

## Unit 4 Progress Check: FRQ

1. Show all your work. Indicate clearly the methods you use, because you will be scored on the correctness of your methods as well as on the accuracy and completeness of your results and explanations.

At a financial institution, a fraud detection system identifies suspicious transactions and sends them to a specialist for review. The specialist reviews the transaction, the customer profile, and past history. If there is sufficient evidence of fraud, the transaction is blocked. Based on past history, the specialist blocks 40 percent of the suspicious transactions. Assume a suspicious transaction is independent of other suspicious transactions.

(a) Suppose the specialist will review 136 suspicious transactions in one day. What is the expected number of blocked transactions by the specialist? Show your work.

(b) Suppose the specialist wants to know the number of suspicious transactions that will need to be reviewed until reaching the first transaction that will be blocked.

(i) Define the random variable of interest and state how the variable is distributed.

(ii) Determine the expected value of the random variable and interpret the expected value in context.

(c) Consider a batch of 10 randomly selected suspicious transactions. Suppose the specialist wants to know the probability that 2 of the transactions will be blocked.

(i) Define the random variable of interest and state how the variable is distributed.

(ii) Find the probability that 2 transactions in the batch will be blocked. Show your work.

### Part A, B, and C

The primary goals of this question are to (1) assess a student's ability to calculate an expected value; (2) identify the appropriateness of applying the geometric distribution and calculate the mean; and (3) use the binomial formula to calculate a probability.

## Scoring

Parts (a), (b), and (c) are each scored as essentially correct (E), partially correct (P), or incorrect (I).



0	1	2	3	4
---	---	---	---	---

All three parts essentially correct

- ☐ Part (a) essentially correct
- ☐ Part (a) partially correct
- ☐ Part (a) incorrect

**Unit 4 Progress Check: FRQ**

- ☐ Part (b) essentially correct
- ☐ Part (b) partially correct
- ☐ Part (b) incorrect
- ☐ Part (c) essentially correct
- ☐ Part (c) partially correct
- ☐ Part (c) incorrect

## Solution

**Part (a):** If the fraud specialist reviews 136 transactions in a day, the expected number of blocks by the specialist is  $np = 136(0.4) = 54.4$  blocks

## Scoring

**Part (a)** is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response correctly calculates the expected 54.4 fraud blocks in context with supporting calculations and appropriate units.

Partially correct (P) if the response provides an incorrect value for the expected number of fraud blocks in context with supporting calculations and appropriate units;

*OR*

if the response provides the correct value for the expected number of fraud blocks without context or supporting calculations or appropriate units.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not satisfy the criteria for E or P.

Note: The response cannot earn a score of E if the expected value is rounded to an integer value of 54 blocks or 55 blocks.

### Solution

**Part (b):**

(i) Let the random variable  $X$  represent the number of reviews until the first block is found.  $X$  follows a geometric distribution.

(ii) The expected value of  $X$  is its mean. The mean of a geometric distribution is given by the formula  $\frac{1}{p}$ , where  $p$  is the probability of success. In this case  $p = 0.4$  and  $\frac{1}{0.4} = 2.5$ . The specialist can expect to review 2.5 transactions per day, on average, until finding the first transaction that will be blocked.

### Scoring

**Part (b)** is scored as follows:

**Unit 4 Progress Check: FRQ**

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following four components.

- Defines a variable as representing the number of reviews until the first block is found (any variable name can be used) in part (b-i).
- Identifies the variable as geometric in part (b-i).
- Calculates the correct value for the mean,  $\frac{1}{0.4} = 2.5$  in part (b-ii).
- Interprets the value of 2.5 in context in part (b-ii).

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies only two or three of the four components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not satisfy the criteria for E or P.

Solution**Part (c):**

(i) Let the random variable  $Y$  represent the number of blocked transactions in a batch of 10 suspicious transactions.  $Y$  follows a binomial distribution.

(ii) The probability that  $Y$  will equal 2 is given by  $P(Y = 2) = \binom{10}{2}(0.4)^2(0.6)^8 \approx 0.1209$ .

Scoring

**Part (c)** is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following three components.

- Defines a variable as representing the number of blocked transactions in a batch of 10 suspicious transactions (any variable name can be used) in part (c-i).
- Identifies the variable as binomial in part (c-i).
- Calculates a reasonable probability near 0.1209 in part (c-ii) with supporting work.

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies only two of the three components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not satisfy the criteria for E or P.

**Unit 4 Progress Check: FRQ**

2. Show all your work. Indicate clearly the methods you use, because you will be scored on the correctness of your methods as well as on the accuracy and completeness of your results and explanations.

Miguel is a golfer, and he plays on the same course each week. The following table shows the probability distribution for his score on one particular hole, known as the Water Hole.


Score	3	4	5	6	7
Probability	0.15	0.40	0.25	0.15	0.05

Let the random variable  $X$  represent Miguel's score on the Water Hole. In golf, lower scores are better.

(a) Suppose one of Miguel's scores from the Water Hole is selected at random. What is the probability that Miguel's score on the Water Hole is at most 5? Show your work.

(b) Calculate and interpret the expected value of  $X$ . Show your work.

The name of the Water Hole comes from the small lake that lies between the tee, where the ball is first hit, and the hole. Miguel has two approaches to hitting the ball from the tee, the short hit and the long hit. The short hit results in the ball landing before the lake. The values of  $X$  in the table are based on the short hit. The long hit, if successful, results in the ball traveling over the lake and landing on the other side. The two approaches are shown in the following diagram.



A potential issue with the long hit is that the ball might land in the water, which is not a good outcome. Miguel thinks that if the long hit is successful, his expected value improves to 4.2. However, if the long hit fails and the ball lands in the water, his expected value would be worse and increases to 5.4.

