



**FP7-600716**

**Whole-Body Compliant Dynamical Contacts in Cognitive Humanoids**

**Year 1  
First year periodic report**

<b>Editor(s)</b>	CoDyCo Consortium
<b>Responsible Partner</b>	IIT
<b>Affiliations</b>	IIT, TUD, UPMC, UB, JSI.
<b>Status-Version:</b>	Draft-1.0
<b>Date:</b>	Apr. 28, 2014
<b>EC Distribution:</b>	Consortium
<b>Project Number:</b>	600716
<b>Project Title:</b>	Whole-Body Compliant Dynamical Contacts in Cognitive Humanoids

<b>Title of Report:</b>	First year periodic report
<b>Date of delivery to the EC:</b>	28/04/2014

<b>Workpackage responsible for the Report</b>	All work packages
<b>Editor(s):</b>	Francesco Nori, Vincent Padois, Jan Peters, Jan Babic, Michael Mistry
<b>Contributor(s):</b>	Entire CoDyCo consortium
<b>Reviewer(s):</b>	reviewers
<b>Approved by:</b>	All Partners

<b>Abstract</b>	The scope of the current report is to present the results ...
<b>Keyword List:</b>	kw, list, etc,

### Document Revision History

Version	Date	Description	Author
First draft	date	description	author

# Table of Contents

<b>1 Project objectives for the period</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1 Overview . . . . .	6
1.1.1 WP1: toolbox for computing and controlling dynamics of whole-body movements with contacts (UB) . . . . .	6
1.1.2 WP2: understanding and modelling human whole-body behaviours in physical interaction (JSI) . . . . .	6
1.1.3 WP3: control and optimization of whole-body motion in contact (UPMC) . . . . .	7
1.1.4 WP4: adaptation, Generalization and Improvement of Compliant Control and Tasks with Contacts (TUD) . . . . .	7
1.1.5 WP5: systems integration, standardization and evaluation on the iCub robot (IIT) . . . . .	7
1.1.6 WP6: management (IIT) . . . . .	7
1.1.7 WP7: dissemination and Exploitation (IIT) . . . . .	7
<b>2 Work progress and achievements during the period</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 Progress overview and contribution to the research field . . . . .	8
2.1.1 WP1: toolbox for computing and controlling dynamics of whole-body movements with contacts (UB) . . . . .	8
2.1.2 WP2: understanding and modelling human whole-body behaviours in physical interaction (JSI) . . . . .	9
2.1.3 WP3: control and optimization of whole-body motion in contact (UPMC) . . . . .	10
2.1.4 WP4: adaptation, generalization and improvement of compliant control and tasks with contacts (TUD) . . . . .	10
2.1.5 WP5: systems integration, standardization and evaluation on the iCub robot (IIT) . . . . .	11
2.1.6 WP6: management (IIT) . . . . .	11
2.1.7 WP7: dissemination and exploitation (IIT) . . . . .	11
2.2 Work package 1 progress . . . . .	11
2.2.1 Software architecture design and evaluation of available open-source software pertinent to the scope of the project. (T1.1) . . . . .	11
2.2.2 Simulator for whole-body motion with contacts (T1.2) . . . . .	12
2.2.3 Control library for flexible specification of task space dynamics of floating base manipulators. (T1.3) . . . . .	13
2.2.4 System dynamics estimation software. Extension to environmental compliance estimation (T1.4) . . . . .	13
2.2.5 Extension and enhancement of the iDyn library. (T1.5) . . . . .	13
2.3 Work package 2 progress . . . . .	14
2.3.1 Definition and design of experimental protocols (T2.1) . . . . .	14
2.3.2 Design of models for human whole body motion in contact (T2.2) . . . . .	15
2.3.3 Human contact choice and learning through physical interaction (T2.4) . . . . .	17
2.3.4 Resources . . . . .	17
2.4 Work package 3 progress . . . . .	17

2.4.1	Reproducing existing control results in a simple case (T3.1) . . . . .	17
2.4.2	Formulating the control problem (T3.2) . . . . .	18
2.4.3	Solving the local control problem (T3.3) . . . . .	19
2.4.4	Bootstrapping and validating the control approach in rigid world and compliant cases (T3.4) . . . . .	19
2.4.5	Deviations from workplan . . . . .	20
2.4.6	Resources . . . . .	21
2.5	Work package 4 progress . . . . .	21
2.5.1	Generalizing and Improving Elementary Tasks with Contacts (T4.3) . .	21
2.5.2	Resources . . . . .	23
2.5.3	Deviations from workplan . . . . .	23
2.6	Work package 5 progress . . . . .	23
2.6.1	Scenario 1: iCub balancing on multiple rigid contacts (T5.1) . . . . .	23
2.6.2	Deviations from workplan . . . . .	24
2.6.3	Resources . . . . .	24
2.7	Work package 6 progress . . . . .	24
2.7.1	Administrative coordination (T6.1) . . . . .	24
2.7.2	Software repository implementation (T6.2) . . . . .	24
2.7.3	Resources . . . . .	24
2.8	Work package 7 progress . . . . .	25
2.8.1	Dissemination activities towards academia, industry, and other users (T7.1) . . . . .	25
2.8.2	Exploitation plan (T7.2) . . . . .	25
2.8.3	Management of IPR (T7.3) . . . . .	25
2.8.4	Dissemination of a database of human motion with contacts (T7.4) . .	25
2.8.5	Resources . . . . .	25

## Index of Figures

1	Illustration of a discontinuous function (black dots) that is approximated by three model learning approaches. Classical Gaussian process regression methods (GP SE-ARD, and GP NN) poorly reconstruct this function as they average over the “jump”, which results in a high model variance. TUD, however, demonstrated that by jointly learning a transformation of the data into a feature space, and a GP regression model from the feature space to observed space, the non-linear function can be reconstructed without large reconstruction errors. . . . .	14
2	Experimental setup to study human postural control and whole body motion in contact with environment. Front and back waist-pull motors together with the two force sensors located at the subject’s waist allow real-time force perturbations of the subject while the Stewart platform can perturb the balance by either translational or rotational motion (or a combination of both) of the support surface. Force plates are used in combination with kinematical and electromiographical measurements (not on the figure) to study the adaptation of subjects to the given perturbations. . . . .	15
3	Examining functional role of supportive hand contact. The subjects were standing on a force plate mounted on top of the Stewart platform that generated translational perturbations. the subjects were holding the handle with a built-in force sensor in four different positions. Major results of the study are shown on the two diagrams on the right side. . . . .	16
4	Screenshots of the validation scenario simulated in XDE. . . . .	18
5	Illustration of three human robot interaction tasks, where an exchange of forces takes place investigated by TUD during year one. . . . .	20
6	(a) Conditioning on different target states. The blue shaded area represents the learned trajectory distribution. We condition on different target positions, indicated by the x-markers. The produced trajectories exactly reach the desired targets while keeping the shape of the demonstrations. (b) Combination of two ProMPs. The trajectory distributions are indicated by the blue and red shaded areas. Both primitives have to reach via-points at different points in time, indicated by the x-markers. We co-activate both primitives with the same activation factor. The trajectory distribution generated by the resulting feedback controller now goes through all four via-points. (c) Blending of two ProMPs. We smoothly blend from the red primitive to the blue primitive. The activation factors are shown in the bottom. The resulting movement (green) first follows the red primitive and, subsequently, switches to following the blue primitive. . . . .	21
7	Illustration of the developed interaction primitives that allows to infer the behavior of the interacting partner. . . . .	22

# 1 Project objectives for the period

## 1.1 Overview

The specificity of CoDyCo relies on the fact that the progress beyond the state of the art is guided by the yearly implementation on the iCub humanoid. Within this context, iCub is a peculiar platform being the only humanoid integrating whole-body distributed force and tactile sensors. In this sense CoDyCo first year specific objectives were to design and implement the control of whole-body posture with multiple contacts. Other long term objectives involve setting up the necessary infrastructure (human experimental protocols, software infrastructure, learning and control specifications) for structuring the activities in the following years.

