

Unbiased estimator: bias = 0. estimate for the population mean µ. defined as: $S^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2$ 6.3) Efficient Consistent Estimator We can quantify how exactly good estimators are. We use a metric called Estimator Efficiency: Given two unbiased estimators $E_1(X)$ and $E_2(X)$ where X= (X₁, ..., X_n) (a sample containing n observations X...) We can compare the mean, variance etc to see which estimator is more efficient. We want a low variance. E₁ is more efficient than E₂ if: $\forall \hat{\theta} \forall \text{ar } F_1(F_1|\theta) \leq \forall \theta \forall \text{ar } F_2(F_2|\theta)$ or $\exists \theta \ \forall \theta \ \forall e \ E_1(E_1|\theta) < \forall \theta \ \forall e \ E_2(E_2|\theta)$ More efficient means less variance in estimates. If an estimator is more efficient than any other possible estimator, it is called efficient. Example: Given a population with mean μ and variance σ². We have a sample: $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$

Consider two estimators:

efficient estimator.

consistent estimator always.

1. $E_1 = X$ (sample mean) 2. $E_2 = X_1$

The expected value of the sample mean is the

The expected value of any observation is µ, so the first

Now, we compute the variance: For a single sample the

variance is σ^2 hence: $VarE_2(E_2 | u \text{ and } \sigma^2) = Var(X_1) = \sigma^2$

For the sample mean, we use the CLT - so the variance

So the variance of $E_2 \le E_1$ variance, so E_1 is the more

sample size grows. Note that the sample mean is a

is the mean of the sample divided by the size = σ^2/n

The consistency of an estimator grows as the

population mean μ, hence E₁ is unbiased.

observation in the sample is also unbiased.

We can compute the bias for both

The sample variance is a biased estimator and is We apply **Bessel's Correction** to get the **unbiased** sample variance $S_{n-1}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2$

For the variance: If we know the population mean µ we can use the unbiased estimator: $S_n^2 = \frac{1}{-} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i)^n$

For any distribution the sample mean \underline{x} is an unbiased

3) Mean $T_X[X_1, X_2, ..., X_n] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n} \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$ Estimators can be biased thanks to being based on a sample rather than the population. bias(T) = $E[T|\theta] - \theta$

variance (and more) related to our statistic. The CLT Examples of Estimators (some are better): 1) Using the first / any X_i as the estimator: $T[X_1, X_2, ..., X_n] = X_1 \sim P_{X_1A}$ 2) Median: $T_{\text{median}}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] = X |(n+1)/2| \sim P_{X|\theta}$

Statistics and Estimation

models these results.

Statistics and Probability are kind of opposite - in

probability we used distributions to predict the likelihood

of events. In statistics, we use events/empirical data to

methods use it to make inferences about the population.

Statistical Models - a structure (often a distribution)

developed from a sample that can be used to make

a finite set of parameters. If the probability of each

 $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim Model(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$ given IID.

6.1) Central Limit Theorem for Statistics

the mean value of the sample size from X is:

 $Y \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$. As the sample size increases, the

us form a distribution without needing to know it.

assume those parameters are IID.

Examples: Normal, Poisson.

6.2) Estimators

inferences about a population. They're parametric, ie:

can be described entirely by their parameters, they have

outcome only depends on their parameters, then we car

Given a random variable X belonging to a distribution,

variance in mean between different samples increases

At infinity we can use standard normal. AKA, the CLT lets

Statistic - a function operating on random variables of a

sample. $T = T(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) = T(X)$. It is a function of

Hence if distribution X's parameters are known, we can

probabilities for various T. When given some sample x =

random variables, and so it is a random variable itself.

use it, if T is the sum of ages of a class of 10, and we

 $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ we have: $t = t(\underline{x}) = t(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$.

know the mean age, variance we can calculate

determine or validate the probability distribution that

Sample - A subset of the population. Statistical

Examples: mean, stdey, median, Estimator: A statistic used to approximate the parameter of the distribution of its arguments. Given a sample x the estimator t = t(x) is called an estimate. If we can approximately identify the sampling distribution of the statistic (P_{TIB}) we can find the expectation,

8) Hypothesis Testing: Given two samples we determine whether the difference is significant enough to suggest the parameters of the Hypothesis H₀, Alternative Hypothesis H₁.

To do these questions we simply just find each

parameter and slot it into the appropriate formula.

Confidence Intervals

 $X \sim N(x, \sigma^2/n)$

Case 1) We know the true variance of a population. We

work out the sample mean, and it is distributed as:

If μ (population mean) = x then (using the standard

normal distribution) we can say that there is a 95%

probability that the observed statistic is in the range

standard normal value at the 95% confidence level.

