

# Coastal and offshore co-occurrences of marine heatwaves and cold-spells

Robert W. Schlegel<sup>a</sup>, Eric C. J. Oliver<sup>b,c</sup>, Thomas Wernberg<sup>d</sup>, Albertus J. Smit<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Biodiversity and Conservation Biology, University of the Western Cape, Private Bag X17, Bellville 7535, South Africa*

<sup>b</sup>*ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science, Australia*

<sup>c</sup>*Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia*

<sup>d</sup>*UWA Oceans Institute and School of Plant Biology, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, 6009 Western Australia, Australia*

---

## Abstract

Changing climate places the shallow water ecosystems globally at more risk than those in the open ocean as their temperatures may change more rapidly and dramatically. To this end, it is necessary to identify the occurrence of extreme ocean temperature events — marine heatwaves (MHWs) and marine cold-spells (MCSs) — in the nearshore environment as they can be ecologically damaging. Whereas only three world regions have thus far been characterised in terms of the metrics of MHWs that have occurred there, equivalent investigations into MCSs have yet to be done. For neither events have the physical phenomena contributing to their development been well studied. A recently developed framework that defines these extreme events in a novel way was applied to ocean temperature time series from i) a nearshore *in situ* dataset and ii)  $\frac{1}{4}^\circ$  NOAA Optimally Interpolated sea surface temperatures. Regional drivers due to nearshore influences (local-scale) and the forcing of two offshore ocean currents (broad-scale) were investigated on MHWs and MCSs. The extreme events detected in these two datasets were used to infer the impact offshore temperatures may be having on the nearshore thermal regime in time and space, and *vice versa*. We show that MHWs and MCSs occur at least once a year on average but that rates of co-occurrence of events between the broad- and local scale are low (0.20-0.50), with MHWs having greater rates of co-occurrence than MCSs. Significant differences in the duration and intensity of events between different coastal sections may be attributed to the effects of the interaction of oceanographic processes offshore, as well as with local features of the coast. The regional decadal trends in MHWs and MCSs in the offshore dataset show that MHWs are increasing while MCSs are decreasing. This study represents an important first step in the analysis of the dynamics of extreme events in nearshore environments, and their relationship with broad-scale influences. The low co-occurrence rates between the nearshore and offshore datasets show that the mesoscale ocean temperatures are likely not the main driver affecting extreme nearshore events.

**Keywords:** extreme events, co-occurrence, remotely-sensed SST, *in situ* data, climate change, coastal

---

## 1. Introduction

Over the past three decades, anthropogenically mediated warming has negatively affected marine and terrestrial ecosystems with far reaching consequences for humanity and natural ecological functioning. Although climate change is generally understood as a gradual long-term rise in global mean surface

---

\*Corresponding author

Pre-proof submitted to *Environmental Modelling* (Albertus J. Smit)

5 temperature (Pachauri et al., 2014), which will continue for decades or centuries, it is generally the associated increase in frequency and severity of extreme events that affects humans and ecosystems in the short-term (Easterling et al., 2000). Impacts of extreme events such as floods, wind storms, tropical cyclones, heatwaves and cold-spells are often sudden with catastrophic consequences. The recognition to focus more on the extremes and less on the background mean state has emerged as a critical direction of climate change research (Jentsch et al., 2007).  
10

