

April 4th 2025

PSEXec Hunt Lab: Analyzing a PCAP File For Signs of PsExec Lateral Movement

A Follow-Along lab from Cyberdefenders.org

Lab Goal: In this lab my goal is to analyze a given .pcap file to check for signs of PsExec execution, a popular tool used by threat actors , to issue remote commands on a Windows Endpoint within a LAN.

Lab Scenario: *An alert from the Intrusion Detection System (IDS) flagged suspicious lateral movement activity involving PsExec. This indicates potential unauthorized access and movement across the network. As a SOC Analyst, your task is to investigate the provided PCAP file to trace the attacker's activities. Identify their entry point, the machines targeted, the extent of the breach, and any critical indicators that reveal their tactics and objectives within the compromised environment.*

Note to Reader: PsExec is a binary tool which is code signed by Microsoft and provided by SysInternals. It is a legitimate tool used in some enterprise environments to connect to their Windows endpoints. It is often abused by threat actors post-exploitation to give themselves remote access. This can be an example of a LOLBIN (Living Off the Land BINARY) Click here for more information on [Psexec.exe](https://psexec.nirx.net/).

Questions/Objectives to Answer:

1. To effectively trace the attacker's activities within our network, can you identify the IP address of the machine from which the attacker initially gained access?
2. To fully understand the extent of the breach, can you determine the machine's hostname to which the attacker first pivoted?
3. Knowing the username of the account the attacker used for authentication will give us insights into the extent of the breach. What is the username utilized by the attacker for authentication?
4. After figuring out how the attacker moved within our network, we need to know what they did on the target machine. What's the name of the service executable the attacker set up on the target?
5. We need to know how the attacker installed the service on the compromised machine to understand the attacker's lateral movement tactics. This can help identify other affected systems. Which network share was used by PsExec to install the service on the target machine?
6. We must identify the network share used to communicate between the two machines. Which network share did PsExec use for communication?

github.com/robertmcarpenter

7. Now that we have a clearer picture of the attacker's activities on the compromised machine, it's important to identify any further lateral movement. What is the hostname of the second machine the attacker targeted to pivot within our network?

My first objective of this lab is to figure out what machine the attacker FIRST gained access to. I will open up the provided .pcap file to take a look at the data within wireshark.

Based on the scenario I know that the attacker was moving laterally within the network using the tool Psexec. I know that Psexec uses ports 445 (SMB) and 135 (RPC) for communication. I will filter the packets within Wireshark to look for packets that contain traffic for Ports 445 and Ports 135.

April 4th 2025

The screenshot shows a Wireshark packet capture of a network interface. A filter is applied: `tcp.port == 445`. The packet list shows a series of SYN packets from 10.0.0.130 to 10.0.0.133 on port 445. The details pane shows the selected packet (No. 123) as a TCP SYN packet with sequence number 3723464287 and window size 64240. The packet bytes pane shows the raw data of the SYN packet.

No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length	Info
123	283.377174691	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	TCP	66	49696 → 445 [SYN] Seq=0 Win=64240 Len=0 MSS=1460 WS=256 SACK_PERM
124	283.377427395	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	TCP	66	445 → 49696 [SYN, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=1 Win=65535 Len=0 MSS=1460 WS=256 SACK_PERM
125	283.377579659	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	TCP	66	49696 → 445 [ACK] Seq=1 Win=2102272 Len=0
126	283.377799614	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB	127	Negotiate Protocol Request
127	283.391524693	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB	506	Negotiate Protocol Response
128	283.391698158	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	286	Negotiate Protocol Request
129	283.392098503	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	590	Negotiate Protocol Response
130	283.408340907	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	220	Session Setup Request, NTLMSSP_NEGOTIATE
131	283.408953905	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	329	Session Setup Response, Error: STATUS_MORE_PROCESSING_REQUIRED, NTLMSSP_CHALLENGE
132	283.409462212	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	595	Session Setup Request, NTLMSSP_AUTH, User: \ssales
133	283.410943757	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	159	Session Setup Response
134	283.411622302	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	164	Tree Connect Request Tree: \\10.0.0.133\IPC\$
135	283.411827867	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	138	Tree Connect Response
136	283.412065141	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	178	Ioctl Request FSCTL_QUERY_NETWORK_INTERFACE_INFO
137	283.412187811	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	474	Ioctl Response FSCTL_QUERY_NETWORK_INTERFACE_INFO
138	283.413341567	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	168	Tree Connect Request Tree: \\10.0.0.133\ADMIN\$
139	283.413774978	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	138	Tree Connect Response
140	283.414399866	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	234	Create Request File:
141	283.414635659	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	298	Create Response File:
142	283.415018065	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	146	Close Request File:
143	283.415221417	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	182	Close Response
144	283.415525526	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	382	Create Request File: PSEXESVC.exe

