LING 2010Q - Fall 2017

1 - Intro to Linguistics, or:

what exactly do you linguists do for a living?

Roberto Petrosino roberto.petrosino@uconn.edu

August 29, 2017

Contents

1	Is language innate?	2			
	1.1 A trio of abilities	3			
2	Grammars				
	2.1 Generative grammar	3			
	2.1.1 Activity 1: Systematic and accidental gaps	4			
	2.1.2 Activity 2: Wanna-contraction	4			
	2.1.3 Activity 3: Grammaticality judgments	6			
	2.2 Levels of linguistic analysis	6			
	2.3 Prescriptive vs descriptive grammars	8			
3	Can everyone be a linguist?	9			
	3.1 Science vs pseudo-science	9			
	3.2 Pseudo-linguistics	10			
	3.2.1 Activity 4: Talk shop	10			
4	Recap	11			
5	Selected references	11			

1

Roberto Petrosino LING2010Q 1: Intro to linguistics

1 Is language innate?

- (1) Behavioralists believe that language acquisition results from direct association between stimulus and response (Skinner 1957). As a consequence, acquiring a language means memorizing all possible sentences in a given language. However:
 - a. Our memory capacities would need to be powerful enough to do that? and we are yet far from understanding it wholly.
 - b. On top of that, consider the following sentences and complete accordingly, by using your intuition:

(i)	The man is tall.	\rightarrow	Is the man tall? $\[$
(ii)	The man kisses the girl.	\rightarrow	
(iii)	The man kissed the girl	\rightarrow	
(iv)	The man has kissed the girl.	\rightarrow	
(v)	The man who runs has kissed the girl.	\rightarrow	

What I am getting at? Assuming that language acquisition occurs through memorization is obviously wrong - what would (a-e) have been, if that assumption was correct?

Your answer:

- (2) Generativists believe that language must have (some degree of) innateness: we are factually born with specific abilities, which make us able to acquire our native language when we were kids. This occurs:
 - a. effortlessly children typically manage to master their native language by the age of 5. Strikingly, when they speak, they make very few errors.
 - b. in spite of the so-called poverty of stimulus: children just pick a language, although the input is typically:
 - noisy when we speak, we provide with a signal full of errors, interruptions, breaks
 - (ii) limited if we reckon the great amount of possibilities to mean the same thing with different words; on top of that, children do not seem to rely on negative evidence (i.e., direct teaching of what is grammatically wrong) at all.
- (3) The bullets above are also known as the logic problem of language acquisition, already recognized by Plato (V-IV BC).
- (4) The immediate consequence is that we must be endowed with a somewhat innate faculty of language, which allows children to manipulate the stimulus and acquire

a language from it.

1.1 A trio of abilities

(5) Displaced reference, the ability of talking about something afar from 'here and now'.

For example, I can tell you about my family in Italy (3,600+ miles away: *spatial distance*) or about my recent vacation in Germany (a couple of weeks ago: *temporal distance*); if I were an astronaut traveling the cosmos, I could have talked about my last trip to Kepler-186f (490 light years: *spatio-temporal distance*).

(6) Discreteness, the ability of using a fixed inventory of 'building blocks' which can be combined to form bigger units.



- (7) Recursion, the ability of applying whatever operation over and over:
 - a. [John is sad]
 - b. [Dorothy thinks that [John is sad]]
 - c. [Toto suspects that [Dorothy thinks that [John is sad]]]
 - d. [Lucy wonders whether [Toto suspects that [Dorothy thinks that [John is sad]]]]

...and so on

2 Grammars

2.1 Generative grammar

- (8) Open-endedness. We are able to make use a limited set of linguistic rules to mean the same thing in a potentially infinite number of ways.
- (9) Creativity. Speakers can therefore produce and understand any number of messages that have never been expressed before, also thanks to recursion.

3

- a. Colorless green ideas sleep furiously. (Chomsky, 1957)
- b. Pink elephants were dancing on TV when the astronaut called home to say that he got safely to Mars. (Roberto, 2017)
- c. I'm so hungry that I could devour you in one bite! (Sophia, ~3 yy.o.o., 2009)
- (10) Tacit knowledge. We all know how to speak our native languages without being taught — we just know it.

2.1.1 Activity 1: Systematic and accidental gaps

Look at the following pseudo-words; following your intuition, which of them are possible words in English? Check the corresponding box.

1. mbood	
2. frall	
3. coofp	
4. ktleem	
5. flube	
6. sglop	
7. blick	
8. bnick	
9. strick	

(11) Halle (1973):

Roberto Petrosino

- a. Unchecked words are examples of systematic gaps they do not exist in a language due to language-specific constraints (at the phonological, morphological, syntactic or semantic level).
- b. Checked words are accidental gaps they do not exist in some language, but which would be permitted by the grammatical rules of the language.

