde{t}(\hat{s}) d\hat{s} = \tilde{\alpha}(s)$$

as required. ■

**Lemma 2.3** Plane curves

A regular curve  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\kappa(s) \neq 0$  for all  $s \in I$ . Then  $\alpha$  lies in a plane iff  $\tau(s) = 0$  for all  $s \in I$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $\tau \equiv 0$ , then  $\dot{b} = 0$ , so  $(t \times n)(s) = (t \times n)(s_0)$  for all  $s \in I$ . Let  $\Pi$  be the plane spanned by  $\{t(s), n(s)\}$ . Then we have that

$$\alpha(s) = \alpha(s_0) + \int_{s_0}^s t(\hat{s}) d\hat{s} \in \alpha(s_0) + \Pi$$

( $\Rightarrow$ ) WLOG set  $\alpha(s_0) = 0$ , so  $\alpha(s) \in \Pi$  for some plane  $\Pi$  through the origin. By differentiation, we see that  $\dot{\alpha}, \ddot{\alpha} \in \Pi$ . Then  $t \times n$  is the unique normal to  $\Pi$ , so  $\dot{b} \equiv 0$ . Hence,  $\tau \equiv 0$ . ■

**Remark.** For plane curves, we can assign a sign to the curvature  $\kappa$ . In particular, we can define the (signed) curvature  $\kappa(s)$  via

$$\dot{t}(s) = \kappa(s)n(s)$$

Note that  $|\kappa(s)|$  coincides with our previous definition of curvature. The sign essentially arises from demanding that the basis  $\{t(s), n(s)\}$  has the same orientation as  $\{e_1, e_2\}$ .

## 2.2 Isoperimetric inequality

In this subsection, let  $I = [a, b]$  be a closed interval.

**Definition** Simple, closed, regular curve

We say that a regular curve  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is simple, closed if  $\alpha^{(n)}(a) = \alpha^{(n)}(b)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**Remark.** Equivalently, we can view  $\alpha$  as an injective map  $\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Lemma 2.4** Jordan curve theorem

Let  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a simple, closed, regular curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then there exists an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $U \cup \alpha(I)$  is a compact manifold with boundary.

**Lemma 2.5** Wirtinger's inequality

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a smooth periodic function with period  $L$  and  $\int_0^L f(x) dx = 0$ . Then

$$\int_0^L |f(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{L^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^L |f'(x)|^2 dx$$

with equality iff  $f = ae^{2\pi ix/L} + be^{-2\pi ix/L}$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is a smooth periodic function, we can write it as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e^{2\pi inx/L}$$

Since  $\int_0^L f(x) dx = 0$ , then we must have  $a_0 = 0$ . Since  $f$  is smooth, we can differentiate term by term to get

$$f'(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2\pi in}{L} a_n e^{2\pi inx/L}$$

By Plancherel,

$$\frac{1}{L} \int_0^L |f(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2, \quad \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L |f'(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{4\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} |a_n|^2$$

It then follows that

$$\int_0^L |f'(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{4\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} |a_n|^2 \geq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{4\pi^2}{L^2} |a_n|^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{L^2} \int_0^L |f(x)|^2 dx$$

as required. ■

**Remark.** The regularity conditions in the above statement are overkill. In fact, the inequality even holds in Sobolev spaces.

**Theorem 2.6** Isoperimetric inequality

Let  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a simple, closed, regular curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , with  $\Omega$  the domain bounded by  $\alpha$  given by the Jordan curve theorem. Then

$$\text{length}(\alpha)^2 \geq 4\pi \text{area}(\Omega)$$

with equality iff  $\Omega$  is a disc.