Case 2) The true variance is unknown. We have to

We must use the student's t distribution to

 $[\underline{x} - t_{v=n-1, \ 1-a/2} \ S_{n-1} / \ \sqrt{n}, \underline{x} + t_{v=n-1, \ 1-a/2} \ S_{n-1} / \ \sqrt{n}]$

When using the tables for t values, we use the size we

interval), and then use the degrees of freedom (n-1).

want (e.g 0.975 for 95% double-ended confidence

For a double ended confidence (100 - a)%, we compute

obtain the hiss corrected variance:

We set degrees of freedom: v = n - 1.

 $t_{v=n-1,\;1-q/2}$ to find the critical values.

 $S_{n-1} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{}}$

calculate our t score:

So the formula is:

 $[x-1.96\sigma/n, x+1.96\sigma/n]$. This is using a two tailed

So the formula is $[x-z\sigma/n, x+z\sigma/n]$ where z is the

two tailed standard normal value at the right confidence

distribution are different for the two of them. I know Null We can have a 1) "has changed" two sided test $(H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \text{ versus } H_1: \theta = /= \theta_0)$ or a "is less than" or "is

more than" one sided test $(H_0: \theta > \theta_0 \text{ versus } H_1: \theta < \theta_0)$ Choose a test statistic T(X) to use on the data. Find a distribution P_T under H₀ from the test statistic.

3. Determine the rejection region (the region in which a result would invalidate H0). 4. Calculate the observed test statistics t(x). 5. If t(x) is in the rejection region, reject H0 and accept

H₁, else retain H₀. 8.1) Test Errors

The significance level $a \in (0, 1)$ of a hypothesis test

determines the size of the rejection regions. $a \rightarrow 0$ Less and less likely to reject H_0 , rejection region smaller, confidence in our result is lower - easier test. (remember we use 1-a for the p value). a → 1 More and more likely to reject H₀, rejection region larger, confidence higher - stricter test / easier to fail.

(5% significance is standard). The p-value of a test is the significance level threshold between rejection/acceptance of H0 for a given test. Type 1: Reject H₀ when it is actually true.

 $a = P(T \in R|H_0)$ **Type 2:** Accepting H_0 when H_1 is true. $\beta = P(T \in R|H_1)$

Probability a test statistic is not in the rejecting region, when H₁ is true

Test Power - The probability of correctly rejecting the null hypothesis. **Power** = $1 - \beta = 1 - P(T \in R|H_1) = P(T \in R|H_1)$

For a given significance level: $a = P(T \in R|H_0)$ A good test statistic T and rejection region R will have a high power, the highest power test under H₁ is called the most nowerful.

M3) Testing for Population Mean

We derive a new distribution in terms of the standard normal which we use to compute our confidence

 $Z = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$ A manufacturer sells packets listed as having weight

 $H_0: \mu = 454g, H_1: \mu = /= 454g$

formula for known variance)

454g. From a sample size of 50, we get the mean weight of a bag as 451.22g. Assume the variance of bag weights is 70. Is the observed sample consistent with the daim made by the company at the 5% significance?

We have this information: x = 451.22g, $\sigma^2 = 70$, n = 50, a = 0.05. Sample variance = 70/50, So, X ~ N (454, 70/50) $Z = X - 454/\sqrt{35/5} \sim N(0, 1)$ (standard normal dist.) Critical value = 0.95 two tails = 1.96 Hence in order to accept Ho, X must be in the interval: 451.6809 < X < 456.3191 (Using the confidence interval

As x = 451.22 we reject H_0 . At the 95% significance

Note that: All expected values must be larger than 5 for a good test. Hence some bins may have to be merged. M6) Chi Squared Test for Independence This is the variant done back in A levels. We have a of x and v. The only change we do is we count df = "Determine ... a link between... 9) Maximum Likelihood Estimate Given a distribution with unknown parameter θ : $X \sim Distribution(...\theta...)$, and a sample of the distribution $X: X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$, we want to determine **the most** probable value for parameter θ , given our data. 9.1) The Likelihood Function (L(θ)) The likelihood of some observations $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ occurring given some θ is: $L(\theta) = P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n | \theta) = \prod f(x_i | \theta)$

Works because f is the probability mass function, and as each observation is independent we can multiply their probabilities. The Log Likelihood Function ($I(\theta)$) - Used more often than likelihood, much easier to work with $I(\theta) = \ln L(\theta)$ To get this most probable value for θ , we construct the

likelihood function, then get the log likelihood function, and differentiate to determine the value of I(A) for which we have the maximum. This value is known as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ there is sufficient evidence to reject the company's daim. the Maximum Likelihood Estimate (0').