2.1.2 Activity 2: Wanna-contraction

Consider the following sentence:

(12) Nathan Petrelli is a politician I want to succeed.

Roberto Petrosino

LING2010Q

1: Intro to linguistics

This sentence has two meanings - describe them:

1.

2.

By comparison, consider sentence (13). What does it mean?

(13) Nathan Petrelli is a politician I wanna succeed.

Your answer:

Now consider some more sentence pairs similar to (12) and (13):

- (14) a. The student who cheated on the exam is the one I want to fail.
 - b. The student who cheated on the exam is the one I wanna fail.
- (15) a. Fedora Saura is a racehorse I want to win.
 - b. Fedora Saura is a racehorse I wanna win.
- (16) a. The Braeburn is a variety of apple I want to grow here.
 - b. The Braeburn is a variety of apple I wanna grow here.

Do the sentences in (14)a, (15)a, (16)a have one possible meaning, or two?

Your answer:

Do the sentences in (14)b, (15)b, (16)b have one possible meaning, or two?

Your answer:

Notice a pattern? If so, were you aware of this before?

Your answer:

5

Roberto Petrosino LING2010Q 1: Intro to linguistics

2.1.3 Activity 3: Grammaticality judgments

Which of the following sentences are possible English sentences? Check the corresponding box.

1. Colin made Jane a sandwich.	
2. John prepared Mary a cake.	
3. Cate cleaned the garden up.	
4. Cate cleaned up the garden.	

2.2 Levels of linguistic analysis

5. Cate cleaned up it.

- Phonology: patterns of speech sounds
 - Are the following possible word-beginnings in English? How about word-endings?

- (17) a. pl
 b. lp
 c. tl
 d. lt
 e. n
 f.
 g. 3
- How many consonants in a row can begin a word in English?
- Which are natural pronunciations of the word "ride" and "rider"?
- (18) а. лајd b. лајг c. лајdəл d. лајгэл
- Morphology: patterns within words
 - How many distinct meaningful pieces are inside of the word underwhelming?
 - What does the following large compound word mean?
 - (19) red wine soaked goat milk cheese

Roberto Petrosino LING2010Q 1: Intro to linguistics

- Which of the following are possible words in English? (Why or why not?)
- (20) a. drinkable
 - b. openable
 - c. chocolateable
 - d. prettyable
- (21) a. dance danced
 - b. open opened
 - c. freeze freezed
 - d. freeze froze
 - e. squeeze squoze
- Syntax: patterns within phrases and sentences
 - Which of the following are possible (neutral or non-neutral) sentences of English?
 - (22) a. Julia squashed the bug.
 - b. The bug squashed Julia.
 - c. Julia the bug squashed.
 - d. The bug Julia squashed.
 - e. Squashed Julia the bug.
 - f. Squashed the bug Julia.
 - In which of the following sentences can "Carol" and "she" refer to the same person? Why?
 - (23) a. Carol loves her.
 - b. Carol thinks that Michael loves her.
 - c. Carol thinks that she loves Michael.
 - d. She loves Carol.
 - e. She thinks that Michael loves Carol.x
 - f. She thinks that Carol loves Michael.
 - How does your hypothesis hold up to the following sentences?
 - (24) a. For her final act, Carol juggled five bowling pins.
 - b. The terrible picture of her shocked Carol.

7

Roberto Petrosino LING2010Q 1: Intro to linguistics

- Which of the following sentences are grammatical?
 - (25) a. I think Craig left.
- c. Who do you think left?
- b. I think that Craig left.
- d. Who you think that left?
- In the following sentence, which words are most closely related to each other?
 - 26) Julia mercilessly squashed the giant blue bug with her foot.
- Semantics: meaning computation
 - Are the following sentences true?
 - (27) a. The happy swimmer is happy.
 - b. The president is neither mortal nor immortal.
 - c. Wool comes from sheep.
 - d. Oliver has a surfer girlfriend.
 - e. Colorless green ideas sleep furiously.
 - f. In the White House, the walls have ears.
 - g. Twas brillig, and the slithy toves did gyre and gimble in the wabe.
 - h. Reggie loves to sleep.
 - If the first sentence is true, is the second necessarily true also?
 - (28) a. Ben saw a python with two heads.
 - b. There is a python with two heads.
 - (29) a. Ben didn't see a python with two heads.
 - b. There is a python with two heads.
 - 30) a. Ben saw the python with two heads.
 - b. There is a python with two heads.
 - (31) a. Ben didn't see the python with two heads.
 - b. There is a python with two heads.

2.3 Prescriptive vs descriptive grammars

(32) Prescriptive grammar is the set of rules governing how (group of) people think language should be used. In a prescriptive grammar there is right and wrong language. Some examples of prescriptive rules:

a

b.

c.