*Proof.* WLOG, we may assume that  $\alpha$  is parametrised by arc length,  $\alpha: [0, \ell] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ , where  $\ell = \text{length}(\alpha)$ . Write  $\alpha(s) = (x(s), y(s))$ . Define the vector field  $V: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, (x, y) \mapsto (x, y)$ . By the divergence theorem, we have

$$\int_0^\ell \langle V, n \rangle ds = \int_\Omega \text{div } V \, dxdy = 2 \text{area}(\Omega)$$

It then follows that

$$2 \text{area}(\Omega) = \left| \int_0^\ell \langle V, n \rangle ds \right| \leq \int_0^\ell |\langle V, n \rangle| ds \leq \int_0^\ell |V| ds = \int_0^\ell \sqrt{x(s)^2 + y(s)^2} ds$$

By translation, we may assume WLOG that

$$\int_0^\ell x(s) ds = \int_0^\ell y(s) ds = 0$$

This is possible by the intermediate value theorem. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Wirtinger's inequality (Lemma 2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \text{area}(\Omega) &\leq \int_0^\ell \sqrt{x(s)^2 + y(s)^2} ds \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^\ell x(s)^2 + y(s)^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^\ell 1 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\ell} \left( \int_0^\ell x(s)^2 ds + \int_0^\ell y(s)^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\ell} \left( \frac{\ell^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\ell \dot{x}(s)^2 + \dot{y}(s)^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{\ell^2}{2\pi} \end{aligned}$$

Upon rearranging, we get  $\text{length}(\alpha)^2 \geq 4\pi \text{area}(\Omega)$ . Finally, note that equality in Cauchy-Schwarz is achieved above iff  $x(s)^2 + y(s)^2 = \text{const}$ , i.e.  $\Omega$  is a disc. ■

### 2.3 First fundamental form

#### Definition Surface

A surface is a 2-dimensional manifold  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

In what follows, we will for the most part be discussing surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a surface and  $I = [a, b]$  with  $a < b$ . Let  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a regular curve with  $\alpha(I) \subset S$ . As before, the length of  $\alpha$  is

$$\text{length}(\alpha) = \int_a^b \langle \dot{\alpha}(\lambda), \dot{\alpha}(\lambda) \rangle^{1/2} d\lambda$$

where  $\dot{\alpha}(\lambda) = d\alpha_\lambda(1) \in T_{\alpha(\lambda)}S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Of course, for the surface  $S$ , we do not really need the full inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , leading us to the following definition.

#### Definition First fundamental form

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a surface. The first fundamental form of  $S$  at  $p \in S$  is the map  $I_p: T_pS \times T_pS \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by the restriction  $I_p = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{T_pS \times T_pS}$ .

**Remark.** For any Euclidean transformation  $\Phi$  that sends  $x \mapsto Rx + b$  for some  $R \in O(3)$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we can define  $\phi = \Phi|_S: S \rightarrow \tilde{S} := \Phi(S)$ . Let  $I_p$  be the FFF of  $S$  at  $p \in S$  and  $\tilde{I}_{\phi(p)}$  the FFF of  $\tilde{S}$  at  $\phi(p)$ . Observe that  $I_p(v, w) = \tilde{I}_{\phi(p)}(d\phi_p(v), d\phi_p(w))$  for all  $v, w \in T_pS$ : indeed, this follows from  $d\phi_p = d\Phi_p|_{T_pS} = R|_{T_pS}$  and  $\langle Rv, Rw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ .

#### Definition Isometry

Let  $S, \tilde{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be surfaces. We say that a diffeomorphism  $\phi: S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  of surfaces is an isometry if, for every  $p \in S$  and  $v, w \in T_pS$ ,

$$I_p(v, w) = \tilde{I}_{\phi(p)}(d\phi_p(v), d\phi_p(w))$$

**Remark.** By the previous remark, the restriction of a Euclidean transformation is an isometry.

#### Lemma 2.7

If  $\phi: S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  is a diffeomorphism of surfaces, then

$$\text{length}_{\tilde{S}}(\phi \circ \alpha) = \text{length}_S(\alpha)$$

for any curve  $\alpha: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\alpha([a, b]) \subset S$ .

