



RoboCup@Work

Rulebook

Caleb Fook
Marco Masannek
Asad Norouzi
Wiebke Outzen
Lucas Reinhart
Christoph Steup

Version: 2024
March 22, 2024

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank to previous @Work members that supported the league and advanced the rulebook over years:

- Jan Carstensen
- Frederik Hegger
- Nico Hochgeschwender
- Daniel Kaczor
- Robin Kammel
- Alexander Moriaty
- Walter Nowak
- Benjamin Schnieders
- Armin Shahsavari
- Jon Martin
- Asadollah Norouzi
- Sebastian Zug
- Deebul Nair
- Maximilian Hachen
- Kenny Voo
- Martin Sereinig
- Leander Bartsch

Furthermore we would like to acknowledge the following people for contributing to the development of the RoboCup@Work league:

- Gerhard Kraetzschmar
- Rainer Bischoff
- Daniel Kazcor
- Arne Hitzmann
- Frederik Hegger
- Herman Bruyninckx
- Sven Schneider
- Jakob Berghofer

In July 2019 our league lost one of the initiators and founding members. Prof. Dr. Gerhard Kraetzschmar has been very active in many functions within the RoboCup-Community for decades. We are grateful for his efforts, advises and motivation and will respect his memory.

How to cite the rulebook or RoboCup@Work in general

If you refer to the this rulebook in particular, please cite:

```
@misc{rulebook_2024,  
author = {Caleb Fook, Marco Masannek, Asad Norouzi, Wiebke Outzen, Lucas Reinhart,  
Martin Sereinig, Christoph Steup},  
title = {RoboCup@Work 2024 - Rulebook},  
year = 2024,  
howpublished = {\url{https://atwork.robocup.org/rules/}}}  
}
```

For the citation of the RoboCup@Work please use:

```
@InCollection{Kraetzschmar2014,  
Title = {RoboCup@Work: Competing for the Factory of the Future},  
Author = {Kraetzschmar, Gerhard K. and Hochgeschwender, Nico and Nowak, Walter  
and Hegger, Frederik and Schneider, Sven and Dwiputra, Rhama and Berghofer, Jakob  
and Bischoff, Rainer},  
Booktitle = {RoboCup 2014: Robot World Cup XVIII},  
Publisher = {Springer International Publishing},  
Year = {2015},  
Editor = {Bianchi, Reinaldo A. C. and Akin, H. Levent and  
Ramamoorthy, Subramanian and Sugiura, Komei},  
Pages = {171-182},  
Series = {Lecture Notes in Computer Science},  
Volume = {8992}  
}
```


Contents

1	Summary of Changes	9
1.1	Season 2024	9
1.1.1	Open Source Award	9
1.1.2	Clarifications	9
1.1.3	Teamleader Meetings	9
1.1.4	April Tagged Object - Details	10
1.2	Season 2023	10
1.2.1	Restructuring of Benchmark Tests	10
1.2.2	Linear Increase of Complexity	10
1.2.3	Replacement of the RoCKIn Object Set with the new Advanced Set	10
1.2.4	Introduction of April Tagged Objects	10
1.2.5	Clarifications for successful manipulation	11
1.2.6	Referee Briefing	11
1.2.7	Minimum Ref Number	11
1.2.8	Technical Challenges	11
1.3	Season 2022	11
1.3.1	General Changes for 2022	11
1.3.2	Chapter 2: League Organization	12
1.3.3	Chapter 3: Robot Rules	12
1.3.4	Chapter 3: Environment Specification	12
1.3.5	Chapter 4: The Competition	12
1.3.6	Chapter 5: Test Definition	12
1.3.7	Chapter 6: Scoring Adjustments	12
1.3.8	Chapter 7: Virtual Cups	13
1.3.9	Chapter 8: Technical Challenges	13
2	Introduction	15
2.1	RoboCup@Work in a Nutshell	15
2.2	Organization of the League	16
2.2.1	League Committees	16
2.2.2	League Infrastructure	17
2.3	Participation in the Competition	19
2.3.1	Steps to Participate	19
2.3.2	Qualification	19
2.3.3	Team Description Paper	20
2.3.4	Promotional Video	21
2.3.5	Contribution to On-Site Events	21

3 General Rules	23
3.1 Robots	23
3.1.1 Design and Constraints	23
3.1.2 Example Robots	24
3.1.3 Behavior and Safety	25
3.2 Arena Environment	26
3.2.1 Floor	26
3.2.2 Walls and Virtual Walls	26
3.2.3 Obstacles	27
3.2.4 Tapes	28
3.2.5 Tables	28
3.2.6 Shelves	30
3.2.7 Rotating Tables	30
3.2.8 Precision Placement Tables	31
3.2.9 Arena Layout	32
3.3 Service Areas	35
3.3.1 General	35
3.3.2 Arbitrary Surfaces and Decoys	35
3.3.3 Containers	37
3.4 Objects	37
3.4.1 Basic Object Set	38
3.4.2 Advanced Object Set	39
3.4.3 April Tagged Object Set	41
3.4.4 Manipulation Zone	43
4 Competition	45
4.1 Teams and Roles	45
4.2 Meetings and Language of Communication	46
4.3 Code of Conduct and Disqualification	46
4.4 Competition Procedure	46
4.5 Time Schedule	46
4.6 Practice Slots	47
4.7 Teamleader Meetings	47
4.8 Qualification for a Test	48
4.9 Parc fermé	48
4.10 Test Procedure	48
4.11 Restarting a Run	50
4.12 Skipping Tests	50
4.13 Atwork Commander (AC)	51
4.13.1 On Site	51
5 Tests	53
5.1 General	53
5.1.1 Common Rules	53
5.1.2 Grasping Objects	53

5.1.3	Placing Objects	54
5.2	New Test Structure	57
5.3	Basic Manipulation Test	57
5.4	Basic Transportation Test	57
5.5	Advanced Transportation Test	58
5.6	Final	59
5.7	Test Specification Summary	60
6	Scoring and Ranking	63
6.1	Scoring	63
6.2	Time Bonus	63
6.3	Simplifications	63
6.3.1	Using April Tag Tagged Cubes as Objects	64
6.4	Penalties	64
6.5	Collisions	64
6.6	Restarts	66
6.7	Ranking	66
7	Challenges and Awards	69
7.1	Technical Challenges	69
7.1.1	Master Communication Test	70
7.1.2	Coworker Assembly Test	70
7.2	Open Source Award	70
8	Virtual RoboCup	73
8.1	General	73
8.2	Arena Setup	73
8.3	Files required from Teams	75
8.4	Qualification for the competitions	75
8.5	Camera Setup	75
8.6	Task Generation	76
8.7	Competition Test Procedure	76
8.7.1	Preparation	76
8.7.2	Test Start	77
8.7.3	Test Run	77
8.7.4	Test End	77
8.8	Scoring	77
8.9	Technical Challenges	78
9	Appendix	79
9.1	Link list	79
Index		79

Chapter 1

Summary of Changes

1.1 Season 2024

For the Season 2024, we mainly added some clarifications regarding onsite events and the usage of ATTCs.

1.1.1 Open Source Award

We added an open source award which should encourage share of code and knowledge between teams with the intention to improve the league community and simplify the initial steps to participate (see [7.2](#)).

1.1.2 Clarifications

We added some clarifications regarding:

- Arbitrary Surfaces and objects sinking into them
- Default table size and allowed margin for onsite constructions
- Placing multiple objects into the same container
- The maximum size for decoy objects to avoid damage on manipulators

1.1.3 Teamleader Meetings

We added timeslots for teamleader meetings to the official onsite schedule. They have always been part of onsite events but were a bit difficult to organize without official requirements with the recently increasing number of participating teams.

1.1.4 April Tagged Object - Details

We've added some detailed requirements for the usage of April Tagged Objects, mainly targeting better usability and handling for onsite events. We also removed some bonus points for manipulation of ATTCs as we felt that they were unjustified considering the much lower technical hurdle.

To ensure that we can actually replace a regular task with the simplified ATT version, teams wanting to use that option are required to provide two complete sets of prepared objects for onsite events.

1.2 Season 2023

1.2.1 Restructuring of Benchmark Tests

The specialized tasks Precise Placement and Rotating Table have been integrated into the new Advanced Transportation Tasks and the Final. The standalone tests have therefore been removed from the competition schedule, effectively reducing the number of total tests by one.

1.2.2 Linear Increase of Complexity

The elements included in the different tests have been adjusted to form a more linear increase of overall task complexity over the course of the competition. This should allow teams to participate in the tests more successful for longer while still setting benchmarks in the advanced tests.

1.2.3 Replacement of the RoCKIn Object Set with the new Advanced Set

The objects from previous years technical challenge "Real Object Test" have been added to the new Advanced Object set. This replaces the outdated RoCKIn object set for this season and onwards.

1.2.4 Introduction of April Tagged Objects

With increasing requirements for object recognition due to the introduction of arbitrary surfaces and more difficult objects, the object detection is very critical for teams to being able to perform tasks in the league. To relax the initial boundary of this task, an option to replace the real objects with April tagged cubes has been introduced. Teams can then focus on other aspects of the league (navigation, task optimization, etc.) while being able to participate in the league successfully. As the final benchmark still foresees actual detection and recognition of real objects, a penalty is applied when a team uses this simplification.

1.2.5 Clarifications for successful manipulation

Some unclear situations were addressed with some clarifications regarding successful object placement on the table, in containers and on precise placement tiles.

1.2.6 Referee Briefing

An additional organization slot was added to the schedule that shall be used to brief the referees of each team for the upcoming competition tests. Only briefed refs are allowed to judge the future runs. Teams are asked to send at least two members to the briefing, if possible.

1.2.7 Minimum Ref Number

A lower boundary of 4 for the amount of refs required for "fair" judgement was added. In case of only a few attending teams and therefore lower referee numbers, TC members will assist in the judging process.

1.2.8 Technical Challenges

The technical challenge "Real Object Test" has been removed as the new object set is used in the benchmark tests.

1.3 Season 2022

1.3.1 General Changes for 2022

In 2021, the first virtual robocup was held online via discord, zoom and youtube live. As a lot of new teams came into the league which never experienced a in-person robocup, it came clear that the rulebook was missing out on specific information and rule definitions. A lot of the rules have been habit and spoken agreements during the years, which included crucial elements such as handling of robot collisions, repeating runs, on-site competition organization and more.

This years rulebook tries to clarify all these rules, while also releasing some constraints while enforcing more robot safety. In the following, some rule summaries are being made about the following chapters. Please carefully read the paragraphs anyways.

1.3.2 Chapter 2: League Organization

Discord has proven to be a very useful tool to organize robocup as it allows teams to communicate. All participating teams should join our Discord to keep up on news and announcements.

1.3.3 Chapter 3: Robot Rules

The size constraints were relaxed to allow more chassis types. However, certain safety requirements must be met to participate in the competition.

1.3.4 Chapter 3: Environment Specification

The requirements for a robot to autonomously navigate the arena have been specified. Robots must fit those specs to participate.

The arena elements and their role in the competition are defined, declaring a task location a service area. Robots must perform various manipulation tasks at service areas, mostly by handling a set of objects.

1.3.5 Chapter 4: The Competition

The on-site process is defined and explained, most importantly the rules and schedule of runs. Robots must autonomously perform a set of tasks at different service areas, where the exact task definition is defined by so called tests.

Each team has one performance slot for each test type (currently 7+1), with the option to repeat a lower-scoring test once in a later timeslot if possible. A performance slot consists of a prep phase, a run phase and the end phase, where the performing team prepares and executes their run and then gets their performance then evaluated by the refs.

1.3.6 Chapter 5: Test Definition

Clarification of the basic manipulation, basic transportation, precise placement and rotating table test.

1.3.7 Chapter 6: Scoring Adjustments

The scoring for successful task execution and errors has been updated to ease the competition for newer teams while keeping the ambitions for more difficult tasks with boni.

