

# Artificial Neural Networks - Deep Learning

## 2- Perceptron and Neural Networks

Unat Teksen

## 1 Introduction to Deep Learning and AI

### 1.1 Relationships Among Fields

Deep Learning is often misunderstood in its relation to other fields. The hierarchy is strictly defined as:

- **Artificial Intelligence (AI):** The broad umbrella term for intelligence in machines.
- **Machine Learning (ML):** A subset of AI (systems that learn from data rather than being explicitly programmed).
- **Deep Learning (DL):** A subset of ML (models with multiple layers, specifically neural networks).

**Timeline:** AI excitement started earlier (1950s), ML flourished later, and the "DL boom" is a more recent phenomenon driven by hardware and data.

**Important Statement:** "Neural Networks are as old as Artificial Intelligence." Neural nets are not "new"; what is new is the combination of compute power (GPUs), massive data, and training tricks that enable modern Deep Learning.

- **Main takeaway:** Do not equate DL with AI.  $DL \subset ML \subset AI$ . The recent popularity is due to data availability, better hardware, and optimization techniques.

### 1.2 Historical Context and Motivation

- **Inception (1950s):** AI began with the Dartmouth proposal, aiming to create machine intelligence.
- **Two Approaches:** Early AI split into *Symbolic* (logic/rules) and *Connectionist* (neurons). This course focuses on the connectionist line.
- **Limitations of 1940s Computing:** Von Neumann machines were fast at arithmetic and following instructions but were rigid and sequential.
- **Motivation for Neural Networks:** Researchers needed systems capable of:
  - **Robustness:** Handling noisy, messy real-world data.
  - **Parallelism:** Being massively parallel and fault-tolerant (unlike fragile single pipelines).
  - **Adaptability:** Learning and changing behavior based on circumstances.

- **Main takeaway:** Neural networks were developed to overcome the limitations of sequential Von Neumann architecture by offering robustness, parallelism, and learning capabilities.

## 2 The Biological Inspiration and Artificial Neurons

### 2.1 The Brain Computational Model

The Perceptron is introduced as a computational model inspired by the brain's hardware. The brain's scale is massive:

- $\sim 10^{11}$  neurons (100 billion).
- $\sim 7,000$  synaptic connections per neuron.
- Total synapses:  $10^{14}$  to  $5 \times 10^{14}$  in adults (up to  $10^{15}$  in children).

**Key Properties:** Distributed among simple non-linear units, redundant (fault-tolerant), and intrinsically parallel.

- **Main takeaway:** "Distributed + non-linear + parallel + redundant" are the classic features used to justify the neural network approach.

### 2.2 Computation: Biological vs. Artificial

**Biological Neuron:** Dendrites receive signals. The neuron integrates them; if the internal potential passes a threshold, it "fires" through the Axon to other neurons via synapses.

**Artificial Neuron Model:**

- **Inputs:** Dendrites collect charges (inputs  $x_1, \dots, x_I$ ) from synapses (weights), which can be inhibitory or excitatory.
- **Integration:** A cumulative charge is calculated (weighted sum).
- **Firing:** The neuron outputs a value once a threshold is passed.

#### 2.2.1 Mathematical Formulation

The computation involves a weighted sum over inputs followed by an activation function  $h_j(\cdot)$ . The threshold is typically handled via the **Bias Trick**.

The bias  $b$  is represented as  $b = -w_0$ , allowing us to include it as an extra weight on a constant input  $x_0 = 1$ .

$$h_j(x; w, b) = h_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^I w_i x_i - b \right) = h_j \left( \sum_{i=0}^I w_i x_i \right) = h_j(w^T x) \quad (1)$$

**Vector Form:**

- Inputs:  $[1, x_1, \dots, x_I]$
- Weights:  $[w_0, w_1, \dots, w_I]$
- Output:  $h_j(w^T x)$
- **Main takeaway:** Be comfortable rewriting "threshold  $b$ " as "bias weight  $w_0$ " with  $x_0 = 1$ . Be able to express the neuron in compact matrix/vector form.

## 3 The Perceptron

### 3.1 Historical Milestones

- **1943 (McCulloch Pitts):** Threshold Logic Unit (activation = threshold function/Heaviside step).
- **1957 (Frank Rosenblatt):** First Perceptron hardware (weights in potentiometers, updates via electric motors).
- **1960 (Bernard Widrow):** Represented threshold as a bias term in ADALINE (Adaptive Linear Neuron).

### 3.2 Capabilities: Boolean Operators

A perceptron can implement logic gates like OR and AND because they are linearly separable.