*Proof.* Trivial computation. ■

**Exercise.** Show that the converse holds as well.

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a manifold. A (smooth) Riemannian metric on  $X$  is a collection  $(g_p)_{p \in X}$  of maps  $g_p: T_pX \times T_pX \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

- (i) for every  $v, w \in T_pX$ ,  $g_p(v, w) = g_p(w, v)$
  - (ii) for every  $v_1, v_2, w \in T_pX$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g_p(\lambda v_1 + \mu v_2, w) = \lambda g_p(v_1, w) + \mu g_p(v_2, w)$
  - (iii) for every  $v \in T_pX$ ,  $g_p(v, v) \geq 0$ , with equality iff  $v = 0$
- and  $p \mapsto g_p$  is smooth.

This allows us to define length of a curve  $\alpha: [a, b] \rightarrow (X, g)$  by

$$\text{length}_g(\alpha) = \int_a^b \sqrt{g_{\alpha(\lambda)}(\dot{\alpha}(\lambda), \dot{\alpha}(\lambda))} d\lambda$$

We then define an isometry to be a diffeomorphism  $\phi: X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  that satisfies

$$g_p(v, w) = \tilde{g}_{\phi(p)}(d\phi_p(v), d\phi_p(w))$$

for all  $v, w \in T_p X$ . Again, a diffeomorphism is an isometry iff it preserves the lengths of all curves.

Now, let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a surface, with  $\varphi: U \rightarrow W$  a local parametrisation from  $U \subset \mathbb{R}_{(u,v)}^2$  to an open set  $W \subset S$ . Note that  $I_{\varphi(u,v)}$  is completely determined by

$$E(u, v) := I_{\varphi(u,v)}(\varphi_u, \varphi_u) = \langle \varphi_u, \varphi_u \rangle$$

$$F(u, v) := I_{\varphi(u,v)}(\varphi_u, \varphi_v) = \langle \varphi_u, \varphi_v \rangle$$

$$G(u, v) := I_{\varphi(u,v)}(\varphi_v, \varphi_v) = \langle \varphi_v, \varphi_v \rangle$$

In terms of  $E, F, G$ , we can write the length of  $\alpha$  (viewed as  $\alpha(\lambda) = \varphi(u(\lambda), v(\lambda))$ ) as

$$\text{length}(\alpha) = \int_a^b \sqrt{E\dot{u}^2 + 2F\dot{u}\dot{v} + G\dot{v}^2} d\lambda$$

### Remarks

- If  $\phi: S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  is an isometry and  $\varphi$  is a local parametrisation of  $S$ , then  $\phi \circ \varphi$  is a local parametrisation of  $\tilde{S}$ . Writing  $E = \langle \varphi_u, \varphi_u \rangle$  and  $\tilde{E} = \langle (\phi \circ \varphi)_u, (\phi \circ \varphi)_u \rangle$  (and similarly for  $F$  and  $G$ ), it can be shown that

$$E = \tilde{E}, \quad F = \tilde{F}, \quad G = \tilde{G}$$

- Under a different local parametrisation  $\tilde{\varphi}$ , we get different functions  $\tilde{E}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}), \tilde{F}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}), \tilde{G}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ . However, it is easy to verify that the expressions for length are equivalent under the two parametrisations.

### Definition Area

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a surface and  $\varphi: U \rightarrow W$  be a local parametrisation of  $S$ . Let  $\Omega \subset S$  be an open subset such that  $\Omega \subset W$ . The area of  $\Omega$  is defined to be

$$\text{area}(\Omega) := \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\Omega)} |\varphi_u \times \varphi_v| du dv$$

**Exercise.** Show that the area of  $\Omega$  is well-defined.

### Lemma 2.8

The area of open subset  $\Omega \subset S$  can be expressed as

$$\text{area}(\Omega) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\Omega)} \sqrt{EG - F^2} du dv$$

**Exercise.** Prove the above lemma.

### Example Torus

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi] &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \\ (u, v) &\mapsto ((a + r \cos u) \cos v, (a + r \cos u) \sin v, r \sin u) \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\text{im } \varphi$  defines a torus  $T^2$ , with  $\varphi|_{(0,2\pi)^2}$  a local parametrisation away from a negligible subset. It is easy to compute  $E = r^2$ ,  $F = 0$ ,  $G = (r \cos u + a)^2$  and thus  $\text{area}(T^2) = 4\pi^2 ra$ .

