

Implementation of the SP3 equations
in a MOOSE-based application
ANS Student Conference 2021

Roberto Fairhurst Agosta, Kathryn D. Huff

Advanced Reactors and Fuel Cycles
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

April 9, 2021



ILLINOIS



Outline

① Introduction

Objectives
Motivation

② Methodology

Equations
Implementation
Kernels

③ Results

1-G, 2-D
C5 MOX

④ Final Remarks

Conclusions
Acknowledgement
Questions

Objectives



- Implement the SP_3 equations in a MOOSE-based application.
- Verify the implementation by conducting the following exercises:
 - One-group, two-dimensional eigenvalue problem.
 - C5 MOX Benchmark.



Motivation

Why a neutronics solver?

- Neutronics provide information on the power distribution.
- Crucial role in the thermal-fluids behavior of a reactor.
- Multi-physics simulations for safety assessment.

Why the SP_3 equations?

- Fewer equations than P_3 .
- Reduces the computational expense.
- Conserves a reasonable accuracy.
- More accurate solution than diffusion approximation.

Why MOOSE?

- Partial differential equations describe the reactor physics.
- Computational framework for solving coupled equation systems.
- Open-source.
- Facilitates coupling between various applications targeting different phenomena.



Outline

① Introduction

Objectives

Motivation

② Methodology

Equations

Implementation

Kernels

③ Results

1-G, 2-D

C5 MOX

④ Final Remarks

Conclusions

Acknowledgement

Questions

P_3 equations

- P_N expands the angular dependence in spherical harmonics.
- For $N=3$, steady-state, and one-dimension:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\phi_{1,g} + \Sigma_{t,g}\phi_{0,g} = \sum_{g'=1}^G \Sigma_{s0,g' \rightarrow g}\phi_{0,g'} + \frac{\chi_g}{k_{eff}} \sum_{g'=1}^G \nu \Sigma_{f,g'}\phi_{0,g'} + Q_{0,g} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{d}{dx}\phi_{0,g} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{d}{dx}\phi_{2,g} + \Sigma_{t,g}\phi_{1,g} = \sum_{g'=1}^G \Sigma_{s1,g' \rightarrow g}\phi_{1,g'} + Q_{1,g} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{2}{5} \frac{d}{dx}\phi_{1,g} + \frac{3}{5} \frac{d}{dx}\phi_{3,g} + \Sigma_{t,g}\phi_{2,g} = \sum_{g'=1}^G \Sigma_{s2,g' \rightarrow g}\phi_{2,g'} + Q_{2,g} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{3}{7} \frac{d}{dx}\phi_{2,g} + \Sigma_{t,g}\phi_{3,g} = \sum_{g'=1}^G \Sigma_{s3,g' \rightarrow g}\phi_{3,g'} + Q_{3,g}. \quad (4)$$

P_3 equations (2)

Assumptions [2]:

- isotropic external source
- negligible anisotropic group-to-group scattering

$$\frac{d}{dx}\phi_{1,g} + \Sigma_{0,g}\phi_{0,g} = \sum_{g' \neq g}^G \Sigma_{s0,g' \rightarrow g}\phi_{0,g'} + \frac{\chi_g}{k_{eff}} \sum_{g'=1}^G \nu \Sigma_{f,g'}\phi_{0,g'} + Q_{0,g} \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{d}{dx}\phi_{0,g} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{d}{dx}\phi_{2,g} + \Sigma_{1,g}\phi_{1,g} = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{2}{5} \frac{d}{dx}\phi_{1,g} + \frac{3}{5} \frac{d}{dx}\phi_{3,g} + \Sigma_{2,g}\phi_{2,g} = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{3}{7} \frac{d}{dx}\phi_{2,g} + \Sigma_{3,g}\phi_{3,g} = 0. \quad (8)$$

P_3 equations (3)