‘Heatwaves’ usually refer to atmospheric phenomena where vague definitions such as “a period of abnormally and uncomfortably hot... weather” are invoked (Glickman, 2000), but there are also precise definitions based on statistical properties and other metrics of the temperature record that are relative to location and time of year (e.g. Meehl, 2004; Alexander et al., 2006; Fischer and Schär, 2010; Fischer et al., 2011; Perkins and Alexander, 2013). Recent years have seen investigations of heatwaves in the ocean due to them becoming more frequent (e.g. Mackenzie and Schiedek, 2007; Selig et al., 2010; Sura, 2011; Lima and Wetthey, 2012; DeCastro et al., 2014). Well-known marine heat waves (MHWs) have occurred in the Mediterranean in 2003 (Black et al., 2004; Olita et al., 2007; Garrabou et al., 2009), off the coast of Western Australia in 2011 (Feng et al., 2013; Pearce and Feng, 2013; Wernberg et al., 2013), in the north west Atlantic Ocean in 2012 (Mills et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014, 2015) and most recently the “Blob” in the north east Pacific Ocean (Bond et al., 2015). The extreme temperatures from these events have had negative impacts on the local ecology for the regions in which they occur. For example, the 2003 Mediterranean heatwave may have affected up to 80% of the gorgonian fan colonies in some areas (Garrabou et al., 2009), and the 2011 event off the west coast of Australia is now known to have caused substantial loss of temperate seaweeds and a tropicalisation of reef fishes (Wernberg et al., 2013).  
25

Although the consequences of these anomalously warm events have been widely publicised, the events themselves have until recently not been objectively characterised. In part, this has been due to the lack of a consistent definition and metrics. In response to this need, Hobday et al. (2016) developed a definition of a MHW as “a prolonged discrete anomalously warm water event that can be described by its duration, intensity, rate of evolution, and spatial extent,” and in doing so have derived statistical metrics that quantify these properties. For example, the count of MHWs within a time series and their maximum and cumulative intensity are quantifiable parameters that can be calculated in a consistent manner irrespective of geographical location. The focus of this paper is on marine thermal events that are extreme with respect to the seasonal climatology as per the Hobday et al. (2016) definition. They may be anomalously warm events, or anomalously cold (marine cold-spells, MCSs; introduced here). While MHWs are becoming reasonably well known by virtue of their increasing count and intensity, MCSs have received less recognition. Whereas extreme hot events may be demonstrably damaging to organisms and ecosystems, extreme cold events also have the potential to negatively impact organisms and ecosystems (Lirman et al., 2011). In both cases their drivers and dynamics, particularly in the coastal zone, remain poorly understood.  
35  
40

MCSs are projected to become less frequent under future climatic scenarios, but there are also

examples of them becoming more frequent in some localities (e.g. Gershunov and Douville, 2008; Matthes et al., 2015). They are frequently lethal (Woodward, 1987) and are known to have caused mass fish (Gunter, 1941, 1951; Holt and Holt, 1983) and invertebrate (Gunter, 1951; Crisp, 1964) kills, the death of juvenile and sub-adult manatees (O’Shea et al., 1985; Marsh et al., 1986) and even coral bleaching (Lirman et al., 2011). Cold temperatures are very important in setting species population distribution limits, particularly limiting their range north- or southwards towards high latitudes (Firth et al., 2011), and the timing of the onset of the growing season (Jentsch et al., 2007). It is easy to postulate how population-level consequences might aggregate to drive whole ecosystem responses (e.g. Kreyling et al., 2008; Rehage et al., 2016). Indeed, the range contractions of ecosystem engineer species such as mussels have been shown to relate to MCSs (Firth et al., 2011, 2015).

Although we understand that the SST of the upper mixed layer is influenced by oceanic and atmospheric processes (see Equation 1 of Deser et al., 2010), there is by no means a good understanding of how these processes are modulated by local- *vs.* broad-scale influences, thus resulting in coastal MHWs and MCSs. Such studies have not yet been undertaken to the best of our knowledge. Some of the MCSs known to have impacted populations were caused by cold-spells affecting the intertidal and coastal biota locally (Gunter, 1941; Firth et al., 2011). We hypothesise that these localised events are manifestations of extreme atmospheric cold weather phenomena situated over the coast resulting in rapid heat loss from coastal waters. On the other hand, we think that broader-scale teleconnections may also affect the thermal properties and dynamics of coastal systems. For example, large-scale atmospheric-oceanographic coupling is being affected by global warming, which is projected to cause the intensification of upwelling favourable winds and consequently the intensification and increasing count of upwelling events (see García-Reyes et al., 2015, for a review of this and alternative hypotheses). It is therefore possible that the development of *some* coastal MCSs may be attributed to an intensification of upwelling. MHWs at any scale likely originate directly from atmosphere-ocean heat transfer as in the Mediterranean Sea (e.g. Garrabou et al., 2009) or from advection, i.e., the transport of warm water due to currents such as what happened off Western Australia in 2011 (Feng et al., 2013; Benthuisen et al., 2014), the NW Atlantic in 2012 (Mills et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014, 2015) and potentially off SE Australia in 2016. In a world that is warming, their intensity and frequency are increasing over time relative to a long-term climatology. Since MHWs and MCSs are both able to effect ecosystem change, a mechanistic understanding of their drivers will be invaluable. To this end our study serves as a constructive first step to understand the prevalence of anomalous thermal events with respect to forcing mechanisms at different scales.