(33) Descriptive grammar is the set of rules governing what people do and can actually say. It describes how a language actually works. Some examples of descriptive rules:

a.

b.

c.

- (34) Generative linguists observe speakers' linguistic *performance*, in order to draw conclusions about their linguistic *competence*. Therefore, they are mainly interested in ().
- (35) The final aim of generativist linguists is to build up generative grammars:
 - a. specific enough to be able to account for all phenomena detectable in that language
 - b. powerful enough to be able to adopted for other languages as well.

We will see that this is tricky, and sometimes very challenging from both a theoretical and empirical point of view.

- (36) Some language myths.
 - a. There are 5 vowels in English.
 - b. Educated people speak more grammatically than uneducated people.
 - c. Reading and writing are an essential part of language.
 - d. Linguists speak lots of languages.
 - e. The languages of "primitive" societies are simpler than languages like English and French.
 - Animals and people can both use language.
 - g. Intelligence is a major factor in a child's ability to acquire a language.
 - h. As a language passes from one generation to another it gets corrupted.
 - i. Speakers of different languages think differently.
 - j. Linguists correct/criticize how people talk.

3 Can everyone be a linguist?

3.1 Science vs pseudo-science

- (37) engl. science < lat. scientĭa < lat. scio ʿI know'</p>
 Originally referred to any branch of human knowledge i.e., mathematics as well as philosophy or literature. Only starting from mid of XIX century, the term has gained the current meaning.
- (38) Practice of science always involves a rigorous method.

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \textbf{OBSERVATION} & & \textit{deduction} \\ \downarrow & & & \\ \hline \textbf{EXPERIMENTATION} \leftarrow & \textbf{HYPOTHESIS} \\ \downarrow & & & \\ \hline \textbf{TEST} & \textit{induction} \end{array}$

- (39) Scientific hypotheses should be explicit enough that they make precise predictions that are testable.
 - a. Confirmations are never definitive proof of a theory.
 - Unattended predicted observations may count towards falsification of a theory; however, apparent counterevidence may await a reanalysis.

3.2 Pseudo-linguistics

Roberto Petrosino

- (40) Language is a topic of endless fascination. As a consequence, any people seek to fascinate without bothering to find out if what they are saying about language is scientifically valid.
 - a. The author is not engaged with the community of linguistics scholars.
 - b. She conflates central concepts that are actually different.
 - c. She fails to engage with complex linguistic data.
- (41) Some examples:
 - a. Australian speech patterns determined by drunk forefathers.
 - b. Language change is predictable from humidity, altitude, etc.
- (42) Bigger, more dangerous problem: depiction of science in the media.

3.2.1 Activity 4: Talk shop

Read each of the following statements and discuss them. What is your sentiment about them? Remember that there is no correct answer.

- 1. The Sapir-Whorf hypothesis states that language and environment in which it is spoken are tightly connected, in such a way that they influence each other. There are two possible interpretations of such statement. A weak view argues that language has an effect on how we interpret the world; a stronger view holds that language defines and constrains our thoughts.
 - \rightarrow Languages where gender agreement is marked, may reflect socio-political supremacy of a gender class (e.g., men) over the other one (e.g., women).

- 2. Some dialects are inferior to others.
- 3. Esperanto is a constructed international auxiliary language (auxlang) invented in the late 1800s, with the aim of creating an easy-to-learn and politically neutral language that would transcend nationality and foster international cooperation.

4 Recap

- (43) Until 1950s, language acquisition has been traditionally considered to an ability merely resulting from the mere behavioral response to a specific external stimulus. Noam Chomsky argued against such assumption and pointed out:
 - a. child performance typically reaches its peak at age of 5, without active teaching being really effective (tacit knowledge) and without a transparent input to refer to (poverty of stimulus);
 - b. learning by memorization is empirically impossible, because we would need an
 incredible amount of memory to store all possible linguistic structures (openended creativity).
 - c. therefore, we must have an innate, universal set of rules for organizing language $Universal\ Grammar\ (UG).$
- (44) Human language complexity is mainly due to three cognitive abilities: displaced reference, discreteness, recursion.
- (45) As such, linguists are not interested in imposing rules, rather in describing and explaining structures *unconsciously* used by speakers; the term "unconsciously" refers to the fact that speakers do not explicitly know why, but they do know possible and impossible structures in a given language (grammaticality).
- (46) People interested in language should be wary of pseudo-linguistics. Linguistics, as all other sciences, must be pursued rigorously, in compliance with the Galilean scientific method.

5 Selected references

- Chomsky, N. (1959). A Review of B.F. Skinner's Verbal behavior. Language, 35(1), 26-58.
- Halle, M. (1973). Prolegomena to a Theory of Word Formation. Linguistic Inquiry, 4(1), 3-16.
- 3. Skinner, B. F. (1957). Verbal behavior. Copley Publishing Group.