With the following definitions:

$$D_{0,g} = \frac{1}{3\Sigma_{1,g}}, \quad D_{2,g} = \frac{9}{35\Sigma_{3,g}}$$

$$\Phi_{0,g} = \phi_{0,g} + 2\phi_{2,g}, \quad \Phi_{2,g} = \phi_{2,g}$$

the equations become:

$$-D_{0,g} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \Phi_{0,g} + \Sigma_{0,g} \Phi_{0,g} - 2\Sigma_{0,g} \Phi_{2,g} = S_{0,g} \quad (9)$$

$$-D_{2,g} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \Phi_{2,g} + \left(\Sigma_{2,g} + \frac{4}{5} \Sigma_{0,g} \right) \Phi_{2,g} - \frac{2}{5} \Sigma_{0,g} \Phi_{0,g} = -\frac{2}{5} S_{0,g} \quad (10)$$

where

$$S_{0,g} = \sum_{g' \neq g}^G \Sigma_{s0,g' \rightarrow g} (\Phi_{0,g'} - 2\Phi_{2,g'}) + \frac{\chi_g}{k_{\text{eff}}} \sum_{g'=1}^G \nu \Sigma_{f,g'} (\Phi_{0,g'} - 2\Phi_{2,g'}) + Q_{0,g}.$$

SP_3 approximation

- P_N : yields the exact transport solution as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- 3D: $(N + 1)^2$ equations.
- 1D: $(N + 1)$ equations yield $(N + 1)/2$.
- SP_N approximation replaces $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ by Δ .

SP_3 equations

$$-D_{0,g}\Delta\Phi_{0,g} + \Sigma_{0,g}\Phi_{0,g} - 2\Sigma_{0,g}\Phi_{2,g} = S_{0,g} \quad (11)$$

$$-D_{2,g}\Delta\Phi_{2,g} + \left(\Sigma_{2,g} + \frac{4}{5}\Sigma_{0,g}\right)\Phi_{2,g} - \frac{2}{5}\Sigma_{0,g}\Phi_{0,g} = -\frac{2}{5}S_{0,g}. \quad (12)$$

With the Marshak vacuum BCs [1]

$$\frac{1}{4}\Phi_{0,g} \pm \frac{1}{2}\hat{n} \cdot J_{0,g} - \frac{3}{16}\Phi_{2,g} = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$-\frac{3}{80}\Phi_{0,g} \pm \frac{1}{2}\hat{n} \cdot J_{2,g} + \frac{21}{80}\Phi_{2,g} = 0 \quad (14)$$

where

$$J_{n,g} = -D_{n,g}\nabla\Phi_{n,g}.$$

MOOSE



- Computational framework for solving coupled equation systems.
- Input are the equation weak forms.
- MOOSE and LibMesh translate them into residual and Jacobian functions.
- Petsc solution routines solve the equations.




Figure: MOOSE framework. Image reproduced from [5].

Weak form



Example Code

Strong Form

$$\rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot k(T, B) \nabla T = f$$

Weak Form

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \psi_i + \int_{\Omega} k \nabla T \cdot \nabla \psi_i - \int_{\partial \Omega} k \nabla T \cdot \mathbf{n} \psi_i - \int_{\Omega} f \psi_i = 0$$

Kernel Kernel BoundaryCondition Kernel

Actual Code

```
return _k[_qp]*_grad_u[_qp]*_grad_test[_i][_qp];
```

Figure: Translation into MOOSE kernels procedure [5].

Weak form: Equation 1

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle D_{0,g} \nabla \Phi_{0,g}, \nabla \Psi \rangle - \langle D_{0,g} \nabla \Phi_{0,g}, \Psi \rangle_{BC} + \langle \Sigma_{0,g} \Phi_{0,g}, \Psi \rangle + \langle -2\Sigma_{0,g} \Phi_{2,g}, \Psi \rangle \\
& + \left\langle - \sum_{\substack{g'=1 \\ g' \neq g}}^G \Sigma_{s0,g' \rightarrow g} (\Phi_{0,g'} - 2\Phi_{2,g'}), \Psi \right\rangle \\
& + \left\langle - \frac{\chi_g}{k_{eff}} \sum_{g'=1}^G \nu \Sigma_{f,g'} (\Phi_{0,g'} - 2\Phi_{2,g'}), \Psi \right\rangle + \langle -Q_{0,g}, \Psi \rangle = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with the boundary condition

$$\langle D_{0,g} \nabla \Phi_{0,g}, \Psi \rangle_{BC} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{0,g} - \frac{3}{4} \Phi_{2,g}, \Psi \right\rangle_{BC}. \tag{16}$$