 $X^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(O_{i} - E_{i})^{2}}{E_{i}}$ M5) Chi Squared Test for Model Checking Determine expected distribution 3) Binomial Distribution Create a hypotheses based some parameters θ: $X \sim Binomial(m, \theta) \Rightarrow f(x) = {m \choose x} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{m-x}$ $H0: \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H1: \theta = /= \theta_0$ 3. Construct our E table Calculate the Chi-Square Test Statistic X² Calculate the degrees of freedom as: v = (number of possible values X can take) - (number of parameters being estimated) - 1. 6. Calculate the Chi Squared Statistic 7. Calculate the significance a, using a table with v, the degrees of freedom. 8. If $X^2 > \chi^2_{v, 1-a}$ (test statistic larger than critical value) The number of values X can take is typically the number contingency table which has each combination of values (rows-1) x (columns - 1). Questions will be worded like

If we have unknown variance, then we have to compute 9.2) Common Maximum Likelihood Estimates

8.3) Unknown Variance, X, Y are independent but 1) Determine the likelihood 2) Obtain log likelihood

student's t distribution instead for our confidence interval for the maximum likelihood.

each X_i and Y_i are possibly dependent on each other. We $L(\theta) = \prod f(x_i)$

Given a sample $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, we can use formulas

Obtain log likelihood

 $= \ln \left(\theta^n e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \right)$

 $=\ln\left(\theta^{n}(1-\theta)^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}\right)-n}\right)$

 $= n \ln \theta + \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right) - n \right) \ln \left(1 \right)$

 $0 = n\theta - n + \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) - n\right)\theta$

 $= n \ln \theta - \theta \sum x_i$

 $=\theta^n\prod^n e^{-\theta x_i} \quad \mbox{3) Differentiate and set to 0:}$

1) Exponential Distribution:

 $X \sim \text{Exp}(\theta) => f(x) = \theta e^{-\theta x}$

likelihood in terms of P

= $\theta^n e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$ 4) Hence, the maximum

the reciprocal of the mean

2) Geometric Distribution:

in terms of θ :

 $\prod \theta (1-\theta)^{x_i-1}$

 $\theta^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1-\theta)^{x_i-1}$

 $\theta^n(1-\theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n(x_i-1)}$

 $\theta^n(1-\theta)^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)-n}$

maximum likelihood

mean of the sample.

4) Hence, the

estimator is the

reciprocal of the

 $X \sim Geo(\theta) \Rightarrow f(x) = \theta(1 - \theta)$

 $L(\theta) = \prod f(x_i)$

likelihood estimator is

1) Determine the

the bias corrected variance. We then use the

If we are given two random samples and have two

sample means, we do a Hypothesis test for equality.

Paired Data: A special case when X and Y are paired

consider a sample of the differences, and test if this has

 $Z_i = X_i - Y_i$ testing $H_0: \mu Z = 0$ versus $H_1: \mu_Z = /= 0$

Example: Heart Rate before and after exercise.

8.2) Known Variance, X, Y are Independent

Given $\underline{X} = (X_1, ..., X_{n1}), X_i \sim N(\mu_X, \sigma^2 X), \underline{X} \sim N(\mu X, \sigma^2 X)$

 $X - Y \sim N(\mu_{Y} - \mu_{Y}, \sigma^{2}X/n_{1} + \sigma^{2}Y/n_{2})$

 $(\overline{X} - \overline{Y}) - (\mu_x - \mu_Y) \sim N(0, 1)$

 $\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2$

formula with that bracket as 0.

with equal variance We can combine their variance again

Example

Variance: S28 =

and $\det \overline{X} - \underbrace{\overline{Y}}$ an overall variance.

 $\sigma^{2}X/n_{1})\underline{Y} = (Y_{1}, ..., Y_{n2}), Y_{i} \sim N(\mu_{Y}, \sigma^{2Y}), \underline{Y} \sim N(\mu_{Y}, \sigma^{2Y})$

We get the distribution of difference in sample means:

We then put this distribution in the standard normal:

For H_0 we assume $u_x = u_y$ so we end with z = the above

 $= \sim N(0, 1)$

Compiler1: $n_1 = 15$, $\underline{x} = 114s$, $s_{14}^2 = 310$

Compiler2: $n_2 = 15$, y = 94s, $s_{14}^2 = 290$

population variances are the same for both.

 $(14 \times 310 + 14 \times 290) / (14 + 14) = 300$

We can get the Bias-Corrected Pooled Sample

Hence our test statistic is: $\underline{x} - \underline{y} / \sigma \sqrt{(1/n_1 + 1/n_2)} =$

We proceed with Welch's T Test in this example.

