Testing Clientside JavaScript

Joe Eames
@josepheames
www.testdrivenjs.com
www.pluralsight.com



About the Author

- Joe Eames
- @josepheames
- <u>www.testdrivenjs.com</u>
- www.javascriptjabber.com

Agenda

Testing Frameworks

- QUnit
- Jasmine
- Mocha

Mocking

- Mocking in JavaScript
- Jasmine Spies
- Sinon

Testing Utilities

Testing Clientside JavaScriptQUnit

Joe Eames @josepheames www.testdrivenjs.com www.pluralsight.com



Overview

- Introduction to Qunit
- Organizing Tests
- Running Tests
- Integrating with the DOM
- Integrating with CI
- Asynchronous Tests
- QUnit Tidbits

Introduction

- Similar to server-side frameworks (JUnit, Nunit)
- Built by the jQuery team
- Used to test jQuery's features
- No dependencies
- Can test server-side JavaScript

Setting Up QUnit

- Get Source Code
 - https://github.com/jquery/qunit
- Create Test File
- Create Test Runner File

Assertions

- ok(state, message)
- equal(actual, expected, message)
- notEqual(actual, expected, message)
- deepEqual(actual, expected, message)
- notDeepEqual(actual, expected, message)
- strictEqual(actual, expected, message)
- notStrictEqual(actual, expected, message)
- raises(actual, expected, message)

Organizing Tests

- Server-Side Organization
 - Folders
 - Files
 - Fixtures

Organizing Tests – Folders & Files

Project Root
Scripts
Module1
Source1.js
Module2
Source2.js

Project Root Scripts Module 1 Source1.js Module 2 Source2.js Tests Libs Module 1 Source1Tests.js Module 2 Source2Tests.js

Organizing Tests - Modules

- Purposes of Modules
 - Group Tests Logically
 - Group Setup & Teardown
- Purposes of Common Setup
 - Common Objects
 - Setting up the DOM
- Purposes of Common Teardown
 - Cleaning up the DOM
 - Generic cleanup
- Reasons for Using Multiple Modules
 - Logical grouping
 - Grouping by component
 - Grouping by common setup

Grouping With Testrunner files

TestFile1.Html
TestFile1.js
SourceFile1.js
TestFile2.Html
TestFile2.js
SourceFile2.js

TestRunner1.Html TestFile1.js SourceFile2.js SourceFile1.js TestRunner2.Html TestFile2.js TestFile3.js SourceFile3.js TestRunner3.Html TestFile4.js TestFile5.js SourceFile4.js SourceFile5.js

Running Qunit Tests

- 1. Running all tests within a test runner file
- 2. Running a single test
- 3. Custom filter
- 4. Composite addon
- 5. Resharper

Using ReSharper

Benefits

- Simple to setup
- Convenient within Visual Studio

Drawbacks

- Can't refresh browser
- Opens a new tab on every run
- Requires that test files be in the same project as source code
- Encourages poor project structure

Not Recommended

Integrating QUnit with the DOM

Reasons to Test the DOM

- Test that the SUT correctly manipulates the DOM
- Test that the SUT correctly reads from the DOM

Drawbacks to DOM testing

- Requires additional setup
- Prone to brittleness

Integrating With CI

- Basics: Use PhantomJS and capture output
- True Browser Testing Needs
 - Difficult because of deployment (Multiple versions of I.E.)
 - □ Typically easier to use a 3rd party.

URLs

- PhantomJS
 - http://code.google.com/p/phantomjs/downloads/list
- Qunit.teamcity.js
 - https://gist.github.com/1755675

Asynchronous Tests

- Testing with setTimeout and setInterval
- Testing with UI effects
- Testing with ajax
 - Avoid it at all costs
 - Write an abstraction layer
 - Use test doubles

QUnit Tidbits

- Noglobals setting
- Notrycatch setting
- Expect() method
- Events
 - □ Log
 - testStart
 - testDone
 - moduleStart
 - moduleDone
 - Begin
 - done

Summary

- TDD style tests
- Versatile HTML Interface
- Supports asynchronous tests
- Integrates with CI