1.3.8 Chapter 7: Virtual Cups

Taking the rules from 2021s virtual cup, requirements for arena setups at home are defined to allow teams to participate in their own laboratory. They must livestream their test performance with a professional camera setup to allow refs worldwide to evaluate the performance.

1.3.9 Chapter 8: Technical Challenges

Three new technical challenges have been introduced to help evolve the league and the scientific challenges. The exact specification of the individual tests is yet to be made.

Chapter 2

Introduction

2.1 RoboCup@Work in a Nutshell

RoboCup@Work is a competition in RoboCup that targets the use of robots in work-related scenarios. RoboCup@Work utilizes proven ideas and concepts from RoboCup competitions to tackle open research challenges in industrial and service robotics. With the introduction of this new event, RoboCup opens up to communities researching both classical and innovative robotics scenarios with very high relevance for the robotics industry.

Examples for the work-related scenarios targeted by RoboCup@Work include

- loading and/or unloading of containers with/of objects with the same or different size,
- pickup or delivery of parts from/to structured storages and/or unstructured heaps,
- operation of machines, including pressing buttons, opening/closing doors and drawers, and similar operations with underspecified or unknown kinematics,
- flexible planning and dynamic scheduling of production processes involving multiple agents (humans, robots, and machines),
- cooperative assembly of non-trivial objects, with other robots and/or humans,
- cooperative collection of objects over spatially widely distributed areas, and
- cooperative transportation of objects (robots with robots, robots with humans).

The RoboCup@Work scenarios target difficult, mostly unsolved problems in robotics, artificial intelligence, and advanced computer science, in particular in perception, path planning and motion planning, mobile manipulation, planning and scheduling, learning and adaptivity, and probabilistic modeling, to name just a few. Furthermore, RoboCup@Work scenarios may also address problems for which solutions require the use and integration of semantic web technology, RFID technology, or advanced computational geometry.

Solutions to the problems posed by RoboCup@Work require sophisticated and innovative approaches and methods and their effective integration. The scenarios are defined such that the problems are sufficiently general and independent of particular industrial applications, but also sufficiently close to real application problems that the solutions can be adapted to particular application problems with reasonable effort.

A RoboCup@Work competition has only recently become a feasible idea for several reasons: The arrival of new, small, and flexible robot systems for mobile manipulation allow more university-based research labs to perform research in the above-mentioned areas. Advances and a revived interest in the use of simulation technology in robotics enable research groups to perform serious research without having a full set of costly robotics and automation equipment available.

The robotics and automation industry is recently shifting its attention towards robotics scenarios involving the integration of mobility and manipulation, larger-scale integration of service robotics and industrial robotics, cohabitation of robots and humans, and cooperation of multiple robots and/or humans. Last but not least, there is a huge interest by funding agencies and professional societies in well-designed and professionally performed benchmarks for industry-relevant robotics tasks. RoboCup@Work is designed as an instrument to serve all these needs.

2.2 Organization of the League

2.2.1 League Committees

The following list of committees is implemented for RoboCup@Work.

2.2.1.1 Executive Committee

Executive Committee (EC) members are responsible for the long term goals of the league and thus have also contact to other leagues as well as to the RoboCup Federation. The EC presents the league and its achievements to the RoboCup Federation every year and gets feedback to organize the league. All EC members are also members of the Technical Committee. EC members are elected by the Board of Trustees and appointed by the President of the RoboCup Federation, they serve 3-year terms. The current EC members are:

- Asadollah Norouzi, *Singapore Polytechnic*
- Christoph Steup, *Otto von Guericke University Magdeburg*

2.2.1.2 Technical Committee

The *Technical Committee* (TC) is responsible for technical issues of the league, most notably the definition of the rules, such as compliance of the robots with rules and safety standards, the qualification of teams, the adherence to the rules as well as the resolution of any conflicts that may arise during competition. The current TC members are:

- Marco Masannek, *Nuremberg Institute of Technology*
- Lucas Reinhart, *University of Applied Sciences Würzburg-Schweinfurt*
- Kenny Voo, *Nanyang Technological University*

- Martin Sereinig, *University of Innsbruck*
- Leander Bartsch, *Otto von Guericke University Magdeburg*

2.2.1.3 Organizing Committee

The *Organizing Committee* (OC) is responsible for all aspects concerning the practical implementation of competition, most notably for providing the competition arenas, ensuring their conformity with the rules, and any objects and facilities required to perform the various tests. Further, the Committee is responsible for assigning space to teams in the team area, the organization and scheduling of meetings, the nomination and scheduling of referees, the scheduling and timely execution of tests and stages, recording and publishing competition results, and any other management duties arising before, during, and after a competition. The current OC members are:

- Franziska Labitzke, *Otto von Guericke University Magdeburg*
- Hauke Petersen, *Otto von Guericke University Magdeburg*
- Sally Zeitler, *Nuremberg Institute of Technology*

2.2.2 League Infrastructure

In order to provide a forum for continuous discussions between teams and other stakeholders, the league builds and maintains an infrastructure consisting of a web site, mailing lists, and repositories for documentation, software, and data. The infrastructure is complemented by a minimum infrastructure to be built and maintained by teams, i.e. teams should eventually create their own web page to which the RoboCup@Work League's web pages can be linked.

2.2.2.1 Infrastructure Maintained by the League

Website The official website of RoboCup@Work is at

<https://atwork.robocup.org/>.

This web site is the central place for information about the league. It contains general introductory information plus links to all other infrastructure components, such as a league wiki, the mailing lists, important documents such as this rule book, announcements of upcoming events as well as past events and participating teams.

Discord Server The official Discord server of RoboCup@Work is

<https://discord.gg/6jg7Yv49Dn>

Teams are asked to join the server, as it is a place for exchange in the league. Things as Questions, Announcements and Discussions are usually held here.

Mailing Lists The league maintains several mailing lists:

`rc-work@lists.robocup.org` this is the general RoboCup@Work mailing list. Anyone can subscribe, but a real name must be provided either as part of the email address or being specified on the mailing list subscription page. The list is moderated in order to avoid abuse by spammers. New members can subscribe to this list here:

<https://lists.robocup.org/cgi-bin/mailman/listinfo/rc-work>.

`rc-work-tc@lists.robocup.org` this is the mailing list for the TC. Posts from non-members have to be approved by the list moderator. Approvals will be given only in well-justified cases.

<https://lists.robocup.org/cgi-bin/mailman/listinfo/rc-work-tc>

Repositories Several repositories are publicly available under the official RoboCup@Work Github account:

<https://github.com/robocup-at-work>

The repositories provide 3D models for the manipulation objects, their corresponding PPT cavities, and all arena elements. Additionally, the sources to this rulebook, the implementation of the referee box, and various tools can be found.

Team Description Paper Template All TDPs must be written using the following template:

<https://www.overleaf.com/latex/templates/springer-lecture-notes-in-computer-science/kzwwpvhnvfj#.WtR5Hy5ua71>

2.2.2.2 Infrastructure Maintained by Teams

Each team is requested to build and maintain a minimum infrastructure for its team. This infrastructure consist of

- team website,
- team contact, and
- team mailing address.

The team web site should contain the following information:

- Name of the team, and team logo, if any
- Affiliation of the team

- Team leader including full contact information
- List of team members
- Description of the team's research interest and background
- Description of specific approach pursued by the team
- Description of the robot(s) used by the team
- List of relevant publications by team members

The team contact should be the official contact of the team. Usually, for university-based teams, this would be an academic person such as a professor or post-doc, who should, however, be responsive and be able to answer quickly when contacted by email.

The team mailing address should be an email alias, which should be used to subscribe the team to the general RoboCup@Work mailing list. The email alias should at least include the team contact and the team leader.

2.3 Participation in the Competition

Participation in RoboCup@Work requires successfully passing a qualification procedure. This procedure is to ensure the quality of the competition event and the safety of participants. Depending on constraints imposed by a particular site or the number of teams interested to participate, it may not be possible to admit all interested teams to the competition.

2.3.1 Steps to Participate

All teams that intend to participate at the competition have to perform the following steps:

1. Preregistration (may be optional; currently by sending email to the TC)
2. Submission of qualification material, including a team description paper, a promotional videos and possibly additional material like designs or drawings
3. Final registration (qualified teams only)
4. Entering the competition

All dates and concrete procedures will be communicated in due time in advance.

2.3.2 Qualification

The qualification process serves a dual purpose: It should allow the TC to assess the safety of the robots a team intents to bring to a competition, and it should allow to rank teams according to a set of evaluation criteria in order to select the most promising teams for a competition, if not all interested teams can be permitted. The TC will select the qualified teams according to the qualification material provided by the teams. The evaluation criteria will include:

- Team description paper
- Relevant scientific contribution/publications
- Professional quality of robot and software
- Novelty of approach
- Relevance to industry
- Performance in previous competitions
- Contribution to RoboCup@Work league, e.g. by
 - Organization of events
 - Provision and sharing of knowledge
- Team promo video
- Team web site

2.3.3 Team Description Paper

The *Team Description Paper* (TDP) is a central element of the qualification process and has to be provided by each team as part of the qualification process. All TDPs will be included in the CD proceedings of the RoboCup Symposium. The TDP should at least contain the following information in the author/title section of the paper:

- Name of the team (title)
- Team members (authors), including the team leader
- Link to the team web site
- Contact information

The body of the TDP should contain information on the following:

- focus of research/research interest
- description of the hardware, including an image of the robot(s)
- description of the safety systems used on the robot, including emergency stop procedure
- description of the software, esp. the functional and software architectures
- innovative technology (if any)
- reusability of the system or parts thereof
- applicability and relevance to industrial tasks

The team description paper should cover in detail the technical and scientific approach, while the team web site should be designed for a broader audience. Both the web site and the TDP have to be written in English. Alongside the TDP, the TC will - starting 2019 - also require a video file presenting the robot, see Section 2.3.4. All TDPs must be written using the following template: [Overleaf TDP template](#)

2.3.4 Promotional Video

In order to better judge the quality of a team's qualification, the TC asks every team, established or new, to submit a video file describing the robot and its design. The video should clearly demonstrate the robot's ability to perform the tasks required in the challenge, such as autonomous navigation, picking, and placing. Desired elements include visualizing the sensory capabilities of the robot, i.e., seeing what the robot sees, and the plan currently followed by the robot. Spoken language/an audio stream is not required. Ideal video resolution is 1080p with a 16:9 ratio. For large files, please provide a download link. This file will also be played as explanatory and promotional material during the competition.

2.3.5 Contribution to On-Site Events

To actually participate in an on-site competition, teams must bring one set of all objects used in the competition.

This includes the Basic and Advanced Object Set, see Section [3.3.3](#) and the containers, see Section [3.4](#). The objects are used as part of the league's object pool, which are used to realize the official tasks generated by the Atwork Commander, see Section [4.13](#).

Provision of arbitrary surfaces, see Section [3.3.2](#) is optional, but highly appreciated to ensure a large variety of surfaces for the tasks.

If teams want to make use of existing simplifications, they also need to provide the necessary equipments for these. For more informations refer to Sections [3.4.3](#) and [6.3.1](#).

Chapter 3

General Rules

In this chapter the general rules will be explained that are valid for all tests. This chapter is separated into the sections robot, arena environment, Service Areas and Objects.

3.1 Robots

The robots used for competition shall satisfy professional quality standards. The concrete definition of these standards is to be assessed by the TC, comprising aspects such as sturdy construction, general safety, and robust operation. It is not required that the robots are certified for industrial use to allow for a faster and easier implementation of research work. However, some requirements have to be met to ensure the safety of all participants of the competition. If there are even vague doubts about the eligibility of using particular designs, parts, or mechanisms, the team should consult the TC well in advance.

