



Linear Programming - Definition and properties Operations research

Paweł Obszarski

Bibliography

- T. Ratajczak, Materiały do wykładu z PL
- J. Błażewicz, Badania operacyjne dla informatyków
- F. S. Hillier, G. J. Lieberman *Introduction to operations Research*
- D.B. Judin, E. G. Golsztejn, Metody programowania liniowego

1. History

2. Definition

- 3. Applications and examples
 - Profit maximization
 - Diet problem
 - Transportation problem
 - Assignment problem
 - Problem optymalnego wykroju
 - Maximal flow problem

History

- 1823 Fourier the unpublished foundations
- 1911 Poussin article, same problem as with Fourier
- 1939 Leonid Kantorovich (Russia) Worked in USSR and discovered the model, again unpublished in the western countries
- II World War Dantzig works for US Air Force, logistics, scheduling
- 1947 George B. Dantzig simplex method published

• 1947 John von Neumann - duality

• 1975 Leonid Kantorovich (USSR) Tjalling Koopmans (USA) Nobel price in economics, for applications of LP

• 1979 Leonid Khachiyan (Chaczijan) - polynomial algorithm, better then simplex from 1000 constraints and 50 000 variables.

 1984 Narendra Karmarkar - interior point method, polynomial and more efficient similar to simplex

Definition of linear programing

Let us consider vector

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Which satisfies the conditions

$$a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + \dots + a_{in}x_n \begin{cases} \leq \\ \geq \\ = \end{cases} a_{i0} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
 (1)

$$x_1 \ge 0, x_2 \ge 0 \dots x_n \ge 0 \tag{2}$$

And maximized (minimized) objective function

$$z = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \ldots + c_n x_n \tag{3}$$

Terminology

- Objective function
- Decision variables
- Coefficients (cost, technological) parameters
- Constraints
- Feasible solution

• Feasible region

Optimal solution

Example 0 Jasiu is obligated to buy at least 10 kg of potato. Potato costs 2 PLN per kg. Jasiu wants to save money. How much will he buy and spend?

Example 1

Let us consider an enterprise which produces two different goods W_1 and W_2 (for example carriages and boats). Only three different resources are used S_1 , S_2 and S_3 (for example: Labor force, wood, ropes).

	Resources		
	S_1	S_2	S_3
Product W_1	6	10	5
Product W_2	8	6	15
Amount of resource	48	60	75

The requirements are stated in the table above. The profit from selling one peace of first product is 4 units of money, and profit from second good W_2 is 6.

Assumptions

• Proportionality

Additivity

Divisibility

• Determinism

The Standard Maximum Problem

Find

$$X = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]^T (4)$$

Subject to

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + & \dots + a_{1n}x_n & \leq & a_{10} \\
 a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + & \dots + a_{2n}x_n & \leq & a_{20} \\
 & \dots & & & \\
 a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + & \dots + a_{mn}x_n & \leq & a_{m0}
 \end{array}$$
(5)

$$x_1 \ge 0, x_2 \ge 0 \dots x_n \ge 0 \tag{6}$$

Maximize objective function

$$z = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \ldots + c_n x_n \tag{7}$$

The Standard Minimum Problem

Find

$$X = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]^T$$
(8)

Subject to

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + & \dots + a_{1n}x_n & \geq & a_{10} \\
a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + & \dots + a_{2n}x_n & \geq & a_{20} \\
& \dots & \\
a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + & \dots + a_{mn}x_n & \geq & a_{m0}
\end{array} \tag{9}$$

$$x_1 \ge 0, x_2 \ge 0 \dots x_n \ge 0 \tag{10}$$

Minimize objective function

$$z = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \ldots + c_n x_n \tag{11}$$

The Standard Equality Problem

Find

$$X = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]^T$$
(12)

Subject to

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + & \dots + a_{1n}x_n &= a_{10} \\
 a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + & \dots + a_{2n}x_n &= a_{20} \\
 & \dots & & \\
 a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + & \dots + a_{mn}x_n &= a_{m0}
 \end{array}$$
(13)

$$x_1 \ge 0, x_2 \ge 0 \dots x_n \ge 0 \tag{14}$$

Maximize (minimize) objective function

$$z = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \ldots + c_n x_n \tag{15}$$

THEOREM 1. Objective function of the linear programming problem is a convex function (at the same time is a concave function too).

THEOREM 2. Feasible region of the linear programming problem is a convex set.

THEOREM 3. Every point P of the feasible region of the linear programming problem may be expressed as convex linear combination of feasible corner points P_1 , P_2 , ..., P_s , that is $P = \lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \ldots + \lambda_s P_s$, where $\lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 \geq 0, \ldots, \lambda_s \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_s = 1$.

THEOREM 4. The objective function of a linear programming problem achieves the maximum value at a feasible corner point.

THEOREM 5. If the objective function of a linear programming problem achieves the maximum value at s ($s \ge 2$) feasible corner points $P_1, P_2, ..., P_s$ then it achieves the maximum value at every point that is a convex linear combination of $P_1, P_2, ..., P_s$.

Example 3

Find $x = [x_1, x_2]^T$ subject to:

$$x_1 + x_2 \le 1$$

$$x_1 \ge 0 \ x_2 \ge 0$$

That mimimizes:

a)
$$z = -x_1 - 2x_2 + 3$$

b)
$$z = x_2 + 1$$

c)
$$z = 2$$

Definition of mathematical programing

For vector $X = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T$ maximize (minimize) objective function

$$z = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \tag{16}$$

Subject to

$$g_i(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \begin{cases} \leq \\ \geq \\ = \end{cases} 0 \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$
 (17)

Feasible region set
$$D = \left\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^2 : g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \leq \\ \geq \\ = \end{array} \right\} 0, i = 1, \dots, m, \right\}.$$

Gradient vector

$$\nabla f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \left[\frac{\delta f(X)}{\delta x_1}, \frac{\delta f(X)}{\delta x_2}, \dots, \frac{\delta f(X)}{\delta x_n} \right]$$

Fermat theorem - necessary condition for extreme function values If function $f(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)$ reaches local maximum (minimum) in the interior point $\widehat{X}=[\widehat{x_1},\widehat{x_2},\ldots,\widehat{x_n}]\in D\subset R^n\ \widehat{X}$, where D is a domain of function f, then $\nabla f(\widehat{X})=[0,0,\ldots,0]$. In other words $\frac{\delta f(\widehat{X})}{\delta x_1}=0$, $\frac{\delta f(\widehat{X})}{\delta x_2}=0$, ..., $\frac{\delta f(\widehat{X})}{\delta x_n}=0$.