Task	IIT	TUD	UPMC	JSI	UB	
WP1	8.5	1	3	-	-	<b>7</b>
WP2	-	-	-	18	2.5	<b>8</b>
WP3	6.58	4.6	22	-	-	<b>13</b>
WP4	-	8	3	-	-	<b>12</b>
WP5	-	-	0.3	-	-	<b>12</b>
WP6	2	-	-	-	-	<b>21</b>
WP7	1	-	-	-	-	<b>21</b>
	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>73</b>

### 1.1.1 WP1: toolbox for computing and controlling dynamics of whole-body movements with contacts (UB)

The overall goal of this work package is to develop software libraries and software modules to be used as toolbox by the entire project consortium. The expected outcomes for year 1 were for the consortium to agree on the architectures, interfaces, and modules necessary for the creation of a shared software toolbox, to fit both individual task requirements and the overall CoDyCo project objectives. Additionally, at the end of year 1, an enhanced iCub simulator for whole-body contact simulation is to be released as part of deliverable D1.1.

### 1.1.2 WP2: understanding and modelling human whole-body behaviours in physical interaction (JSI)

There were three main objectives within WP2 for the first year of the project: (i) to thoroughly review and summarise the recent relevant literature on human postural control and whole body motion in contact with environment and/or human, and to define the protocols for experimental procedures, including obtaining ethics committee approval (Task 2.2), (ii) to work on designing of models for human whole body motion in contact where the aim was to form simplified models or high-level understanding of how additional supportive contacts affect human motor control strategies involved in balancing (Task 2.3), and (iii) to study the factors involved in human choice of contact utilization and to investigate how interaction through contacts can contribute to learning of whole body motor control (Task 2.3).

### **1.1.3 WP3: control and optimization of whole-body motion in contact (UPMC)**

The objectives of WP3 for the first year of the project are threefold. The first one is to demonstrate the applicability of state of the art whole-body motion controllers, such as the one developed in [1] and [2], within the simulation tools evaluated and retained in WP1 and for simple rigid, multi-contact scenarios. The second one is to propose a formulation and a solver for the whole-body control problem at the reactive level that provides a more expressive, richer description of the control problem as well as an efficient way of solving it. The third one is to identify existing or potential ways of optimally coupling the local, reactive control level and the global, decision making one.

### **1.1.4 WP4: adaptation, Generalization and Improvement of Compliant Control and Tasks with Contacts (TUD)**

The goal of WP4 is to endow the CoDyCo humanoid robot control architecture with the core abilities for the adaptation, generalization and self-improvement of both control laws and tasks that involve physical interaction with humans, and the environment. In this context, we propose learning approaches that work in conjunction with the control architecture devised in WP3 and rather complement analytical robotic approaches with on-policy learning than starting from scratch. A core idea behind this work package is that Learning should complement classical approaches and not supersede them.

The first year objectives of WP4 include:

- Fast regression methods that can deal with well structured input noise, such that physical models can be learned and adapted for tasks that involve many uncertain contacts. A particular focus will be given to prediction-based switching model.
- Learning how to combine elementary tasks by imitation and reinforcement learning. The combinations involved include the learned simultaneous use of elementary tasks, the sequential use as well as the co-articulation of tasks.

### **1.1.5 WP5: systems integration, standardization and evaluation on the iCub robot (IIT)**

The first year main objective for WP5 was the implementation of a validation scenario consisting of the balancing on different type of rigid contacts. The goal was to include multiple contact positions: feet, hands, back, buttocks, arms and legs.

### **1.1.6 WP6: management (IIT)**

The first year management was primarily dedicated to the project starting. Among the main goals the release of a project software repository.

### **1.1.7 WP7: dissemination and Exploitation (IIT)**

The main dissemination objectives for the CoDyCo first year were the creation of a CoDyCo database infrastructure, a CoDyCo project website and participation to dissemination events towards academia and industry.

## 2 Work progress and achievements during the period

### 2.1 Progress overview and contribution to the research field

All the CoDyCo first year objectives have been attained. Here is a list of the CoDyCo first year achievements.

- Design and implementation of an open-source simulator environment for the iCub and digital human whole-body motion simulation. After a consortium shared effort, it was decided to adopt Gazebo <http://gazebosim.org> as a basis for the simulator. This solution was chosen on the basis of a public survey <http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.7050> and on the results of a discussion conducted in Paris during a little workshop organized at ISIR.
- Design and implementation of a whole-body software abstraction layer <https://github.com/robotology/codyco/tree/master/src/libraries/wholeBodyInterface> which represents the backbone of the CoDyCo software architecture, interface and module structure.
- Design and definition of human experimental protocols and simplified models for whole-body motion with multiple contacts. After an extensive literature review (D2.1), JSI conducted preliminary studies on examining functional role of supportive hand contact while balancing.
- Design and test of state of the art control strategies for whole-body motion with multiple contacts. Realization of a solver for the whole-body reactive control that provides an expressive and rich description of the control problem as well as an efficient way of solving it. Implementation of the results in a whole-body control validation scenario in presence of multiple contacts.
- Preliminary studies on learning methods suitable for tasks that involve many uncertain contacts. Design of fast regression methods that can deal with well structured input noise. Methods for learning how to combine elementary control tasks.

#### 2.1.1 WP1: toolbox for computing and controlling dynamics of whole-body movements with contacts (UB)

WP1 objectives were achieved for the first year. In summary, the main accomplishments and impacts for the research community are as follows:

- A survey of over 100 robotics researchers worldwide was conducted on use of simulator tools. To date, there has been no such objective evaluation of the various simulation packages available for conducting robotics research. The output of such a survey will help researchers determine the best tool for their application and thus, is of great value to the community. A report of survey results was released as part of deliverable D1.1 and was submitted for journal publication.