Weak form: Equation 2

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle D_{2,g} \nabla \Phi_{2,g}, \nabla \Psi \rangle - \langle D_{2,g} \nabla \Phi_{2,g}, \Psi \rangle_{BC} + \left\langle \left(\Sigma_{2,g} + \frac{4}{5} \Sigma_{0,g} \right) \Phi_{2,g}, \Psi \right\rangle \\
& + \left\langle -\frac{2}{5} \Sigma_{0,g} \Phi_{0,g}, \Psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{2}{5} \sum_{g' \neq g}^G \Sigma_{s0,g' \rightarrow g} (\Phi_{0,g'} - 2\Phi_{2,g'}), \Psi \right\rangle \\
& + \left\langle \frac{2}{5} \frac{\chi_g}{k_{eff}} \sum_{g'=1}^G \nu \Sigma_{f,g'} (\Phi_{0,g'} - 2\Phi_{2,g'}), \Psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{2}{5} Q_{0,g}, \Psi \right\rangle = 0. \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

with the boundary condition

$$\langle D_{2,g} \nabla \Phi_{2,g}, \Psi \rangle_{BC} = \left\langle -\frac{3}{40} \Phi_{0,g} + \frac{21}{40} \Phi_{2,g}, \Psi \right\rangle_{BC}. \quad (18)$$

SP3 Kernels: Equation 1

Table: SP_3 kernels.

Kernel name	Equation 1
SP3Diffusion	$\langle D_{0,g} \nabla \Phi_{0,g}, \nabla \Psi \rangle$
SP3SigmaR	$\langle \Sigma_{0,g} \Phi_{0,g}, \Psi \rangle$
SP3SigmaCoupled	$\langle -2\Sigma_{0,g} \Phi_{2,g}, \Psi \rangle$
SP3InScatter	$\left\langle -\sum_{g' \neq g}^G \Sigma_{s0,g' \rightarrow g} (\Phi_{0,g'} - 2\Phi_{2,g'}), \Psi \right\rangle$
SP3FissionEigenKernel	$\left\langle -\frac{\chi_g}{k_{eff}} \sum_{g'=1}^G \nu \Sigma_{f,g'} (\Phi_{0,g'} - 2\Phi_{2,g'}), \Psi \right\rangle$
BodyForce (MOOSE)	$\langle -Q_{0,g}, \Psi \rangle$
BC Kernel name	
SP3Vacuum	$\left\langle \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{0,g} - \frac{3}{4} \Phi_{2,g}, \Psi \right\rangle_{BC}$

SP3 Kernels: Equation 2

Table: SP_3 kernels.

Kernel name	Equation 2
SP3Diffusion	$\langle D_{2,g} \nabla \Phi_{2,g}, \nabla \Psi \rangle$
SP3SigmaR	$\langle (\Sigma_{2,g} + \frac{4}{5} \Sigma_{0,g}) \Phi_{2,g}, \Psi \rangle$
SP3SigmaCoupled	$\langle -\frac{2}{5} \Sigma_{0,g} \Phi_{0,g}, \Psi \rangle$
SP3InScatter	$\langle \frac{2}{5} \sum_{g' \neq g}^G \Sigma_{s0,g' \rightarrow g} (\Phi_{0,g'} - 2\Phi_{2,g'}), \Psi \rangle$
SP3FissionEigenKernel	$\langle \frac{2}{5} \frac{\chi_g}{k_{eff}} \sum_{g'=1}^G \nu \Sigma_{f,g'} (\Phi_{0,g'} - 2\Phi_{2,g'}), \Psi \rangle$
BodyForce (MOOSE)	$\langle \frac{2}{5} Q_{0,g}, \Psi \rangle$
BC Kernel name	
SP3Vacuum	$\langle -\frac{3}{40} \Phi_{0,g} + \frac{21}{40} \Phi_{2,g}, \Psi \rangle_{BC}$



Outline

① Introduction

Objectives

Motivation

② Methodology

Equations

Implementation

Kernels

③ Results

1-G, 2-D

C5 MOX

④ Final Remarks

Conclusions

Acknowledgement

Questions

One-group, two-dimensional eigenvalue problem

- Problem presented in Brantley and Larsen, 2000 [2].
- One-energy group.
- Two-dimensional problem.
- Two materials: fuel and moderator.




