

## MULTIPLICITY ONE THEOREMS

### I. PIATETSKI-SHAPIRO\*

1. Let  $G = \mathbf{GL}_n$  over a global field  $k$ . We shall discuss the following two results.

(1) **MULTIPLICITY ONE THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible smooth admissible representation of  $G(\mathbf{A})$ . Then the multiplicity of  $\pi$  in the space of cusp forms is equal to one or zero.*

(2) Recall that any irreducible admissible smooth representation  $\pi$  can be written  $\pi = \bigotimes_p \pi_p$ , where each  $\pi_p$  is an irreducible admissible smooth representation of the local group  $G_p$ .

**STRONG MULTIPLICITY ONE THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi_1 = \bigotimes_p \pi_{1,p}$  and  $\pi_2 = \bigotimes_p \pi_{2,p}$  be two irreducible representations; suppose  $\pi_{1,p} \cong \pi_{2,p}$  for every  $p \notin S$ , where  $S$  is a finite set, which in case  $n > 2$  is assumed to contain only finite places. Then  $\pi_{1,p} \cong \pi_{2,p}$  for all  $p$ . (Hence  $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ .)*

We begin by sketching the proof of the first Theorem (1). The basic tool is the Whittaker model. We introduce this first in the case  $k$  a local field, and  $(\pi, V)$  an irreducible smooth representation. In the case of  $k$  archimedean, we mean by “smooth representation” the representation of  $G$  on the space  $V$  of  $C^\infty$ -vectors in some Hilbert space  $H$  on which  $G$  acts unitarily; for  $k$  nonarchimedean, this notion was introduced in Cartier’s lectures. Let  $\psi$  be an additive character of  $k$ . Let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & * \\ & 1 & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

be the standard maximal unipotent subgroup of  $G$ . Then a Whittaker model  $W(\pi, \psi)$  for  $(\pi, V)$  is the image of  $V$  under an element of  $\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}_X^G(\psi))$  where  $\psi(x) = \psi(x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1})$  if

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & & * \\ & & x_2 & \\ & & & x_{n-1} \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

More explicitly, it is given by a set of smooth functions  $\{W_v: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, v \in V\}$  for which

(i)  $W_v(xg) = \psi(x)W_v(g)$ , for all  $x \in X, g \in G$ .

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 22E55; Secondary 10D20.

\*The author was supported by an NSF grant while preparing this paper.

© 1979, American Mathematical Society

(ii)  $W_{\pi(h)v}(g) = W_v(gh)$ , for all  $g, h \in G$ .

We have the following important result due to Gelfand-Kazhdan for the case  $k$  nonarchimedean, and Shalika for general local fields ([1], [2]).

**UNIQUENESS THEOREM.** *For each irreducible admissible smooth representation  $(\pi, V)$ , there exists at most one  $W(\pi, \phi)$  (for fixed  $\phi$ ).*

For  $k$  archimedean we assume that  $(\pi, V)$  is a unitarizable representation and  $V = \{x \in H \mid (\mathcal{D}x, \mathcal{D}x) < \infty \ \forall \ \mathcal{D} \in \text{enveloping algebra}\}$ . Here  $H$  means the completion of  $V$  with respect to the inner product  $(x, x)$ . We assume also that  $W_v(1)$  is a continuous linear functional on  $V$  with respect to the topology defined by seminorms  $(\mathcal{D}x, \mathcal{D}x)$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \in \text{enveloping algebra}$ .

Returning to the global case, we point out that the preceding discussion easily implies uniqueness of global Whittaker models (defined in the obvious way).

**2. Global Fourier analysis.** Let  $(\pi, V)$  be admissible irreducible cuspidal as before,  $\varphi \in V$ . Then we can define

$$W_\varphi(g) = \int_{X_k \backslash X_A} \varphi(xg) \psi^{-1}(x) dx.$$

Global Fourier analysis says that this ‘‘Fourier transform’’ defines a cusp-form uniquely. In the classical setting this is due to Hecke; for  $n = 2$  it is proved in Jacquet-Langlands [3]; for  $n > 2$  it is due independently to Piatetski-Shapiro [4] and Shalika [2]. The proof is motivated by a corresponding result over a finite field due to S. I. Gelfand [5]

It is now easy to see that these results imply Theorem (1), since

$$\dim \text{Hom}_G(V, W(\pi, \phi)) = 1 \geq \dim \text{Hom}_G(V, L_0^2).$$

We now turn to the proof of the strong multiplicity one theorem. First we discuss the case  $n = 2$ ; we need the following

**SMALL LEMMA.** (1) *Assume  $k$  local,  $(\pi_1, V_1)$ ,  $(\pi_2, V_2)$  two irreducible admissible representations with Whittaker models. Then there exist  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $v_2 \in V_2$  such that*

$$W_{v_1} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = W_{v_2} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (W_{v_i} \in W(\pi_i, \lambda)).$$

(2) *If  $k = \mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$  we assume that  $(\pi_1, H_1)$  and  $(\pi_2, H_2)$  are irreducible infinite-dimensional unitary representations. Denote by  $V_1$  ( $V_2$ ) the set of all smooth vectors in  $H_1$  ( $H_2$ ). Then there exist  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $v_2 \in V_2$  such that*

$$W_{v_1} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = W_{v_2} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_{v_i} \in W(\pi_i, \phi).$$

**PROOF.** For  $k$  a local nonarchimedean field it is known that  $V$  contains all Schwartz-Bruhat functions with compact support in  $k^*$ . Hence we have what we want.