- A new iCub simulator to cope with multi-contact dynamics has been released. The new simulator can now interface with Gazebo, a widely used and actively developed simulation engine. The simulator has been documented as part of deliverable D1.1
- A tool for automatic generation of URDF models of digital humans has been developed. As URDF is a standardized format for representing articulated rigid bodies, researchers now have ease to create human kinematic and dynamic models for simulation and analysis. Documentation of the tool was released as part of D1.1.
- New methods for whole-body dynamics estimation have been developed, and submitted for publication.
- A new Gaussian process (GP) model was developed that is explicitly designed to deal with non-linearities induced through contacts with the environment. This work was submitted for publication.

### **2.1.2 WP2: understanding and modelling human whole-body behaviours in physical interaction (JSI)**

After the first year of project, all planned objectives have been reached and one experimental modification has been implemented.

A thorough review was created with summary of the recent literature on human postural control and whole body motion in contact with environment. It includes relevant publications up to date and reviews the methods for evaluation of postural stability of bipedal systems beyond available reviews [3, 4]. The review provides a solid bridge in methodologies and terminologies used by the project partners from multi-disciplinary backgrounds. Based on the overall objectives of the project and the specific objectives of WP2, experimental setup was created and procedures were defined. We obtained ethics committee approval for all project related human experiments (approved by National Medical Ethics Committee of Republic of Slovenia, reference number 112/06/13).

We performed an experimental study and examined functional role of supportive hand contact at different locations where balance of an individual was perturbed by translational perturbations of the support surface. We examined the effects of handle location, perturbation direction and perturbation intensity on the postural control and the forces generated in the handle. We found that an additional supportive hand contact significantly reduced the maximal displacement of the subject's centre of pressure (CoP) regardless of the position of the handle, direction of the perturbation and its intensity. This is in agreement with the accepted belief that an additional hand contact with support in general reduces the destabilizing effect of balance perturbation [5, 6, 7, 8]. On the other hand, the position of the handle had no effects on the maximal CoP displacement. This supports the idea that maintaining postural stability is the task of the highest priority and that the central nervous system does whatever necessary to keep the body balanced [9]. Our findings are in contrast with the recent findings of Sarraf et al. [10]. We submitted a manuscript explaining the results of our study to Gait & Posture Journal. The manuscript is under review after minor revision and is expected to be published by the end of 2014 [11].

Most of the human studies that examine postural control [12, 13, 14] (including our above mentioned study) utilize one-time support perturbations that unpredictably perturb the balance of an individual. During our experiments we noticed that human subjects reacted to all such perturbations regardless of how small or slow the perturbation was or what was the initial acceleration of the perturbation. Our conclusion was that these reactions are essentially protective reactions that do not necessarily have counterbalancing effects [15, 16]. Such reactions mask the real factors involved in human choice of contact utilization. We therefore altered the perturbation methods for our further experiments and designed continuous perturbations in a frequency band that corresponds with typical human motion during postural control [17].

### **2.1.3 WP3: control and optimization of whole-body motion in contact (UPMC)**

After one year of project, the level of achievement of the objectives in WP3 meets the expectations.

The whole-body control frameworks developed by J. Salini and A. Del Prete as part of their respective PhD thesis [1], [2] have been tested for simple rigid, multi-contact scenarios in the XDE [18] and Gazebo [19] physics simulators. These two simulators have been retained in WP1 (Deliverable 1.1) as modular simulation frameworks dedicated to the evaluation of the control strategies in CODYCO.

In the meantime, a novel "Generalized Smooth Hierarchical Control" algorithm has been developed [20]. It offers a rich way of describing and solving multi-task problems under constraints: both strict and soft tasks hierarchies can be enforced, tasks can be inserted and removed in a continuous manner and their priorities can be switched smoothly. It appears as a potential alternative to recent work in this domain [21]. Alternatively, TUD has worked on a Bayesian optimization framework dedicated to the bipedal locomotion gait optimization [22], [23].

Regarding the exploration of the potential ways of coupling the local, reactive control level and the global, decision making one, several works have been initiated mostly related to the generation of "globally optimal" reference trajectories to be tracked reactively by the local controller. The contributions in this domain over the first year of project are mostly related to the work of A. Ibanez [24], [25] and [26]. The distributed MPC approach developed in this work tackles the locomotion and balance problem from a new perspective that shares similarities with recent contributions such as [27] where an optimization framework enables an automated generation of rich contact behaviors, and [28] that combines a kinesthetic teaching task with an algorithm partially inspired by our approach to improve the balancing behavior during interactions. In the meantime, TUD investigated the interchange of forces during cooperative tasks between humans and robots [29].

### **2.1.4 WP4: adaptation, generalization and improvement of compliant control and tasks with contacts (TUD)**

The goal of WP4 is to endow the CoDyCo humanoid robot control architecture with the core abilities for the adaptation, generalization and self-improvement of both control laws and tasks that involve physical interaction with humans, and the environment. During the first year, developed a novel probabilistic movement primitive representation that can be used for

imitation learning and for superimposing multiple motor tasks. TUD also started to investigate to predict the partner's behavior in human robot interaction scenarios.

### **2.1.5 WP5: systems integration, standardization and evaluation on the iCub robot (IIT)**

The first year WP5 activities have concentrated on the first year validation scenario. A complete description of the scenario can be found in "D5.1 Scientific report on validation scenario 1: balancing on multiple rigid contact points." which discusses the technical implementation of the first year validation scenario (see <https://github.com/robotology-playground/codyco-deliverables/tree/master/D5.1/pdf>). With respect to the state of the art the work progress represents an implementation of well established torques controlled whole-body control strategies. The integration of tactile feedback within the whole-body controller is a peculiarity of the implemented CoDyCo validation scenario and therefore represent a step forward with respect to the current state of the art. At the moment of writing the current deliverable the iCub tactile sensors cover the feet, the torso, the arms and the hands and the implemented validation scenario accounts for contacts at the hands and feet.

### **2.1.6 WP6: management (IIT)**

The CoDyCo project started successfully. Management activities included the definition of an amendment procedure smoothly organized by the consortium and the project officer. A software repository (<https://github.com/robotology/codyco>) was set up using state of the art versioning tools (git) and social coding website (<https://github.com>).

### **2.1.7 WP7: dissemination and exploitation (IIT)**

Within WP7, CoDyCo first year achievement include: dissemination at relevant academic and industrial events; realization of a CoDyCo experiment database to disseminate robot and humans datasets.

## **2.2 Work package 1 progress**

### **2.2.1 Software architecture design and evaluation of available open-source software pertinent to the scope of the project. (T1.1)**

The explicit goal of T1.1 is for the consortium to agree on a specific software architecture with associated software tools whose specifications, dependencies and interconnections meet the requirements and needs for achieving the goals of the project. To this end, the consortium met on 5th June 2013 at UPMC to discuss and agree on software interfaces, modules and architectures. The main outcomes from this meeting were:

- IIT to develop plugins for Gazebo to interface with YARP. Gazebo chosen to be a replacement physics core for the iCubsim (see T1.2).

- UPMC to develop software using Orococos/XDE for whole body control and define generic interfaces for controllers, models, sensors, and actuation allowing for the communication of a C++ Orococos-based controller component with a robot using YARP or a YARP/Gazebo-based simulator.
- The consortium agreed on URDF as a unified modeling structure for defining and sharing descriptions of robots and human models. URDF is a standard XML format for representing the kinematic and dynamic description of a branched structure of articulated rigid bodies.