3.1.1 Design and Constraints

Perks The following assumptions are made about the kind of robots used in the competition:

- At least one of the robots used by a team is mobile and moves on wheels. No specific assumptions are made about the kinematic design, but the mobile robots should be able to move on basically flat, sufficiently firm surfaces. Aerial robots are not allowed in this competition.
- Robots are equipped at least one manipulator and are able to handle the Objects defined in Table 3.1, Table 3.2 and Table 3.3.
- The manipulator of the robot should be designed and mounted on the robot such that it can handle the Objects at different heights, usually between 0cm and 40cm above the floor.
- The robots use sensors to obtain information about their whereabouts in the environment and the task-relevant Objects. Usual types of sensors used are 2D-LiDARs, (3D-) Cameras, RaDAR, Ultrasonic range finders and other. Sensors must not harm humans due to their physical properties (e.g. no laser class 2 or above).

Size There are no constraints regarding the size and weight of the used robots, but they have to fit in the arena defined in section 3.2. The minimum passage width is 80cm. The used robots must be able to maneuver in that space.

Electrical The used batteries may not exceed a capacity of 500Wh. 300Wh of capacity is recommended. The maximum voltage allowed on the robotic system is 60 V DC.

Movement The maximum speed of the robots may not exceed 1.5 m/s. The robot should also be able to stop within a reasonable distance on concrete floor.

Actuator Types Electric, pneumatic, and hydraulic actuation mechanisms are permitted, provided that they are constructed and produced according to professional standards and meet safety constraints. Combustion engines and any kind of explosives are strictly forbidden. Robots may not pollute or harm their environment in any way, e.g. by loss of chemicals or oil, spilling liquids, or exhausting gases.

Connectivity The TC may require that robots are equipped with a wireless communication device of some sort (e.g. 802.11n), in order to communicate task specifications to the robots.

3.1.2 Example Robots

Figure 3.1 shows three examples how a robot suitable to the competition can look like.




Figure 3.1: Examples mobile robot platforms that can be used for RoboCup@Work. Robots from the teams AutonOHM (Nuremberg-Germany), Tyrolics (Innsbruck-Austria) and robOTTO (Magdeburg-Germany) – from left to right.

3.1.3 Behavior and Safety

For safety the robots have to meet the constraints in section 3.1.1. In general, all robots shall be operated with maximum safety in mind. Any robot operation must be such that a robot neither harms humans nor damages the environment. The used batteries shall be handled with care and all team members must be educated in the correct usage, charging and storage of the batteries of the team. For lithium batteries appropriate storage bags must be used by the teams. The OC supplies a fire extinguisher for lithium batteries at the competition. If this is not sufficient for the used batteries of a team. The team is responsible for supplying an appropriate fire extinguisher by themselves. The OC and TC control the observance of this rules.

All robots must have an emergency stop button. The emergency stop has to be a hard stop mechanism, that ensures that the energy transfer to all actuators is stopped immediately and the robot halts. The mechanism must be a red emergency stop button that is clearly visible, easily accessible and per wire attached to the robot. It has to be easy accessible from at least 3 sides of the robot. A wireless emergency stop button is optional but not sufficient.

The OC may request the proof of a robot's safety (e.g. the correct operation of an emergency stop) anytime during the competition and exclude teams that cannot satisfy safety requirements.

When participating in a competition, the team may operate the robot only in their own team area, in the arenas provided (possibly constrained by a schedule assigning periods of time for exclusive use of the arena by a team or a group of teams), and in any other areas designated by the organizers for robot operation. Any operation of robots outside of these areas, e.g. in public areas or emergency paths, require prior permission by the OC.

Safety test procedure:

Before the competition starts each robot has to perform a safety test procedure. This will be included in the competition schedule given by the OC.

- Inspection of the robot platform (sharp edges and general construction)
- Description of the included safety systems by the team leader
- Test of emergency stop while standing still
- Test of emergency stop during navigation
- Test of emergency stop during manipulation

3.2 Arena Environment

The competition is held in an arena resembling an example layout of industrial manufacturing facilities. In this Section different parts of the environment are explained.

3.2.1 Floor

The floor is made of some firm material. This includes among others floors made of concrete, screed, timber, plywood, chipboard, laminated boards, linoleum, PVC flooring, or carpet. Some examples are illustrated in Figure 3.2. Floors may neither be made of loose material of any kind (gravel, sand, or any material which may damage the functioning of the robot's wheels) nor may such material be used on top of the floor. Liquids of any kind are not allowed. The floor may have spots of unevenness of up to 1cm in any direction (clefts, rifts, ridges, etc.).



Figure 3.2: Examples of floors that can be used for RoboCup@Work arenas.

3.2.2 Walls and Virtual Walls

The arena consists of outer and inner Walls used to build structures, create obstacles or function as protection barriers for teams and viewers. Walls may be either physical (plank) or virtual (red/white Tape). All walls (physical and virtual) have an infinitely height. The arena is completely enclosed by Walls (both types possible), meaning robots are not allowed to exit the arena during a run. All types of Walls won't be changed during the competition. If the robot touches a Wall or Virtual Wall it results in a Major Collision.

The height of a physical Wall must be not less than 20cm and not more than 40cm (but will be seen as infinite high). Most Walls have a uniform main color (white), but may be enforced by metal (aluminum framework) and decorated with sponsor logos or ads.

Virtual Walls are made of red/white Tape and may never be crossed during a run. The arena can contain Walls and Virtual Walls inside.




Figure 3.3: Example of a typical arena. The red/white Tape indicates a Virtual Wall. The yellow/black Tape indicates a Virtual Obstacle and the white ashlar-formed cartonages are Obstacles.

3.2.3 Obstacles

In addition to the static arena elements, semi-dynamic Obstacles may be placed inside the arena before a competition run begins. The position of such Obstacles is decided by the TC during the setup phase of the run and randomized between different run types. Obstacles can be either physical or virtual.

Obstacles may block paths partly or completely, as long as all active Service Areas are still reachable. There are three main Obstacle placement types:

- **Blocking:** A narrow section is completely blocked by the Obstacle, which means that no robot can physically pass it ($< 20\text{cm}$).
- **Semi-Blocking:** The Obstacle reduces the distance between arena elements below the minimum width for a path ($< 80\text{cm}$). The path therefore counts as blocked, meaning that there must exist another valid path to all active Service Areas. Robots are still allowed to use all paths if they fit through the smaller gaps. The gap width is fixed and its value is calculated using the width of the biggest robot in the competition plus 10cm. This usually adds up to around 60cm, but might be higher or lower, depending on the participating robots.
- **Non-Blocking:** The Obstacle adds or enlarges an arena element but keeps all paths intact.

Physical Obstacles (see figure 3.3) measure atleast $2\text{cm} \times 2\text{cm} \times 20\text{cm}$ ($\text{l} \times \text{w} \times \text{h}$) and may be made of any non-transparent, firm material (wood, metal). Some examples are bins, shipping boxes and Wall elements. Their color is not specified. All physical Obstacles are treated like any other arena element during a run, including the rules for collisions (Major Collision).

Virtual Obstacles are marked using the yellow/black Tape from section 3.2.4. The collisions with these Virtual Obstacles will treated as Tape Collision (see 6.4).

3.2.4 Tapes

Red/white Tape:

The red/white Tape (Tesa signal 5cm width) is considered as a Virtual Wall and has an infinite height. The red/white Tape is static and won't be changed during the whole competition. It will be used inside the arena and as an outer border of the arena. Touching the red/white Tape is considered as a Major Collision.

Yellow/black Tape:

The yellow/black Tape (Tesa signal 5cm width) is considered as a Virtual Obstacles and has an infinite height. The yellow/black Tape will be placed by the TC before a run that contains Virtual Obstacles. Touching the yellow/black Tape is considered as a Tape Collision.



Figure 3.4: Example red/white and yellow/black Tapes

Markup Tape:

Green electrical tape is considered as markup Tape. This tape can be used everywhere, where it is useful. It is intended as a marker for the referees and teams and not for the robot. Therefore the color may deviate, but the color is not red or yellow to guarantee a clear difference to the other tapes. The tape is used to mark the START and FINISH area. Furthermore the tape can be used to mark the position of tables (especially 0cm) and Walls. The latter ones are useful for restoring the arena in case of a Major Collision.

3.2.5 Tables

Tables in the league typically measure 80cm wide (the side the robot approaches) and 50cm deep. These are the intended dimensions, but a margin of +/- 10cm is allowed to accommodate potential construction variations. In general terms, the table shall be big enough to contain at least one manipulation zone as described in section 3.4.4. In general two manipulation zones are included on one table (one zone on each side of the Table). The used table heights are 0cm, 5cm, 10cm and 15cm. See further down in this section for more information about the 0cm-Table. See figure 3.5

for illustration. The tolerance for the height is $\pm 2\text{cm}$. During a competition the Table size is only fixed in the margin of $\pm 2\text{cm}$, because of arbitrary surfaces (see [3.3.2](#) for an explanation of arbitrary surfaces). The table is closed between the bottom and the table top. That's why the Table can be used as a reference for navigation, when the height is sufficient for the robot. Note that the height may change slightly with arbitrary surfaces during a competition and thus the table can sometimes be visible to the laser scanners and sometimes not.



Figure 3.5: left: 0cm-Table with arbitrary surface (approx. 1cm thick); right: PPT-Table which is a standard 10cm-Table with cavities

If a table has a height of 0cm, a green electrical Tape (see [3.2.4](#)) will mark the area. A 0cm-Table can be active or inactive. Active means, that the current test (see table [5.4](#)) includes 0cm-Tables. If the table is active, the surrounded area may be covered by a white sheet of paper or arbitrary surface. The material is not fixed. The OC is responsible to replace it in case of pollution or tears. To reduce the tear, it is removed when the 0cm-Table is not active. If the floor is white or the table shall have an Arbitrary Surface, no cover needs to be installed. 0cm-Table may be crossed and does not count as a collision. If the laid out white Surface is moved, it is not a collision. If the robot touches an Object while navigating, this will be handled as a Minor Collision. Examples for 0cm-Table are shown in figure [3.6](#).




Figure 3.6: left: 0cm-Table which is considered as inactive or as an active table with arbitrary surface; right: 0cm-Table which is considered as active with an arbitrary surface

3.2.6 Shelves

The integration of Shelves into tests is according the table 5.4. Service Areas may foresee the use of shelves and shelf units as depicted in Figure 3.7. The lower part of the Shelf is a 10cm-Table as specified in 3.2.5. The maximum height of the shelves should be not more than 40cm. In the example shown in Figure 3.7 the first 15cm \pm 2cm are not covered by the top shelf. The length of free space can be changed during competition and can not be seen as mandatory. Therefore all teams has to consider special picking behavior to avoid collision.

The top shelf surface may be specially designed in order to serve specific purposes, e.g. holding Objects. Objects for grasping are always placed on the bottom shelf. The placement of a delivered Object has to be done on the top shelf.




Figure 3.7: Exemplary shelf and generic technical drawing.

3.2.7 Rotating Tables

The integration of Rotating Tables into tests is according the table 5.4. A Rotating Table as depicted in Figure 3.8 is used for these tests. The Objects placement is the same like in section 3.4.4, so the maximal depth for Objects is 20cm and there is gap of 2cm to the border of the Rotating Table.

The height of the Rotating Table should be not lesser than 8cm and not be more than 12cm. The diameter of the Rotating Table should be not lesser than 50cm and not more than 100cm. The Rotating Table has to have a white surface colour. The rotating speed of the table depends on the diameter so that the Objects speed is able to vary between $5\text{cm s}^{-1} \leq v_{object} \leq 20\text{cm s}^{-1}$. This has to be adjusted by the referees before a team starts its run to a fixed value (some small variations in boarders of technical feasibility are allowed). This is changed after each run type to another random chosen value in this range. During the run of a team the speed is static and each team will have the

same table speed. Example: For a Rotating Table with diameter $d_{table} = 1\text{m}$, Objects are placed on a grasp region with the diameter $d_{object} = 0.8\text{m}$ with $\omega_{table} = \frac{2 \cdot v_{objects}}{d_{grasp}} = \frac{2 \cdot 0.2}{0.8} = 0.5\text{rad s}^{-1}$ and with $n_{table} = \frac{\omega_{table}}{2 \cdot \pi} = \frac{0.5}{2 \cdot \pi}$ the minimum rotational speed of the Rotating Table $n_{table} = 0.0796\text{s}^{-1}$ (rounds per second) can be calculated.