#### Perceptron as OR:

- Weights:  $w_2 = 1, w_1 = 1, w_0 = -0.5$ .
- Rule: Output 1 if  $-0.5 + x_1 + x_2 > 0$ , else 0.

#### Perceptron as AND:

- Weights:  $w_2 = 1, w_1 = 1, w_0 = -1.5$  (or similar scaling like  $w_0 = -2, w_1 = 1.5$ ).
- Rule: Output 1 if bias + sum  $\geq 0$ .
- **Main takeaway:** The value is not just that OR/AND exist, but that a **single trainable hardware unit** can learn different operators simply by adjusting weights.

### 3.3 Hebbian Learning

*"The strength of a synapse increases according to the simultaneous activation of the relative input and the desired target" (Hebb, 1949).*

#### Update Rule:

$$w_i^{k+1} = w_i^k + \Delta w_i^k \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta w_i^k = \eta x_i^k t^k \quad (3)$$

Where:

- $\eta$ : Learning rate.
- $x_i^k$ :  $i$ -th input at time  $k$ .
- $t^k$ : Desired output/target at time  $k$ .

The weights are fixed one sample at a time (online) and only updated if the sample is not correctly predicted.

### 3.4 Convergence and Decision Boundary

The Perceptron computes a weighted sum and returns its Sign (Thresholding). It is a **linear classifier** where the decision boundary is the hyperplane:

$$w_0 + w_1x_1 + \dots + w_Ix_I = 0 \quad (4)$$

In 2D, this represents a line. Changing  $w_0$  translates the line.

#### Convergence Properties:

- The procedure converges **if and only if** the data is linearly separable (Perceptron Convergence Theorem).
- The final weights are not unique (they depend on initialization and sample order).

### 3.5 Limitations: The XOR Problem

What if the dataset does not have a linear separation boundary?

- The Perceptron fails.
- **Example:** XOR (Exclusive OR) with inputs  $\{-1, +1\}$ . Points  $(-1, -1)$  and  $(1, 1)$  are one class, while  $(-1, 1)$  and  $(1, -1)$  are another. No single straight line can separate them.

This limitation (highlighted by Minsky Papert, 1969) necessitates **Multi-Layer Perceptrons (MLP)** to create non-linear boundaries. Hebbian learning is insufficient for hidden layers because hidden neurons do not have direct target labels.

## 4 Feed Forward Neural Networks

### 4.1 Architecture

To solve non-linear problems, we arrange neurons in layers:

- **Input Layer:**  $I$  inputs (+ bias).
- **Hidden Layers:** Multiple layers ( $J_1, J_2, \dots$  neurons).
- **Output Layer:**  $K$  neurons.

Layers are connected through weights  $W^{(l)} = w_{ji}^{(l)}$  (weight from neuron  $i$  in layer  $l - 1$  to neuron  $j$  in layer  $l$ ). The property is **Feed-Forward**: output depends only on previous layers.

$$h^{(l)} = h_j^{(l)}(h^{(l-1)}, W^{(l)}) \quad (5)$$

Activation functions must be differentiable to allow training via Backpropagation.

### 4.2 Activation Functions

- **Linear:**  $g(a) = a$ . Derivative  $g'(a) = 1$ . Stacking linear layers collapses the network to a single linear model.
- **Sigmoid:**  $g(a) = \frac{1}{1+\exp(-a)}$ . Output in  $(0, 1)$ . Derivative:  $g'(a) = g(a)(1 - g(a))$ .
- **Tanh:**  $g(a) = \frac{\exp(a) - \exp(-a)}{\exp(a) + \exp(-a)}$ . Output in  $(-1, 1)$ . Derivative:  $g'(a) = 1 - g(a)^2$ .

### 4.3 Output Layer Selection

The choice of output activation depends on the task:

- **Regression:** Linear activation (Output  $\in \mathbb{R}$ ).
- **Binary Classification:**
  - Labels  $\{-1, +1\}$ : Tanh.
  - Labels  $\{0, 1\}$ : Sigmoid (interpreted as posterior probability).
- **Multi-class Classification:** Softmax with  $K$  output neurons (One-hot encoding).

$$y_k = \frac{\exp(z_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \exp(z_k)} \quad (6)$$

### 4.4 Universal Approximation Theorem

A single hidden-layer feedforward net with S-shaped activations can approximate any measurable function on a compact set to any desired accuracy (Hornik, 1991).

- **Main takeaway:** This guarantees representation power, but not learnability. It might require an exponential number of hidden units or fail to generalize.