Hobday et al. (2016) applied their MHW framework to  $\frac{1}{4}^\circ$  NOAA optimally interpolated sea surface temperature data (hereafter referred to as OISST; Reynolds et al., 2007), but warned users to be cognisant that different data sets would provide different kinds of information pertaining to heatwaves. Our study applied this MHW (MCS) definition to datasets of coastal *in situ* (local-scale) and offshore gridded OISST (broad-scale) temperature time series collected at different locations along coastlines influenced by contrasting ocean currents — the Benguela Current, an eastern boundary


Here I think you should make the link to upwelling and then go on to say upwelling is likely to intensify in some locations as they are interconnected with boradscale circulation patterns affected by climate change - cf papers on boundary currents intensifying.-TW This is covered in the next paragraph so I didn't add anything here. -RWS

upwelling system, and the Agulhas Current — and locally modified by regional aspects of the coastal bathymetry and geomorphology and other smaller scale coastal features. Having assessed these systems within a framework that coupled local- and broad-scale features permitted us to assess how MHWs (MCSs) developed in coastal regions. Specifically, we aimed to assess the significance of MHWs (MCSs) within the context of the datasets' inherent differences, and examine the various dynamical properties that then emerged out of the regional oceanographic context and out of the local-scale modifications of the regional ocean features as they approached the coast. In doing so, the aim was to provide some insights into possible mechanisms that determine the nature and origin of MHWs (MCSs) within regionally distinct ocean/coastal sections. Our predictions were that i) local coastal MHW events were coupled with offshore broad-scale thermal patterns; ii) MCSs originated at the local scale in the coastal *in situ* dataset as isolated incidents decoupled from broader-scale patterns; iii) different coastal sections, each variously influenced by interactions between local- and broad-scale processes, display different dynamics (timing, count, duration and intensity) of MHWs and MCSs; and iv) the count of warm (cold) events would increase (decrease) with time under a regime of climate change. The possibility of atmospheric forcing on coastal events was considered a very likely possibility but it was not assessed within the scope of this study.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Study region

The variety of oceanographic features around the *ca.* 2,700 km long South African coast provides a natural testing bed for the potential effects of different ocean forcing mechanisms on the occurrence of MHWs and MCSs. Annual mean ( $\pm$  standard deviation; SD) coastal seawater temperatures range from  $12.3 \pm 1.2^\circ\text{C}$  at the western limit near the Namibian border (Site 1) to  $24.4 \pm 2.0^\circ\text{C}$  in the east near the Mozambican border (Site 21). Our study sites covered this full range (Figure 1). We classified the coast into three regions based on their major oceanographic features, their temperature characteristics, and aspects of the underlying continental shelf. The west coast region is dominated by the Benguela Current, which forms an Eastern Boundary Upwelling System (EBUS) (Hutchings et al., 2009). Seasonal upwelling is maintained by prevailing south-easterly trade winds. Evenly low temperatures are especially noticeable at upwelling cells over a relatively narrow continental shelf in the region northwards of the Cape Peninsula to Cape Columbine. The west coast represents a cool temperate regime, with the range of monthly mean temperatures at most sections intermediate between cold temperate and warm temperate (Lünning et al., 1990). The warm temperate east coast region is strongly influenced by the warm south-westerly flowing Agulhas Current, which hugs tightly along the narrow continental shelf (except for the Natal Bight) (Lünning et al., 1990). This stretch of coast is spatially homogeneous with respect to temperature and characterised by a moderate amount of seasonal variation. Although the Agulhas Current retroflects back into the southern Indian Ocean (Hutchings et al., 2009) just south of the much wider and cooler Agulhas Bank (Roberts, 2005), its influence regularly extends as far west as False Bay (Sites 5–21; Figure 1). Laying between the west and east coasts, the south



