



# Ten years of forecast reconciliation

Rob J Hyndman   ISF 2020

# Outline

- 1 Hierarchical forecasting 20 years ago
- 2 Point forecast reconciliation
- 3 Probabilistic forecast reconciliation
- 4 Example: Australian tourism
- 5 Example: Australian electricity generation
- 6 Bayesian forecast reconciliation
- 7 ML and regularization


# Outline

- 1 Hierarchical forecasting 20 years ago
- 2 Point forecast reconciliation
- 3 Probabilistic forecast reconciliation
- 4 Example: Australian tourism
- 5 Example: Australian electricity generation
- 6 Bayesian forecast reconciliation
- 7 ML and regularization

# Australian tourism regions



# Australian tourism regions




- Monthly data on visitor night from 1998 – 2017
- From *National Visitor Survey*, annual interviews of 120,000 Australians aged 15+.
- Geographical hierarchy split by
  - ▶ 7 states
  - ▶ 27 zones
  - ▶ 75 regions

# Australian tourism data

```
## # A tsibble: 18,000 x 5 [1M]
## # Key:      state, zone, region [75]
##       month state zone      region visitors
##       <mth> <chr> <chr>     <chr>     <dbl>
## 1 1998 Jan NSW Metro NSW Sydney     926.
## 2 1998 Feb NSW Metro NSW Sydney     647.
## 3 1998 Mar NSW Metro NSW Sydney     716.
## 4 1998 Apr NSW Metro NSW Sydney     621.
## 5 1998 May NSW Metro NSW Sydney     598.
## 6 1998 Jun NSW Metro NSW Sydney     601.
## 7 1998 Jul NSW Metro NSW Sydney     720.
## 8 1998 Aug NSW Metro NSW Sydney     645.
## 9 1998 Sep NSW Metro NSW Sydney     633.
## 10 1998 Oct NSW Metro NSW Sydney    771.
## # ... with 17,990 more rows
```


# Australian tourism data

Total domestic travel: Australia




# Australian tourism data

Total domestic travel: by state




# Australian tourism data

Total domestic travel: NSW by zone





# Australian tourism data

Total domestic travel: South NSW by region



# Hierarchical forecasting 20 years ago




# Forecast reconciliation

- Forecast all series at all levels of aggregation.
- Reconcile forecasts using least squares optimization.

## History

- 2001:** Idea to use all available series to forecast Australia's labour market by occupation.
- 2004:** PhD student Roman Ahmed begins, co-supervised with George Athanasopoulos.
- 2006:** Presentation at ISF, Santander.
- 2007:** Pre-print of "Optimal combination forecasts for hierarchical time series".
- 2009:** Application to Australian tourism published in IJF.
- 2010:** First version of hts package on CRAN.
- 2011:** "Optimal combination forecasts for hierarchical time series" appears in CSDA.

# Forecast reconciliation research



# Forecast reconciliation research



# Outline


- 1 Hierarchical forecasting 20 years ago
- 2 Point forecast reconciliation
- 3 Probabilistic forecast reconciliation
- 4 Example: Australian tourism
- 5 Example: Australian electricity generation
- 6 Bayesian forecast reconciliation
- 7 ML and regularization

# Point forecast reconciliation papers

- Hyndman, Ahmed, Athanasopoulos, Shang (2011 CSDA) Optimal combination forecasts for hierarchical time series.
- Hyndman, Lee, Wang (2016 CSDA) Fast computation of reconciled forecasts for hierarchical and grouped time series.
- Wickramasuriya, Athanasopoulos, Hyndman (2019 JASA) Optimal forecast reconciliation for hierarchical and grouped time series through trace minimization.
- Panagiotelis, Gamakumara, Athanasopoulos, Hyndman (2020 IJF) Forecast reconciliation: A geometric view with new insights on bias correction.


# Hierarchical time series

A **hierarchical time series** is a collection of several time series that are linked together in a hierarchical structure.



# Grouped time series

A **grouped time series** is a collection of time series that can be grouped together in a number of non-hierarchical ways.



# Grouped time series

A **grouped time series** is a collection of time series that can be grouped together in a number of non-hierarchical ways.



