



## Spring 2017 - Numerical Report for Robert Howton

### PHIL 0452 - THRYS KNOWL & REALITY/WRIT LAB - 1100 - Credit Laboratory

#### 2174 - Teaching Survey

Total Enrollment 18

Responses Received 10

Response Rate 55.56%

#### Subject Details

Name	PHIL 0452 - THRYS KNOWL & REALITY/WRIT LAB - 1100 - Credit Laboratory
DEPARTMENT_CD	PHIL
CAMPUS_CD	PIT
SCHOOL_CD	ARTSC
CLASS_NBR	27861
COURSE_NUMBER	452
SECTION_NUMBER	1100
TERM_NUMBER	2174
COURSE_TYPE	Credit Laboratory
CLASS_ATTRIBUTE	
ENROLLED_STUDENTS	18
First Name	Robert
Last Name	Howton
RANK_DESCR	Lecturer
FIRST_GRAD_TERM_START_DATE	
TENURE	NT

#### Report Comments

Table of Contents:

Instructor and Course Evaluation

- Overall Summary of Results
- Detailed Results

Student Self Report (if applicable)


**Creation Date** Fri, May 19, 2017

## Instructor Summary of Results


Question	Results		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The lab instructor was well-prepared for the labs.	4.50	10	1.27
The lab instructor appeared knowledgeable about course subject matter.	4.90	10	0.32
The lab instructor clarified material covered in course lectures.	4.30	10	1.49
The lab instructor showed interest in helping students understand the material.	4.20	10	1.69
The lab instructor returned assignments within a reasonable amount of time.	4.70	10	0.95
The lab instructor was concerned about students' progress in the course.	4.40	10	1.35
The lab instructor provided helpful answers to students' questions.	4.60	10	0.97
The lab instructor treated students with respect.	4.70	10	0.95
The lab instructor provided constructive feedback on assignments.	4.80	10	0.63
The lab instructor maintained an environment in which students felt comfortable asking questions.	4.60	10	0.97
The lab instructor was available for help outside of the labs. Mark (NA) if you did not seek outside help.	4.17	6	1.60
The lab instructor communicates effectively.	4.40	10	1.07
The lab instructor comprehends students' communication.	4.40	10	1.07
The lab instructor led this lab effectively.	4.60	10	0.97

## Instructor Evaluation: Detailed Results


1. The lab instructor was well-prepared for the labs.




2. The lab instructor appeared knowledgeable about course subject matter.




3. The lab instructor clarified material covered in course lectures.




4. The lab instructor showed interest in helping students understand the material.




5. The lab instructor returned assignments within a reasonable amount of time.




6. The lab instructor was concerned about students' progress in the course.




7. The lab instructor provided helpful answers to students' questions.




8. The lab instructor treated students with respect.



9. The lab instructor provided constructive feedback on assignments.




10. The lab instructor maintained an environment in which students felt comfortable asking questions.




## Instructor Evaluation: Detailed Results (continued)


11. The lab instructor was available for help outside of the labs. Mark (NA) if you did not seek outside help.




12. The lab instructor communicates effectively.




13. The lab instructor comprehends students' communication.




14. The lab instructor led this lab effectively.




## Did the labs contribute to your learning in this course?




What grade do you expect in the course?




What grade do you expect in this lab?



What percent of the labs did you attend?



The material covered in lab is well connected to the lectures.



Would you recommend this lab instructor to other students who are going to take this course?

