Iterative Generation of Frequency Distributions

Robert Schnitman
November 21, 2019

The purpose of this document is to demonstrate the production of a multi-paged report of frequency distributions via R Markdown. What follows is a cross-tabulation between the color and quality of diamonds by their depth level. The data used is diamonds from the R library ggplot2.

At a high level, we perform the following to generate the tables in this report:

- 1. Load table-styling and data management libraries (knitr, kableExtra, tidyverse, and magrittr). This step assumes that tinytex has been installed in RStudio and that tinytex::install_tinytex() has been executed.
- 2. Create a function that combines the counts and row-wise percentages in their own dataset (more specifically, a data frame).
- 3. Write a function that generalizes the preferred table style: counts and row-wise percentages for each row-group, along with computed means of the quality level.
- 4. Apply steps 2 and 3 above for each depth level via a for loop (i.e. "for each depth level, generate the cross-tabulation between the diamonds' color and quality category").

The source code is located at https://github.com/robertschnitman/RS Reports/blob/master/FD/FD.rmd.

Table 1: Clarity: SI2

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	56 4%	223 16%	314 23%	421 31%	$356 \\ 26\%$	1,370 100%	3.58
Е	78 5%	202 12%	$445 \\ 26\%$	519 30%	469 27%	1,713 100%	3.64
F	89 6%	201 12%	343 21%	523 33%	453 28%	1,609 100%	3.65
G	80 5%	163 11%	327 21%	$492 \\ 32\%$	486 31%	1,548 100%	3.74
Н	91 6%	158 10%	$343 \\ 22\%$	521 33%	450 29%	1,563 100%	3.69
I	45 5%	81 9%	200 22%	$\frac{312}{34\%}$	274 30%	912 100%	3.76
J	27 6%	53 11%	128 27%	161 34%	110 23%	479 100%	3.57

Table 2: Clarity: SI1

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	58 3%	$237 \\ 11\%$	494 24%	$556 \\ 27\%$	$738 \\ 35\%$	2,083 100%	3.81
Е	65 3%	$355 \\ 15\%$	626 26%	614 25%	$766 \\ 32\%$	2,426 100%	3.68
F	83 4%	273 13%	559 26%	608 29%	608 29%	2,131 100%	3.65
G	69 3%	207 10%	474 24%	566 29%	660 33%	1,976 100%	3.78
Н	75 3%	235 10%	547 24%	655 29%	763 34%	2,275 $100%$	3.79
I	30 2%	165 12%	358 25%	367 26%	504 35%	1,424 100%	3.81
J	28 4%	88 12%	182 24%	209 28%	243 32%	750 100%	3.73

Table 3: Clarity: VS1

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	5 1%	43 6%	175 25%	131 19%	351 50%	$705 \\ 100\%$	4.11
Е	14 1%	89 7%	293 23%	292 23%	593 46%	1,281 100%	4.06
F	33 2%	132 10%	293 21%	290 21%	616 45%	1,364 $100%$	3.97
G	$\begin{array}{c} 45 \\ 2\% \end{array}$	152 7%	432 20%	$\frac{566}{26\%}$	953 44%	$2{,}148$ 100%	4.04
Н	32 3%	77 7%	$257 \\ 22\%$	336 29%	467 40%	1,169 $100%$	3.97
I	25 3%	103 11%	205 21%	221 23%	408 42%	$962 \\ 100\%$	3.92
J	16 3%	52 10%	120 22%	153 28%	201 37%	542 100%	3.87

Table 4: Clarity: VS2

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	$25 \\ 1\%$	104 6%	309 18%	$\frac{339}{20\%}$	$920 \\ 54\%$	1,697 $100%$	4.19
Е	42 2%	160 6%	503 20%	629 25%	1,136 46%	2,470 100%	4.08
F	$53 \\ 2\%$	184 8%	466 21%	619 28%	879 40%	2,201 $100%$	3.95
G	45 2%	192 8%	479 20%	721 31%	910 39%	2,347 100%	3.96
Н	41 2%	138 8%	376 23%	$532 \\ 32\%$	556 34%	1,643 100%	3.87
I	$\frac{32}{3\%}$	110 9%	274 23%	315 27%	438 37%	1,169 100%	3.87
J	23 3%	90 12%	184 25%	202 28%	$232 \\ 32\%$	731 100%	3.73

Table 5: Clarity: VVS2

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	9 2%	25 $5%$	141 25%	94 17%	284 51%	553 100%	4.12
Е	13 1%	52 5%	298 30%	121 12%	507 51%	991 100%	4.07
F	10 1%	50 5%	249 26%	146 15%	520 53%	975 100%	4.14
G	17 1%	75 5%	302 21%	275 19%	774 54%	1,443 100%	4.19
Н	11 2%	45 7%	145 24%	118 19%	289 48%	608 100%	4.03
I	8 2%	26 $7%$	71 19%	82 22%	178 49%	365 100%	4.08
J	1 1%	13 10%	29 22%	34 26%	54 41%	131 100%	3.97

Table 6: Clarity: VVS1

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	3 1%	13 5%	52 21%	40 16%	144 57%	252 100%	4.23
Е	3 0%	43 7%	170 26%	105 16%	$\frac{335}{51\%}$	656 100%	4.11
F	5 1%	35 $5%$	174 24%	80 11%	440 60%	734 100%	4.25
G	3 0%	41 4%	190 19%	171 17%	594 59%	999 100%	4.31
Н	1 0%	31 5%	115 20%	112 19%	$\frac{326}{56\%}$	585 100%	4.25
I	1 0%	22 6%	69 19%	84 24%	179 50%	355 100%	4.18
J	1 1%	1 1%	19 26%	$\frac{24}{32\%}$	29 39%	74 100%	4.07

Table 7: Clarity: I1

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	4 10%	8 19%	5 12%	12 29%	13 31%	42 100%	3.52
Е	9 9%	23 23%	22 22%	30 29%	18 18%	102 100%	3.25
F	$\frac{35}{24\%}$	19 13%	13 9%	34 24%	42 29%	143 100%	3.20
G	$\frac{53}{35\%}$	19 13%	16 11%	$\frac{46}{31\%}$	16 11%	150 100%	2.69
Н	$\frac{52}{32\%}$	14 9%	12 7%	46 28%	38 23%	162 100%	3.02
I	$\frac{34}{37\%}$	9 10%	8 9%	$\frac{24}{26\%}$	17 18%	92 100%	2.79
J	$\frac{23}{46\%}$	4 8%	8 16%	13 26%	2 4%	50 100%	2.34

Table 8: Clarity: IF

Color	1 = Fair	2 = Good	3 = Very Good	4 = Premium	5 = Ideal	Total	Mean Quality Level
D	$\frac{3}{4\%}$	$9 \\ 12\%$	23 32%	10 14%	28 38%	73 100%	3.70
Е	0 0%	9 6%	43 27%	27 17%	79 50%	158 100%	4.11
F	4 1%	15 4%	67 17%	31 8%	268 70%	385 100%	4.41
G	2 0%	22 3%	79 12%	87 13%	491 72%	681 100%	4.53
Н	0 0%	4 1%	29 10%	40 13%	$\frac{226}{76\%}$	299 100%	4.63
I	0 0%	6 4%	19 13%	23 16%	95 66%	143 100%	4.45
J	0 0%	6 12%	8 16%	12 24%	25 49%	51 100%	4.10