## Examples


- Tourism by state and purpose of travel
- Retail sales by product groups/sub groups, and by countries/regions

# Hierarchical and grouped time series

Every collection of time series with linear constraints can be written as

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{b}_t$$

- $\mathbf{y}_t$  = vector of all series at time  $t$
- $y_t$  = aggregate of all series at time  $t$ .
- $y_{X,t}$  = value of series  $X$  at time  $t$ .
- $\mathbf{b}_t$  = vector of most disaggregated series at time  $t$
- $\mathbf{S}$  = “summing matrix” containing the linear constraints.




$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_t &= \begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ y_{A,t} \\ y_{B,t} \\ y_{C,t} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_S \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} y_{A,t} \\ y_{B,t} \\ y_{C,t} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{b}_t} \end{aligned}$$

# Hierarchical time series




# Hierarchical time series



$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ y_{A,t} \\ y_{B,t} \\ y_{C,t} \\ y_{AX,t} \\ y_{AY,t} \\ y_{AZ,t} \\ y_{BX,t} \\ y_{BY,t} \\ y_{BZ,t} \\ y_{CX,t} \\ y_{CY,t} \\ y_{CZ,t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{AX,t} \\ y_{AY,t} \\ y_{AZ,t} \\ y_{BX,t} \\ y_{BY,t} \\ y_{BZ,t} \\ y_{CX,t} \\ y_{CY,t} \\ y_{CZ,t} \end{pmatrix}$$

# Hierarchical time series



$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ y_{A,t} \\ y_{B,t} \\ y_{C,t} \\ y_{AX,t} \\ y_{AY,t} \\ y_{AZ,t} \\ y_{BX,t} \\ y_{BY,t} \\ y_{BZ,t} \\ y_{CX,t} \\ y_{CY,t} \\ y_{CZ,t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{AX,t} \\ y_{AY,t} \\ y_{AZ,t} \\ y_{BX,t} \\ y_{BY,t} \\ y_{BZ,t} \\ y_{CX,t} \\ y_{CY,t} \\ y_{CZ,t} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{b}_t$$

# Grouped data



# Grouped data



$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ y_{A,t} \\ y_{B,t} \\ y_{X,t} \\ y_{Y,t} \\ y_{AX,t} \\ y_{AY,t} \\ y_{BX,t} \\ y_{BY,t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{AX,t} \\ y_{AY,t} \\ y_{BX,t} \\ y_{BY,t} \end{pmatrix}$$

# Grouped data



$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ y_{A,t} \\ y_{B,t} \\ y_{X,t} \\ y_{Y,t} \\ y_{AX,t} \\ y_{AY,t} \\ y_{BX,t} \\ y_{BY,t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{AX,t} \\ y_{AY,t} \\ y_{BX,t} \\ y_{BY,t} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{b}_t$$

# Definitions

## Coherent subspace


$m$ -dimensional linear subspace  $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for which linear constraints hold for all  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{s}$ .

## Hierarchical time series

An  $n$ -dimensional multivariate time series such that  $\mathbf{y}_t \in \mathfrak{s} \quad \forall t$ .

## Coherent point forecasts

$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t}$  is coherent if  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t} \in \mathfrak{s}$ .



$$y_{Tot} = y_A + y_B$$

# Definitions

## Coherent subspace

$m$ -dimensional linear subspace  $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for which linear constraints hold for all  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{s}$ .

## Hierarchical time series

An  $n$ -dimensional multivariate time series such that  $\mathbf{y}_t \in \mathfrak{s} \quad \forall t$ .

## Coherent point forecasts

$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t}$  is coherent if  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t} \in \mathfrak{s}$ .

## Base forecasts

Let  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t}$  be vector of *incoherent* initial  $h$ -step forecasts.



$$Y_{Tot} = Y_A + Y_B$$

# Definitions

## Coherent subspace

$m$ -dimensional linear subspace  $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for which linear constraints hold for all  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{s}$ .

## Hierarchical time series


An  $n$ -dimensional multivariate time series such that  $\mathbf{y}_t \in \mathfrak{s} \quad \forall t$ .

## Coherent point forecasts

$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t}$  is coherent if  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t} \in \mathfrak{s}$ .