Figure 3.8: Exemplary Rotating table and generic technical drawing.

3.2.8 Precision Placement Tables

The precision placement table as shown in Figure 3.10 includes object-specific cavities tiles as shown in the Figure 3.9. It is based on a standard 10cm table described in Section 3.2.5. For each object used in the test, there will be one specific cavity. The cavity has the dimension of the object plus a 2mm offset for each dimension. At most five cavities are used in the test. The cavities are in a random order on the table and are located within the standard manipulation zone defined in Figure 3.22. One cavity tile has the dimensions of 140mm \times 140mm. Placing five cavities on a standard 10cm table leaves a border of 5cm on each side of the table. The additional decoy cavity tiles will be chosen by the referees. For the Precision Placement Table only the RoboCup@Work Object Set will be used.





Figure 3.9: Illustration of horizontal (top row) and vertical (bottom row) cavities for the different kind of manipulation objects.



(a) The PPT platform including five cavity tile.



(b) Technical draw of Precision Placement table.

Figure 3.10: Exemplary Precision Placement table and generic technical drawing.

3.2.9 Arena Layout

The arena is a static 2D environment consisting of Walls, Tables and Obstacles etc. with a size of atleast 10 m² and not more than 120 m². An example layout is shown in fig. 3.11.

Layouts may include rooms and hallways to create more realistic scenarios. Service Areas (see section 3.3) mark the locations for robots to perform tasks. Each requested Service Area must be accessible via atleast one path of 80cm width.

Each competition has a new and unique layout designed by the actual TC members. It should feature:

- Area 10 m² - 120 m²
- Minimum distance between arena elements at least 80cm
- Widespread Service Areas entailing robot movements
- Multiple paths between Service Areas
- START and FINISH area



Figure 3.11: An exemplary setup of a RoboCup@Work environment.




Figure 3.12: Annotated 2D map of the environment in fig. 3.11

START and FINISH area:

One or two parts of the arena are separated with marking Tape and considered as START and FINISH area. The START and FINISH area can be the same or two independent areas. The robot may leave the START area and enter the FINISH area only once. The START/FINISH area is leaved/entered when the robot completely cross the corresponding Marking Tape. In figure 3.13 an exemplary Tape configuration is shown. As soon as the robot enters the FINISH area or re-enters the START area the run ends. The FINISH area counts as a Service Area and reaching the FINISH area gives additional points.




Figure 3.13: Exemplary tape configuration: black lines are physical walls, red white dashed lines are virtual walls, yellow black dashed lines are barrier tape and green lines are marking tape

Referee Zone

The arena is enclosed by a padded strip of 1m. This area is reserved for the referees to enable them to move freely while judging a robot's performance. See section 4.1.

3.3 Service Areas

A Service Area indicates a location for a robot where tasks (e.g. picking or placing Objects) have to be performed.

3.3.1 General

Such a location is usually a Table with a flat white top (see fig. 3.11), commonly referred to as Workstation (only in a case of a normal Table), but can also be a Rotating Table, Shelf, Precise Placement station or any other type needed for a specific task. In order to successfully reach a Service Area, robots must position themselves in front of the Service Area in a way that allows manipulation of the Objects of interest and the robot has to stand still. To enable robots to reach such a position, a rectangular area with 80cm width must be kept free of Obstacles (see fig. 3.14).




Figure 3.14: Free Space in front of a Service Area

The arena layout must define where the "front" of a table is. Figure 3.12 gives an example for the definition of the position of each Service Area, marking them as WS_x (Workstation x), SH_x (Shelf x), PP_x (Precise Placement x) and RT_x (Rotating Table x). The orientation only indicates the direction of the Service Area. It does not specify the robot's heading, which may be chosen by teams according to their individual robot design.

Tables that includes Service Areas which can be used from both sides (see fig. 3.11) are defined as two separate workstations (e.g. WS5 & WS6). However, manipulation of these Service Areas requires the robot to have its center in the rectangular area defined in fig. 3.14. This means that manipulation of the opposite Service Area is NOT allowed (see 3.4.4), even though it would be physically possible.

This rule also applies to the two positions for the Rotating table (RT1 and RT2). This makes smaller physical layouts possible that still provide the ability to create complex navigation challenges due to the amount of locations to visit.

3.3.2 Arbitrary Surfaces and Decoys

In order to make the operating environment more realistic, the Service Areas may contain different kinds of Arbitrary Surfaces (Figure 3.15) with industrial items as Decoys (Figure 3.16). The Decoys

are limited in height to max. 10 cm. The width and depth of decoys are only limited by the size of the manipulation area. The Arbitrary Surfaces don't have to be fixed mounted at the Service Areas. Examples of Arbitrary Surfaces can be different wood pattern, grass, alufoil, plexiglas etc. The integration of Arbitrary Surfaces and Decoys into tests is according the table 5.4. If a team choose AprilTag objects in a test then no arbitrary surfaces will be used.




Figure 3.15: Examples of arbitrary surfaces used for Service Areas.



Figure 3.16: Exemplary configuration of the working desks including objects and decoys.

Placement of Decoys and arbitrary surfaces are done by the referees. Decoys could be any kind of object (tools, hardware, small electrical devices) but also standard objects from the rule book defined in section 3.4 can be used. Figure 3.16 shows some examples.

3.3.3 Containers

As in many industrial settings, the RoboCup@Work environment may be equipped with several Containers (see Figure 3.17). The Containers are defined as industrial plastic stacking boxes size 2B, outer dimensions: $135 \times 160 \times 82$ mm, usable dimensions: $120 \times 125 \times 65$ mm in red ca. RAL 3020 and blue ca. RAL 5015. They can store any kind of Object defined in Section 3.4. Robots are supposed to place previously grasped Objects into containers. (Grasping from containers might be included in future competitions.) Several Containers can be present in the environment and are always associated with a Service Area. That means that the Container itself will be placed within the manipulation zone defined in Section 3.4.4. It is also possible that more than one Container is placed at a single Service Area, but not multiple Containers of the same color. The constraints defined in Section 3.4.4 apply also to the Containers. Currently, a Container itself does not need to be manipulated or transported by the robot. The position of the Containers will be decided by the referees before every run type.



Figure 3.17: Containers can be used for grasping Objects out or placing Objects into them.

3.4 Objects

The Objects in RoboCup@Work include a wide range of Objects relevant in industrial applications of robotics. They eventually cover any raw material, (semi-)finished parts or products as well as tools and possibly operating materials required for manufacturing processes. Object types are selected by the TC and shall vary in complexity due to different shapes, colors and uses of objects. Currently there are three sets of Objects used in the competition: Basic, Advanced and April Tagged. The following sections provide details about those sets. The exact appearance of the object used in the competition can slightly vary due to availability, e.g. different coating colors for some standard parts.

3.4.1 Basic Object Set

The Basic Object Set includes standard profile rails, screws and nuts with various sizes and masses.

ID	Object	Symbolic Description	Mass	Details
1		F20_20_B	49 g	Small aluminium profile Coating/Colour: black anodized Size: 20 × 20 × 100mm
2		F20_20_G	49 g	Small aluminium profile Coating/Colour: gray anodized Size: 20 × 20 × 100mm
3		S40_40_B	186 g	Large aluminium profile Coating/Colour: black anodized Size: 40 × 40 × 100mm
4		S40_40_G	186 g	Large aluminium profile Coating/Colour: gray anodized Size: 40 × 40 × 100mm
5		M20_100	296 g	Screw ISO4014, DIN 931, EU 24014 Coating/Colour: blank, black burnished Size: M20 × 100
6		M20	56 g	Small nut ISO4032, DIN934, EU 24032 Coating/Color: blank, black burnished Size: M20
7		M30	217 g	Large nut ISO4032, DIN934, EU 24032 Coating/Color: blank, black burnished Size: M30

Table 3.1: RoboCup@Work Basic Object Set

3.4.2 Advanced Object Set

For 2023, the RoCKIn object set (see 2022 rulebook or previous ones) is replaced by a selection of better available parts for a drive train, as well as some tools (see Section 9.1). The new object set was introduced in a technical challenge in 2022 and is called Advanced Object Set.


ID	Object	Symbolic Description	Mass	Details
20		Axis2	180 g	Steel axis Misumi: SFUB25-25-F28-P17-T15-S10-Q20 Coating/Colour: blank, black burnished Length: 68 mm Diameter: 17mm, M20 Misumi (visited Januar 2022)
21		Bearing2	100 g	Bearing SKF YAR203-2F Coating/Colour: gray Useable with housing SKF (visited Januar 2022)
22		Housing	60 g	Housing SKF P40 Coating/Colour: gray Useable with bearing Remark: needs two hex socket screw M8x10 (ISO 4762, DIN 912) and two M8 nuts (ISO 4032, DIN 934) SKF (visited Januar 2022)
23		Motor2	350g	Motor 755 Coating/Colour: gray Size: 66.7 × 42.0mm Diameter: $d_{axis} = 5\text{mm}$, $l_{axis} = 10\text{mm}$ Amazon (visited Januar 2022)
24		Spacer		Flanged Spacer Misumi CLJHJ25-30-70 Coating/Colour: white Size: 70mm Diameter: $d_{inner} = 25\text{mm}$, $d_{outer} = 30\text{mm}$ Misumi (visited Januar 2022)

Table 3.2: Advanced Object Set - Part 1

ID	Object	Symbolic Description	Mass	Details
25		Screwdriver	19g	WERA 352 Ball end screwdriver, hexagon socket screws Coating/Colour: black/green Size: 181mm Diameter: $d_{tip} = 2.5\text{mm}$ Code: 05138070001 Amazon (visited Januar 2022)
26		Wrench	ca. 72g	WERA Jocker 6000, 8mm Ratcheting combination wrenches Coating/Colour: silver/grey/pink Size: ca. 144mm Diameter: $d_{max} = 20\text{mm}$ Code: 05073268001 Amazon (visited Januar 2022)
27		Drill	ca. 10g	Bosch Drill HSS-Co DIN338 Drill Coating/Colour: gold/ Cobalt alloy Length: ca. 151mm Diameter: $d_{max} = 13\text{mm}$ Code: 3165140382724 Amazon (visited Januar 2022)
28		AllenKey	ca. 10g	Wera Allen Key 8mm, 3950 PKL L-key, metric, stainless Coating/Colour: silver Length: ca. 195mm × 37mm Diameter: $d_{max} = 8\text{mm}$ Code: 05022708001 Amazon (visited Januar 2022)

Table 3.3: RoboCup@Work Advanced Object Set - Part 2

3.4.3 April Tagged Object Set

For the season 2023 and onwards, 3D printed cubes marked with April tags might be used in the competition. This should allow teams to focus on other areas than object recognition by simplifying the detection of Objects. These cubes will be called April Tag Tagged Cubes (ATTC) in the following.

The April Tags used in RoboCup@Work measure 40mm × 40mm and have an encoding taken from the 36h11 April Tag family, including a 1bit black and 1bit white border as shown in fig. 3.18.




Figure 3.18: Example of an April Tag with the ID 42. Encoding is from the 36h11-April Tag Family.


All the used April Tag ID numbers will fit to the ID numbers used by the AtWork Commander in the [atwork_commander_msgs/msg/Object.msg](#).

Any software package to identify such april tags is allowed. The [April Tag ROS package](#) would be a great and easy-to-use example.

3.4.3.1 Dimensions and Details of the ATTCs

The standard ATTC measures 42mm × 42mm × 42mm and has slightly rounded edges, similar to the shape of aluminum profile rails. A 1mm deep cavity measuring 40.5mm × 40.5mm is embedded in the top of the cube to make centering the april tag printouts easier. The exact design and size is specified in fig. 3.19 and can be downloaded from the official league repository: [3D STL file for an ATTC](#)

The cubes are 3D printed using PLA filament. Any color is allowed since the identification of cubes is solely done using the printout tag, which is to be glued into the top cavity. Additionally, printouts of a human-readable object ID and an image of the corresponding object from tables 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 must be glued to the side of the cubes to allow for easier onsite handling and identification of the cubes.