The software architectural designs and specifications are to be documented as part of D1.2 and released at the end of year 2.

## 2.2.2 Simulator for whole-body motion with contacts (T1.2)

The CoDyCo project requires a modular, component-based dynamics simulation software providing numerically stable, computationally efficient and physically consistent simulations of whole-body virtual human(oid) systems in contact with rigid or soft environments. To this end, in year one, a new iCub simulator has been released and documented as part of deliverable D1.1. In summary:

- The previously existing iCub simulator needed an upgrade for more advanced applications including the multi-contact dynamics required for the CoDyCo project. The goal was to replace the physics core from ODE (Open Dynamics Engine) to one more suitable for articulated rigid body structures commonly used in robotics. To this end, Gazebo and XDE were chosen and evaluated as physics cores for the new iCub simulator.
- Partner UPMC led a survey of existing simulators for robotics<sup>1</sup>. In total 119 international robotics researchers responded to the survey.
- IIT contributed in CoDyCo with a joint activity with two other EU projects: Koroibot and WALK-MAN. The result of this collaboration is the development of a Gazebo plugin for exposing a YARP interface to the simulator. The plugin has been released with an open-source license and it is available on github ([https://github.com/robotology/gazebo\\_yarp\\_plugins](https://github.com/robotology/gazebo_yarp_plugins)). At the moment of writing the current report, the plugin can be instantiated to control both COMAN (<https://github.com/EnricoMingo/iit-coman-ros-pkg>) and iCub ([https://github.com/robotology-playground/icub\\_gazebo](https://github.com/robotology-playground/icub_gazebo)). This activity is related to a preliminary workshop publication [30].
- Partner UPMC conducted a comparison between the XDE and Gazebo iCub simulators and a real iCub performing a leg free-falling task. In summary, in terms of predicted simulated outcomes, XDE and Gazebo are nearly numerically identical. However, both suffer with respect to accuracy, as the viscous friction models used are not able to accurately model the actual joint friction in the iCub. In conclusion, work in T1.4 (as well as WP3 and WP4) will need to address the issue of accurate friction modeling and estimation.

---

<sup>1</sup><http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.7050>

- In order to provide a way to generate URDF models of digital mannequins independently from a specific simulator, JSI has developed a software for generating instances of a parametrized digital human (similar to the one present in XDE) as well as to edit the detailed parameters of an existing instance. The new digital human URDF file generator is detailed in D1.1.

### **2.2.3 Control library for flexible specification of task space dynamics of floating base manipulators. (T1.3)**

During the first year both IIT and UPMC contributed to the development of several software components for controlling the iCub whole-body behavior. The software has been structured around an abstraction layer called wholeBodyInterface, described in details within T3.2. This C++ abstraction layer is already used in a set of whole-body controllers implemented in simulink and available on github at the following address: <https://github.com/robotology/codyco/tree/master/src/simulink/controllers>. Within this context simulink is currently adopted as a fast designing tool for testing several controllers whose final implementation is foreseen in C++. Similarly, UPMC has started adopting the wholeBodyInterface within their own controller framework based on XDE and ORCISI. Preliminary results are available here: <https://github.com/robotology/codyco/tree/master/src/tests>.

### **2.2.4 System dynamics estimation software. Extension to environmental compliance estimation (T1.4)**

The goal of this task is to develop a software tool for on-line estimation of whole-body dynamics of the robot, as well as the compliance of contacts established between the robot and the environment.

- Within T1.4, IIT contributed with two activities in year 1: developing a library for whole-body dynamics estimation and starting the activity of compliance estimation. Details of these activities can be found primarily in the associated scientific publications [31, 32, 33].
- Also during year one, TUD started to investigate the learning of dynamic models with discontinuities. A new Gaussian process (GP) model was developed that is explicitly designed to deal with non-linearities induced through contacts with the environment. An example of such non-linearities and the approximated model reconstruction is shown in Figure 1. We called the developed supervised learning method manifold GP (mGP), as it jointly learns a transformation of the data into a feature space, and a GP regression from the feature space to observed space. In future work, this promising approach will be applied to motor skill learning task on the iCub with multiple contacts. A preprint of this work was published this year [Calandra, R. and Peters, J. and Rasmussen, C. and Deisenroth, M., 2014].




Figure 1: Illustration of a discontinuous function (black dots) that is approximated by three model learning approaches. Classical Gaussian process regression methods (GP SE-ARD, and GP NN) poorly reconstruct this function as they average over the “jump”, which results in a high model variance. TUD, however, demonstrated that by jointly learning a transformation of the data into a feature space, and a GP regression model from the feature space to observed space, the non-linear function can be reconstructed without large reconstruction errors.

## 2.2.5 Extension and enhancement of the iDyn library. (T1.5)

The original iDyn library <https://github.com/robotology/icub-main/tree/master/src/libraries/iDyn> was designed assuming the robot in a fixed-base configuration. Within CoDyCo the library was redesigned in order to support floating base structures. The associated source code is available here <https://github.com/robotology/codyco/tree/master/src/libraries/iDynTree>. Within the YARP and iCub contexts, the libraries are used in the wholeBodyDynamics modules (<https://github.com/robotology/icub-main/tree/master/src/modules/wholeBodyDynamics> and <https://github.com/robotology/codyco/tree/master/src/modules/wholeBodyDynamicsTree> respectively) to compute simultaneously internal (joint torques) and external (contact) forces and torques.

## 2.3 Work package 2 progress

### 2.3.1 Definition and design of experimental protocols (T2.1)

The aim of the work in this task was to provide a solid multidisciplinary base for future research work within CoDyCo. We made a thorough review and summary of the recent relevant literature on human postural control and whole body motion in contact with environment (Delivery 2.1). The review examines postural control strategies without and with additional support contacts, types of perturbations that are commonly used to study neuromuscular functions involved in postural control and reviews the methods for stability evaluation of bipedal systems. The review is concluded with examination of stability metrics that can be applied for non-planar contacts. We plan to extend the review with methods of determination




Figure 2: Experimental setup to study human postural control and whole body motion in contact with environment. Front and back waist-pull motors together with the two force sensors located at the subject's waist allow real-time force perturbations of the subject while the Stewart platform can perturb the balance by either translational or rotational motion (or a combination of both) of the support surface. Force plates are used in combination with kinematical and electromiographical measurements (not on the figure) to study the adaptation of subjects to the given perturbations.

of inertial parameters of human/robot body and submit it for publication in a robotic journal by the end of 2014.

At JSI, we created an experimental setup to study human postural control and whole body motion in contact with environment. We implemented two state-of-the-art methods for perturbation of balance as shown on Figure 2 that will allow us to gain understanding how human brain deals with environment in the sense of supportive contacts. Using the same setup we can also validate all biomechanical findings on robotic systems by simply substituting the human subject with a robot. Besides, work has been undertaken to setup experiments also at UB. New equipment (the Moog Hapticmaster robot) was acquired and configured at both JSI and UB. Hapticmaster robot will be used in experiments with compliant and unpredictable contacts.