Frame 123: 66 bytes on wire (528 bits), 66 bytes captured (528 bits) on interface ens3, id 0
Ethernet II, Src: VMware_06:cc:75 (00:0c:29:06:cc:75), Dst: VMware_59:23:50 (00:0c:29:59:23:50)
Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: 10.0.0.130, Dst: 10.0.0.133
Transmission Control Protocol, Src Port: 49696, Dst Port: 445, Seq: 0, Len: 0
Source Port: 49696
Destination Port: 445
[Stream index: 24]
[Stream Packet Number: 1]
[Conversation completeness: Complete, WITH_DATA (47)]
[TCP Segment Len: 0]
Sequence Number: 0 (relative sequence number)
Sequence Number (raw): 3723464287
[Next Sequence Number: 1 (relative sequence number)]
Acknowledgment Number: 0
Acknowledgment number (raw): 0
1000 = Header Length: 32 bytes (0)
Flags: 0x002 (SYN)
Window: 64240
[Calculated window size: 64240]
Checksum: 0x2e0c [unverified]
[Checksum Status: Unverified]
Urgent Pointer: 0
Options: (12 bytes), Maximum segment size, No-Operation (NOP), Window scale, No-Operation (NOP), No-Operation (NOP), SACK permitted
[Timestamps]

Here , I can see that the attacker is beginning a TCP 3 Way SYN ACK Handshake with this 10.0.0.133 host over Port 445. This could very well be just them accessing an SMB Share however since I know PSEXec uses Port 445 this is relevant to my investigation.

The Question asks me *what host did the attacker initially gain access to?* Since they have already intruded into the network and is attempting to laterally move to 10.0.0.133 I can simply look at the Source IP for Packet #125 in the screenshot above. It appears that they have compromised the 10.0.0.130 host and are trying to laterally move using PSEXec to 10.0.0.133!

Q1 Solved : 4528

To effectively trace the attacker's activities within our network, can you identify the IP address of the machine from which the attacker initially gained access?

10.0.0.130

Show Hints Submit

My next objective is to determine the machine's hostname to which the attacker first pivoted. I will analyze the SMB packets sent and received to discover the NetBIOS Target name.

No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length	Info
131	283.408953965	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	329	Session Setup Response, Error: STATUS_MORE_PROCESSING_REQUIRED, NTLMSSP_CHALLENGE
132	283.409462212	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	595	Session Setup Request, NTLMSSP_AUTH, User: \sales
133	283.410943757	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.130	SMB2	159	Session Setup Response
134	283.411622382	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	164	Tree Connect Request Tree: \\10.0.0.133\IPC\$
135	283.411827867	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	138	Tree Connect Response
136	283.412065141	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	178	Ioctl Request FSCTL_QUERY_NETWORK_INTERFACE_INFO
137	283.412187811	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	474	Ioctl Response FSCTL_QUERY_NETWORK_INTERFACE_INFO
138	283.413341567	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	168	Tree Connect Request Tree: \\10.0.0.133\ADMIN\$
139	283.413774978	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	138	Tree Connect Response

Target Info

- Length: 96
- Maxlen: 96
- Offset: 72
- > Attribute: NetBIOS domain name: SALES-PC
- > Attribute: NetBIOS computer name: SALES-PC
- > Attribute: DNS domain name: Sales-PC
- > Attribute: DNS computer name: Sales-PC
- > Attribute: Timestamp
- > Attribute: End of List

Version 10.0 (Build 19041); NTLM Current Revision 15

Major Version: 10

Minor Version: 0

Build Number: 19041

NTLM Current Revision: 15

In the above screenshot you can see that inside Packet #131 the target machine responded with a challenge and inside the packet it gives it's own NetBIOS name which is SALES-PC. This is the Hostname of the machine 10.0.0.133, the one that the attacker is trying to pivot/laterally move to.