We assume that the variances of the

.. (other hypothesis test work done)

 $20/(300\sqrt{(2/15)}) = \sqrt{10} \approx 3.162$

8.4) Chi Squared Testing

M4) Sample from Two Populations

as outlined in case 2

mean 0:

Conjugate Prior: When continually inferring new prior distributions, if the prior distribution is in the same family of distributions (i.e parameters can be different, but same distribution) as the posterior, then it is a conjugate prior. Likelihood | Conjugate Prior Bernoulli Rinomial Geometric

 $= \ln \left(\prod_{x_i}^{n} \binom{m}{x_i} \times \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} \times (1 - \theta)^{mn - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} \right)$ $= \ln \prod_{x_i}^{n} {m \choose x_i} + \ln \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} + \ln (1-\theta)^{mn - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}$ $= \ln \prod_{i=1}^{n} \binom{m}{x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \ln \theta + \left(mn - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right) \ln(1-\theta) \text{ it is a valid pdf) is: } B(\alpha,\beta) = \int_{0}^{1} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \ d\theta$ $\frac{dl(\theta)}{d\theta} = 0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \frac{1}{\theta} + \left(mn - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \frac{1}{\theta - 1} = 0$ $\frac{argmax_{\theta}[Beta(\theta; \alpha, \beta)]}{\alpha - 1}$

 $0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i(\theta - 1) + \left(mn - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right)\theta$

 $0 = \theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + mn\theta - \theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$

m

Exponential 10.3) The Beta Prior Distribution of the distribution, the parameter is θ : $Beta(\theta; \alpha, \beta) = B(\alpha, \beta)$ Where the normalising value (ensures total integral sums to 1 so maximal value/ θ_{MAP} | mean/bayesian estimate θ_{B}

1) When $a = \beta$ it is

symmetrical about 0.5

2) Higher values result in

4) As $a \rightarrow 1$ and $B \rightarrow 1$

Beta(θ ; α , β) \rightarrow U(0, 1)

and ^AMAP → ^AMI F

steeper/narrower distribution

3) The MAP estimate pulls the

estimate towards the prior.

10) Posterior

 $P(A|B) = P(B|A) \times P(A) / P(B)$

 $\theta_1 \mid P(x_1 \mid \theta_1) \mid P(x_2 \mid \theta_1)$

 $\theta_2 | P(x_1 | \theta_2) | P(x_2 | \theta_2)$

 $\theta_{m} | P(x_{1} | \theta_{m}) | P(x_{2} | \theta_{m}) |$

given we have symptoms.

Posterior ∝ Likelihood x Prior

about A

the next posterior, and so on.

 $P(X|\theta) \times P(\theta)$

Posterior formula:

 $P(\theta_i | x_i) =$

We can re-express this as:

MLF has weaknesses: Sensitive to Sample Size

Does not use any Prior. Returns a single val

rather than a distribution - so we don't how close

other θ are, or how strong our estimate is. Cannot

Assess - confidence intervals also rely on the sample.

 $P(A|B) = P(B|A) \times P(A) / P(B|A) \times P(A) + P(B|A)(1-P(A))$

...

. . .

...

 x_n

P(x_n | θ₁)

 $P(x_n | \theta_2)$

P(x_n | θ_m)

Intuition about Posterior, Prior and Likelihood:

 x_2

 $P(x_i | \theta_i)$

P(x_i)

The Posterior is the probability we have the disease

The Likelihood is the probability we have symptoms

given we have the disease. They are not the same. $-\theta$) What we really want to know is the probability we

Given some prior information ($P(\theta)$) we can

effectively get the MLE, but each probability is

This does require us to put prior information P(0)

 $arg \max_{\theta} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(X = x_i | \theta) \times P(\theta) \right|$

 $P(X|\theta) \times P(\theta)$

 $\overline{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(X|\theta)P(\theta) \ d\theta}$

have symptoms ($\dot{P}(x=1)$, the evidence or the

probability we have the disease $P(\theta)$ the prior).

weighted by the prior information:

10.1) Maximum a Posterior

10.2) Conjugate Priors and Bayesian Inference

 $P(\theta|x) \propto P(x|\theta) * P(\theta)$. We keep updating our Posterior

In Bayesian Inference, we compute the posterior distribution

Distribution as we see new data. We feed our prior and likelihood

to produce a posterior. This becomes our new prior, to calculate

Where α , $\beta > 0$ are hyper-parameters that determine the shape $\theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$

 $\alpha + \beta$

1.5

variance

unknown. U We get the likelihood using the normal distribution PDF:

respectively of the previous iteration (of the posterior we fed in).

