## Iterative Generation of Frequency Distributions

Robert Schnitman
November 21, 2019

The purpose of this document is to demonstrate the production of a multi-paged report of frequency distributions via R Markdown. What follows is a cross-tabulation between the color and quality of diamonds by their depth level. The data used is diamonds from the R library ggplot2.

At a high level, we perform the following to generate the tables in this report:

- 1. Load table-styling and data management libraries (knitr, kableExtra, tidyverse, and magrittr). This step assumes that tinytex has been installed in RStudio and that tinytex::install\_tinytex() has been executed.
- 2. Create a function that combines the counts and row-wise percentages in their own dataset (more specifically, a data frame).
- 3. Write a function that generalizes the preferred table style.
- 4. Apply steps 2 and 3 above for each depth level via a for loop (i.e. "for each depth level, generate the cross-tabulation between the diamonds' color and quality category").

The source code is located at https://github.com/robertschnitman/RS\_Reports/blob/master/FD/FD.rmd.

Table 1: clarity: SI2

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	56 4%	223 16%	314 23%	421 31%	$356 \\ 26\%$	1,370 100%	3.58 0%
Е	78 5%	202 12%	445 26%	519 30%	469 27%	1,713 100%	3.64 0%
F	89 6%	201 12%	343 21%	523 33%	453 28%	1,609 100%	3.65 0%
G	80 5%	163 11%	327 21%	$492 \\ 32\%$	486 31%	1,548 $100%$	3.74 0%
Н	91 6%	158 10%	$\frac{343}{22\%}$	521 33%	450 29%	1,563 $100%$	3.69 0%
I	45 5%	81 9%	200 22%	$\frac{312}{34\%}$	274 30%	912 100%	3.76 0%
J	27 6%	53 11%	128 27%	161 34%	110 23%	479 100%	3.57 1%

Table 2: clarity: SI1

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	58 3%	237 11%	494 24%	556 27%	738 35%	2,083 100%	3.81 0%
Е	$\frac{65}{3\%}$	$355 \\ 15\%$	$626 \\ 26\%$	614 25%	$766 \\ 32\%$	2,426 100%	3.68 0%
F	83 4%	273 13%	$559 \\ 26\%$	608 29%	608 29%	2,131 100%	$\frac{3.65}{0\%}$
G	$\frac{69}{3\%}$	207 10%	474 24%	566 29%	660 33%	1,976 100%	3.78 0%
Н	75 3%	235 10%	547 24%	$655 \\ 29\%$	763 34%	2,275 $100%$	3.79 0%
I	$\frac{30}{2\%}$	165 12%	$358 \\ 25\%$	$\frac{367}{26\%}$	504 35%	1,424 $100%$	3.81 0%
J	28 4%	88 12%	182 24%	209 28%	243 32%	750 100%	3.73 0%

Table 3: clarity: VS1

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	5 1%	43 6%	175 25%	131 19%	351 50%	$705 \\ 100\%$	4.11 1%
Е	14 1%	89 7%	293 23%	292 23%	593 46%	1,281 100%	4.06 0%
F	33 2%	132 10%	293 21%	290 21%	616 45%	1,364 $100%$	3.97 0%
G	45 2%	152 7%	432 20%	$\frac{566}{26\%}$	953 44%	$2{,}148$ $100\%$	4.04 0%
Н	32 3%	77 7%	$257 \\ 22\%$	336 29%	467 40%	1,169 $100%$	3.97 0%
I	25 3%	103 11%	205 21%	221 23%	408 42%	$962 \\ 100\%$	3.92 0%
J	16 3%	52 10%	120 22%	153 28%	201 37%	$542 \\ 100\%$	3.87 1%