## 2.4 Second fundamental form

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a surface and  $\varphi: U \rightarrow W$  a local parametrisation of  $S$ . Note that

$$\frac{\varphi_u \times \varphi_v}{|\varphi_u \times \varphi_v|}$$

defines a unit vector that is normal to  $T_{\varphi(u,v)}S$ .

### Definition Gauss map

A Gauss map of  $S$  is a smooth map  $N: S \rightarrow S^2$  such that  $N(p) \perp T_p S$ .

**Remark.** If  $N$  is a Gauss map of  $S$  and  $\varphi: U \rightarrow W$  a local parametrisation of  $S$ , with  $U$  connected, then

$$N|_W(\varphi(u, v)) = \pm \frac{\varphi_u \times \varphi_v}{|\varphi_u \times \varphi_v|}(u, v)$$

### Definition Orientable and oriented surface

If there exists a Gauss map on  $S$ , then we say that  $S$  is orientable. In that case, if we equip  $S$  with a choice of Gauss map  $N$ , then we say that  $(S, N)$  is oriented.

### Example

- $S^2$  is an orientable surface since  $N = \pm \text{id}$  both define Gauss maps.
- The Möbius strip is not orientable.

Now, let  $(S, N)$  be an oriented surface. Note that the differential of  $N$  at  $p \in S$  is a map  $T_p S \rightarrow T_{N(p)} S^2$ . But  $T_{N(p)} S^2 = T_p S$  since both are planes with normal  $N(p)$ . Thus,  $dN_p$  is a linear map  $T_p S \rightarrow T_p S$ .

### Proposition 2.9

$dN_p$  is self-adjoint with respect to  $I_p$ , i.e. for every  $v, w \in T_p S$ ,

$$I_p(dN_p(w_1), w_2) = I_p(w_1, dN_p(w_2))$$

*Proof.* By linearity, it suffices to show that it holds for  $w_1, w_2 \in \{\varphi_u, \varphi_v\}$ . The result is trivial if  $w_1 = w_2$ , so in fact we just have to show that  $I_p(dN_p(\varphi_u), \varphi_v) = I_p(\varphi_u, dN_p(\varphi_v))$ . To see this, observe that  $\langle N, \varphi_u \rangle = 0$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N_v, \varphi_u \rangle + \langle N, \varphi_{uv} \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle N_u, \varphi_v \rangle + \langle N, \varphi_{uv} \rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $I_p(dN_p(\varphi_u), \varphi_v) = \langle N_u, \varphi_v \rangle = \langle N_v, \varphi_u \rangle = I_p(\varphi_u, dN_p(\varphi_v))$ . ■

### Definition Second fundamental form

Let  $(S, N)$  be an oriented surface. The second fundamental form of  $(S, N)$  at  $p \in S$  is the map  $\Pi_p: T_p S \times T_p S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $(v, w) \mapsto -I_p(dN_p(v), w)$ .

### Remarks

- By self-adjointness of  $dN_p$  (Proposition 2.9),  $\Pi_p$  is symmetric. Moreover, it is bilinear. The associated quadratic form is written as  $\Pi_p(v) := \Pi_p(v, v)$ .

