A SWIFTLY TILTING PARSER

in memory of Madeleine L'Engle

https://github.com/robrix/A-Swiftly-Tilting-Parser rob.rix@github.com @ @rob_rix

PARSER COMBINATORS

(We'll use "parser" as a synonym)

- Executable LEGOs for parsing text
 - Each one is a tiny program
 - Some parse input directly
 - Some combine other parsers
- Together, they match specific patterns

KINDS of PARSERS

- Literal: match a specific character
- Alternation: match x or y
- Concatenation: match x and then y
- Repetition: match x zero or more times
- Reduction: match x & map with a function
- Null: match the empty string; hold parse trees
- Empty: never ever match

THE DERIVATIVE of PARSERS

- Might, Darais, & Spiewak's 2011 paper Parsing with Derivatives—a Functional Pearl
- Recognizes and parses context-free languages
 - Recognizing: "is my input valid?"
 - Parsing: "how is the input structured?"
- Validity and structure are defined by the grammar, which is made of parser combinators

OPERATIONS

- Parsing
- Derivative
- Nullability
- Parse forest
- Compaction

PARSING

- Go through the input character by character
- At each step, compute the derivative of the parser
- Compact it
- Use it for the next step
- Return the parsed input as a parse forest

parsing in Objective-C and Swift

DERIVATIVE

- Returns the parser that would match after the current one
- Stores matched input in parse trees
- On failure, returns the empty parser
- Different definition for each kind of parser

derivative in Objective-C and Swift

- Context-free languages & grammars are recursive
- NB: Not just the types: the object graph is cyclic!
- Key to why you can't parse arbitrary HTML with a regexp
- Regexps can be matched with a list, but contextfree languages need a stack
- Naïve implementations will infinite loop *

PROTECTING your PARSERS from NONTERMINATION

1. Laziness 😌

LAZINESS 65

- Alternations, concatenations, repetitions, & reductions use closures to delay evaluation
- Avoids nontermination when constructing the derivative
- Necessary to even construct cyclic grammars!

laziness in Objective-C and Swift

PROTECTING your PARSERS from NONTERMINATION

- 1. Laziness 😌
- 2. Memoization

MEMOIZATION **N**



- The first time you call a memoized function with a set of arguments, it stores the results
- Can store results in a dictionary, ivar, etc.
- Allows the derivative to "tie the knot" when building a cyclic grammar from a cyclic grammar

memoization in Objective-C and Swift

NULLABILITY

- "Is this grammar nullable?" = "Will it match an empty string?"
- Equivalent: "Can it match at the end of the input?"
- Equivalent: "Can it be skipped?"

nullability in Objective-C and Swift

NULLABILITY and NONTERMINATION **

- Nullability walks the grammar eagerly, defeating laziness
- Nullability computes pass/fail, not a structure; e.g.:

$$\delta(L) = \delta(L) \alpha \mid \epsilon$$

Can't finish & memoize before recurring, thus defeating memoization \(\bigsime\)

PROTECTING your PARSERS from NONTERMINATION

- 1. Laziness 😌
- 2. Memoization
- 3. Math Fixed points 🔨 🤘

MATH FIXED POINTS 1



- If f(x) = x, f is fixed at x; x^2 is fixed at 0 and 1
- If L is nullable, $\delta(L)$ is null, otherwise empty
- Any fixpoints of δ are likewise either null or empty
- Interpret $\delta(L) = \delta(L) \alpha \mid \epsilon$ as a fixpoint of δ
- Iterate $\delta^{n}(L)$ from $\delta^{0}(L) = \text{false until } \delta^{n}(L)$
 - = $\delta^{n-1}(L)$ (Kleene fixpoint theorem)

fixpoints in Objective-C and Swift

PARSE FOREST

- Construct and return any matched parse trees
- Apply reductions
 - This is how you construct your objects
- If > 1 parser matched the input, > 1 parse tree in the parse forest
 - This means there's ambiguity in the grammar

parse forest in Objective-C and Swift

WITHOUT COMPACTION

"The implementation is brief. The code is pure. The theory is elegant. So, how does this perform in practice?

In brief, it is awful."

- Derivative of concatenation doubles grammar size
- Worst case: $O(2^{2n}G^2)$: G = grammar size, n = inputlength *

COMPACTION

- Replace complex parsers with simpler equivalents
- Enables better performance
 - Worst case still terrible
 - Expected case (unambiguous grammars) is O(nG)
 - Quite reasonable in practice; no algorithm is fast under ambiguity

compaction in Objective-C and Swift

COMPACTION in the FUTURE

- Generally must compact after derivative, or else cyclic →
 - Can we avoid complex parsers altogether in some cases?
- Enables better features
 - Incremental results: 12 vs. 1...2...3...4...
 - (Good) error reporting?
 - Disambiguation? **

CHALLENGES in OBJC & SWIFT

- Understanding the paper is hard
- ObjC & Swift are reference counted
 - Cyclic grammars = refcycles (unless handled specially)
 - Potential solution: a refcycle-breaking combinator
- Pattern matching cyclic grammars is tricky

CHALLENGES UNIQUE to OBJC

- Language/algorithm impedance mismatch
- Verbose; dense; splits functions across many files
- Pattern matching cyclic grammars is really tricky
 - The language doesn't have pattern matching for
 - Implemented pattern matching for parsers using parsers
- Nontermination is much harder to solve, e.g. isEqual: for equal cyclic grammars

CHALLENGES UNIQUE to SWIFT

- Beta (& evolving!) compiler & IDE
 - No codegen yet for some features
 - Crash-happy (as of Xcode 6b2)
 - Bad error reporting (ProTip™: extract nested expressions into constants to isolate issues)
- Some language design/prioritization choices need workarounds
 - Making it up as I go

BENEFITS of SWIFT vs. OBJC

- Much better tool:job match
 - enum is a better fit than classes for parsers de
 - Pattern matching
 - Operator overloading for constructing parsers **
- Stronger typing \rightarrow safer, better program \checkmark
- I solve my problems more; incidental ones less 🙌
- Enables me to make mistakes faster, & with greater confidence M

BENEFITS of OBJC vs. SWIFT

- ObjC is stable
- clang is stable
- Familiarity
- Unlikely to break my code on the day of the talk

SUBTLETIES: OBJC > SWIFT...?

- It was initially hard describing parse trees' type in Swift
 - ObjC: sets, pairs, input, & AST are all id
 - However, easy ≠ good: **
- Can use macros & dynamic proxies in ObjC
 - No real equivalents in Swift
 - Had to use macros & dynamic proxies in ObjC

SUBTLETIES: SWIFT > OBJC...?

- Much more readable with enum/pattern matching
 - Wasn't sure this approach would work 1w ago @
 - If not, same solution as ObjC, with beta tools w
- - Potentially masks refcycles
 - Hard to break cycles automatically or manually

SWIFT

- Objective-C is the wrong tool for the job
- Much more sound theoretically
 - Inheritance is holding us back
 - Better type system → more flexibility, less effort
- Much more sound practically
 - Safer & more productive
 - Types enable better optimizations → fast!

¿Q&A!

THANK YOU!

David Darais, Matt Might, Kelly Rix, David Smith, Daniel Spiewak, the Swift team, & especially you

https://github.com/robrix/A-Swiftly-Tilting-Parser rob.rix@github.com @ @rob_rix