Table 4: clarity: VS2

~ .					1 1	m . 1	3.5
Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very	4 =	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean
			$\operatorname{Good}$	Premium			Quality
							Level
D	25	104	309	339	920	1,697	4.19
	1%	6%	18%	20%	54%	100%	0%
Е	42	160	503	629	1,136	2,470	4.08
	2%	6%	20%	25%	46%	100%	0%
F	53	184	466	619	879	2,201	3.95
	2%	8%	21%	28%	40%	100%	0%
G	45	192	479	721	910	2,347	3.96
	2%	8%	20%	31%	39%	100%	0%
Н	41	138	376	532	556	1,643	3.87
	2%	8%	23%	32%	34%	100%	0%
I	32	110	274	315	438	1,169	3.87
	3%	9%	23%	27%	37%	100%	0%
J	23	90	184	202	232	731	3.73
	3%	12%	25%	28%	32%	100%	1%

Table 5: clarity: VVS2

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	9	25	141	94	284	553	4.12
	2%	5%	25%	17%	51%	100%	1%
Е	13	52	298	121	507	991	4.07
	1%	5%	30%	12%	51%	100%	0%
F	10	50	249	146	520	975	4.14
	1%	5%	26%	15%	53%	100%	0%
G	17	75	302	275	774	1,443	4.19
	1%	5%	21%	19%	54%	100%	0%
Н	11	45	145	118	289	608	4.03
	2%	7%	24%	19%	48%	100%	1%
I	8 2%	$\frac{26}{7\%}$	71 19%	82 22%	178 49%	$365 \\ 100\%$	4.08 1%
J	1 1%	13 10%	29 22%	$\frac{34}{26\%}$	54 41%	131 100%	3.97 3%

Table 6: clarity: VVS1

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	3 1%	13 5%	52 21%	40 16%	144 57%	$252 \\ 100\%$	$4.23 \\ 2\%$
Е	3 0%	43 7%	170 26%	105 16%	335 51%	656 100%	4.11 1%
F	5 1%	35 5%	174 24%	80 11%	440 60%	734 100%	4.25 1%
G	3 0%	41 4%	190 19%	171 17%	594 59%	999 100%	4.31 0%
Н	1 0%	31 5%	115 20%	112 19%	$326 \\ 56\%$	585 100%	4.25 1%
I	1 0%	$\frac{22}{6\%}$	69 19%	84 24%	179 50%	355 100%	4.18 1%
J	1 1%	1 1%	19 26%	$\frac{24}{32\%}$	29 39%	74 100%	4.07 6%

Table 7: clarity: I1

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	4 10%	8 19%	5 12%	12 29%	13 31%	42 100%	3.52 8%
Е	9 9%	23 23%	$\frac{22}{22\%}$	30 29%	18 18%	102 100%	3.25 3%
F	$\frac{35}{24\%}$	19 13%	13 9%	$\frac{34}{24\%}$	42 29%	143 100%	3.20 2%
G	$\frac{53}{35\%}$	19 13%	16 11%	$\frac{46}{31\%}$	16 11%	150 100%	2.69 2%
Н	$\frac{52}{32\%}$	14 9%	12 7%	46 28%	$\frac{38}{23\%}$	162 100%	3.02 2%
I	34 37%	9 10%	8 9%	$\frac{24}{26\%}$	17 18%	92 100%	2.79 3%
J	23 46%	4 8%	8 16%	13 26%	2 4%	50 100%	2.34 5%

Table 8: clarity: IF

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	$\frac{3}{4\%}$	9 12%	23 32%	10 14%	$\frac{28}{38\%}$	73 100%	$3.70 \\ 5\%$
E	0	9	43	27	79	158	4.11
	0%	6%	27%	17%	50%	100%	3%
F	4	15	67	31	268	385	4.41
	1%	4%	17%	8%	70%	100%	1%
G	2	22	79	87	491	681	4.53
	0%	3%	12%	13%	72%	100%	1%
Н	0 0%	4 1%	29 10%	40 13%	$\frac{226}{76\%}$	299 100%	4.63 2%
I	0 0%	6 4%	19 13%	23 16%	95 66%	143 100%	$\frac{4.45}{3\%}$
J	0	6	8	12	25	51	4.10
	0%	12%	16%	24%	49%	100%	8%