## Base forecasts

Let  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t}$  be vector of *incoherent* initial  $h$ -step forecasts.



$$Y_{Tot} = Y_A + Y_B$$

## Reconciled forecasts

Let  $\psi$  be a mapping,  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$ .  
 $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t} = \psi(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t})$  “reconciles”  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t}$ .

# Linear reconciliation

If  $\psi$  is a linear function, then  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t}$

- $\mathbf{G}$  combines base forecasts  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T}$  to get bottom-level forecasts.
- $\mathbf{S}$  creates linear combinations.

# Linear reconciliation

If  $\psi$  is a linear function, then  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t}$

- $\mathbf{G}$  combines base forecasts  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T}$  to get bottom-level forecasts.
- $\mathbf{S}$  creates linear combinations.

## Mean

$$E[\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n] = E[\mathbf{y}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n]$$

provided  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S}$  and

$$E[\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n] = E[\mathbf{y}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n]$$

i.e., reconciled forecasts are unbiased if base forecasts are unbiased and  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}$  is a projection.

# Linear reconciliation

If  $\psi$  is a linear function, then  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t}$

- $\mathbf{G}$  combines base forecasts  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T}$  to get bottom-level forecasts.
- $\mathbf{S}$  creates linear combinations.

## Mean

$$E[\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n] = E[\mathbf{y}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n]$$

provided  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S}$  and

$$E[\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n] = E[\mathbf{y}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n]$$

i.e., reconciled forecasts are unbiased if base forecasts are unbiased and  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}$  is a projection.

## Variance

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{V}_h &= \text{Var}[\mathbf{y}_{T+h} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n] \\ &= \mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{W}_h\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{S}'\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{W}_h = \text{Var}[\mathbf{y}_{T+h} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n]$$

# Linear reconciliation

If  $\psi$  is a linear function, then  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+h|t}$

- $\mathbf{G}$  combines base forecasts  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T}$  to get bottom-level forecasts.
- $\mathbf{S}$  creates linear combinations.

## Mean

$$E[\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n] = E[\mathbf{y}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n]$$

provided  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S}$  and

$$E[\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n] = E[\mathbf{y}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n]$$

i.e., reconciled forecasts are unbiased if base forecasts are unbiased and  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}$  is a projection.

## Variance

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{V}_h &= \text{Var}[\mathbf{y}_{T+h} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n] \\ &= \mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{W}_h\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{S}'\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{W}_h = \text{Var}[\mathbf{y}_{T+h} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T} \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n]$$

## Minimum trace (MinT) reconciliation

If  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}$  is a projection, then the trace of  $\mathbf{V}_h$  is minimized when

$$\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{S}'\mathbf{W}_h^{-1}\mathbf{S})^{-1}\mathbf{S}'\mathbf{W}_h^{-1}\mathbf{h}$$

# Linear projections

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h|T}$$

## Reconciliation method    $\mathbf{G}$

OLS	$(\mathbf{S}'\mathbf{S})^{-1}\mathbf{S}'$
WLS(var)	$(\mathbf{S}'\Lambda_v\mathbf{S})^{-1}\mathbf{S}'\Lambda_v$
WLS(struct)	$(\mathbf{S}'\Lambda_s\mathbf{S})^{-1}\mathbf{S}'\Lambda_s$
MinT(sample)	$(\mathbf{S}'\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\text{sam}}^{-1}\mathbf{S})^{-1}\mathbf{S}'\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\text{sam}}^{-1}$
MinT(shrink)	$(\mathbf{S}'\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\text{shr}}^{-1}\mathbf{S})^{-1}\mathbf{S}'\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\text{shr}}^{-1}$

These approximate MinT by assuming  $\mathbf{W}_h = k_h \mathbf{W}_1$ .

- $\Lambda_v = \text{diag}(\mathbf{W}_1)^{-1}$
- $\Lambda_s = \text{diag}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{1})^{-1}$
- $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\text{sam}}$  is sample estimate of the residual covariance matrix
- $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\text{shr}}$  is shrinkage estimator  $\tau \text{diag}(\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\text{sam}}) + (1 - \tau)\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\text{sam}}$   
where  $\tau$  selected optimally.