- $\Pi_p$  is a proper Euclidean invariant: for any proper Euclidean transformation  $x \mapsto Rx + b$  for  $R \in SO(3)$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we can define the set  $\tilde{S} := R(S) + b$ . It is easy to see that  $\tilde{S}$  is a surface with orientation  $\tilde{N}$  defined by  $\tilde{N} \circ \psi = R \circ N$ . Let  $\phi: S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  be the restriction of the proper Euclidean transformation to  $S$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Pi}_{\phi(p)}(d\phi_p(v), d\phi_p(w)) &= -\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\phi(p)}(d\tilde{N}_{\phi(p)}(d\phi_p(v)), d\phi_p(w)) \\ &= -\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\phi(p)}(d\phi_p(dN_p(v)), d\phi_p(w)) \\ &= -\mathbf{I}_p(dN_p(v), w) \\ &= \Pi_p(v, w) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Euclidean invariance of  $\mathbf{I}_p$  in going to the penultimate line.

As before, let  $(S, N)$  be an oriented surface and  $p \in S$ . We define

$$\kappa_1(p) := \max_{\substack{v \in T_p S \\ \mathbf{I}_p(v, v) = 1}} \Pi_p(v, v), \quad \kappa_2(p) := \min_{\substack{v \in T_p S \\ \mathbf{I}_p(v, v) = 1}} \Pi_p(v, v)$$

which exist by compactness of the set  $\{v \in T_p S : \mathbf{I}_p(v, v) = 1\}$ .

**Proposition 2.10**

There exists an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  of  $T_p S$  such that, for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$dN_p(e_i) = -\kappa_i e_i$$

**Exercise.** Prove the above proposition.

**Definition** Principal curvatures and directions

We call  $\kappa_1(p)$  and  $\kappa_2(p)$  the principal curvatures of  $S$  at  $p$ . Moreover,  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are called the principal directions at  $p$ .

**Remark.** The principal curvatures are proper Euclidean invariants.

**Definition** Normal curvature

Let  $(S, N)$  be an oriented surface and  $\alpha: I \rightarrow S$  be a regular curve parametrised by arc length. The normal curvature of  $\alpha$  at  $s \in I$  is

$$\kappa_n(s) := \langle \ddot{\alpha}(s), (N \circ \alpha)(s) \rangle = \langle \kappa(s)n(s), (N \circ \alpha)(s) \rangle$$

**Remark.** It is immediate from the definition that  $|\kappa_n(s)| \leq \kappa(s)$ .

**Proposition 2.11** Meusnier's theorem

Let  $(S, N)$  and  $\alpha: I \rightarrow S$  be as above. Then the normal curvature at  $s \in I$  is given by

$$\kappa_n(s) = \Pi_p(\dot{\alpha}(s))$$

**Definition** Mean and Gauss curvatures

Let  $(S, N)$  be an oriented surface. The mean curvature of  $S$  at  $p \in S$  is

$$H(p) := \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(-dN_p)$$

The Gauss curvature of  $S$  at  $p \in S$  is

$$K(p) := \det(-dN_p)$$

**Lemma 2.12**

The mean and Gauss curvatures are also given by

$$H(p) = \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_1(p) + \kappa_2(p)), \quad K(p) = \kappa_1(p)\kappa_2(p)$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Proposition 2.10. ■

**Remark.** Note that changing  $N \mapsto -N$  will result  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$  changing sign. Thus,  $H$  changes sign but  $K$  does not.

**Example**

- For a sphere  $S^2$ , we have  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 1$  so  $H = K = 1$ .
- For a cylinder,  $\kappa_2 = 0$  so  $K = 0$ . However,  $\kappa_1 > 0$  so  $H > 0$ .
- Consider a torus  $T^2$ . By considering various circles, one can see that  $K$  can have any sign depending on where one is on  $T^2$ .

**Definition** Umbilic point

We say that a point  $p \in S$  is umbilic if  $\kappa_1(p) = \kappa_2(p)$ .

**Remark.** If  $p$  is an umbilic point, then  $dN_p$  can be diagonalised to  $-\kappa_1 \text{id}$  and takes form  $\text{diag}(-\kappa_1, -\kappa_1)$  in any orthonormal basis.