**Figure 1:** Map of southern Africa showing the bathymetry (only the 250m isobath is labelled), the location of the *in situ* temperature time series shown with circles and approximations of the pixels used along the shore-normal transects from the daily  $\frac{1}{4}^\circ$  NOAA OISST (Reynolds et al., 2007) shown with black boxes. The JPL G1SST 1 km blended SST field shows the state of the ocean on 2016-02-14; this image was selected as it clearly shows the full range of ocean processes around southern Africa. The Agulhas Current along the east coast of the country is visualized here in a yellowish colour as a jet of relatively warmer water projecting in a south-westerly direction, and hugging the continental shelf. The blueish patches north of the Cape Peninsula represent upwelled water. Some upwelled water may also be present around Sites 14 (Tsitsikamma) and 15–16 (Port Elizabeth). The inset maps show detail of the Cape Peninsula/False Bay area and the Hamburg region where site labels are obscured due to overplotting of symbols.

coast region is that overlying the Agulhas Bank. Although also warm temperate, it experiences a much larger range in annual temperature and variability compared the west and east coast regions, which is in part influenced by the retention and cooling of Agulhas Current water on the bank, the presence of some current-driven upwelling cells along this coastal section (Sites 15–17) (Roberts, 2005), and because of the effects of embayments and capes throughout the region. A more detailed description of these three coastal regions may be found in Smit et al. (2013).

## 2.2. Temperature data

We use two sources of seawater temperature data. The first dataset is comprised of 127 records of *in situ* temperature records of daily measurements for up to 40 years in duration with a mean duration of *ca.* 19 years. These *in situ* time series are generally shorter than the recommended 30 year minimum for the characterisation of MHWs (Hobday et al., 2016) and have occasional gaps of missing data. However, there is clear benefit of using *in situ* data over satellite data as they give a better representation of the

temperatures felt by coastal ecosystems as well as the thermal characteristics near the coast, a region where satellite SST measurements have been shown to perform poorly for capturing maximum and minimum temperatures (e.g. Smale and Wernberg, 2009; Castillo and Lima, 2010). In a South African context, Smit et al. (2013) have shown that satellite SST data display a warm bias as large as 6°C over *in situ* temperatures in the nearshore environment. We found 10 years to be the minimum duration required in order to generate an acceptable estimate of the climatology required for the identification of MHWs and MCSs so all time series under 10 years in duration were excluded. Time series missing more than 10% of their daily temperature measurements were also excluded, leaving a total of 21 time series. The time series were classified into the three coastal sections detailed above. Details on the selected time series, including location, duration, and the coastal sections they were aggregated into can be found in Table S1 and the site localities are displayed spatially in Figure 1.

The second set of temperature data used in this study are the daily  $\frac{1}{4}^\circ$  NOAA optimally interpolated sea surface temperature (OISST; Reynolds et al., 2007) derived from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR). To compare the offshore mesoscale (OISST dataset) extreme events against those along the coast (*in situ* dataset), shore-normal transects were drawn from each of the 21 sites extending to the 200m isobath. Temperature values from the OISST data were then extracted at each of the roughly  $25 \times 25$  km pixels along these transects, shown as black boxes in Figure 1. Where the shelf was less than 25 km wide (Sites 17–21) the nearest ‘ocean pixel’ to the *in situ* time series coordinate was used. We decided to use mean temperatures along these shore-normal transects as they better represent the mesoscale temperatures we were interested in comparing against the coastal events, rather than simply using the nearest OISST pixel, as this would only draw a comparison between the two different data types at the coast, and not the mesoscale activity in the ocean. The individual time series within each pixel of each transects were then averaged to create 21 time series to match against those calculated for the *in situ* sites. These 21 OISST time series could then be analysed for MHWs (MCSs) in the same way as the *in situ* data (see below). Note that the OISST time series had valid data covering 1982–2015 which did not match exactly the coverage by individual *in situ* sites.