- (c) Suppose the probability of a successful long hit is 0.4. Which approach, the short hit or the long hit, is better in terms of improving the expected value of the score? Justify your answer.
- (d) Let  $p$  represent the probability of a successful long hit. What values of  $p$  will make the long hit better than the short hit in terms of improving the expected value of the score? Explain your reasoning.

**Part A, B, C, and D**

The primary goals of this question are to assess a student's ability to (1) calculate the probability of a random variable; (2) calculate and interpret an expected value; (3) use probability to decide on one of two choices; and (4) determine probabilities for which one of the choices will have a more favorable outcome.

## Unit 4 Progress Check: FRQ

### Scoring

Parts (a), (b), (c), and (d) are scored as essentially correct (E), partially correct (P), or incorrect (I).

Each essentially correct (E) part counts as 1 point.

Each partially correct (P) part counts as  $\frac{1}{2}$  point.

If a response is between two scores (for example,  $2\frac{1}{2}$  points), use a holistic approach to decide whether to score up or down, depending on the overall strength of the response and communication.

*Reasons to score up:*

- All notation is correct and clearly marked
- All explanations are clear
- No wrong information is included that was not part of the scoring (for example, saying sample size must be greater than 30 when that has nothing to do with the problem)
- No minor calculation errors are made, if they are not part of the scoring
- Interpretation parts are especially strong

*Reasons to score down:*

- Notation is not wrong, but is spotty and not clearly marked
- Explanations are not wrong, but are hard to follow
- Wrong or extraneous information is included but not part of scoring
- Minor calculation errors that are not part of the scoring are made
- Interpretation parts are scored an E but are considered a weak E



0	1	2	3	4
---	---	---	---	---

Parts (a) through (d) sum to 4 points

OR

Parts (a) through (d) sum to  $3\frac{1}{2}$  points AND a holistic approach is used to decide to score up

- ☐ Part (a) essentially correct
- ☐ Part (a) partially correct
- ☐ Part (a) incorrect
- ☐ Part (b) essentially correct

**Unit 4 Progress Check: FRQ**

- ☐ Part (b) partially correct
- ☐ Part (b) incorrect
- ☐ Part (c) essentially correct
- ☐ Part (c) partially correct
- ☐ Part (c) incorrect
- ☐ Part (d) essentially correct
- ☐ Part (d) partially correct
- ☐ Part (d) incorrect

## Solution

**Part (a)**

$$P(X \leq 5) = 0.15 + 0.40 + 0.25 = 0.80.$$

## Scoring

**Part (a)** is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response gives the correct answer of 0.80 and shows work.

Partially correct (P) if the response gives the correct answer but does not show work;

*OR*

if the response calculates  $P(X < 5) = 0.55$  or  $P(X \geq 5) = 0.45$  or  $P(X > 5) = 0.20$  with work shown.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

Solution**Part (b)**

The expected value of  $X$  is the mean of  $X$ .

The expected value of  $X$  equals, 3 times 0.15, plus, 4 times 0.40, plus, 5 times 0.25, plus, 6 times 0.15, plus, 7 times 0.05, which equals 4.55  
 $E(X) = 3(0.15) + 4(0.40) + 5(0.25) + 6(0.15) + 7(0.05) = 4.55$

If Miguel plays the hole many times, his average score will be about 4.55.

Scoring

**Part (b)** is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following four components.

**Unit 4 Progress Check: FRQ**

- Correctly calculates the expected value of 4.55.
- Shows correct work for the calculation.
- Includes the idea of many trials and context in the interpretation.
- Includes the concept of mean (or average) in the interpretation.

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies only two or three of the four components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

*Note:* If the calculation of the expected value is incorrect, components 3 and 4 can still be satisfied using the value calculated in part (b).

Solution**Part (c)**

The new expected values each have a probability, as shown in the table.

$E(X)$	4.2	5.4
Probability	0.40	0.60

The overall expected value for the long hit is  $4.2(0.40) + 5.4(0.60) = 4.92$ , which is greater than 4.55. Because lower scores are better in golf, Miguel should use the short hit.

Scoring

**Part (c)** is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response includes the following three components.

- Correctly calculates the expected value for the long hit as 4.92.
- Shows work for the calculation.
- Concludes that the long hit is not the better approach because  $4.92 > 4.55$ .

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies only two of the three components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

*Note:* If the calculation of the expected value was incorrect in part (b) or part (c) or both, component 3 can still be satisfied if a correct decision is made based on a comparison of the expected values in parts (b) and (c).

Solution**Part (d)**

Consider the following table.

**Unit 4 Progress Check: FRQ**

$E(X)$	4.2	5.4
Probability	$p$	$1 - p$

The overall expected value for the long hit is  $4.2p + 5.4(1 - p)$ . For the long hit to be a better approach, the expected value must be less than 4.55, or  $4.2p + 5.4(1 - p) < 4.55$ . Solving the inequality for  $p$  gives  $p > 0.708$ . For the long hit to be a better approach, Miguel needs a probability greater than 0.708 for a successful long hit.

**Scoring**

**Part (d)** is scored as follows:

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following four components.

- Correctly sets up an expression for the expected value in terms of  $p$ .
- States that the expected value from component 1 should be less than 4.55.
- Correctly calculates the value of  $p$ .
- States the answer as an inequality.

Partially correct (P) if the response satisfies only two or three of the four components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P.

*Note:* If the calculation of the expected value was incorrect in part (b), components 2 and 3 can still be satisfied if the values are consistent with the expected value found in part (b).