## 5 Optimization and Learning

### 5.1 Supervised Learning Framework

We aim to learn parameters  $w$  such that for a dataset  $D = \langle x_n, t_n \rangle$ , the model output  $g(x_n, w)$  approximates  $t_n$ . We minimize the Sum of Squared Errors (SSE):

$$E = \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - g(x_n, w))^2 \quad (7)$$

For linear models, this is convex. For Neural Networks, this is a **non-linear optimization** problem due to activation functions.

### 5.2 Gradient Descent

Since closed-form solutions are practically never available for NNs, we use iterative Gradient Descent.

$$w^{k+1} = w^k - \eta \frac{\partial E(w)}{\partial w} \Big|_{w^k} \quad (8)$$

**Momentum:** Adds "inertia" to avoid oscillation and escape shallow local minima.

$$w^{k+1} = w^k - \eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w} - \alpha \frac{\partial E}{\partial w} \Big|_{w^{k-1}} \quad (9)$$

## 5.3 Variations of Gradient Descent

1. **Batch GD:** Uses all  $N$  data points. Precise but computationally expensive.

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial E(x_n, w)}{\partial w} \quad (10)$$

2. **Stochastic GD (SGD):** Uses a single sample. Unbiased but high variance.
3. **Mini-batch GD:** Uses a subset  $M < N$ . Good trade-off between variance and computation.

## 6 Backpropagation

Manually deriving gradients for every weight in a deep network is impractical ("Can I make it automatic?"). Backpropagation provides a systematic way to compute gradients.

### 6.1 The Chain Rule

Neural networks are compositions of functions. For  $y = g(x)$  and  $z = f(y)$ , the derivative is:

$$\frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{dz}{dy} \frac{dy}{dx} = f'(y)g'(x) \quad (11)$$

Backpropagation applies this recursively. Weights update can be done in parallel, locally, and requires just two passes:

- **Forward Pass:** Compute activations  $h_j$  and outputs  $g$ .
- **Backward Pass:** Propagate gradients  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial w}$  from output to input.

### 6.2 Derivative Example

For a weight  $w_{ji}^{(1)}$  (hidden layer), the gradient involves the error term, output derivative, downstream weights, hidden derivative, and input:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}^{(1)}} \propto \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - y_n) \cdot g'(\cdot) \cdot w_{kj}^{(2)} \cdot h'_j(\cdot) \cdot x_{i,n} \quad (12)$$

**Main takeaway:** Recognize the Backprop pattern: Error  $\times$  Derivative  $\times$  Weight  $\times$  Derivative  $\times$  Input.

## 7 Statistical Learning Perspective

### 7.1 Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

MLE chooses parameters that maximize the probability of the observed data. **The Recipe:**

1. Define likelihood  $L = P(\text{Data}|\theta)$ .
2. Take Log-likelihood  $l = \log P(\text{Data}|\theta)$  (turns products to sums, easier to derive).
3. Compute derivatives and solve  $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta} = 0$ .

## 7.2 Gaussian Likelihood and SSE

Consider regression where targets  $t_n$  have Gaussian noise:

$$t_n \sim \mathcal{N}(g(x_n|w), \sigma^2) \quad (13)$$

The likelihood function is:

$$L(w) = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(t_n - g(x_n|w))^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad (14)$$

Taking the log-likelihood:

$$l(w) \propto -\sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - g(x_n|w))^2 \quad (15)$$

- **Main takeaway:** Maximizing the Likelihood (MLE) under a Gaussian noise assumption is mathematically equivalent to **minimizing the Sum of Squared Errors (SSE)**. This justifies why SSE is the natural loss function for regression.

## 8 Exam Cheat Sheet and Practice Questions

### 8.1 Exam Cheat Sheet

#### 8.1.1 Core Hierarchy and History

- **Hierarchy:**  $\text{AI} \supset \text{ML} \supset \text{Deep Learning}$  (DL is a subset of ML, which is a subset of AI).

#### 8.1.2 Artificial Neuron / Perceptron

- Computes a weighted sum + activation:

$$a = w^T x, \quad y = h(a) \quad (16)$$

- **Bias Trick:** Include  $x_0 = 1$  and weight  $w_0 = -b$ , so:

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^I w_i x_i \quad (17)$$

- **Decision Boundary:**  $w^T x + w_0 = 0$ . In 2D:

$$x_2 = -\frac{w_0}{w_2} - \frac{w_1}{w_2} x_1 \quad (18)$$

- **capabilities:** OR and AND are linearly separable (Perceptron works). XOR is **not** linearly separable (Single Perceptron fails).