### 2.3.2 Design of models for human whole body motion in contact (T2.2)

Work has begun on understanding how to derive simplified models of whole-body balance that will encapsulate the task relevant parameters of posture control with multiple contacts. By emulating situations when balance of an individual is challenged, we examined functional role of supportive hand contact at different locations where balance of an individual was perturbed by translational perturbations of the support surface. The experimental methods rested upon our work in Task 2.1 and are depicted on the left side of Figure 3.




Figure 3: Examining functional role of supportive hand contact. The subjects were standing on a force plate mounted on top of the Stewart platform that generated translational perturbations. The subjects were holding the handle with a built-in force sensor in four different positions. Major results of the study are shown on the two diagrams on the right side.

We found that an additional supportive hand contact significantly reduced the maximal displacement of the subject's centre of pressure (CoP) regardless of the position of the handle and the type of the perturbation. On the other hand, the position of the handle had no effects on the maximal CoP displacement (top right diagram on Figure 3) which is against the previous belief that the quality of postural control depend on the location of the hand contact [10] and supports the idea that maintaining postural stability is the task of the highest priority and that the central nervous system does whatever necessary to keep the body balanced [9]. Specifically, subjects always generated the required hand force, no matter where the location of the handle was, to keep the body balanced to the same extent. To get a better understanding of the functional role of supportive hand contacts, we examined the handle forces exerted by the subjects during the perturbation. In contrast with the effects on CoP, we found significant effects of perturbation direction, perturbation intensity and handle position on the maximal force in the handle (bottom right diagram on Figure 3). A manuscript with the results of the work in T2.2 was submitted for publication in Gait & Posture journal in December 2013 and is under review [11].

To properly model all these findings we developed a reduced dimensional (6-link, planar) model of a humanoid to be used as an inverse dynamics model for computing joint torques from human experimental data. The detailed analyses based on this model are under way at JSI and UB.

A major challenge of this task is understanding how to determine and measure stability when a human or humanoid robot is in a multi contact situation. The state-of-the-art in postural stability uses traditional metrics such as centre of pressure or zero moment point. However these planar metrics do not apply when there are multiple non-planar contacts. In

addition to reviewing the current literature (Task 2.1), we have begun development of new methods for measuring stability margins when a human or humanoid robot has multiple non-planar contacts.

### 2.3.3 Human contact choice and learning through physical interaction (T2.4)

In order to understand how humans make contact choice decisions (e.g. whether or not to initiate a hand contact, and where to place the hand), we need an estimation of joint torques as well as a metric of stability in various multi-contact situations. Thus the work we have begun in Task 2.2 in terms of both simplified models of postural control and metrics of stability, also apply for Task 2.4.

To understand the factors involved in human choice of contact utilization, we performed a series of experiments where the subjects were standing still with arms hanging freely at the sides. The parallel platform induced a randomly timed series of perturbations of different accelerations, velocities and displacements. The aim of the experiments was to investigate what profile of support perturbation forces the human to make a supportive hand contact with environment and how human chooses the location of the hand contact with regard to the direction of the perturbation. Interestingly, we found that the subjects reacted to every perturbation no matter how small or slow the perturbation was or what was the initial acceleration of the perturbation. The reactions were manifested as muscle twitches of shoulder or as unspecific arm motions that were unrelated to the proximity of possible support objects. The reactions occurred also at the smallest perturbations when no actual correction of balance was needed. Our experiments showed that these reactions are essentially protective reactions rather than reactions that have counterbalancing effects [15, 16].

These reactions mask the real factors involved in human choice of contact utilization. We therefore altered the perturbation methods for our further experiments and designed continuous random perturbations in a frequency band that corresponds with typical human motion during postural control [17]. By doing so we excluded the effect of surprise that evoked the reflex reactions of humans. This will hopefully allow us to uncover the factors involved in human choice of contact utilization.

### 2.3.4 Resources

Overall, the use of resources within WP2 was in accordance to the plans. There was a slight increase in the amount of PM for JSI due to the fact that we could not find a suitable Post-doc but hired a PhD student instead.

	Planned PM for year 1	Actual PM	Comment
JSI	18	12	
UB	2.5	?	

## 2.4 Work package 3 progress

The progress for each task are described hereafter.




Figure 4: Screenshots of the validation scenario simulated in XDE.

#### 2.4.1 Reproducing existing control results in a simple case (T3.1)

During year one, UPMC has achieved task T3.1 by creating a stand-alone C++ library encapsulating the whole-body controller developed in [1] so that it can be used by all partners in simulation or on (any) real humanoid robot. This version of the controller has been tested in rigid multi-contact scenarios in simulation (see Fig. 4) and is currently adapted for tests on the iCub robot.

#### 2.4.2 Formulating the control problem (T3.2)

The work performed during year one by UPMC to achieve T3.2 has led to the definition of what a task can be considered to be in the context of the reactive formulation of a multi-task whole body control problem. Among the different characteristics of a task (physical frame, task variable, forward model, desired target trajectory, local controller, priority), the notion of task priority has been largely modified with respect to the classical lexicographic task ordering met in the robotics literature and which is particularly appropriate for cascade resolution approaches such as the one recently proposed in [21]. A partial order has been defined such that task priorities can be described for any pair of task  $i$  and  $j$ . This leads to a richer formulation which includes the original one but is also particularly appropriate for describing task insertion and removal processes as well as priority switching between tasks. Further more, this new prioritization paradigm provides a unique way of defining strict and soft hierarchies between tasks. Associated to this work, the notion of generalized task projector has been introduced. Each task is associated to a projector which is built based on the tasks priorities. The interest of this projector is that it filters the joint space motion associated to a task so that all priorities are respected, being them soft or strict. Details regarding this work are provided in [20].

Within this task also IIT contributed with the definition of a software abstraction layer, named `wholeBodyInterface` (<http://wiki.icub.org/codyco/dox/html/namespacewbi.html>).

html). This software library defines the interface to access and control the robot whole-body. Therefore the wholeBodyLibrary structures the control problem and their definition. Currently the library has been implemented for both the iCub and the Gazebo iCub simulator.

### 2.4.3 Solving the local control problem (T3.3)

Associated to the new task formulation proposed in T3.2, the control problem has been formulated by UPMC as an LQP which can be solved by any convex optimization solver dealing with linear constraints. Despite the task hierarchy, the introduction of a generalized task projector per task allows to solve only one LQP. This can be done by introducing as many virtual joint space variables as the number of tasks and using the generalized projector of each task in the expression of the constraints. The resulting problem can be solved by standard convex optimization tools and the cost of introducing virtual joint space variables is compensated for by the fact that only one optimization problem has to be solved. Details regarding this work are provided in [20].