(a) April Tag Tagged Cube (ATTC), including overall dimensions.
(b) Detailed dimensions of the ATTC cavity to fix a certain April Tag.

Figure 3.19: 3D construction of the ATTC as manipulation object.



Figure 3.20: Examples of allowed ATTCS

3.4.3.2 Tagged Targets

To allow for the more advanced tasks to be performed using the simplified april tag detection method, the target objects (precise placement cavities and containers) are also marked with a tag.

Containers are marked with a tag glued to the inside bottom of the container. The tag shall be placed as centered as possible.

For the precise placement tests, a special PP tile is used which allows a tag to be placed with a constant offset from the hole (see fig. 3.21). The used ID matches the ID of the object that must be placed into that cavity.




Figure 3.21: Examples of tagged targets

3.4.4 Manipulation Zone

The manipulation zone defines the area where Objects can be placed. Thereby, the following constraints need to be satisfied:

- The maximum depth of the manipulation zone from the front of the service area to the end of the manipulation zone is 20cm.
- The minimum distance between Objects to each other is 2cm.
- The minimum distance of the beginning of the manipulation zone to a Wall is 10cm.
- There is an offset of 2cm from the border of the Service Area to the manipulation zone.

Note, the constraints do not permit, that Objects can be partially occluded dependent on the viewpoint.

For the placement of Objects the following terms are used:

- Position: point within 2D coordinate system of a Manipulation Zone,
- Rotation: rotation around vertical axis of a Manipulation Zone,
- Orientation: rotation around horizontal axes of a Manipulation Zone, i.e. whether the Object is standing upright or lying on its side
- Pose: combination of position, rotation and orientation.




Figure 3.22: Manipulation zone: the green color indicates the area where Objects can be placed on a Service Area by the referees.

Chapter 4

Competition

4.1 Teams and Roles

The TC and OC will jointly determine the number of teams permitted to participate in a competition well in advance. The rules shall enable a competition with up to at least 24 teams lasting not more than four full days. The number of people to register per team is not restricted by default, but may be limited due to local arrangements. Teams that plan to bring more than four members are advised to contact the OC beforehand.

During registration, each team has to designate one member as teamleader. A change of the teamleader must be communicated to the OC. The teamleader is the only person who can officially communicate with the referees during the competition, e.g. aborting a run, to call a restart, etc. The teamleader may ask the OC to accept additional teammembers for these tasks.

Each team must also nominate one person which acts as a referee during all tests. The job of each referee is to ensure that the arena state before each performance is the same as the initial run setup. They also rate the robot performances during a run. This ensures that the interests of every team are respected equally. It also involves the refs in discussions with other teams and the league officials, which helps getting to know each other. Each referee gets a referee sheet from the OC which is used to evaluate the performance. An additional referee is the head referee which measures the time and organizes the referees. If less than 4 referees without the head referee are available (so less than 4 teams participate), TC members can also be referees to fill this up to 4. 4 referees are beneficial, because there is at least one on each side of the arena. A referee briefing is held before a competition by the TC and OC. The goal is to discuss questions and to sensitize the referees for their task. Only briefed refs are allowed to judge the future runs. Teams are asked to send at least two members to the briefing, if possible.

Teams are asked (and wished) to comment their robots performance during their run. This makes the competition more entertaining while also sharing knowledge about robots, the challenges of a task and smart solutions. The commentator may be any teammember and is optional during competitions.

4.2 Meetings and Language of Communication

Both the TC and the OC may organize several special meetings during a competition, such as referee meetings, teamleader meetings, etc. The meetings are held in english and will be planned and announced locally. They are used to clarify rules, assign timeslots, request test participation or for any other exchange of information between teams and committee members. It is the responsibility of each team to inform themselves about the organization and scheduling of such meetings. Each team is expected to send at least one representative to such meetings. If the meeting refers to specific roles, such as 'referee' or 'team leader', the person designated by the team to fill this role is expected to participate.

4.3 Code of Conduct and Disqualification

Teams and team members are expected to maintain a friendly and cooperative atmosphere throughout a competition and contribute to a vivid work environment and to scientific exchange before, during and after a competition.

The TC may disqualify individual team members or a whole teams during a competition for severe reasons, such as repeated breach of rules.

4.4 Competition Procedure

The competition is held in the form of so called tests. A test requires a robot to perform various abilities, including navigation, manipulation, task planning and autonomous decision making. Different kinds of tests each have their focus a current research field, e.g. picking moving objects or efficient task execution.

All tests require a robot to autonomously navigate through the arena defined in 3.2 without causing a collision. Each team can enter the arena before actual competitions to create a map of the environment and test their robot. The OC will organize time slots for each team respecting the amount of teams and available slots. Usually there will be some setup days that can be used only for training.

4.5 Time Schedule

The actual competition currently includes a total of 7 tests. These are spread across available competition days with time buffers in between each other. An example for the schedule can be found here: <https://atwork.robocup.org/rc2021/>. As on site events have tighter schedules and additionally require teams to prepare everything for an unknown environment, there will usually

be two setup days before the four competition days. Nonetheless, Teams should expect things to be a bit stressful and prepare for something like fig. 4.1.

	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday	
07:00								Test Slot
07:30								Arena Closed
08:00	ARENA SETUP							Competition
08:30								Optional
09:00	TLM	TLM	TLM	TLM	TLM	TLM		TLM
09:30				ARENA CLOSED	ARENA CLOSED			
10:00								
10:30				BTT1	ATT1			
11:00								ARENA CLOSED
11:30								FINAL
12:00								RESULTS
12:30								Technical Challenge
13:00								Technical Challenge
13:30				ARENA CLOSED	ARENA CLOSED			ARENA
14:00								BREAKDOWN
14:30								
15:00								
15:30	Referee Briefing							
16:00	TLM	TLM	TLM	TLM	TLM			
16:30								
17:00								
17:30	ARENA CLOSED		ARENA CLOSED	ARENA CLOSED	ARENA CLOSED			
18:00	Safety Test							
18:30		BMT		BTT2	ATT2			AWARDS CEREMONY
19:00								
19:30								
20:00								
20:30								
21:00								
21:30								
22:00								
22:30								
23:00								

N-Days 6
 Slots per Day 28
 Total Slots 168
 Comp. Slots 29
 Setup Slots 40
 Test Slots 99

N-Teams 7
 Slots per Team 14,142,571
 -> Test Time (m) 480
 -> Test Time (h) 8

Figure 4.1: Example of a time schedule for a RoboCup@Work competition.

4.6 Practice Slots

The teams will be given an opportunity to practice with their robots either in the competition arenas or in special test arenas, if available. The frequency and lengths of practice periods will be decided by the OC on site. The OC will also decide about if and how many teams may use an arena simultaneously and can decide on a practice schedule for teams wishing to use the arenas. Arenas may be modified between practice time and competition runs.

4.7 Teamleader Meetings

During a competition, the TC and/or EC usually have some points to discuss with all teamleaders regarding onsite organization, rule clarification, agreements on onsite-test-procedures and similar topics. The schedule includes two dedicated slots each day for such called TeamLeader Meetings (TLM), which may be used by the TC/EC if necessary. All teamleaders are required to attend those meetings.

4.8 Qualification for a Test

Depending on the amount of participating teams and available timeslots, the competition **may be** separated into different stages. Starting with the rather easy tests including all teams, only high performing teams might be allowed to participate in later (more difficult) tests.

The OC must create an onsite schedule during the setup days and announce it before the first competition day. The schedule should include all teams in all tests if possible, but might introduce special stages in the following order:

1. Stage One: limit the maximum amount of teams in the final test, only the X best teams may participate
2. Stage Two: limit the maximum amount of teams in the Advanced Transportation and later tests, only the X best teams may participate

Teamleaders of qualified teams are required to announce 1 hour before the respective time slot whether their team likes to participate in a test or not. This enables the OC to plan the schedule and create scoring sheets.

4.9 Parc fermé

All participating teams must bring their performing robot to the parc fermé 15 minutes before a test and leave them there during the whole timeslot of the test, except when they must perform. Rules similar to motorsports apply: The robot must not be worked on or changed in any kind unless serious damage must be repaired. This intends to encourage teams to watch other performances while still give teams the chance to remain in the competition. It is allowed to attach a charger to the robot.

The location of the parc fermé will be towards the spectators for entertainment. The robots may (are wished to) be turned on and ready to perform, enabling teams to set robot arm positions and illumination for the visitors.

If the power management of a robot does not enable it to be turned on during the runs of other teams, the specific team may power-on and boot their robot once the run of the previous team has ended. They may not change or modify their robot in any way during this time (hardware and software).

4.10 Test Procedure

15 minutes before a competition slot, the arena is set up for the upcoming test. Therefore, the position of each static arena element is checked and objects are placed on active Service Areas. The TC will decide where dynamic arena elements are placed. See table 5.4 for the different responsibilities of placing arena elements, Objects (Pose), Decoys, Containers etc.

A competition slot consists of multiple performance slots (one for each team), including a preparation phase, the run phase and the end phase.

Preparation Phase

During the prep phase, teams are allowed to move their robot from the parc fermé to the defined start pose in the arena either by hand or by carefully driving manually. They should prepare their robot for their run and can therefore remote access the robot and/or make minor changes. It is explicitly forbidden to hardcode solutions for specific requirements of a test during this phase (e.g. drawing position of obstacles in the map). Also, if the robot passes and detects obstacles during this phase, they must be erased from the memory (e.g. clear costmap) unless they can be detected from the START location. The TC might disqualify teams that try to gain unfair advantages from the current or even the following tests.

Run Phase

The run phase begins once the preparation time is up or when the teamleader announces that the team is ready. The task is then sent to the robot and from there on, the robot must act fully autonomously. It is forbidden to interact or control the robot in any human kind (keyboard/mouse actions, gestures, voice). The only interaction allowed is the unplugging of a LAN cable connecting the robot and the controlling pc when relying on wired communication due to onsite WiFi jams.

During the run phase, the robot must not leave, nor may any person enter the arena. Teammembers of the performing team are allowed to enter the arena to prevent damage in case of an error (e.g. remove a dropped object from the robots path), but receive a penalty for each interaction. If a robot behaves uncontrolled and poses a potential threat to the environment, any person may approach the robot and press the emergency stop. However, it is requested and strongly advised that only the developers touch their robot.

The run phase regularly ends when:

- The robot has reached the FINISH location of the arena
- The run time is up
- The teamleader says 'stop'

The run phase ends early when:

- The robot has caused a second major collision
- The emergency stop button had to be pressed before a restart call
- A team was identified to be cheating

The end of a run phase must be announced by the OC by saying 'end'. Once this happened, the team may touch and control their robot to bring it to a full stop.

End Phase

In the resulting end phase the team is expected to move their robot back to parc fermé. Referees gather and discuss their performance rating afterwards. Once they agree on the performing team's result, the teamleader is required to accept this score. Teams are allowed to make their case if they

do not agree with the refs decision, but cannot force changes and are expected to be understanding. Special cases will be decided by the TC if the rulebook leaves room for interpretation.

Once the score has been accepted by a team, the arena must be set up for the next run if necessary. The prep time of the next team begins once the arena state is declared as ready by all refs.

Performance Slot Example




Figure 4.2: Performance Slot Example: each team gets one per test, following the random team order

4.11 Restarting a Run

During a run, the teamleader can restart the test execution once. Therefore he/she must say 'restart', which stops the current run phase. The robot must be stopped using the emergency switches, which then allows the refs to reset the arena state. The remaining run time will be noted and used after the restart. Once the refs have finished resetting the arena, the performing team is brought back to their prep phase, which allows them to move the robot back to the start area and prepare it for the restarted run. A so called tactical call of a restart (e.g. to prevent a major collision) is allowed, because this rewards the teams knowledge about the robot. Note: When the first major collision occurs, the team can decide whether they stop the run or they restart the run (see section 6.5).

