PHD21 Computational methods: Assignments

Rob Włodarski

Contents

1 Assignment 1 2

1 Assignment 1

Questions 1 through 4

Question:

- 1. Label and interpret the model ingredients properly.
- 2. Characterise the individual labor supply curve.
- 3. Characterise the aggregate labor supply curve.
- 4. Characterise the aggregate labor demand curve

Step 1A: Working households \rightarrow intensive margin labour supply. Start with the working household's problem.

$$W(a,z) = \max_{c,n,a'} \left\{ \log(c) - \eta \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\chi}} n^{1 + \frac{1}{\chi}} + \beta v \left(a'\right) \right\}$$

s.t. $c + a' = zw(1 - \tau)n + a(1 + r(1 - \tau)) + T$ (1.1)

Construct the Lagrangian, assuming that $v(a') = \log(a')$:

$$\mathcal{L} = \log(c) - \eta \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\chi}} n^{1 + \frac{1}{\chi}} + \beta \log(a') + \lambda \left[zw(1 - \tau)n + a(1 + r(1 - \tau)) + T - c - a' \right]. \tag{1.2}$$

The first-order conditions are as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_c = \frac{1}{c} + \lambda = 0 \implies \lambda = \frac{1}{c},\tag{1.3a}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_n = -\eta n^{\frac{1}{\chi}} + \lambda z w (1 - \tau) = 0 \implies \eta n^{\frac{1}{\chi}} = \frac{z w (1 - \tau)}{c}, \tag{1.3b}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{a'} = \frac{\beta}{a'} - \lambda = 0 \implies a' = \beta c. \tag{1.3c}$$

Combine the results of Equations (1.3b) and (1.3c) with the budget contraint to arrive at the Euler equation:

$$\underbrace{c + a'}_{\text{Use (1.3c)}} = \underbrace{zw(1 - \tau)n}_{\text{Use (1.3b)}} + a(1 + r(1 - \tau)) + T, \tag{1.4a}$$

$$c(1+\beta) = zw(1-\tau) \left(\frac{zw(1-\tau)}{\eta c}\right)^{\chi} + a(1+r(1-\tau)) + T.$$
 (WH-ILS)

Equation (WH-ILS) governs the intensive labour supply of a working household, $c_w^*(a, z)$ is their consumption level.

Further, notice that $c_w^{\star}(a,z)$ increases in z:

$$\frac{\partial c_w^{\star}(a,z)}{\partial z}(1+\beta) = \underbrace{\left[w(1-\tau)\eta^{-1}\right]^{1+\chi}}_{-\theta > 0} \times \frac{(1+\chi)z^{\chi}c_w^{\star}(a,z) - z^{\chi}\frac{\partial c_w^{\star}(a,z)}{\partial z}}{c_w^{\star}(a,z)^2} \implies (1.5a)$$

$$\frac{\partial c_w^{\star}(a,z)}{\partial z} \left(1 + \beta + \theta \frac{z^{\chi}}{c_w^{\star}(a,z)^2} \right) = \frac{\theta(1+\chi)z^{\chi}}{c_w^{\star}(a,z)} \implies (1.5b)$$

$$\frac{\partial c_w^{\star}(a,z)}{\partial z} = \frac{\frac{\theta(1+\chi)z^{\chi}}{c_w^{\star}(a,z)}}{1+\beta+\theta\frac{z^{\chi}}{c_w^{\star}(a,z)^2}} > 0. \tag{1.5c}$$

Also, differentiate Equation (1.3b):

$$\eta \chi^{-1} n^{\frac{1}{\chi} - 1} \frac{\partial n^{\star}(a, z)}{\partial c_w^{\star}(a, z)} = -z w (1 - \tau) c_w^{\star}(a, z)^{-2} \implies (1.6a)$$

$$\frac{\partial n^{\star}(a,z)}{\partial c_w^{\star}(a,z)} = \frac{-\chi z w (1-\tau)}{\eta n^{\frac{1}{\chi}-1} c_w^{\star}(a,z)^2}.$$
(1.6b)

Using the combination of the Envelope Theorem and chain rule, this implies that, at the optimal consumption-hours bundle, the household sees:

$$\frac{\partial W(a,z)}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{c_w^{\star}(a,z)} \frac{\partial c_w^{\star}(a,z)}{\partial z} - \eta n^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \frac{\partial n^{\star}(a,z)}{\partial z} \implies (1.7a)$$

$$\frac{\partial W(a,z)}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{c_w^{\star}(a,z)} \frac{\partial c_w^{\star}(a,z)}{\partial z} - \eta n^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \frac{\partial n^{\star}(a,z)}{\partial c_w^{\star}(a,z)} \frac{\partial c_w^{\star}(a,z)}{\partial z} \implies (1.7b)$$

Equation (1.7c) effectively means that W(a,z) monotonically increases in z.