In the meantime, TUD has proposed to explore optimization methods for solving local control problems that do not require the explicit inversion of any model of the system. During year one, TUD investigated Bayesian optimization methods that were applied to bipedal locomotion tasks. One of the key challenges in robotic bipedal locomotion is finding gait parameters that optimize a desired performance criterion, such as speed, robustness or energy efficiency. Typically, gait optimization requires extensive robot experiments and specific expert knowledge. During year one, TUD demonstrated that data-driven machine learning methods based on Bayesian optimization can be used to automate and speed up the process of gait optimization. These Bayesian optimization methods were used to efficiently find gait parameters that optimize the desired performance metric on a real bipedal walker [22] and [23].

### 2.4.4 Bootstrapping and validating the control approach in rigid world and compliant cases (T3.4)

During year one, UPMC has explored the contribution of MPC approaches to handle the postural balancing problem under varying contact conditions. The hybrid nature of the problem, where varying contact conditions can be accommodated either by adapting the internal forces distribution given a set of contact or by modifying the set of contacts itself, requires control approaches where the desired task trajectories performed through the local, reactive, whole-body controller have to be optimally planned ahead of time in order to provide robust behaviors. The contributions in this domain are mostly related to the work of A. Ibanez [24], [25] and [26]. The originality of these contributions lies in:

- an augmented ZMP model including external forces exerted directly on indirectly on the center of mass;
- a distributed optimization approach that provides a way of generating reference trajectories for the center of mass representing a good compromise given some antagonistic balance and task;
- a non scripted foot step placement optimization.

UPMC also partially contributed with an experimental study about human behavior during physical contact with the robot. The protocol was registered and obtained the approval of the ethics committee CERES (Conseil dévaluation éthique pour les recherches en santé), from University Paris-Decartes.<sup>2</sup> The purpose of the experiments is to collect a database of behaviors of experts and naive people interacting physically with the iCub to accomplish a cooperative task. The collected data also include locations of contacts (retrieved through the tactile skin), applied force, robot movements: they will be used to study adaptation to human intention during human-robot physical contacts.

In the meantime, TUD investigated the interchange of forces during cooperative tasks between humans and robots [29]. Three example scenarios are illustrated in Figure 5. In such tasks, typically an exchange of forces takes place whenever the interacting agents make contact. Sometimes, forces are even exchanged through an object that is manipulated by both agents, e.g., through a box that is lifted. For a successful execution of such joint physical activities, a robot needs to accommodate for the external forces exerted by a human. To this end, we developed a machine learning approach for identifying external influences and guidance information from humans. During behavior execution by a robot, predictions from a statistical sensor model are continuously compared with stability parameters derived from current sensor readings. Differences between predicted and measured values exceeding the variance of the statistical model are interpreted as perturbations caused by a human and are used to adapt the robot's behavior.




Figure 5: Illustration of three human-robot interaction tasks, where an exchange of forces takes place investigated by TUD during year one.

#### 2.4.5 Deviations from workplan

The PM expenses for WP3 after one year of project are globally conform to the planned one. The observed deviations are related to the fact that tasks 3.3 and 3.4 spans the overall duration of the project and the contribution of some of the partners are expected in the 2nd, 3rd and 4th year.

<sup>2</sup>Ivaldi et al., "Engagement during human-humanoid interaction", IRB N. 20135200001072.

## 2.4.6 Resources

	Planned PM for year 1	Actual PM	Comment
IIT	2	2	
TUD	6	4.6	
UPMC	22.5	22	
UB	2.5	?	
JSI	1	0	

## 2.5 Work package 4 progress

### 2.5.1 Generalizing and Improving Elementary Tasks with Contacts (T4.3)

In this task, we aim to generate new skills from data, where elementary skills are acquired by imitation learning and transferred to novel situations using dynamic systems. During year one, TUD developed a novel representation of movement primitives that can be used for imitation learning from noisy observations. Uncertainty of observed trajectories is explicitly modeled and used to generate new skills. This movement representation has state-of-the-art capabilities in generalization, coupling between the degrees of freedom of the robot, and moreover, a time varying feedback controller can be derived in closed form. These features are partially illustrated in Figure 6. This work was published last year at the highly competitive conference on neural information processing [34].




Figure 6: (a) Conditioning on different target states. The blue shaded area represents the learned trajectory distribution. We condition on different target positions, indicated by the x-markers. The produced trajectories exactly reach the desired targets while keeping the shape of the demonstrations. (b) Combination of two ProMPs. The trajectory distributions are indicated by the blue and red shaded areas. Both primitives have to reach via-points at different points in time, indicated by the x-markers. We co-activate both primitives with the same activation factor. The trajectory distribution generated by the resulting feedback controller now goes through all four via-points. (c) Blending of two ProMPs. We smoothly blend from the red primitive to the blue primitive. The activation factors are shown in the bottom. The resulting movement (green) first follows the red primitive and, subsequently, switches to following the blue primitive.

In another work, published at the international conference on humanoid robots (HUMANOIDs), TUD demonstrated that this probabilistic approach for trajectory generation has

superior performance against deterministic policies. The use of probability distributions over the trajectories increased significantly the generalization properties, which was evaluated on a high dimensional table tennis scenario [Paraschos, A. and Neumann, G and Peters, J., 2013]. In the future work, we plan to incorporate external torque signals to initiate, maintain, and terminate contacts.

TUD also investigated how to learn human robot interaction through imitation. We presented a new approach to robot learning that allows anthropomorphic robots to learn a library of interaction skills from demonstration [H Ben Amor, D Vogt, M Ewerthon, E Berger, B Jung and J Peters, 2014]. Traditional approaches to modeling interactions assume a pre-specified symbolic representation of the available actions. For example, they model interactions in terms of commands such as *wait*, *pick-up*, and *place*. Instead of such a top-down approach, we focused on learning responsive behavior in a bottom-up fashion using a trajectory based approach. The key idea behind our approach is that the observation of human-human collaborations can provide rich information specifying how and when to interact in a particular situation. For example, by observing how two human workmen collaborate on lifting a heavy box, a robot could use machine learning algorithms to extract an interaction model that specifies the states, movements, and situational responses of the involved parties. In turn, such a model can be used by the robot to assist in a similar lifting task. Our approach is as an extension of imitation learning to multi-agent scenarios, in which the behavior and the mutual interplay between two agents is imitated




Figure 7: Illustration of the developed interaction primitives that allows to infer the behavior of the interacting partner.

We further extended the above approach by introducing *Interaction Primitives* in [Ben Amor, H.; Neumann, G.; Kamthe, S.; Kroemer, O.; Peters, J., 2014]. Interaction primitives build on the framework of dynamic motor primitives (DMPs) by maintaining a distribution over the parameters of the DMP. With this distribution, we can learn the inherent correlations of cooperative activities which allow us to infer the behavior of the partner and to participate in the cooperation. A conceptual overview is sketched in Figure 7. A learned Interaction Primitive can be used by a robot to (1) predict the human's next action in the current context, (2) identify the optimal response, (3) synchronize the movement with the human partner.