4.12 Skipping Tests

If a team decides to not participate in a test during the official time slot, they may repeat that test type once in one of the following competition slots. Their performance slot for the later test type is then replaced by one that suits the previously skipped test type, and they then can perform that test type instead of the originally scheduled one.

This should enable struggling teams to do the more simpler tests later in the competition, but should only be used by teams if it is really necessary in order to keep the structure of the overall competition. It is not allowed to repeat a test that has been replaced with this option.

Please note that this option might not be available at all if the schedule is too tight otherwise.

4.13 Atwork Commander (AC)

All specific test configurations are being generated using the atwork-commander.

<https://github.com/robocup-at-work/atwork-commander>

The purpose of the atwork-commander is to create randomized test configurations for any given arena configuration. It thereby essentially functions as a master control, creating and sending current tasks to robots. It may also keep time during the prep, run and end phase. Robots must connect to the atwork-commander using one of the following interfaces:

Object Scoped The commander generates transportation tasks for each individual object that must be transported. This includes the object class and the initial and target service area. The exact pose of the object is not specified and the order of all transportation tasks is random.

Arena Scoped The commander transmits the initial and the target state of the arena. Service areas each contain the respective objects according to the individual test configuration, meaning robots must figure out the transportation tasks by themselves.

Robots should remain connected to the AC during their whole test run. It may be required (optional) to send feedback to the AC (heartbeat, completed tasks). Please access the github for detailed interface descriptions.

4.13.1 On Site

During a robocup, one unique test configuration is created and being used for each individual test. All teams must perform this (the same) test configuration in their assigned performance slot. For training purposes, other configurations may be created and sent to a robot during a test slot of the team.

Depending on the organization and possibilities on site, the TC must either provide all teams with atleast one "public" PC with a running AC to allow them to test their connection setup or decide before the first competition day if only bagfiles are being used.

In this case, robots do not have to connect to the atwork commander during a run, but may rather process the contents of the rosbag and start the task execution. The run phase of a team then starts once the robot operator announces his starting action (executing a 'rosbag play NAME' command).

Chapter 5

Tests

5.1 General

5.1.1 Common Rules

Unless stated other, the following rules apply to all test types:

- The order in which the teams have to perform will be determined by a draw from the OC.
- The prep phase has a time limit of 3 minutes.
- Teams must not hardcode information gained from runs of previous teams. This is considered as cheating.
- A single robot is used.
- The robot must not leave the arena.
- Robots are allowed to carry three objects max. If more than 3 objects are above the robot's footprint, the same rule as for a major collision applies (run stopped or optional restart, stop after 2nd time).
- The robot has to start and end at the respective arena location (START, FINISH).
- The robot will get the task specification from the AC or an official bagfile.
- Reaching each active Service Area successfully is rewarded once with points defined in table [6.1](#).
- Service Areas count as successfully reached as defined in section [3.2](#).
- Manipulation tasks count as successful as defined in [5.1.2](#) and [5.1.3](#).
- The score for each test will be calculated as defined in [6.1](#).
- Exact test specifications are displayed in table [5.4](#).

5.1.2 Grasping Objects

General

An Object counts as successfully grasped if the robot picks the correct Object type from the correct Service Area and carries it out of the Manipulation Zone, which is treated with imaginary boundaries of infinite height. The object must be above the robot's footprint for a successful grasp.

A robot is allowed to lift other Objects, as long as the Objects are not moved out of the Manipulation Zone with their complete form. This enables a robot to pick and inspect all Objects on the workstation with its camera from multiple angles. Removing an incorrect Object from the Manipulation zone is treated as an **Incorrect Object Manipulation**.

An **Object Loss** occurs when the robot drops an Object to either the floor or from a height of 5cm above the Service Area. If any Object (starts rolling and) falls off the edge of a Service Area after it has been touched by the robot, it is also considered as an **Object Loss**.

The grasping process starts once the Manipulator enters the Manipulation Zone and ends when it leaves the Manipulation Zone. If the robot collides with an Object, Decoy or Container inside the Manipulation Zone during the grasping process it is considered as a **Manipulation Deduction**.

To ensure objects are accessible on arbitrary surfaces, they must sink in no more than $\frac{1}{3}$ of their total height when placed on the surface. This means at least $\frac{2}{3}$ of the object's height must remain reachable from above without any obstructions by the arbitrary surface.

Rotating Table

It is explicitly NOT allowed to stop the table (e.g. by pushing the gripper into the table surface).

It is also explicitly NOT allowed to position the gripper in a way that blocks the objects unless it is during the grasping process of a target object and does not affect the table rotation or other objects.

A breach of one or both of these rules causes that no points are rewarded if the Object is successfully picked.

5.1.3 Placing Objects

General

An Object counts as successfully placed if the robot places the correct Object type inside of the Manipulation Zone of the correct Service Area. The orientation of the object may be chosen freely by the robot, but the Object's footprint must lie completely inside of the Manipulation Zone. Neither the placed object nor the robot are allowed to touch other Objects or Containers on the Service Area. Breaches of these rules result in a **Manipulation Deduction**, which will only be applied once, independent of the actual amount of breaches, but for each grasping or placing.

If a placed Object either has an incorrect type or if it has been placed on the wrong Service Area, the placement is considered as an **Incorrect Object Manipulation**. Objects dropped from a height of 5cm or more above the Service Area are not counted as successfully placed but are rather treated as an **Object Loss**. This requires robots to carefully handle Objects as some might be damaged otherwise.

Shelf

Objects must be placed on the upper part of the Shelf. The Manipulation Zone also applies for the tilted surface, but is extended until the edge of the Shelf to allow for Objects to slide down after a

successful placement. Objects sliding onto other previously placed Objects cause a Manipulation Deduction.

Precision Placement

Objects must be placed onto the matching cavity tile with their complete form, which is then rewarded with a Cavity Placement. If the object drops through the cavity and fully passes the cavity tile, the precision placement is considered as successful and is rewarded with an additional Precision Placement.

It is allowed to perform form fitting strategies, such as wiggling the object after placement or using force sensors during manipulation, as long as the cavity tile is not damaged during that process. A damaged cavity is treated as a Incorrect Object Manipulation and no points will be rewarded.

Objects placed on a mismatching cavity tile do not cause an Incorrect Object Manipulation penalty if they had to be precise placed in the first place. This relaxes the risk of performing this task as it reduces potential bad outcome from false cavity detections.

Container Placement

Container placements are considered as successful if an Object is placed inside of the container from a height less than 5cm above its inside bottom. With container edges taller than 5cm, robots must therefore "insert" their gripper fingers into the container during manipulation. Touching the container while placing the object is allowed, as long as the container is not moved substantially. If the container moves more than 1cm, is tilted or forcefully moved, a Manipulation Deduction penalty will be applied.

Placed Objects must touch the inside bottom of the container to count as successfully placed. Figure 5.1 shows correct final object positions placement examples.




Figure 5.1: Examples of correct AllenKey and Drill in container placement.

Figure 5.2 shows examples for incorrect placements, which cause a Manipulation Deduction and the bonus points for that container placement NOT being given. In this case, the placement is considered as a normal placement with all associated rules.

If more than one object must be placed inside the same container, those objects are allowed to touch. The placement of the second object is also considered successful if the object does not touch the




Figure 5.2: Examples of incorrect AllenKey and Drill in container placement.

container bottom, if it is blocked by the first object (e.g. two large aluminum profiles into the same container) and its final position complies with the examples shown in fig. 5.1 without causing the mistakes shown in fig. 5.2.

5.2 New Test Structure

For the 2023 season and onwards, the TC decided to restructure the benchmark tests. The main changes include:

- The dedicated test slots for "Precise Placement" and "Rotating Table" were removed. PP and RT were included in the more advanced tests.
- As this removes two competition slots from the schedule and therefore leaves some "free time", one additional transportation task was added.
- The now four total transportation tasks were split into two categories: "basic" and "advanced".
- The requirements for all "basic" tasks (BMT, BTT1 & BTT2) were relaxed in order for them to suit the naming.

We think that this had the following effects:

- The complexity of each test now increases more linear over the competition.
- Teams with less experience should be able to successfully participate in the competition for longer rather than coming out of a test with no points.
- Perfect runs are more achievable (for experienced teams) in the beginner tasks, bringing back more relevance to bonus points for reliable and consistent performance.

5.3 Basic Manipulation Test

The *Basic Manipulation Test* (BMT) is the initial test for all robots in RoboCup@Work. The main focus is to demonstrate basic object recognition and manipulation capabilities of robots.

Therefore only two service areas will be used. Those service areas will be located near to each other, e.g. WS3 and WS4 in fig. 3.11 and 3.12. One service area is used as the source location and one as the target location, meaning that all objects are initially placed on the source service area and have to be delivered to the target service area.

This test involves no arbitrary surfaces, decoy objects or obstacles. The objects that have to be manipulated are pre-selected and will include one small grey alu (f20_20_g), one small nut (M20) and the bolt (M20x100). The placement and orientation of the objects will be random.

5.4 Basic Transportation Test

The *Basic Transportation Test* (BTT) targets both navigation and manipulation, as well as logistical optimization. Objects are initially placed on randomly selected service areas and must be transported to their specific target location. As their total number now exceeds the inventory size, robots will have to manage their inventory content and optimize their payload. The object pool consists of the complete Basic Object Set.

There are currently two versions of the BTT. They gradually introduce more elements of the league to the competition, including the randomness of the used objects, the increase of active Service Areas and them not being next to each other anymore, using different table heights and the placement of physical obstacles. The following paragraphs summarize the two different levels but DO NOT override the test specification in table 5.4.

BTT1

- Four randomly selected objects have to be transported.
- There will be three active service areas and they are not next to each other.
- Only tables with a height of 10cm are used.

BTT2

- Five randomly selected objects have to be transported.
- Two randomly selected decoy objects are placed onto one or more randomly selected service areas.
- There will be four active service areas with each covering one of the four table heights (0, 5, 10, 15 cm).
- Physical Obstacles are placed inside the arena (one blocking, one semi-blocking).

5.5 Advanced Transportation Test

The *Advanced Transportation Test* (ATT) has its origins as a BTT, but greatly increases the difficulty of the tasks to perform by introducing more random elements.

This includes the introduction of arbitrary surfaces, shelves and precise placement tables, barrier tape as visual obstacles and the containers as target objects. With the object count further increasing, task optimization and replanning in case of failure also becomes more relevant. In addition, the Advanced Object set is introduced into the object pool.

As with the BTTs, there are two versions of the ATT, which gradually introduce the more challenging elements of RoboCup@Work. The following paragraphs summarize the two different levels but DO NOT override the test specification in table 5.4.

ATT1

- Six randomly selected objects have to be transported.
- Three randomly selected decoy objects are placed onto one or more randomly selected (active) service areas
- There will be five active service areas (three tables, one Precise Placement and one shelf)
- All table heights are used (0-15 cm).

- One Object must be placed on a shelf (top part).
- One object must be placed in the Precision Placement Cavity.
- Virtual Obstacles (Barriertapes) are placed inside the arena (one blocking, one non-blocking).
- Two service areas will have an arbitrary surface.

ATT2

- Seven randomly selected objects have to be transported.
- Five randomly selected decoy objects are placed onto one or more randomly selected (active) service areas
- There will be six active service areas (four tables, one shelf and one Rotating Table)
- All table heights are used (0-15 cm).
- One object must be picked from a shelf (lower part).
- One object must be picked from the Rotating Table.
- Two objects must be placed into a container (one to each color).
- One visual and one physical obstacle is placed inside the arena (both semi-blocking).
- Three service areas will have an arbitrary surface.

5.6 Final

The *Final Run* (Final) acts as the full benchmark for robots, including all elements of RoboCup@Work. With the number of objects and active service areas at its peak, task planning and execution speed may become a limiting factor for competing robots. The following paragraph summarizes the final, but DOES NOT override the test specification in table 5.4.