### 2.3. Defining and calculating MHWs and MCSs

MHWs are defined here following Hobday et al. (2016) as “discrete prolonged anomalously warm water events in a particular location.” MCS are defined in the same manner as MHWs with the exception of being “anomalously cold water events”.

First, a climatological mean and 90th and 10th percentiles were calculated for each day of the year by pooling all data within an 11-day window across all years. MHWs (MCSs) were detected as periods of time when temperatures exceeded (fell below) the 90th (10th) percentile for at least five days. Since our *in situ* time series were of differing durations we calculated the climatology over all available years ; in the case of the OISST data, climatologies were calculated over a fixed 33-year base period (1982–2015). Furthermore, discrete events with well-defined start and end dates but with ‘breaks’ between events lasting  $\leq 2$  days followed by subsequent  $\geq 5$  day events were considered as continuous events (Hobday et al., 2016). After the events were defined, a set of metrics (Table 1) were calculated

It then obviously becomes important that there are no systematic differences in the duration of the time series with respect to regions. -TW I'm not sure what Thomas is getting at here. -RWS

**Table 1:** Metrics of MHWs and their descriptions as proposed by Hobday et al. (2016). In the case of MCSs, values were calculated with respect to the 10th percentile.

Name [unit]	Definition
Count [no. events per year]	$n$ : number of MHWs per year
Duration [days]	$D$ : Consecutive period of time that temperature exceeds the threshold
Maximum intensity [ $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ]	$i_{max}$ : highest temperature anomaly value during the MHW
Mean intensity [ $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ]	$i_{mean}$ : mean temperature anomaly during the MHW
Cumulative intensity [ $^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{days}$ ]	$i_{cum}$ : sum of daily intensity anomalies over the duration of the event

including maximum and mean intensity (measured as anomalies relative to the climatological mean, in  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), duration (time from start to end dates, in days), and cumulative intensity (the integrated intensity over the duration of the event, analogous to degree-heating-days;  $^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{days}$ ). MCS intensities are calculated as negative values (i.e. anomalies) and are reported in the text as such. When comparing MHW and MCS intensities the absolute values for these metrics were used.

A Python script (<https://github.com/ecjoliver/marineHeatWaves>; see Hobday et al., 2016) was used to calculate the individual MHWs for both the *in situ* and OISST time series. The script was modified to permit the calculation of MCSs. After the individual events were recorded, mean annual values for the metrics seen in Table 1 were created for each year of each time series. This provided two different sets of measurements for the extreme events that will be referred to specifically throughout this paper. ‘Annual’ data refer to the annual means of events for each year of each time series whereas ‘event’ data refer to the individually calculated events within each time series.

Because MHWs (MCSs) are calculated relative to percentile exceedances, rather than absolute definitions such as periods with temperatures above an arbitrary fixed temperature threshold, any time of year could be shown to be experiencing a MHW (MCS). This is an important consideration as unusually warm waters occurring during the winter months of a year, the time when many species need cold water for effective spawning/spore release, can have a negative effect on the recruitment success of that population for the year (Wernberg et al., 2011).