Q2 Solved : 4173

To fully understand the extent of the breach, can you determine the machine's hostname to which the attacker first pivoted?

SALES-PC

Show Hints

Submit

Moving on, I need to now figure out the username utilized by the attacker for authentication. I will right click the packet and follow the TCP Stream to see what the attacker is sending to SALES-PC. Perhaps I can take a look to see what commands they are issuing via PsExec.

April 4th 2025

Now that I've figured out what service was dropped and started on the target machine I need to figure out how the attacker was able to achieve this.

Question #5 asks:

We need to know how the attacker installed the service on the compromised machine to understand the attacker's lateral movement tactics. This can help identify other affected systems. Which network share was used by PsExec to install the service on the target machine?

Looking down the capture file, It brings me to packet #138 which states that they sent a request to connect to the ADMIN\$ share.

137	283.41334...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	168	Tree Connect Request	Tree: \\10.0.0.133\ADMIN\$
138	283.41334...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	168	Tree Connect Request	Tree: \\10.0.0.133\ADMIN\$

Note to myself and the reader: The SMB Share ADMIN\$ is a network share of the target system's %SYSTEMROOT% File Directory. This means that if an attacker is able to connect to a target using this share, they have access to the machine's C:\Windows\ directory and subdirectories. This is how the attacker was able to install this service.

Q5 Solved : 4104

We need to know how the attacker installed the service on the compromised machine to understand the attacker's lateral movement tactics. This can help identify other affected systems. Which network share was used by PsExec to install the service on the target machine?

*****\$
ADMIN\$

Show Hints Submit


My next objective after this is:

We must identify the network share used to communicate between the two machines. Which network share did PsExec use for communication?

Looking back at the timeline of the SMB Connection requests I can see that the attacker first accessed the IPC\$ share using the sales users, then opened a request to connect to the ADMIN\$ share from there.

Attacker -----> IPC\$ Share -----> ADMIN\$ Share

132	283.40946...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	595	Session Setup Request	NTLMSSP_AUTH, User: \ssales
133	283.41094...	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	159	Session Setup Response	
134	283.41162...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	164	Tree Connect Request	Tree: \\10.0.0.133\IPC\$
135	283.41182...	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	138	Tree Connect Response	
136	283.41206...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	178	Ioctl Request	FSCTL_QUERY_NETWORK_INTERFACE_INFO
137	283.41218...	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	474	Ioctl Response	FSCTL_QUERY_NETWORK_INTERFACE_INFO
138	283.41334...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	168	Tree Connect Request	Tree: \\10.0.0.133\ADMIN\$

Q6  Solved : 4086

We must identify the network share used to communicate between the two machines. Which network share did PsExec use for communication?

***\$
IPC\$

Show Hints Submit

The last task of this lab is to identify any further hosts that the attacker attempted to reach.

I will scroll down the .pcap file to check for any other IP addresses after the attacker closes the connection to 10.0.0.133.

