ROS 2

Advanced communication II

Roberto Masocco roberto.masocco@uniroma2.it

University of Rome Tor Vergata
Department of Civil Engineering and Computer Science Engineering

May 16, 2024



Recap

Messages are the most basic, one-way communication paradigm.

QoS policies and other topic settings affect communication behavior among entities.

Services are a simple implementation of a two-way, client-server communication paradigm.

This lecture is <u>here</u>.

Recap

Updates

- Follow-up on message topics code examples:
 - resetting_sub example.

Roadmap

Interface packages

2 Actions

Roadmap

Interface packages

2 Actions

Defining custom interfaces

From package organization to build

Custom communication interfaces can be defined in appropriate packages.

The organization of such packages is regulated by a set of **best practices**.

When these packages are built, they generate both **code to include in** and **libraries to link against** to the packages using them.

For everything to work, each package must have the same interface packages as dependencies, as well as link against the same generated code.

Find all relevant information in our <u>interfaces.md</u> file!

Roadmap

1 Interface packages

2 Actions

Limitations of services

The third paradigm exists because services rely on the following restrictive assumptions.

Services implementation assumptions

- Since the client may block for the entire duration of the request processing, server computations should be short and always produce some result (e.g., even an error must be a result, but we have to encode it).
- Service calls are finished only when the response has been received, *i.e.*, **if the server** crashes before sending the response, the client's behavior is undefined, especially when it is blocking (no state machine! Say hello to deadlocks, crashes...).
- Once a service is called, the processing of the request may never be interrupted.

These make operations that **must be requested** and **take a long time** (for CPUs!) completely unfeasible.

Think of real stuff such as movement, navigation...

Full client-server paradigm

Built on services and message topics, they decouple computations from middleware APIs, thanks to three concepts that embody the three stages of the communication:

- **①** Goal: the full request of the operation to be executed.
- Peedback: intermediate results and information about the ongoing processing.
- 3 Result: the final result of the requested operation.

Their implementation is still a bit cumbersome because of the many different data types (classes) involved, as well as many API inconsistencies.

It is found in the rclcpp_action and rclpy action libraries.

They are extensively used to implement robot navigation and movement, and many more complex real-world operations, providing a software abstraction for them.

Full client-server paradigm




Figure 1: Example of an action server and client.

The goal state machine




Figure 2: State machine¹ of an action goal, implemented and managed internally by ROS 2.

¹Actions - ROS 2 Design

Communication overview

In actual ROS 2 applications, the **client** requests the completion of some **goal** to the **server**. The middleware only offers APIs to **notify the state of the goal** between the two.

- The client sends a goal service request to the server.
- The server may accept or reject the goal request.
- Server computations are usually started when the goal is executed: the middleware only keeps track the state of the goal, its updates and the rest are up to the developer.
- The client may cancel the goal request; the server may abort the goal request; intermediate results and information, if any, are published by the server on the feedback topic.
- **5** The **client** asks the server for the final result over the **result service**.

CLI introspection tools

The main command is ros2 action with the following verbs:

- list Lists all active actions.
- info Prints information about an action.
- send_goal Sends a goal request to an action server, and prints the result; with -f prints also feedback messages.

Coding hints for servers and clients

Servers

Goal requests are handled with **callbacks**, while computations can be handled freely (usually done in **separate threads**).

Cancellation requests are handled with **callbacks** and can be **polled** during the computation. When done, the goal must be marked as **succeeded** or **aborted**.

Clients

Similarly to services, much is done with future objects, but callbacks may be defined to handle goal, result and cancellation responses, and feedbacks.

Handling all possible scenarios for a goal results in the longest and most complicated code that a ROS 2 application may ever require. ©

Interface files

Actions

Combine **three messages** in a single interface file, separated by ---. Action file names end with .action.

```
1 # GOAL
2 int32 order
3 ---
4 # RESULT
5 int32[] sequence
6 ---
7 # FEEDBACK
8 int32[] partial_sequence
```

Listing 1: Definition of the ros2_examples_interfaces/action/Fibonacci action.

Example

Fibonacci computer

Now go have a look at the ros2-examples/src/cpp/actions_example_cpp package!

If you're curious, the <u>ros2-examples/src/cpp/advanced/complete_actions_cpp</u> package, which implements the complete goal state machine using a multithreaded executor.

Exercises

- Run the action client and server examples, and try to call the action from the command line.
- Modify the feedback message: instead of a partial sequence, it should publish the length of the sequence so far; this requires:
 - modifying the action definition in ros2_examples_interfaces;
 - modifying the server node to publish the length of the sequence instead of the partial sequence in the feedback message (hint: use methods of the std::vector class to get the length of the partial sequence in one go);
 - Modifying the feedback callback in the client to parse and print the length from the feedback message.