Figure: Geometry of the problem.

One-group, two-dimensional eigenvalue problem (2)




Figure: Gmsh 2D mesh.

One-group, two-dimensional eigenvalue problem (3)



Table: Eigenvalue comparison.

k_{Ref}	k_{SP_3}	Δ_ρ
0.79862	0.79854	12




Figure: Need to change this figure.

C5 MOX Benchmark



- Exercise defined in [4].
- Two-energy groups.
- Two-dimensional problem.
- Two types of fuel: UO_2 , MOX.

vacuum					
R	R	R	R	R	R
R	UO_2	MOX	MOX	UO_2	R
R	MOX	UO_2	UO_2	MOX	R
R	MOX	UO_2	UO_2	MOX	R
R	UO_2	MOX	MOX	UO_2	R
R	R	R	R	R	R
vacuum					

Figure: 2-D C5 MOX benchmark configuration. Image reproduced from [3]. *R* represents the reflectors.

C5 MOX Benchmark (2)




Figure: UO₂ assembly. Image reproduced from [3].




Figure: MOX assembly. Image reproduced from [3].

C5 MOX Benchmark (3)




Figure: Gmsh 2D mesh.

C5 MOX Benchmark (4)

	C5G2 Benchmark	SP3	
Case	k_{Ref}	k_{SP_3}	Δ_ρ [pcm]
No correction	0.96969	0.97106	145
Transport correction	0.93755	0.93792	43



C5 MOX Benchmark (5)




Figure: Assembly power distribution. Top: assembly power. Bottom: relative difference expressed in %.



Outline

① Introduction

Objectives
Motivation

② Methodology

Equations
Implementation
Kernels

③ Results

1-G, 2-D
C5 MOX

④ Final Remarks

Conclusions
Acknowledgement
Questions



Conclusions

- Implemented the kernels to solve the steady-state SP_3 equations in a MOOSE-based application.
- Conducted two exercises whose reference results were known.
- Eigenvalue for the first exercise within 12 pcm.
- Eigenvalues for the second exercise within 145 pcm.
- Transport correction is necessary when the scattering higher moments are unknown.
- Calculated pin power values within 2% difference in the MOX fuel assembly.
- Future work may develop new applications or integrate this application to other physics solvers.

Acknowledgement



This research was being performed using funding received from the DOE Office of Nuclear Energy's University Program (Project 20-19693, DE-NE0008972) 'Evaluation of micro-reactor requirements and performance in an existing well-characterized micro-grid'.

**Thank you.
Questions?**

References I

- [1] C. Beckert and U. Grundmann.
Development and verification of a nodal approach for solving the multigroup P3 equations.
Annals of Nuclear Energy, 2007.
- [2] P.S. Brantley and E.W. Larsen.
The Simplified P3 Approximation.
Nuclear Science and Engineering, 2000.
- [3] M. Capilla, D. Ginestar, and G. Verdú.
Applications of the multidimensional equations to complex fuel assembly problems.
Annals of Nuclear Energy, 36(10):1624–1634, October 2009.
- [4] C. Cavarec, J.F. Perron, D. Verwaerde, and J.P. West.
Benchmark Calculations of Power Distributions within Assemblies.
Technical Report HT-12/94006 A, NEA/NSC/DOC (94) 28, Nuclear Energy Agency Committee on Reactor Physics, 1994.
- [5] INL.
Moose Workshop Slides, December 2020.
<https://mooseframework.inl.gov/workshop>.