38498	521.56540...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	SMB2	126 Session Logoff Request
38499	521.56562...	10.0.0.133	10.0.0.130	SMB2	126 Session Logoff Response
38500	521.56589...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.133	TCP	60 49696 → 445 [RST, ACK] Seq=2533606 Ack=2337979 Win=0 Len=0
38506	534.41282...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	TCP	66 49701 → 445 [SYN] Seq=0 Win=64240 Len=0 MSS=1460 WS=256 SACK_PERM
38507	534.41327...	10.0.0.131	10.0.0.130	TCP	66 445 → 49701 [SYN, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=1 Win=65535 Len=0 MSS=1460 WS=256 SACK_PERM
38508	534.41347...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	TCP	60 49701 → 445 [ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1 Win=2102272 Len=0
38509	534.41362...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	SMB	127 Negotiate Protocol Request
38510	534.43901...	10.0.0.131	10.0.0.130	SMB2	506 Negotiate Protocol Response
38511	534.43937...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	SMB2	286 Negotiate Protocol Request
38512	534.44004...	10.0.0.131	10.0.0.130	SMB2	590 Negotiate Protocol Response
38513	534.44104...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	SMB2	220 Session Setup Request, NTLMSSP_NEGOTIATE
38514	534.44166...	10.0.0.131	10.0.0.130	SMB2	369 Session Setup Response, Error: STATUS_MORE_PROCESSING_REQUIRED, NTLMSSP_CHALLENGE
38515	534.44210...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	SMB2	623 Session Setup Request, NTLMSSP_AUTH, User: \jdoe
38516	534.44459...	10.0.0.131	10.0.0.130	SMB2	130 Session Setup Response, Error: STATUS_LOGON_FAILURE
38517	534.44490...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	TCP	60 49701 → 445 [RST, ACK] Seq=1041 Ack=1380 Win=0 Len=0
38528	536.50294...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	TCP	66 49703 → 445 [SYN] Seq=0 Win=64240 Len=0 MSS=1460 WS=256 SACK_PERM
38529	536.50314...	10.0.0.131	10.0.0.130	TCP	66 445 → 49703 [SYN, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=1 Win=65535 Len=0 MSS=1460 WS=256 SACK_PERM
38530	536.50331...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	TCP	60 49703 → 445 [ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1 Win=262656 Len=0
38531	536.50331...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	SMB2	302 Negotiate Protocol Request
38532	536.50404...	10.0.0.131	10.0.0.130	SMB2	590 Negotiate Protocol Response
38533	536.50498...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	SMB2	220 Session Setup Request, NTLMSSP_NEGOTIATE
38534	536.50536...	10.0.0.131	10.0.0.130	SMB2	369 Session Setup Response, Error: STATUS_MORE_PROCESSING_REQUIRED, NTLMSSP_CHALLENGE
38535	536.50577...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	SMB2	629 Session Setup Request, NTLMSSP_AUTH, User: .\IEUser
38536	536.50743...	10.0.0.131	10.0.0.130	SMB2	159 Session Setup Response
38537	536.50789...	10.0.0.130	10.0.0.131	SMB2	168 Tree Connect Request Tree: \\10.0.0.131\ADMIN\$

I can see later on down the communications, packet #38498 the attacker Logs off the connection and then attempts to log in to a new endpoint located at 10.0.0.131. They first try to access the ADMIN\$ share using the jdoe user. This fails then they attempt the IEUser. Ultimately, this ends up succeeding and they are able to access the network share. This happens to be the MARKETING-PC.


April 4th 2025

```

38534 536.50536... 10.0.0.131... 10.0.0.130 SMB2 369 Session Setup Response, Error: STATUS_MORE_PROCESSING_REQUIRED, NTLMSSP_CHALLENGE
38535 536.50537... 10.0.0.130... 10.0.0.131 SMB2 629 Session Setup Request, NTLMSSP_AUTH, User: \IEUser


*****
Offset: 56
  Negotiate Flags: 0xe28a8215, Negotiate 56, Negotiate Key Exchange, Negotiate 128, Negotiate 131
  NTLM Server Challenge: 474c3cd41983c1e8
  Reserved: 0000000000000000
  Target Info
    Length: 128
    MaxLen: 128
    Offset: 80
    Attribute: NetBIOS domain name: MARKETING-PC
    Target Info Item Type: NetBIOS domain name (0x0002)
    Target Info Item Length: 24
    NetBIOS Domain Name: MARKETING-PC
    Attribute: NetBIOS computer name: MARKETING-PC
    Attribute: DNS domain name: Marketing-PC
    Attribute: DNS computer name: Marketing-PC
    Attribute: Timestamp
    Attribute: End of list
  Version 10.0 (Build 19041); NTLM Current Revision 15


```

Q7  Solved : 4034

Now that we have a clearer picture of the attacker's activities on the compromised machine, it's important to identify any further lateral movement. What is the hostname of the second machine the attacker targeted to pivot within our network?

*****_**

 Show Hints

 Submit

In this lab I learned how to analyze a .pcap file to look for signs of lateral movement in a Windows Domain. The attacker used a popular LOLBIN name PsExec.exe which is used to execute remote commands to other Windows Endpoints across a domain.