Final

- Ten randomly selected objects have to be transported.
- Eight randomly selected decoy objects are placed onto one or more randomly selected (active) service areas
- There will be eight active service areas (four tables, two shelves, one Rotating Table and one Precise Placement table)
- All table heights are used (0-15 cm).
- Two objects must be picked from a shelf (lower part).
- Two objects must be picked from the Rotating Table.
- Two objects must be placed on a shelf (top part).
- Two objects must be placed in the Precision Placement Cavity.
- Four objects must be placed into a container (two in blue, two in red).
- Two visual and two physical obstacles are placed inside the arena (two blocking, one semi-blocking, one non-blocking).
- Four service areas will have an arbitrary surface.

5.7 Test Specification Summary

Environment

ITEM		Environment Decisions					
		BMT	BTT1	BTT2	ATT1	ATT2	FINAL
Objects Decoys	Position	REF	REF	REF	REF	REF	REF
	Rotation	TEAM	REF	REF	REF	REF	REF
	Orientation	TEAM	TEAM	TEAM	TEAM	TEAM	REF
Service Area	Table height	10cm	10cm	0cm, 5cm, 10cm, 15cm			
RTT	Rotation direction					REF	REF
PPT	Cavaties Position				REF		REF
	Cavaties Rotation				REF		REF
	Cavaties Decoy Type				REF		REF

Figure 5.3: Test specification in the environment of the RoboCup@Work 2024 competition.

Instance

Item		Object Type	Number of Appearance					
Objects		AC	BMT1	BTT1	BTT2	ATT1	ATT2	FINAL
	RoboCup@Work And RoCKIn nur		3	4	5	6	7	10
Service Area	Decoys	TC			2	3	5	8
	Active Service Areas (incl. SH, PPT)	AC	2	3	4	5	6	8
	Arbitrary surface	TC				2	3	4
Arena	Table Heights	AC	10	10	0,5,10,15	0,5,10,15	0,5,10,15	0,5,10,15
	Physical Obstacles	TC			2		1	2
	Virtual Obstacles	TC				2	1	2
Object Grasping	from Shelf	AC					1	2
	from RTT	AC					1	2
	from Arbitrary surface	AC						
Object Placement	on PPT	AC				1		2
	on Shelf	AC				1		2
	in Red container	AC					1	2
	in Blue container	AC					1	2
	on Arbitrary surface	AC						
Duration		AC	4min	6min	8min	10min	12min	14min

Figure 5.4: Test specification in the instances of the RoboCup@Work 2024 competition.

Chapter 6

Scoring and Ranking

6.1 Scoring

For each test the calculation of scores is defined individually, comprising points for achieving certain subtasks and penalty points.

Each test provides a set of so-called feature variations encoding the overall variability of the test (e.g. whether obstacles can occur or not, number and type of manipulation objects). To enhance comparability among different test runs, all teams will have to perform the same test instances as specified in Table 5.4.

For example in a **perfect** BMT run (perfect means all tasks fulfilled and no penalties given) a team could achieve. This points are calculated using the values out of table 6.1.

- 2 Service areas reached: $2 \times 100 = 200$ points
- Finish reached: $1 \times 100 = 100$ points
- 3 Objects grasped: $3 \times 100 = 300$ points
- 3 Objects placed: $3 \times 100 = 300$ points
- perfect run bonus: $6 \times 10 = 60$ points

6.2 Time Bonus

If a team finishes a test in the required time frame, the left over time will be added as time bonus to the points. Time bonus is calculated using the left over time in seconds multiplied by 1.

6.3 Simplifications

Teams may use simplifications, which will result in a reduction of scores for the given run. The simplifications may be chosen per run, but need to be announced to the referees before the start of the run.

6.3.1 Using April Tag Tagged Cubes as Objects

Real objects are replaced by the April Tag tagged cubes (ATTC) described in [3.4.3](#). Each ATTC is encoded with the matching object ID as defined in the Atwork Commander. Manipulation tasks count as successful if an ATTC with a matching object ID is handled correctly. Using this option adds a penalty factor of 50% to all manipulation points gained. If a test contains arbitrary surfaces, the surfaces are not used and additional points for manipulating at arbitrary surfaces are therefore also not rewarded.

If teams want to use this simplification during a task, they need to provide **two** complete sets of ATTCs to enable the representation of task specification, generated by the Atwork Commander, see [Section 4.13](#). If ATTCs are missing to completely represent the task, the team cannot gain the points of sub-tasks involving the missing ATTCs.

6.4 Penalties

Penalty points are given as follows, each time again the incident occurs:

- Object Loss: -100 points
- Incorrect Object Manipulation (see [Section 5.1.3](#)): -50 points
- Manipulation Deduction (see [Section 5.1.3](#)): fixed -50 points (for the corresponding grasping or placing)
- Minor collision (see [Section 6.5](#)): -50 points
- Major collision (see [Section 6.5](#)): restart or -50% penalty
- Tape collision (see [Section 6.5](#)): -5% of total points of current run up till 20%
- for each human interaction during the run: -100 points
- Cheating: reset all points to 0

Manipulation Deduction:

The Manipulation Deduction is a fixed negative point reward (50) if one or more Deductions happen while a grasping or placing process. The type of manipulation (like PP, SH, RT, arbitrary surface etc) when the Deductions happens doesn't affect the fixed points of 50.

6.5 Collisions

For reasons of safety of people and property it is strictly unwanted for the robot to collide with any of the environmental objects. Only collisions of the gripper with the upside of the service areas are allowed while a Grasping or Placing process. In all collision cases the (Virtual) Walls and Obstacles have an infinite height. The different kind of collisions that can occur are defined in the following section and the table [6.1](#) gives an overview. Any Collisions cause a point penalty that is explained in section [6.4](#).

Major Collision:

If the robot (platform and manipulator) collides with a static element of the environment or touches a Virtual Wall it is considered as a major collision. An exception of this rule is when the cables of the manipulator touches the environment while the Grasping or Placing process. As stated before a collision with the gripper with the upside of the service areas is allowed as long as there is no fundamental change of the environment at the service area. In this case it would be considered as a major collision. This could be for example moving an arbitrary surface off the workspace.

If a major collision occurs the first time, the team can decide between the following two options:

- stop the run and get 50% of the already reached points
- restart the run (the normal restart rules apply)

The decision will most likely depend on the remaining run time. If a second major collision occurs, the run is stopped and the team get 50% of the points reached in the second try.

Since the impact of a major collision on the scoring is very high, the declaration of a major collision is clarified in the following. A major collision is declared by shouting through authorized persons. Nobody is allowed to call a major collision, except the following persons depending on the number of available referees:

- only referees, when at least 4 referees (and 1 additional head referee) are available
- referees and TC members, when less than 4 referees (without the additional head referee) are available

The idea of the two cases is to guarantee a proper number of observers (at least one on each side of the arena). This topic should be highlighted in the referee briefing prior the competition. If a team stops or restarts the run just before the major collision, the major collision is ignored. In this case, the teams knowledge about there robot is rewarded. The referees had to notice that stop or restart was called before the major collision happened. It does not matter whether stop or restart was called before the referees declare a major collision.

Minor Collision:

If the Robot collides with (Manipulation) Objects, Decoys or Containers while moving through the arena it is a Minor Collision. Collisions with Objects, Decoys or Containers while grasping or placing process is considered as Manipulation Deduction.

Tape Collision:

The yellow/black tape is called Barrier Tape and represents a Virtual Obstacle. If any part of the robot touches a barrier tape, it is considered a Tape Collision. Tape Collision induce a point penalty proportional to the final points of the run. With each collision 5% of the final points are deducted up to a maximum of 20%.

A Collions with the Marking Tape will not be penalized.

Table 6.1: Definition of minor and major collisions

Situation	Minor	Major	Tape
Collision with static elements of arena	X		
Collision with dynamic elements of arena		X	
Body Collision with WS, SH, RT, PP		X	
Manipulator Collision with top surface of manipulation zone			
Robot Collision with Objekt, Decoy or Container (platform movement)	X	X	
Manipulator Collision with Shelf		X	
Virtual Obstacle (Yellow/Black Tape) Collisions			X
Virtual Wall (Red/White Tape) Collisions		X	
Marking Tape			

6.6 Restarts

Teams might use one so-called restart in a run. Scores achieved before the restart are set to zero. Please refer to section [4.11](#) for a more detailed explanation.

6.7 Ranking

The tests will occur in the instances shown in Table [5.4](#). Ranking of the teams will be based on the sum of the achieved points over all the tests.

A team cannot get less than zero points for one run. If it is necessary to divide the competition into two stages (see section [4.8](#)), the scores of the first stage tests are summed up, and the teams with the highest sums proceed to the next stage (depending on overall number of teams). Depending on the number of teams and if there is enough time, all teams are allowed to enter the second stage.

In case of a tie of the first three places a deciding run will be scheduled. A point tie down from 4th place will result in to sharing the achieved place.

Action	Points	BMTJ	Max.	BT1	Max.	BT2	Max.	ATT1	Max.	ATT2	Max.	Final	Max.	Total
Service Area reached	100		2	200	3	300	4	400	5	500	6	600	8	800
FINISH reached	100		1	100	1	100	1	100	1	100	1	50	1	100
Object Grasped	100		3	300	4	400	5	500	6	600	7	700	10	1000
from Shelf	100											100	2	200
from RT	200											200	2	400
from arbitrary *1	75											100	2	300
Bonus														600
Object placed	100		3	300	4	400	5	500	6	600	7	700	10	1000
Cavity Placement	100								1	100			2	300
Precision Placement	100								1	100			2	300
on shelf	50								1	50			2	150
in red container	100								1	100			2	300
in blue container	100								1	100			2	300
on arbitrary *1	50								1	100			2	300
Bonus														
Sum of points without arbitrary and perfect run:														
Sum of possible points with perfect run:														
Bonus														
Perfect run														
Time Bonus														
Error														
	General	Penalty												
Object Loss		-100												
Incorrect Object Manip		-50												
Manip Deduction		-50 once												
Each Human Interaction		-100												
Cheating		0												
Collision														
Major		*0 *0.5												
Minor		-50												
Tape		x * 0.05												

Figure 6.1: Scoring in the instances of the RoboCup@Work 2024 competition.

Chapter 7

Challenges and Awards

7.1 Technical Challenges

In the medium term, the RoboCup@Work aims to transfer specific aspects of industrial scenarios in the tests and to demonstrate the practical applicability of the solutions. The challenges, which are adapted or redefined annually, serve as a test platform for the further development of the competitions. Each technical challenge is separately awarded. That means, teams can participate in any number of them. However any challenge will only be awarded if at least two teams competed unless the only competing team provided an outstanding performance.

A challenge increases the level capabilities of a robot in RoboCup@Work related to:

- **Variability of the environmental conditions** ... The setup conditions of a run are designed variably including disturbances. The lighting situations in the arena are changed dynamically, the configuration of the tables (height, format) is adapted or manipulation objects are mixed with unknown decoy objects.
- **Complexity of the scenarios** ... New arena elements are involved in a scenario or its dimensions (size, duration) are increased. This includes, for example, multi-robot scenarios, assembly tasks or new interaction stations.

For a successful implementation either an existing solution has to be increased in robustness or a new approach for an additional task has to be developed. The challenges here lie in the fields of perception, manipulation, navigation and planning.

This years challenges try to introduce the relatively new atwork-commander and the planned set of new objects (see 9.1). These changes seem highly important for the participation of new teams, which is why we encourage every team to participate in atleast one technical challenge.

The exact test definition is still missing at the moment, but as the challenges are not part of the main scoring system, some of the rules may be adjusted to the requirements of the teams.

7.1.1 Master Communication Test

The purpose of the *Master Communication Test* (MCT) is to introduce the atwork-commander to all (espeically new) teams and encourage them to implement reliable communications. Therefore, a BTT1 test configuration is created but not sent as whole, but rather as individual transportation tasks. Robots must confirm a successfully completet task before receiving the next one, meaning they can only perform one task if they do not have logging / feedback implemented.

7.1.2 Coworker Assembly Test

In the *Coworker Assembly Test* (COT), the goal is for a robot to produce a product while cooperating with a human worker.