# Reconciled linear regression forecasts

If the base forecasts are from a linear regression model, then we can produce coherent forecasts in one step:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{T+h} = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}'\Lambda_s\mathbf{S})^{-1}\mathbf{S}'\Lambda_s\mathbf{X}_{T+h}^*(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}$$

- $\mathbf{X}$  is matrix of predictors for training set.
- $\mathbf{X}_{T+h}^*$  is vector of predictors for time  $T + h$ .

$$\mathbf{V}_h = \sigma^2 \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}'\Lambda_s\mathbf{S})^{-1}\mathbf{S}'\Lambda_s \left[ 1 + \mathbf{X}_{T+h}^*(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_{T+h}^*)' \right] \Lambda_s \mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{S}'\Lambda_s\mathbf{S})^{-1}\mathbf{S}'$$

where  $\sigma^2$  is the variance of the base model residuals.

Reference: Ashouri, Hyndman, and Shmueli (2019).  
[robjhyndman.com/publications/lhf/](http://robjhyndman.com/publications/lhf/)

# Non-negative forecast reconciliation

Non-negative constraints

# Temporal and cross-temporal reconciliation

- Kourentzes and Athanasopoulos (2019)
- Di Fonzo and Girolimetto (2020)
- Punia, Singh, and Madaan (2020)

# Outline

- 1 Hierarchical forecasting 20 years ago
- 2 Point forecast reconciliation
- 3 Probabilistic forecast reconciliation
- 4 Example: Australian tourism
- 5 Example: Australian electricity generation
- 6 Bayesian forecast reconciliation
- 7 ML and regularization

# Coherent probabilistic forecasts

## Coherent probabilistic forecasts

Given the triple  $(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^m}, \nu)$ , a coherent probability triple  $(\mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}, \check{\nu})$  is such that


$$\check{\nu}(s(\mathcal{B})) = \nu(\mathcal{B}) \quad \forall \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^m}.$$

## Probabilistic forecast reconciliation

The reconciled probability measure of  $\hat{\nu}$  wrt  $\psi(\cdot)$  is such that

$$\hat{\nu}(\mathcal{B}) = \hat{\nu}(\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{B})) \quad \forall \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}},$$

where  $\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \psi(y) \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is the pre-image of  $\mathcal{B}$ , that is the set of all points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that  $\psi(\cdot)$  maps to a point in  $\mathcal{B}$ .



# Construction of reconciled distributions

## Reconciled density of bottom-level

Density of bottom-level series under reconciled distribution is

$$\tilde{f}_b(\mathbf{b}) = |\mathbf{G}^*| \int \hat{f}(\mathbf{G}^- \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{G}_\perp \mathbf{a}) d\mathbf{a}$$

- $\hat{f}$  is density of incoherent base probabilistic forecast
- $\mathbf{G}^-$  is  $n \times m$  generalised inverse of  $\mathbf{G}$  st  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^- = \mathbf{I}$
- $\mathbf{G}_\perp$  is  $n \times (n - m)$  orthogonal complement to  $\mathbf{G}$  st  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}_\perp = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{G}^* = (\mathbf{G}^- : \mathbf{G}_\perp)$ , and  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{a}$  are obtained via

the change of variables  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{G}^* \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix}$

# Construction of reconciled distributions

## Reconciled density of full hierarchy

Density of full hierarchy under reconciled distribution is

$$\tilde{f}_y(y) = |S^*| \tilde{f}_b(S^- y) \mathbb{1}\{y \in \mathfrak{s}\}$$

- $S^* = \begin{pmatrix} S^- \\ S'_\perp \end{pmatrix}$
- $S^-$  is  $m \times n$  generalised inverse of  $S$  such that  $S^- S = I$ ,
- $S_\perp$  is  $n \times (n - m)$  orthogonal complement to  $S$  such that  $S'_\perp S = 0$ .

# Construction of reconciled distributions

## Reconciled density of full hierarchy

Density of full hierarchy under reconciled distribution is

$$\tilde{f}_y(y) = |S^*| \tilde{f}_b(S^- y) \mathbb{1}\{y \in s\}$$

- $S^* = \begin{pmatrix} S^- \\ S'_\perp \end{pmatrix}$
- $S^-$  is  $m \times n$  generalised inverse of  $S$  such that  $S^- S = I$ ,
- $S_\perp$  is  $n \times (n - m)$  orthogonal complement to  $S$  such that  $S'_\perp S = 0$ .