#### 2.4. Detecting co-occurrence of coastal and offshore events

In order to better understand the potential impact offshore mesoscale phenomena have on local coastal events, the proportion of co-occurring MHWs (MCSs) found between the two datasets was calculated for the matching time series. This was initially done by taking each event (warm and cold) within an *in situ* time series and looking for an event occurring within the matching OISST time series within a certain period of time before the *in situ* date. These co-occurrence proportions were used to describe how often the mesoscale oceanography off the coast may have led to extreme events occurring locally along the coast. All events occurring outside of the dates shared between the matching time series were removed from this calculation. The sum of OISST events found to occur within the given window was then divided by the sum of events in the *in situ* time series that occurred during the shared time period to produce a co-occurrence proportion. The proportions of co-occurrence were then recalculated controlling for the size of the lag window used when comparing the two different datasets

for concurrent events, as well as the directionality used for this comparison. In other words, a range of window sizes from 2–14 days were used for each site to see how far apart events generally occurred and the lag period used was also applied after the *in situ* events, allowing us to see how often coastal events led the offshore events.

Besides controlling for the duration and direction of lag, the size of the events themselves (ranked by cumulative intensity) was compared. This was accomplished by controlling the pool of events with which to compare the datasets per site in steps of 10th percentiles. This progressively removed smaller events until only the larger events were being compared. This allowed us to track the co-occurrence of only the largest events, reducing the overall proportion of co-occurrence found within each site as caused by the smaller events occurring at similar times as large events.

The top three MHWs (MCSs) for each *in situ* and OISST time series as defined by cumulative intensity were also noted in order to visually compare the co-occurrence of events in detail, both within and between the different datasets.

### 2.5. Decadal trends in MHWs and MCSs

Given that the anthropogenic forcing of climate change has intensified over the past few decades (Pachauri et al., 2014), it stands to reason that, as a function of the 90th and 10th percentiles, the larger MHWs would be near the end of the time series and the larger MCSs near the beginning. This can be tracked visually by looking at the top three warm and cold events for each time series. Given that the OISST time series are greater than 30 years in duration it is possible to discern the long term trends within the data apart from the noise of any inter-decadal patterns (Schlegel and Smit, in review). Using general linear models (Poisson with log-link), the decadal trend in the annual count of MHWs and MCSs were calculated for all of the OISST data as well as the *in situ* time series that were over 30 years long by multiplying the modelled slope by ten. The 17 *in situ* time series under 30 years in length were cut in half and the sum of the annual count of both warm and cold events for each half was calculated. Using a series of general linear hypotheses (Hothorn et al., 2008) we tested the significant differences between the count data in the first and second halves of the time series for the overall count of MHWs and MCSs as well as each coastal section. The sum of MHWs or MCSs in the second half of each time series was divided by the sum of those in the first to produce proportional values of event occurrence that could be better used to compare the different coastal sections.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Event metrics

Looking for differences in the metrics of MHWs and MCSs between datasets and between coasts (Table 2), a series of general linear hypotheses (Hothorn et al., 2008) revealed significant differences in the count of MHWs and MCSs between the *in situ* and OISST datasets, with the OISST dataset displaying more events of both kinds (MHWs:  $t=-5.37$ ,  $p<0.01$ ; MCSs:  $t=-5.28$ ,  $p<0.01$ ). There were



no differences in the number of warm or cool events in either of the datasets, or in the number of MHWs or MCSs between coasts.

MHWs and MCSs detected in the OISST dataset were of longer duration than in the *in situ* dataset (MHWs:  $t=-2.34$ ,  $p<0.05$ ; MCSs:  $t=-3.31$ ,  $p<0.01$ ). Comparing coasts within the *in situ* dataset, cold events were shorter along the east than along the south ( $t=5.41$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) or west coasts ( $t=2.06$ ,  $p<0.05$ ); the warm events show the same response, with the duration along the east coast being shorter than along the south ( $t=3.79$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) or west ( $t=2.67$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) coasts. MCSs were also of shorter duration along the east coast compared to the south coast in the OISST dataset ( $t=2.83$ ,  $p<0.01$ ), while MHWs followed the same pattern that emerged in the *in situ* data (east *vs.* south:  $t=6.01$ ,  $p<0.01$ ; east *vs.* west:  $t=3.79$ ,  $p<0.01$ ). Contrasting the duration of MHWs with that of MCSs within coast and dataset, the duration of the two event types was identical, except for in the OISST dataset along the east coast where cold events lasted longer ( $t=-2.70$ ,  $p<0.01$ ).