#### 8.1.3 Multi-layer Perceptron (Feed Forward NN)

- Layers with weights  $W^{(l)}$ ; output depends only on previous layers.
- Hidden layers + non-linear activations allow modeling non-linear boundaries (fixes XOR).

### 8.1.4 Activations and Derivatives (Must Know)

- **Linear:**  $g(a) = a \implies g'(a) = 1$ .
- **Sigmoid:**  $g(a) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} \implies g'(a) = g(a)(1 - g(a))$ .
- **Tanh:** Output in  $(-1, 1) \implies g'(a) = 1 - g(a)^2$ .

### 8.1.5 Output Layer & Loss Choice

- **Regression:** Linear output  $\rightarrow$  Minimize SSE (Assumption: Gaussian Noise).

$$E = \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - g(x_n, w))^2 \quad (19)$$

- **Binary Classification:**
  - Labels  $\{0, 1\} \rightarrow$  Sigmoid  $\rightarrow$  Minimize Binary Cross-Entropy (Assumption: Bernoulli).
  - Labels  $\{-1, +1\} \rightarrow$  Tanh.
- **Multi-class:** Softmax + One-hot encoding.

### 8.1.6 Learning and Optimization

- **Gradient Descent Update:**  $w^{k+1} = w^k - \eta \nabla_w E$ .
- **Batch vs. SGD vs. Mini-batch:**
  - **Batch:** Full dataset per update (Slow).
  - **SGD:** 1 sample per update (Noisy/High Variance).
  - **Mini-batch:** Best tradeoff (Used in practice).
- **Backpropagation:** Application of the chain rule in 2 passes (Forward to compute activations, Backward to propagate gradients).

### 8.1.7 Perceptron Update Rule

For a misclassified point  $(x_i, t_i)$ :

$$w \leftarrow w + \eta t_i x_i, \quad w_0 \leftarrow w_0 + \eta t_i \quad (20)$$

## 8.2 Practice Questions

1. **Bias Trick:** Show how a threshold  $b$  is absorbed into weights.  
*Answer:* Write  $a = \sum_{i=1}^I w_i x_i - b$ . Let  $x_0 = 1$  and  $w_0 = -b$ . Then  $a = \sum_{i=0}^I w_i x_i = w^T x$ .
2. **Decision Boundary:** A perceptron has  $w_0 = -2, w_1 = 1.5, w_2 = 1$ . Write the boundary line  $x_2$  as a function of  $x_1$ .  
*Answer:*  $w_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 = 0 \implies -2 + 1.5x_1 + x_2 = 0 \implies x_2 = 2 - 1.5x_1$ .



3. **OR Perceptron Check:** Using  $w_0 = -0.5, w_1 = 1, w_2 = 1$  (inputs 0/1), check outputs.

*Answer:*  $a = -0.5 + x_1 + x_2$ .

- (0,0):  $a = -0.5 \rightarrow 0$
- (1,0):  $a = 0.5 \rightarrow 1$
- (0,1):  $a = 0.5 \rightarrow 1$
- (1,1):  $a = 1.5 \rightarrow 1$

4. **XOR Impossibility:** Why can't a single perceptron solve XOR?

*Answer:* XOR labels opposite corners with the same class. No single line/hyperplane can separate them (not linearly separable).

5. **Derivatives:** Give  $g'(a)$  for Sigmoid and Tanh.

*Answer:* Sigmoid:  $g'(a) = g(a)(1 - g(a))$ . Tanh:  $g'(a) = 1 - g(a)^2$ .

6. **Output Activation Choice:** What to use for (i) Regression, (ii) Binary  $\{0, 1\}$ , (iii) K-class?

*Answer:* (i) Linear, (ii) Sigmoid, (iii) Softmax.

7. **MLE to SSE:** Under Gaussian noise  $t \sim \mathcal{N}(g(x|w), \sigma^2)$ , what loss maximizes likelihood?

*Answer:* Minimize SSE  $\sum (t_n - g(x_n|w))^2$ .

8. **MLE to Cross-Entropy:** Under Bernoulli assumption, what is the loss?

*Answer:* Negative Log-Likelihood:

$$E = - \sum_n [t_n \log g(x_n) + (1 - t_n) \log(1 - g(x_n))]$$

9. **Gradient Variations:** Which has the highest variance? Which is used in practice?

*Answer:* Highest variance: SGD (1 sample). Used in practice: Mini-batch.

10. **Perceptron Update:** Update rule for misclassified  $(x, t)$  where  $t \in \{-1, +1\}$ .

*Answer:*  $w \leftarrow w + \eta tx$ .