## Gaussian reconciliation

If the incoherent base forecasts are  $N(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\Sigma})$ ,  
then the reconciled density is  $N(SG\hat{\mu}, SG\hat{\Sigma}G'S')$ .

## Simulation from a reconciled distribution

Suppose that  $(\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{[1]}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{[L]})$  is a sample drawn from an incoherent probability measure  $\hat{\nu}$ . Then  $(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{[1]}, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{[L]})$  where  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{[\ell]} := \psi(\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{[\ell]})$  for  $\ell = 1, \dots, L$ , is a sample drawn from the reconciled probability measure  $\tilde{\nu}$ .

- So reconciling sample paths from incoherent distributions works.

# Evaluating probabilistic forecasts

## Proper scoring rule

optimized when true forecast distribution is used.

# Evaluating probabilistic forecasts

## Proper scoring rule

optimized when true forecast distribution is used.

### Scoring Rule    Coherent v Incoherent    Coherent v Coherent

---

Log Score      Not proper

- Ordering preserved if compared using bottom-level only

Energy Score   Proper

- Full hierarchy should be used.
- Rankings may change otherwise.

# Score optimal reconciliation

Algorithm proposed by Panagiotelis et al (2020) for optimizing  $\mathbf{G}$  using stochastic gradient descent to optimize Energy Score.

- 1 Compute base forecasts over a test set.
- 2 Compute OLS reconciliation:  $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{S}'\mathbf{S})^{-1}\mathbf{S}'$
- 3 Iteratively update  $\mathbf{G}$  using SGD with Adam method and ES objective over a test set

# Outline

- 1 Hierarchical forecasting 20 years ago
- 2 Point forecast reconciliation
- 3 Probabilistic forecast reconciliation
- 4 Example: Australian tourism
- 5 Example: Australian electricity generation
- 6 Bayesian forecast reconciliation
- 7 ML and regularization

# Example: Australian tourism

tourism

```
## # A tsibble: 18,000 x 5 [1M]
## # Key:      state, zone, region [75]
## #       month state zone      region visitors
## #       <mth> <chr> <chr>      <chr>     <dbl>
## 1 1998 Jan NSW Metro NSW Sydney     926.
## 2 1998 Feb NSW Metro NSW Sydney     647.
## 3 1998 Mar NSW Metro NSW Sydney     716.
## 4 1998 Apr NSW Metro NSW Sydney     621.
## 5 1998 May NSW Metro NSW Sydney     598.
## 6 1998 Jun NSW Metro NSW Sydney     601.
## 7 1998 Jul NSW Metro NSW Sydney     720.
## 8 1998 Aug NSW Metro NSW Sydney     645.
## 9 1998 Sep NSW Metro NSW Sydney     633.
## 10 1998 Oct NSW Metro NSW Sydney    771.
```

# Example: Australian tourism

```
tourism_agg <- tourism %>%  
  aggregate_key(state / zone / region, visitors = sum(visitors))
```

```
## # A tsibble: 26,400 x 5 [1M]  
## # Key:      state, zone, region [110]  
##       month state      zone      region     visitors  
##       <mth> <chr>    <chr>    <chr>      <dbl>  
## 1 1998 Jan <aggregated> <aggregated> <aggregated> 10376.  
## 2 1998 Feb <aggregated> <aggregated> <aggregated> 5746.  
## 3 1998 Mar <aggregated> <aggregated> <aggregated> 7129.  
## 4 1998 Apr <aggregated> <aggregated> <aggregated> 7939.  
## 5 1998 May <aggregated> <aggregated> <aggregated> 6552.  
## 6 1998 Jun <aggregated> <aggregated> <aggregated> 5969.  
## 7 1998 Jul <aggregated> <aggregated> <aggregated> 7041.  
## 8 1998 Aug <aggregated> <aggregated> <aggregated> 6382.  
## 9 1998 Sep <aggregated> <aggregated> <aggregated> 6907.
```