<u>Step 1B</u>: Non-working households. The problem is similar here, apart from the labour first order condition. Skipping the Lagrangian setup, I arrive at the following first-order conditions:

$$\mathcal{L}_c = \frac{1}{c} + \lambda = 0 \implies \lambda = \frac{1}{c} \tag{1.8a}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{a'} = \frac{\beta}{a'} - \lambda = 0 \implies a' = \beta c, \tag{1.8b}$$

both of which are identical to what we see for the working household. Combining it with the budget constraint, we obtain the consumption function for the non-working household:

$$c_{nw}^{\star}(a) = \frac{b + a(1 + r(1 - \tau)) + T}{1 + \beta}.$$
(1.9)

This effectively implies that:

$$\frac{\partial N(a,z)}{\partial z} = 0. \tag{1.10}$$

Step 2: Extensive margin labour supply decision. Household (a,z) enters the labour market when:

$$\mathbf{I}_{n}(a,z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } W(a,z) \ge N(a,z) \\ 0 & \text{if } W(a,z) < N(a,z), \end{cases}$$
 (1.11)

where $W(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $N(\cdot,\cdot)$ are the value functions of working and not working, respectively.

Even abstracting from the Unique Point Theorem, we can see that if there exists z^* such that:

$$W\left(a, z^{\star}\right) = N\left(a, z^{\star}\right) \tag{1.12}$$

then for $z > z^*$, we have:

$$\mathbf{I}_n(a,z) = 1. \tag{1.13}$$

This will come handy while numerically solving the model.

<u>Step 3</u>: Aggregate labour supply. Assuming that $\Phi(a, z)$ is the joint distribution of ex-ante wealth and productivity, the aggregate labour supply is:

$$L^{S} = \int \mathbf{I}_{n}(a,z)h(a,z)\,\mathrm{d}\Phi(a,z) \tag{1.14}$$

<u>Step 4A</u>: Aggregate labour demand. We abstract from the capital markets, which makes the representative firm's problem near-trivial:

$$Y = \max_{L} \left\{ AK^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha} - wL - rK \right\} \implies (1.15a)$$

$$w = A(1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{L^D}{K}\right)^{-\alpha},\tag{1.15b}$$

or, putting L^D on the LHS:

$$L^{D} = \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)A}{w}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}K.$$
 (1.15c)

Question 5

Question: Suppose the following parameter levels:

$$a = 1$$
, $\alpha = 0.3$, $\tau = 0.15$, $\bar{z} = 1$, $A = 1$, $r = 0.04$, $\beta = 0.96$

Define and characterize the stationary recursive competitive equilibrium.

Step 1: Parameters left. Ξ represents the original vector of parameters:

$$\mathbf{\Xi} = (a, \eta, \xi, \tau, b, \beta, \sigma_z, A, \alpha, r)^T. \tag{1.16}$$

Given the pre-specified parameters, the unknown ones are:

$$\hat{\mathbf{\Xi}} = (\eta, b, \sigma_z,)^T. \tag{1.17}$$

<u>Step 2</u>: Equilibrium. Given the distribution of labour productivity, Φ , a set of functions $\{n, c, a', z^*, L, w, T\}$ is a stationary competitive equilibrium if

- 1. (n, c, a', z^*) solves the household's problem.
- 2. (K, L) solves the production sector's problem.
- 3. The labour and capital markets clear.

<u>Step 3</u>: Simplification. Given that we have only one wealth level in the economy, a = 1, the aggregate labour supply in Equation (1.14) becomes:

$$L^{s} = \int_{z^{\star}} n(z) \,\mathrm{d}\Phi(z),\tag{1.18}$$

where I set $\Phi(z) \equiv \Phi(z, 1)$ for expositional clarity.