The *in situ* dataset yielded more intense MHWs ( $t=19.80$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) and MCSs ( $t=14.19$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) than the OISST dataset. Looking at the difference in intensity of events within the dataset, MCSs were more intense than MHWs in the OISST dataset ( $t=-4.10$ ,  $p<0.01$ ). There were also differences in the intensity of MHWs and MCSs between coasts within a dataset. Considering the *in situ* data, the intensity of event types is greater along the south coast for both MHWs (south *vs.* east:  $t=-2.58$ ,  $p<0.01$ ; south *vs.* west:  $t=3.28$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) and MCSs (south *vs.* east:  $t=5.48$ ,  $p<0.01$ ; south *vs.* west:  $t=-6.66$ ,  $p<0.01$ ). More intense MCSs were detected in the OISST dataset on the south coast compared to the east ( $t=-2.15$ ,  $p<0.05$ ), while MHWs were less intense along the east compared to the south ( $t=3.01$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) or west ( $t=2.18$ ,  $p<0.05$ ) coasts. Focussing on differences between coasts but within a dataset, MHWs emerging from the *in situ* dataset were more intense than their cold counterparts along the west ( $t=4.48$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) and east ( $t=3.06$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) coasts, but MCS were more intense compared to MHWs along the south coast ( $t=-5.66$ ,  $p<0.01$ ). A coastal difference in intensity of cold and warm events was only seen along the east coast ( $t=-4.36$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) in the OISST dataset.

The mean annual statistics shown in Table 2 give a broad overview of the events that occurred along the coast; however, examining the largest MHWs and MCSs gives a better picture of which coastal sections show the most intense events. The ranking of these events was based on the cumulative intensity metric as explained in Table 1. To calculate the mean cumulative intensity of all events it was necessary to use the individual event data, and not the annual mean data used for Table 2. Doing so for MHWs from both datasets showed a significant difference ( $t=7.68$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) in the mean ( $\pm$ SD) cumulative intensities with the *in situ* dataset ( $26.11\pm24.37^\circ\text{C}\cdot\text{days}$ ) having greater cumulative intensities than the the OISST dataset ( $18.65\pm15.10^\circ\text{C}\cdot\text{days}$ ). The mean ( $\pm$ SD) MCS cumulative intensities between the two datasets are also significantly different ( $t=2.99$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) with the *in situ* events ( $26.45\pm24.25^\circ\text{C}\cdot\text{days}$ ) being greater than the the OISST events ( $23.17\pm23.49^\circ\text{C}\cdot\text{days}$ ).

### 3.2. Patterns in mean cumulative intensity

The three largest MHWs within the *in situ* dataset were all on the south coast (Table 3). The cumulative intensity of the south coast events is greater than those along the west coast, with the

**Table 2:** The mean ( $\pm$ SD) values for event count, duration and mean intensity from the annual data for MHWs and MCSs for each coastal section as calculated from the *in situ* (A) and OISST (B) time series. The aforementioned annual data were averaged across all years for all time series within each respective coast to produce the mean values shown. Lower case letters indicate if any of the coastal sections differ *within* the same dataset *and* event type, with metrics sharing the same letter being statistically indistinguishable from one-another. For example, the duration of MHWs on the east coast in the *in situ* data were significantly less than MHWs on the west and south coasts, which were not significantly different. The upper case letters indicate if the coastal sections differ *between* the datasets, but *within* coast *and* event type. For example, the count of MCSs on the west and east coasts were not significantly different whereas the count of MCSs on the south coast in the OISST dataset was significantly greater than in the *in situ* dataset.