# Example: Australian tourism

```
fit <- tourism_agg %>%
  filter(year(month) <= 2015) %>%
  model(ets = ETS(visitors))

## # A mable: 110 x 4
## # Key:      state, zone, region [110]
##   state zone           region          ets
##   <chr> <chr>           <chr>          <model>
## 1 NSW   <aggregated> <aggregated> <ETS(M,N,A)>
## 2 NSW   Metro NSW     <aggregated> <ETS(M,N,A)>
## 3 NSW   North Coast NSW <aggregated> <ETS(M,N,M)>
## 4 NSW   South Coast NSW <aggregated> <ETS(A,N,A)>
## 5 NSW   South NSW     <aggregated> <ETS(M,N,M)>
## 6 NSW   North NSW     <aggregated> <ETS(M,N,A)>
## 7 NSW   ACT            <aggregated> <ETS(M,N,A)>
## 8 NSW   Metro NSW     Sydney          <ETS(M,N,A)>
```


# Example: Australian tourism

```
fc <- fit %>%  
  reconcile(ets_adjusted = min_trace(ets)) %>%  
  forecast(h = "2 years")
```

```
## # A fable: 5,280 x 7 [1M]  
## # Key:      state, zone, region, .model [220]  
##   state zone       region     .model     month    visitors .mean  
##   <chr> <chr>       <chr>     <chr>     <mth>      <dist> <dbl>  
## 1 NSW  <aggregated> <aggregated> ets  2016 Jan N(3679, 71136) 3679.  
## 2 NSW  <aggregated> <aggregated> ets  2016 Feb N(2241, 27912) 2241.  
## 3 NSW  <aggregated> <aggregated> ets  2016 Mar N(2602, 37643) 2602.  
## 4 NSW  <aggregated> <aggregated> ets  2016 Apr N(3027, 50976) 3027.  
## 5 NSW  <aggregated> <aggregated> ets  2016 May N(2504, 36795) 2504.  
## 6 NSW  <aggregated> <aggregated> ets  2016 Jun N(2447, 36005) 2447.  
## 7 NSW  <aggregated> <aggregated> ets  2016 Jul N(2734, 44488) 2734.  
## 8 NSW  <aggregated> <aggregated> ets  2016 Aug N(2496, 38775) 2496.
```


# Example: Australian tourism

```
fc %>%
  filter(is_aggregated(state)) %>%
  autoplot(filter(tourism_agg, year(month) > 2012), level = 95)
```




# Example: Australian tourism

```
fc %>%
  filter(state == "NSW" & is_aggregated(zone)) %>%
  autoplot(filter(tourism_agg, year(month) > 2012), level = 95)
```




# Example: Australian tourism

```
fc %>%
  filter(region == "Melbourne") %>%
  autoplot(filter(tourism_agg, year(month) > 2012), level = 95)
```




# Example: Australian tourism

```
fc %>%
  filter(region == "Snowy Mountains") %>%
  autoplot(filter(tourism_agg, year(month) > 2012), level = 95)
```




# Example: Australian tourism

```
fc %>%
  filter(region == "Barossa") %>%
  autoplot(filter(tourism_agg, year(month) > 2012), level = 95)
```



# Example: Australian tourism

```
fc %>%
  filter(region == "MacDonnell") %>%
  autoplot(filter(tourism_agg, year(month) > 2012), level = 95)
```



# Example: Australian tourism

```
fc <- tourism_agg %>%
  filter(year(month) <= 2015) %>%
  model(
    ets = ETS(visitors),
    arima = ARIMA(visitors)
  ) %>%
  mutate(
    comb = (ets + arima) / 2
  ) %>%
  reconcile(
    ets_adj = min_trace(ets),
    arima_adj = min_trace(arima),
    comb_adj = min_trace(comb)
  ) %>%
  forecast(h = "2 years")
```

# Example: Australian tourism

```
fc %>%  
  accuracy(data = tourism_agg,  
            measures = list(crps = CRPS, ss=skill_score(CRPS)))
```

```
## # A tibble: 660 x 7  
##   .model state zone          region     .type  crps      ss  
##   <chr>   <chr> <chr>        <chr>     <chr> <dbl>    <dbl>  
## 1 arima   NSW   <aggregated> <aggregated> Test  158.   0.277  
## 2 arima   NSW   Metro NSW    <aggregated> Test  69.1   0.152  
## 3 arima   NSW   North Coast NSW <aggregated> Test  58.5   0.0577  
## 4 arima   NSW   South Coast NSW <aggregated> Test  24.2   0.147  
## 5 arima   NSW   South NSW    <aggregated> Test  25.4   0.277  
## 6 arima   NSW   North NSW    <aggregated> Test  57.0   0.0321  
## 7 arima   NSW   ACT         <aggregated> Test  34.5   -0.221  
## 8 arima   NSW   Metro NSW    Sydney       Test  62.4   0.139  
## 9 arima   NSW   Metro NSW    Central Coast Test  13.9   0.196
```