We get the ^0B, ^0MAP, and ^0MLE by simple formula applications. MeanPrior = ^0B,

We then continue with this process normally, yielding $\sigma_1^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{-1}$ and $\mu_1 = \sigma_1^2 \left(\frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_2^2} + \frac{x}{\sigma^2}\right)$

 $P(x|u) = f(x|u) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \times exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$ where $exp\{n\} = e^n$

Blta $(\theta, \hat{x}_0 + d, \Omega(1-\hat{x}) + \beta)$ (3) This gives us the posterior

^0MeanPrior and ^0MLE estimates and arrange these in ascending order.

and MaxPrior = MAP for iteration 1. For iteration 2, we take the ^0B and MAP

1) Single datapoint x sample: Given some $x|\mu \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ where σ^2 is known, μ

2) We can extend this for a sample $x = x_1, ..., x_n$ and distribution $x_i | \mu \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ where σ is

 $\sigma_1^2 = \frac{\sigma^2 \sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + n \sigma_0^2} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}\right)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1 = \frac{\mu_0 \sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_0^2 x_i}{\sigma^2 + n \sigma_0^2} = \sigma_1^2 \left(\frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\sigma^2}\right)^{-1}$

 $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}^2 = \frac{1}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)}$ Posterior Distribution

Beta (O: A, B)

Normal Distribution Example

10.4) Gamma Prior Distribution

Mean/bayesian estimate = q / B

Posterior: Using Baves Theorem:

Variance = α / β^2

for a >= 1, 0 for a < 1.

Used for the poisson and exponential.

Maximal value (aka Mode, aka θ_{MAP}) = $\alpha - 1/\beta$

 θ_{MAP} , $^{\circ}\theta_{MaxPrior}$, $^{\circ}\theta_{MeanPrior}$ and $^{\circ}\theta_{MLE}$ estimates?

Substitution for the numerator 2(XIO) is yotten using the Beinoulli, PMF:

Bayesian Inference Example: Bernoulli Distribution

Let $X_i \mid \theta \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$ and $\theta \sim \text{Beta}(\theta; a, b)$ where a > 1 and b > 1.

Sterior = P(U) = P(XID) P(D) = P(XID) P(D)

The descriptor P(x) = [10 x (1-0) n(1-x) . Boxa (0; x, B) do

 $\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{\alpha+x^{2}-1}{(1-\theta)}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{\alpha+x^{2}-1}{(1-\theta)}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{\alpha(1-x^{2})+\beta-1}{d\theta}$

T P(x(1θ) = θ (1-θ) Λ(1-x)

a) i) Derive the posterior distribution for $\theta|x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$. What are the formulas for θ

P(x(0) P(0) de

for x > 0 $\alpha, \beta > 0$

 $\Gamma(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1)!$. 0.3

 $k = 1.0, \theta = 2.0$

----- k = 7.5 θ = 1.0

A statistic is sufficient for a given model (our chosen distribution) and its associated

parameter if no other statistic can be calculated from a sample that provides additional

information in computing the value/estimate of the unknown parameter

For a normal distribution the sufficient statistic is the sample mean $T(\underline{x}) = \overline{x} = \frac{1}{2} \sum x_i$

1. We can calculate the posterior distribution using the likelihood and prior Exponential part of the

We now have the

PDF for our normal.

 $\mu | \mathbf{x} \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$

2 We can now calculate

 $f(\mu)f(T(\underline{x})|\mu)$ $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mu)f(\underline{x}|\mu) d\mu$ $\propto f(\mu)f(T(x)|\mu)$

 $P(\mu|\underline{x}) = f(\mu|\underline{x}) = \frac{f(\mu)f(\underline{x}|\mu)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mu)f(\underline{x}|\mu) d\mu}$

$$\begin{split} \sigma_1^2 &= \frac{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2 / n}{\sigma^2 / n + \rho_0^2} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{xp} \left\{ -\frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^2} \right\} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{\sigma^2}{n}} \\ \mu_1 &= \frac{\mu_0 \sigma^2 / n + \overline{\alpha} \sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 / n + \sigma_0^2} = \sigma_1^2 \left(\frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{\overline{\alpha} n}{\sigma^2}\right); \end{split}$$

 $\frac{-\left(\mu - \frac{\mu_0\sigma^2/n + \overline{x}\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2/n + \sigma_0^2}\right)}{2^{-\frac{\sigma_0^2\sigma^2/n}{\sigma_0^2}}}$