In the meantime, demonstration-based learning of "optimal trajectories" and stable controllers has been addressed by UPMC, in particular in [35] where a general, flexible, and compact representation of parameterizable skills is proposed. This work generalizes the standard Dynamic Motor Primitive formulation in [36] and proposes a novel DMP formulation for parametrized skills, based on additionally passing task parameters to the DMP function ap-

proximator. This generalizes previous approaches, in particular those which train and execute parametrized skills with two separate regressions. Learning the function approximator with one regression in the full space of phase and tasks parameters allows for more compact models, and the flexible use of different function approximator implementations such as LWPR and GPR, as we demonstrated on the Meka and iCub humanoid robots.

### 2.5.2 Resources

	Planned PM	Actual PM	Comment
IIT	9.3		
TUD	10.4	8	
UPMC	5		
UB	3		
JSI	2.5		

### 2.5.3 Deviations from workplan

No significant deviations.

## 2.6 Work package 5 progress

The activities in WP5 are divided into four tasks corresponding to the four years project duration. As a result, during the first year CoDyCo results concentrate on T5.1. The main result consist in the implementation of the validation scenario consisting of the balancing on different type of rigid contacts.

### 2.6.1 Scenario 1: iCub balancing on multiple rigid contacts (T5.1)

The main contributions to T5.1 have been presented in “D5.1 Scientific report on validation scenario 1: balancing on multiple rigid contact points.” which discusses the technical implementation of the first year validation scenario (see <https://github.com/robotology-playground/codyco-deliverables/tree/master/D5.1/pdf>). The software developed for the scenario implementation is released with an open-source license and distributed through github (<https://github.com/robotology/codyco> ). The main software activities include: a module to identify the whole-body motor transfer functions (<https://github.com/robotology/codyco/tree/master/src/modules/motorFrictionIdentification>), a module for estimating whole-body internal (joint torques) and external (contact) forces (<https://github.com/robotology/codyco/tree/master/src/modules/motorFrictionIdentification>), a module for whole-body joint torque control (<https://github.com/robotology/codyco/tree/master/src/modules/jointTorqueControl>), a C++ library that implements the wholeBodyInterface in simulink (<https://github.com/robotology/codyco/tree/master/src/simulink>).

## 2.6.2 Deviations from workplan

The original work plan have foreseen contacts at feet, hands, back, buttocks, arms and legs. The final validation scenario will only include possible contacts at hands and feet. This simplification is mainly due to the fact that at the end of the CoDyCo first year the iCub does not yet include tactile sensing on the back, legs and buttocks. These sensors will be soon included in the iCub and the CoDyCo software is already designed to include this information.

## 2.6.3 Resources

Resources were used as expected.

	Planned PM for year 1	Actual PM	Comment
IIT	12	12	
UPMC	1	0.33	

## 2.7 Work package 6 progress

Activities within work package 6 achieved the expected results both in terms of administrative activities and management activities. As a major result, the software repository was successfully implemented thanks to novel versioning tool (git) and social coding website (<https://github.com>).

### 2.7.1 Administrative coordination (T6.1)

Administration was successfully coordinated by Chiara Andreoli at IIT. The major activity concerned an amendment that the CoDyCo consortium asked the main reason being the fact that Serena Ivaldi, initially hired by UPMC was recently hired by TUD. Part of the administrative coordination activities were also conducted during three main meetings: the kick-off meeting (Genoa, April 5th, 2013), the simulators meeting (Paris, June 5th, 2013) and the midyear meeting (Paris, November 21st-22nd, 2013). Details on the meetings can be found in the CoDyCo website (<http://www.codyco.eu>).

### 2.7.2 Software repository implementation (T6.2)

A github software repository was set up <https://github.com/robotology/codyco> and the contribution from the different developers can be directly checked in the website. Relevant information can be found also in "D6.1 Website and repository online" available here: <https://github.com/robotology-playground/codyco-deliverables/tree/master/D6.1/pdf>.

### 2.7.3 Resources

Resources were used as follows.

	Planned PM for year 1	Actual PM	Comment
IIT	1	1	
TUD	?	?	
UPMC	?	?	
JSI	?	?	
UB	?	?	

## 2.8 Work package 7 progress

Dissemination and exploitation activities included the participation to international events addressed to both commercial and academic institutions. A preliminary exploitation plan was delineated and reported in the deliverable D7.1.

### 2.8.1 Dissemination activities towards academia, industry, and other users (T7.1)

Dissemination activities were conducted in three main events: (1) iCub exposition at IROS2014, IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation Karlsruhe, May 6 - 10, 2013; (2) iCub exposition at the European Robotics Forum and Innorobo, Lyon 29th March 2013; (3) iCub exposition at the European Robotics Forum, Rovereto 12th-14th of March 2014. The full list of papers published within CoDyCo can be found here: <http://codyco.eu/publications-menu>.

### 2.8.2 Exploitation plan (T7.2)

The first year activities on T7.1 and T7.2 are all contained in "D7.1 Dissemination and exploitation plan" available here: <https://github.com/robotology-playground/codyco-deliverables/tree/master/D7.1/pdf>.

### 2.8.3 Management of IPR (T7.3)

No activities to be reported during the first year on this task in consideration of the fact that the task started at the very end of the first year. As a minor starting activity the consortium circulated a list containing each partner responsible contact person for the IPR management. This list is contained in "D7.1 Dissemination and exploitation plan" available here: <https://github.com/robotology-playground/codyco-deliverables/tree/master/D7.1/pdf>.

### 2.8.4 Dissemination of a database of human motion with contacts (T7.4)

During the first year of CoDyCo, IIT completed the task of setting up a database for storing both human and robot datasets. The details on the database are reported in "D7.2 Standard database with support materials" available here <https://github.com/robotology-playground/codyco-deliverables/tree/master/D7.2/pdf>.

### 2.8.5 Resources

Resources were used as follows.

	Planned PM for year 1	Actual PM	Comment
IIT	1	1	
TUD	?	?	
UPMC	?	?	
JSI	?	?	
UB	?	?	

## References

- [1] J. Salini, "Dynamic control for the task/posture coordination of humanoids: toward synthesis of complex activities," Ph.D. dissertation, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France, June 2012.
- [2] A. Del Prete, "Control of Contact Forces using Whole-Body Force and Tactile Sensors: Theory and Implementation on the iCub Humanoid Robot," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Genova, Genova, Italy, 2013.
- [3] T. Mergner, "Modeling sensorimotor control of human upright stance." *Progress in brain research*, vol. 165, pp. 283–97, Jan. 2007. [Online]. Available: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123\(06\)65018-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(06)65018-8) <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17925253>
- [4] C. Azevedo, B. Espiau, B. Amblard, and C. Assaiante, "Bipedal locomotion: toward unified concepts in robotics and neuroscience." *Biological cybernetics*, vol. 96, no. 2, pp. 209–28, Mar. 2007. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17139512>
- [5] B. E. Maki and W. E. McIlroy, "The role of limb movements in maintaining upright stance: the "change-in-support" strategy." *Physical therapy*, vol. 77, no. 5, pp. 488–507, May 1997.
- [6] H. Bateni and B. E. Maki, "Assistive devices for balance and mobility: benefits, demands, and adverse consequences." *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, vol. 86, no. 1, pp. 134–45, Jan. 2005. [Online]. Available: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0003999304004745> <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15641004>
- [7] B. E. Maki and W. E. McIlroy, "Control of rapid limb movements for balance recovery: age-related changes and implications for fall prevention." *Age and ageing*, vol. 35 Suppl 2, pp. ii12–ii18, Sep. 2006.
- [8] A. M. Wing, L. Johannsen, and S. Endo, "Light touch for balance: influence of a time-varying external driving signal." *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences*, vol. 366, no. 1581, pp. 3133–41, Nov. 2011. [Online]. Available: <http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/366/1581/3133.abstract>
- [9] D. Winter, "Human balance and posture control during standing and walking," *Gait & Posture*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 193–214, Dec. 1995. [Online]. Available: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0966-6362\(96\)82849-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0966-6362(96)82849-9) <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0966636296828499>