# Example: Australian tourism

```
fc %>%
  accuracy(tourism_agg,
            measures = list(crps = CRPS, ss=skill_score(CRPS))) %>%
  group_by(.model) %>%
  summarise(sspc = mean(ss) * 100) %>%
  arrange(sspc)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 2
##   .model     sspc
##   <chr>     <dbl>
## 1 arima_adj 11.9
## 2 arima      12.0
## 3 comb_adj   17.0
## 4 ets_adj    17.7
## 5 comb       18.2
## 6 ets        19.1
```

# Outline

- 1 Hierarchical forecasting 20 years ago
- 2 Point forecast reconciliation
- 3 Probabilistic forecast reconciliation
- 4 Example: Australian tourism
- 5 Example: Australian electricity generation
- 6 Bayesian forecast reconciliation
- 7 ML and regularization


# Example: Australian electricity generation

Daily time series from [opennem.org.au](https://opennem.org.au)

- 1 Total = Renewable + Non-renewable
- 2 Renewable = Batteries + Hydro + Solar + Wind + Biomass  
Non-Renewable = Coal + Gas + Distillate
- 3 Battery = Battery (Discharging) + Battery (Charging)  
Solar = Solar (Rooftop) + Solar (Utility)  
Coal = Black Coal + Brown Coal  
Gas = Gas (OCGT) + Gas (CCGT) + Gas (Steam) + Gas (Recip)

$n = 23$  series;  $m = 15$  bottom-level series.

# Example: Australian electricity generation




# Example: Australian electricity generation

## Forecast evaluation


- Rolling window of 140 days training data, and one-step-forecasts for 170 days test data.
- One-layer feed-forward neural network with up to 28 lags of target variable as inputs.
- Implemented using NNETAR() function in fable package.
- Model could be improved with temperature predictor.

# Example: Australian electricity generation



Histogram of residuals:  
2 Oct 2019 - 21 Jan 2020  
Clearly non-Gaussian

# Example: Australian electricity generation



Correlations of residuals:


2 Oct 2019 - 21 Jan 2020

Blue = positive correlation.

Red = negative correlation.

Large = stronger correlations.

# Example: Australian electricity generation





## Base residual assumptions

- Gaussian independent
- Gaussian dependent
- Non-Gaussian independent
- Non-Gaussian dependent

## Reconciliation methods

- Base
- BottomUp
- BTTH: Ben Taieb, Taylor, Hyndman
- JPP: Jeon, Panagiotelis, Petropoulos
- OLS
- MinT(Shrink)
- Score Optimal Reconciliation

# Example: Australian electricity generation



## Nemenyi test for different scores

Base forecasts are independent and Gaussian.

## Nemenyi test for different scores

Base forecasts are obtained by jointly bootstrapping residuals.

# Outline

- 1 Hierarchical forecasting 20 years ago
- 2 Point forecast reconciliation
- 3 Probabilistic forecast reconciliation
- 4 Example: Australian tourism
- 5 Example: Australian electricity generation
- 6 Bayesian forecast reconciliation
- 7 ML and regularization

# Bayesian forecast reconciliation

- Park and Nassar (2014)
- Novak, McGarvie, and Garcia (2017)
- Ellison, Dodd, and Forster (2020)
- Eckert, Hyndman, and Panagiotelis (2020)

# Outline

- 1 Hierarchical forecasting 20 years ago
- 2 Point forecast reconciliation
- 3 Probabilistic forecast reconciliation
- 4 Example: Australian tourism
- 5 Example: Australian electricity generation
- 6 Bayesian forecast reconciliation
- 7 ML and regularization

# ML and regularization

- Qiao and Huang (2018)
- M. Yang, Hu, and Wang (2019)
- Yagli, D. Yang, and Srinivasan (2019)
- Abolghasemi et al. (2019a)
- Spiliotis et al. (2020)
- Punia, Singh, and Madaan (2020)
- Abolghasemi et al. (2019b)

# Thanks



## More information

- Slides and papers: [robjhyndman.com](http://robjhyndman.com)
- Packages: [tidyverts.org](http://tidyverts.org)
- Forecasting textbook using fable package:  
[OTexts.com/fpp3](http://OTexts.com/fpp3)

Find me at ...