Now let  $k = \mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ . The Kirillov theorem (see [8, p. 221]) says that each irreducible infinite-dimensional unitary representation of  $\text{GL}(2, k)$  remains irreducible after restriction on the subgroup  $\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\} = P$  and hence as a representation of  $P$  is isomorphic to the standard representation of  $P$ . Hence, if  $\varphi(x)$  is a  $C^\infty$ -function with compact support then there exist  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $v_2 \in V_2$  such that

$$W_{v_i} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \varphi(x).$$

REMARK. Assume that for a unitary representation with a Whittaker model the inner product can be written as an integral similar to the case for  $n = 2$ . Using this result we can prove the “small lemma” for any  $n$  as we did for  $n = 2$ . This implies the strong multiplicity one theorem for any  $n$ .

Next we give the formula for recovering  $\varphi$  from its Whittaker model due to Jacquet-Langlands, for  $\mathrm{GL}(2, A)$ :

$$(*) \quad \varphi(g) = \sum_{\lambda \in k^*} W_{\varphi} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right).$$

Now suppose  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem. To prove the assertion, it is enough to produce a nonzero  $\varphi \in V_1 \cap V_2$ , since then the irreducibility of  $(\pi_i, V_i)$  implies equality. Further, since  $B_k \backslash B_A$  is dense in  $G_k \backslash G_A$ , it is enough to produce two functions (nonzero)  $\varphi_i \in V_i$  which are equal on  $B_A$  (as usual  $B$  is the group of upper triangular matrices).

From the properties of Whittaker models and  $(*)$ , it is enough to produce Whittaker functions  $W_1, W_2$  such that  $W_1 \left( \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = W_2 \left( \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ ,  $x \in A^*$ . One can suppose such  $W_i$  are of the form  $\prod_p W_i^p$  and then it suffices to construct the appropriate  $W_i$  at a finite number of places (by assumption). But then one can use the small lemma. This type of argument was found independently here by Shalika and in Moscow.

For  $n \geq 3$ , we need a similar small lemma (Gelfand-Kazhdan): Suppose  $k$  local, nonarchimedean,  $(\pi_i, V_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , irreducible admissible representations with Whittaker models. There exist  $v_i \in V_i$  such that

$$W_{v_1} \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = W_{v_2} \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{all } h \in \mathrm{GL}(n-1).$$

One can then employ induction using arguments similar to the case  $n = 2$ , in order to prove the general case. It should be possible to prove this lemma also for  $k$  archimedean; then the restriction we made that  $S$  contains no infinite places could be removed.

Now suppose  $G$  is quasi-split and satisfies the *transitivity condition*:

$T(A)$  acts transitively on  $\prod_{\alpha \text{ a simple root}} X_{\alpha}^*(A)$ . Here  $T$  is a maximal  $k$ -torus in a Borel group,  $X_{\alpha}^* = X_{\alpha} - \{I\}$  where  $X_{\alpha}$  is the root group associated to the simple root  $\alpha$ .

Define an automorphic cuspidal irreducible representation  $(\pi, V)$  to be *hypercuspidal* (degenerate cuspidal) if

$$W_{\varphi}(g) = \int_{X_k \backslash X_A} \varphi(xg) \psi^{-1}(x) dx = 0$$

for all  $\varphi \in V$ . Holomorphic cusp forms lifted from symmetric spaces which contain no copies of  $H = \{\mathrm{Im} z > 0\}$  are of this type.

A cuspidal automorphic form will be called *generic* if it is orthogonal to all hypercuspidal automorphic forms (under the usual scalar product  $\int_{CG_k \backslash GA} \varphi \psi dg$ ).

Counterexamples to the Ramanujan conjecture given during this conference by Howe and the author are hypercuspidal forms [6]. The author does not wish to kill

all belief in the Ramanujan conjecture; he conjectures it to be true for the generic cuspidal automorphic irreducible representation.

Now I shall sketch the proof of the multiplicity one theorem for generic cuspidal automorphic forms. First, the uniqueness theorem for local Whittaker models is true [2]. But of course now the Whittaker function does not define an arbitrary cusp form uniquely. Now it follows immediately from the definition that a generic cusp form is uniquely defined by its Whittaker function. This implies multiplicity one just as before. It can be proved that for each quasi-split reductive group there exist generic cusp forms. It also can be proved that for such groups there exists a unipotent subgroup  $U$  such that  $\int_{U \backslash U_A} \varphi(ug) du$  can be expressed in terms of the Whittaker function. Since for any group except  $GL(n)$  there exist hypercuspidal forms, we cannot of course expect to be able to recover  $\varphi$  itself from its Whittaker function. Details concerning this will be given in a forthcoming publication of Novodvorskii and the author. (Notes prepared by B. Seifert and L. Morris.)

#### REFERENCES

1. I. M. Gelfand and D. I. Kazhdan, *Representation of the group  $GL(n, K)$ , where  $K$  is a local field*, Lie Groups and their Representations (Proc. Summer School of the Bolya-Janos Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971) Halsted, New York, 1975.
2. J. A. Shalika, *The multiplicity one theorem for  $GL(n)$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **100** (1974).
3. H. Jacquet and R. P. Langlands, *Automorphic forms on  $GL(2)$* , Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 114, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
4. I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *Euler subgroups*, Lie Groups and their Representations (Proc. Summer School of the Bolya-Janos Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971) Halsted, New York, 1975.
5. S. I. Gelfand, *Representations of the general linear group over a finite field*, (Proc. Summer School of the Bolya-Janos Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971) Halsted, New York, 1975.
6. R. Howe and I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *A counterexample to the "generalized Ramanujan conjecture" for (quasi-) split groups*, these PROCEEDINGS, part 1, pp. 315–322.
7. R. P. Langlands, *On the notion of an automorphic representation*, these PROCEEDINGS, part 1, pp. 203–207.
8. I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev and I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *Representation theory and automorphic functions*, W. B. Saunders Company, 1969.

UNIVERSITY OF TEL AVIV