- [10] T. A. Sarraf, D. S. Marigold, and S. N. Robinovitch, "Maintaining standing balance by handrail grasping." *Gait & posture*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 258–64, Jan. 2014. [Online]. Available: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0966636213004414> <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23948334>
- [11] J. Babic, T. Petric, L. Peternel, and N. Sarabon, "Effects of supportive hand contact on reactive postural control during support perturbations," *Gait & Posture*, vol. submitted for publication, 2014.
- [12] F. B. Horak and L. M. Nashner, "Central programming of postural movements: adaptation to altered support-surface configurations." *Journal of neurophysiology*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 1369–81, Jun. 1986. [Online]. Available: <http://jn.physiology.org/content/55/6/1369.abstract?sid=a40bf16b-fff4-46a4-949c-f962dfe876d2> <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3734861>
- [13] S. M. Henry, J. Fung, and F. B. Horak, "EMG responses to maintain stance during multidirectional surface translations." *Journal of neurophysiology*, vol. 80, no. 4, pp. 1939–50, Oct. 1998. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9772251>
- [14] D. Dimitrova, F. B. Horak, and J. G. Nutt, "Postural muscle responses to multidirectional translations in patients with Parkinson's disease." *Journal of neurophysiology*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 489–501, Jan. 2004. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12944541>
- [15] W. E. McIlroy and B. E. Maki, "Early activation of arm muscles follows external perturbation of upright stance." *Neuroscience letters*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 177–80, Jan. 1995. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7715841>
- [16] P. Corbeil, B. R. Bloem, M. van Meel, and B. E. Maki, "Arm reactions evoked by the initial exposure to a small balance perturbation: a pilot study." *Gait & posture*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 300–3, Feb. 2013. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22925376>
- [17] N. Nawayseh and M. J. Griffin, "Effect of frequency, magnitude and direction of translational and rotational oscillation on the postural stability of standing people," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 298, no. 3, pp. 725–754, Dec. 2006. [Online]. Available: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022460X06004731>
- [18] "XDE." [Online]. Available: <http://www.kalisteo.fr/lsi/en/aucune/a-propos-de-xde>
- [19] "Gazebo." [Online]. Available: <http://gazebosim.org>
- [20] M. Liu, Y. Tan, and V. Padois, "Generalized Smooth Hierarchical Control," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics, under revision*, 2014.
- [21] A. Escande, N. Mansard, and P.-B. Wieber, "Hierarchical Quadratic Programming," LAAS, CNRS - France, Report 12794, Oct. 2012. [Online]. Available: <http://hal.inria.fr/hal-00751924>

- [22] R. Calandra, N. Gopalan, A. Seyfarth, J. Peters, and M. P. Deisenroth, "Bayesian gait optimization for bipedal locomotion," in *Proceedings of Learning and Intelligent OptimizatioN Conference (LION8)*, 2014.
- [23] R. Calandra, A. Seyfarth, J. Peters, and M. P. Deisenroth, "An experimental comparison of Bayesian optimization for bipedal locomotion," in *Proceedings of 2014 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2014.
- [24] A. Ibanez, P. Bidaud, and V. Padois, "Previewed impedance adaptation to coordinate upper-limb trajectory tracking and postural balance in disturbed conditions," in *Proceedings of the 16th CLAWAR International Conference*. Sydney, Australia: World Scientific, July 2013, pp. 519–528.
- [25] ———, "A distributed model predictive control approach for robust postural stability of a humanoid robot," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, Hong-Kong, China, June 2014.
- [26] ———, "Automatic optimal biped walking as a mixed-integer quadratic program," in *Proceedings of the 14th International Symposium on Advances in Robot Kinematics*, Ljubljana, Slovenia, July 2014.
- [27] I. Mordatch, E. Todorov, and Z. Popovic, "Discovery of complex behaviors through contact-invariant optimization," *ACM Trans. Graph.*, vol. 31, no. 4, 2012.
- [28] C. Ott, B. Henze, and D. Lee, "Kinesthetic teaching of humanoid motion based on whole-body compliance control with interaction-aware balancing," in *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 2013, pp. 4615–4621.
- [29] E. Berger, D. Vogt, N. Haji-Ghassemi, B. Jung, and H. Ben Amor, "Inferring guidance information in cooperative human-robot tasks," in *Humanoid Robots, 13th IEEE-RAS International Conference on*, Atlanta, USA, October 2013. [Online]. Available: <http://www.humanoids2013.com/>
- [30] E. M. Hoffman, S. Traversaro, A. Rocchi, M. Ferrati, A. Settimi, L. Natale, A. Bicchi, F. Nori, and N. Tsagarakis, "A YARP based plugin for gazebo simulator," *Modelling and Simulation for Autonomous Systems Workshop (MESAS 2014)*, March 2014.
- [31] S. Traversaro, A. Del Prete, R. Muradore, L. Natale, and F. Nori, "Inertial Parameter Identification Including Friction and Motor Dynamics," in *Humanoid Robots, 13th IEEE-RAS International Conference on*, Atlanta, USA, October 2013. [Online]. Available: <http://www.humanoids2013.com/>
- [32] S. Traversaro, A. D. Prete, S. Ivaldi, and F. Nori, "Avoiding to rely on inertial parameters in estimating joint torques with proximal f/t sensing," in *Submitted to IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS 2014)*, Chicago, Illinois, 2014.

- [33] C. Ciliberto, L. Fiorio, M. Maggiali, L. Natale, L. Rosasco, G. Metta, G. Sandini, and F. Nori, "Exploiting global force torque measurements for local compliance estimation in tactile arrays," in *Submitted to IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS 2014)*, Chicago, Illinois, 2014.
- [34] A. Paraschos, C. Daniel, J. Peters, and G. Neumann, "Probabilistic movement primitives," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press., 2013.
- [35] F. Stulp, G. Raiola, A. Hoarau, S. Ivaldi, and O. Sigaud, "Learning compact parameterized skills with expanded function approximators," in *Humanoid Robots, 13th IEEE-RAS International Conference on*, Atlanta, USA, October 2013.
- [36] A. Ijspeert, J. Nakanishi, P. Pastor, H. Hoffmann, and S. Schaal, "Dynamical movement primitives: Learning attractor models for motor behaviors," *Neural Computation*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 328–373, 2013.