

Roberto Abraham

From: casca-lrpic-bounces@lists.casca.ca on behalf of Christine Wilson <wilson@physics.mcmaster.ca>
Sent: September 13, 2016 10:36 AM
To: Roberto Abraham
Cc: casca-lrpic@lists.casca.ca; casca-board@lists.casca.ca
Subject: Re: [CASCA LRPIC] Drafts of feedback for the fundamental science review

Hi, Bob,

I have only read the CASCA response. I think it looks good, but I have a few general comments. I agree with the other comments that have been sent around so far.

Question 1

We should make it crystal clear that we like the Discovery Grant program (5 year grants, relatively high success rate, program not project based) and the main thing that needs fixing is it does not have enough funding.

on Page 3, the comment about DG applications being judged the volume of HQP and not distinguishing between levels of training is pretty specific, and goes against what NSERC says is done. I'm uncomfortable saying something this specific unless we are 100% sure.

Question 6

At the start when we list past observatories, ALMA should be in that list.

Also, this section should discuss the catch-22 situation we find ourselves in regarding the SKA. I assume you are getting some information from Bryan and others on this

Question 8

If you don't get something from the Diversity Committee in time, there is some discussion about women in the LRP that could be quoted.

Cheers,
Chris

Christine Wilson
Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, McMaster University
tel:(905)-525-9140 x27483 FAX: 905-546-1252

casca-lrpic mailing list - casca-lrpic@lists.casca.ca - <http://www.casca.ca/mailman/listinfo/casca-lrpic>