@robjhyndman



@robjhyndman



[robjhyndman.com](http://robjhyndman.com)



[rob.hyndman@monash.edu](mailto:rob.hyndman@monash.edu)

- Abolghasemi, Mahdi et al. (Dec. 2019a). *Machine learning applications in time series hierarchical forecasting*. arXiv: 1912.00370 [cs.LG]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.00370>.
- - (2019b). *Machine learning applications in time series hierarchical forecasting*. URL: [arxiv.org/abs/1912.00370](http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.00370).
- Ashouri, Mahsa, Rob J Hyndman, and Galit Shmueli (2019). *Fast forecast reconciliation using linear models*. Working Paper 29/19. Department of Econometrics & Business Statistics, Monash University. URL: [robjhyndman.com/publications/lhf](http://robjhyndman.com/publications/lhf).

- Di Fonzo, Tommaso and Daniele Girolimetto (2020). *Cross-temporal forecast reconciliation: Optimal combination method and heuristic alternatives*. arXiv: 2006.08570 [stat.ME]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2006.08570>.
- Eckert, Florian, Rob J Hyndman, and Anastasios Panagiotelis (2020). “Forecasting Swiss exports using Bayesian forecast reconciliation”. In: *European J Operational Research*. to appear. URL: [robjhyndman.com/publications/swiss-exports/](http://robjhyndman.com/publications/swiss-exports/).

-  Ellison, Joanne, Erengul Dodd, and Jonathan J Forster (June 2020). “Forecasting of cohort fertility under a hierarchical Bayesian approach”. In: *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A*, 183.3, pp. 829–856. URL:  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/rssc.12566>.
-  Kourentzes, Nikolaos and George Athanasopoulos (Mar. 2019). “Cross-temporal coherent forecasts for Australian tourism”. In: *Annals Of Tourism Research* 75, pp. 393–409. URL:  
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160738319300167>.



- Novak, Julie, Scott McGarvie, and Beatriz Etchegaray Garcia (Nov. 2017). *A Bayesian Model for Forecasting Hierarchically Structured Time Series*. arXiv: 1711.04738 [stat.AP]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1711.04738>.
-  Park, Mijung and Marcel Nassar (2014). “Variational Bayesian inference for forecasting hierarchical time series”. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. Bejing, China. URL: [http://www.gatsby.ucl.ac.uk/~mijung/ICMLworkshop\\_PARK\\_NASSAR.pdf](http://www.gatsby.ucl.ac.uk/~mijung/ICMLworkshop_PARK_NASSAR.pdf).

-  Punia, Sushil, Surya P Singh, and Jitendra K Madaan (Nov. 2020). “A cross-temporal hierarchical framework and deep learning for supply chain forecasting”. In: *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 149, p. 106796. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360835220305040>.
-  Qiao, Mengke and Ke-Wei Huang (2018). “Hierarchical accounting variables forecasting by deep learning methods”. In: *Thirty ninth International Conference on Information Systems*. URL: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1257&context=icis2018>.

- Spiliotis, Evangelos et al. (June 2020). *Hierarchical forecast reconciliation with machine learning*. arXiv: 2006.02043 [cs.LG]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2006.02043>.
- Yagli, Gokhan Mert, Dazhi Yang, and Dipti Srinivasan (Feb. 2019). “Reconciling solar forecasts: Sequential reconciliation”. In: *Solar Energy* 179, pp. 391–397. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0038092X18312726>.



Yang, Maoxin, Qinghua Hu, and Yun Wang (2019). “Multi-task Learning Method for Hierarchical Time Series Forecasting”. In: *Artificial Neural Networks and Machine Learning – ICANN 2019: Text and Time Series*. Springer International Publishing, pp. 474–485. URL:

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-30490-4\\_38](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-30490-4_38).