Therefore, the robot has to collect three components scattered in the arena and bring them to a single workstation where the human worker waits on the other side of the table. The robot has to place the objects and then wait for the human to assemble the objects to a product. Once the human worker (a teammember of the performing team) has assembled the product, he/she must place the product back onto the table and give the robot a completion signal. This may be done via sign (visual) or voice (acoustic). It is not allowed to use controller or keyboard input.

Once the robot has recognized the signal, it must detect the assembled product, grasp it and then deliver it to another workstation. This step concludes the assembly process by imitating the transport of the product to a warehouse.

Three object sets can be assembled during the COT:

- Set 1: Screw M20_100 and Spacer and Nut M20
- Set 2: Bearing2 and Housing
- Set 3: Axis2 and Nut M20

7.2 Open Source Award

The *Open Source Award* (OSA) can be given to a team that contributes part of its hardware architecture or software. The open source solution to a specific problem can be used by other teams (especially new ones) as a starting point for their own development. This contributes to the ongoing development and research of the league.

Teams can apply for the award on-site at a RoboCup@Work competition. Links to the open source resources, including sufficient documentation, must be provided. All participating teams review and rate the material internally and communicate their decision to the TC via the team leader. The TC is not involved in the evaluation process.

Voting criteria may include

- Usefulness
- Well documented
- Complexity
- Easily adaptable by other teams

Voting System: Each participating team will receive a score from 0-5. 0 points would mean that no meaningful material is provided. 5 points would mean that the submitted material fully meets the team's voting criteria. The TC adds up the votes. The team with the most points wins the OSA **if the number of points divided by the number of voting teams is at least 3.**

Chapter 8

Virtual RoboCup

8.1 General

Since the start of the Covid-19 pandemic, the RoboCups could not take place in person. In 2021, the first virtual RoboCup was hosted online, requiring teams to livestream their robots performance in their own laboratory. A ruleset was created that made a fair competition possible, which may be used for future online RoboCups.

Participating teams have to follow the guideline to provide an infrastructure that enables the TC and OC to evaluate their performance and to communicate during the competitions. As the organization of such an online event is rather new for everyone and requires extended communication, every team should join the official RoboCup@Work discord server (see [2.2.2](#)). Announcements will be made via specific channels on this server. Please participate in discussions and ask questions if you have any.

8.2 Arena Setup

As all teams will have different laboratory setups and some may not have the same resources (open space, workstations, etc.) as others, no fixed arena design will be used for a virtual RoboCup@Work. We expect that this would either exclude some teams from the competitions or limit others in their test scenario design, which is why every team may design their own arena.

However, to ensure that the different robot performances can be compared using the existing scoring system ([5.4](#)), some rules are defined to encourage teams to create challenging arena designs. In addition to the basic rules described in [3.2](#), teams are required to consider:

- The size of the Arena must be atleast 4m x 2m
- The table placements should force the robot to move around the arena (not all the tables are next to each other)
- Workstations should be accessible via multiple paths, so one of them may be blocked with obstacles ([8.1](#) orange dots). Some space must be available for non-blocking obstacles ([8.1](#) dark green dots).
- Tables with the heights defined in ([5.4](#)) have to be provided (margin = 2cm). If a team doesn't have enough workstations of one type for a test, the TC may allow alternative table heights to

be used (especially BTT2, ATTs and Final). This rule does not apply for the Rotating Table, the Shelf and the Precise Placement station.

- PPT cavities (a)-(f) (see 3.9) must be provided. Teams may 3D print the cavities using the files in the leagues github. Standing objects are excluded. It must be possible to place $N_PLACE + 2$ next to each other, so that atleast two decoy cavities can be used.
- Required arbitrary surfaces types: artificial grass, pvc floor / wood, mirror / aluminum foil, (plexi-)glass. These can be found in your local homedepot. (See fig. 8.2(b))
- One path blocking and one small obstacle must be available both for physical objects and barriertape. (See fig. 8.2(a))

Figure 8.1 shows one possible example of an arena configuration in a small area. Table heights are measured in cm. The orange dots mark possible path blockades, while the dark green lines mark optional obstacle placements.



Figure 8.1: Example Arena for a small VRC Setup — Left: Annotated map - Right: Real Image



Figure 8.2: Example obstacle placements and arbitrary surfaces

8.3 Files required from Teams

To enable the committee to generate fair tasks for every team, teams must provide detailed information about their arena and object inventory **1 month** prior to the first competition day. A zip-folder containing the following data must be sent via our discord server:

- Atleast two images of the arena from different perspectives. If two cannot cover the whole arena, teams must provide as many as needed.
- A map of the arena with workstations marked (name + height). Teams may use an RVIZ screenshot containing the grid (1m cell size) and the occupancy grid (your map), which may be annotated using e.g. gimp (see also [8.1](#)).
- A list of available workstations in their arena (height and amount).
- Images of the available arbitrary surfaces
- Images of the available barriertape and obstacles
- A list of available objects and containers (amount)
- Image of the objects and containers
- Images of the robot (all sides)
- Robot dimensions in meter
- Which tests the team intends to participate in
 - atWork-commande launchfiles

The folder must be named VRC-YEAR-info-TEAM-NAME and shall contain the subfolders ARENA, OBSTACLES, OBJECT, ROBOT, TESTS, REFBOX. File names must contain information about the data (e.g. Arena-Image-1) and must not have default names (e.g. IMG2012).

8.4 Qualification for the competitions

The TC will decide if the individual arenas qualify for the tests defined in [5.4](#). The main requirements are already specified in [8.2](#), while the table describes individual task requirements more precisely.

If an arena does not qualify, the TC must notify the team **3 weeks** before competition starts, briefing the team about shortcomings and possible solutions. The team then has **1 week** to follow the TCs advice and provide a new zip-folder. If an arena does not qualify for a test, the TC may decide to exclude the associated team from those. If an arena only partly qualifies (e.g. no barriertape available), score adjustments can be made.

8.5 Camera Setup

Since the referees are not present at the arena during the Virtual RoboCup, the arena and all activities of the robot must be shown via livestream. For this purpose, cameras must be able to monitor the entire arena for the referees and the cameras should be mounted at least at head height. No blind spots are allowed when streaming the arena, so that the referees can see and evaluate every activity

of the robot. Furthermore, the PC used to start the runs should also be monitored with the cameras so that the referees can observe every interaction with the PC. One or more cameras can be used to stream the arena. The OC will announce the streaming software used and the maximum number of livestreams available before the competition.

In addition to the cameras for the arena, there must be a person who follows the robot with a mobile camera and shows the robot's activities from close up. This allows the referees to detect even small mistakes. The person is allowed to enter the arena during the run. However, the person is not allowed to interact with the robot.

A camera may also be attached to the robot to better show the robot's activities to the referees and spectators. The camera on the robot is optional.

8.6 Task Generation

The new atWork-commander implementation, which can be found here (<https://github.com/robocup-at-work/atwork-commander>), gives great opportunity to generate individual tasks for every participating team.

We advise all teams to use and test the new Atwork Commander. Teams must send configuration files for the new Atwork Commander with their arena design, so that the TC/OC can generate tasks for their arena. The config file for the arena shall contain the workstation names and heights and the available objects for a team. Teams may contact the committee via Discord if they face problems with this.

As normally the atWork-commander would be provided by the OC onsite, no actual atWork-commander will be used during the online competition. The OC will create the tasks for the tests using the official atWork-commander and the parameters provided by the teams. For each test, a single bagfile (10s) will be recorded which contains all topics published by the atwork_commander.

Teams must be able to play a bagfile on an external computer, which is connected to the robot via WiFi. The bagfile then must be played to start a competition. The robot should receive the task and start with the execution phase.

To prevent incompatible bagfiles during the competition, the OC will provide test bagfiles **2 weeks** before official competitions begin. The working parameter and launchfiles will be saved and used to generate the specific task bagfiles for the competition.

8.7 Competition Test Procedure

8.7.1 Preparation

Before a test begins, the OC will announce obstacle placements, object positions and arbitrary surface application to a team **10 minutes** prior to their timeslot. Teams must prepare the arena accordingly.

The task bagfile will be sent out to the team **5 minutes** before their official timeslot. Note: The durations may be modified during the competitions if they show to be unsuitable.

8.7.2 Test Start

The OC may count down before a competition (3, 2, 1, go), after which they start a timer according to the test durations in [5.4](#). On GO command, the active team may access the keyboard of the remote pc **only** to start the bagfile. The cameraman/-woman must show that to the audience.

8.7.3 Test Run

The audience and especially the refs watch the livestream and rate the performance. In case of a major collision or any other reason for a restart, the remote pc keyboard may be accessed to restart the robot and the bagfile. The replay of the bagfile command must be shown to the audience once again, and afterwards the keyboard must not be used anymore.

8.7.4 Test End

The run ends when the timer is up, with an optional margin of five seconds due to the possible network delay. The refs then gather and discuss their performance evaluation.

8.8 Scoring

The different arena setups make time bonuses unfair and therefore they won't be awarded. The rest of the scoring will be the same as in a normal robocup scenario, with score/runtime adjustments and/or penalty points possible to compensate for missing test elements (see [8.2](#)). Such adjustments could be:

- The runtime for a test may be reduced if the arena is very small
- If no barriertape is available, all penalty points for crossing will be applied
- If no arbitrary surface is available, the object to pick will also be removed.
- No containers = no placement points given

Depending on the arena setups of all teams, these rules will be defined more precisely before the competitions begin.

8.9 Technical Challenges

A virtual robocup will focus on the main competition. No technical challenges will be performed during the official competitions. As some teams still requested technical challenges, we accept submissions by video. This is because that we expect a relatively tight schedule due to the different time zones of the teams and we are unsure if the technical challenges may be performed otherwise.

As the challenges do not count into the official scoring, teams are allowed to modify their arena. They still must stick to the rules defined in ???. The bagfile for the specific challenge will be sent out to the teams on the first day of the competitions. Teams are required to record a video of their challenge with a camera setup similar to [8.5](#), which must be cut in a way that it is possible to see every region of interest (robot, no operator on pc, arena) at all times. The video must be rendered to mp4 format and uploaded to a cloud (e.g. onedrive). Teams must provide a link to their video via our discord server with the deadline set to last competition day, more specifically the beginning of the final runs.

The committee will review all submissions and rate the individual performances with the help of the referee team after the finals have been completed. Videos that exceed the deadline will not be reviewed and the teams participation in the challenge will be cancelled.

Chapter 9

Appendix

9.1 Link list

Due to have a somehow standardised set of objects for each team. In Table 9.1 an example shopping list, including some links of different items needed is given.

Item	Symbolic Description	Shop	Details
Metal Axis	Axis2	Mitsumi	Misumi: SFUB25-25-F28-P17-T15-S10-Q20 Misumi (visited January 2022)
Bearing	Bearing2	SKF	SKF YAR203-2F SKF (visited January 2022)
Bearing housing	Housing	SKF	SKF P40 SKF (visited January 2022)
Motor	Motor2	Amazon	Motor 755 Amazon (visited January 2022)
Plastic Spacer	Spacer		Misumi CLJHJ25-30-70 Misumi (visited Januar 2022)
Screwdriver	Screwdriver	Amazon	WERA 352 Code: 05138070001 Amazon (visited January 2022)
Wrench	Wrench	Amazon	WERA Jocker 6000, 8mm Code: 05073268001 Amazon (visited January 2022)
Drill	Drill	Amazon	Bosch Metal Drill Bit HSS-Co 13 × 101 × 151 mm Code: 3165140382724 Amazon (visited January 2022)
AllenKey	Allen Key	Amazon	3950 PKL L-key, metric, stainless, 8mm Code: 05022708001 Amazon (visited January 2022)
Containers	Containers	Amazon	Allit Box Size 2B Amazon (visited January 2022)
Printing Material	PLA Material	Amazon	Basic PLA filament Amazon (visited Januar 2022)

Table 9.1: Example shopping list of required items.