Now, let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a surface, with  $\varphi: U \rightarrow W$  a local parametrisation from  $U \subset \mathbb{R}_{(u,v)}^2$  to an open set  $W \subset S$ . Note that  $\Pi_{\varphi(u,v)}$  is completely determined by

$$\begin{aligned} e(u, v) &:= \Pi_{\varphi(u,v)}(\varphi_u, \varphi_u) = -\langle N_u, \varphi_u \rangle = \langle N, \varphi_{uu} \rangle \\ f(u, v) &:= \Pi_{\varphi(u,v)}(\varphi_u, \varphi_v) = -\langle N_u, \varphi_v \rangle = \langle N, \varphi_{uv} \rangle \\ g(u, v) &:= \Pi_{\varphi(u,v)}(\varphi_v, \varphi_v) = -\langle N_v, \varphi_v \rangle = \langle N, \varphi_{vv} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $\langle N, \varphi_u \rangle = \langle N, \varphi_v \rangle = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.13** Curvatures in local coordinates

In local coordinates, the mean and Gauss curvatures are given by

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \frac{eg - f^2}{EG - F^2} \\ H &= \frac{eG - 2fF + gE}{2(EG - F^2)} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Trivial computations. ■

Now, one might ask: are there more fundamental forms? It turns out that the first and second fundamental forms are already sufficient to characterise a surface up to rigid motion. This result is known as the fundamental theorem of surface theory (cf. IB Geometry Example Sheet 2 Question E3).

## 2.5 Theorema egregium

**Theorem 2.14** Theorema egregium

Let  $S, \tilde{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be surfaces. If  $\phi: S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  is an isometry, then  $K = \phi_* \tilde{K}$ .

**Remark.** In other words, the Gauss curvature only depends on the first fundamental form.

*Proof.* We have previously remarked that isometries preserve the first fundamental form, so it suffices to show that  $K$  can be expressed in terms of only  $E, F, G$ .

We express the derivatives of  $\varphi_u, \varphi_v$  in terms of the basis  $\{\varphi_u, \varphi_v, N\}$ :

$$\varphi_{uu} = \Gamma_{uu}^u \varphi_u + \Gamma_{uu}^v \varphi_v + eN$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{uv} &= \Gamma_{uv}^u \varphi_u + \Gamma_{uv}^v \varphi_v + fN \\
\varphi_{vu} &= \Gamma_{vu}^u \varphi_u + \Gamma_{vu}^v \varphi_v + fN \\
\varphi_{vv} &= \Gamma_{vv}^u \varphi_u + \Gamma_{vv}^v \varphi_v + gN
\end{aligned}$$

We call the coefficients  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  the *Christoffel symbols*. Since  $\varphi_{uv} = \varphi_{vu}$ , we have  $\Gamma_{uv}^u = \Gamma_{vu}^u$  and  $\Gamma_{uv}^v = \Gamma_{vu}^v$ . To find the Christoffel symbols, we simply have to take inner products:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{uu}^u E + \Gamma_{uu}^v F &= \langle \varphi_{uu}, \varphi_u \rangle = \frac{1}{2} E_u \\
\Gamma_{uu}^u F + \Gamma_{uu}^v G &= \langle \varphi_{uu}, \varphi_v \rangle = F_u - \frac{1}{2} E_v
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $EG - F^2 \neq 0$ , the above linear system can be solved for  $\Gamma_{uu}^u$  and  $\Gamma_{uu}^v$ . In a similar way, we obtain expressions for other Christoffel symbols.

Now, by symmetry of mixed partials, we have  $\varphi_{uuv} = \varphi_{uvu}$  and after some lengthy algebraic manipulations, we can compare coefficients of  $\phi_v$  to obtain

$$(\Gamma_{uv}^v)_u - (\Gamma_{uu}^v)_v + \Gamma_{uv}^u \Gamma_{uu}^v + \Gamma_{uv}^v \Gamma_{uv}^v - \Gamma_{uu}^v \Gamma_{vv}^v - \Gamma_{uu}^u \Gamma_{uv}^v = -EK$$

which gives us the *Gauss formula* for  $K$  in terms of only  $E, F, G$  and derivatives thereof. ■