coast	MHW			MCS		
	count [n]	duration [days]	mean intensity [ $^{\circ}$ C]	count [n]	duration [days]	mean intensity [ $^{\circ}$ C days]
<b>A — <i>in situ</i></b>						
all	1.6 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>-A</sup>	9.3 $\pm$ 5.1 <sup>-A</sup>	2.65 $\pm$ 0.79 <sup>-A</sup>	1.5 $\pm$ 1.7 <sup>-A</sup>	9.0 $\pm$ 5.1 <sup>-A</sup>	-2.79 $\pm$ 1.09 <sup>-A</sup>
west	1.8 $\pm$ 1.9 <sup>aA</sup>	9.1 $\pm$ 3.9 <sup>aA</sup>	2.86 $\pm$ 0.90 <sup>aA</sup>	1.5 $\pm$ 1.9 <sup>aA</sup>	8.5 $\pm$ 5.2 <sup>aA</sup>	-2.32 $\pm$ 0.58 <sup>aA</sup>
south	1.5 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>aA</sup>	9.8 $\pm$ 6.1 <sup>aA</sup>	2.50 $\pm$ 0.65 <sup>bA</sup>	1.5 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>aA</sup>	9.7 $\pm$ 5.5 <sup>aA</sup>	-3.08 $\pm$ 1.22 <sup>bA</sup>
east	1.5 $\pm$ 1.7 <sup>aA</sup>	7.7 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>bA</sup>	2.85 $\pm$ 0.89 <sup>aA</sup>	1.6 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>aA</sup>	7.1 $\pm$ 1.9 <sup>bA</sup>	-2.37 $\pm$ 0.67 <sup>aA</sup>
<b>B — OISST</b>						
all	2.2 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>-B</sup>	10.2 $\pm$ 5.4 <sup>-A</sup>	1.72 $\pm$ 0.33 <sup>-B</sup>	2.2 $\pm$ 2.6 <sup>-B</sup>	10.2 $\pm$ 5.1 <sup>-B</sup>	-1.83 $\pm$ 0.52 <sup>-B</sup>
west	2.1 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>aA</sup>	10.9 $\pm$ 6.7 <sup>aA</sup>	1.75 $\pm$ 0.41 <sup>aB</sup>	2.3 $\pm$ 2.7 <sup>aA</sup>	9.8 $\pm$ 6.6 <sup>adA</sup>	-1.87 $\pm$ 0.61 <sup>adB</sup>
south	2.2 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>aB</sup>	10.6 $\pm$ 5.5 <sup>aA</sup>	1.74 $\pm$ 0.29 <sup>aB</sup>	2.1 $\pm$ 2.7 <sup>aB</sup>	10.7 $\pm$ 5.0 <sup>aA</sup>	-1.79 $\pm$ 0.45 <sup>aB</sup>
east	2.5 $\pm$ 2.3 <sup>aA</sup>	8.3 $\pm$ 2.4 <sup>bA</sup>	1.64 $\pm$ 0.33 <sup>bB</sup>	2.2 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>aA</sup>	9.4 $\pm$ 3.4 <sup>dA</sup>	-1.93 $\pm$ 0.61 <sup>dB</sup>

largest three MHWs from the east coast being the smallest. This is due in part to the events on the south coast having a greater duration than the other two coastal sections, which influences the cumulative intensity metric. As with the MHWs, the largest three MCSs from the *in situ* data are also on the south coast (Table 3). The west coast had the next largest three events and the east coast the smallest. The south coast MCSs had a greater duration than the MCSs from the other coastal sections, but were less pronounced than that of the MHWs.

The pattern seen in the *in situ* data of the largest MHWs and MCSs occurring on the south, west and east coasts respectively is not repeated with the OISST dataset. Whereas the single largest MHW occurs on the south coast in the OISST data, the three largest MHWs from the west coast were larger than the second and third largest events from the south coast (Table 3). The three largest MHWs from the east coast were again smaller than those from the other coasts. Assigning the largest MCSs from the OISST dataset to either the south or west coasts is not possible due to an inconsistent pattern here. The east coast MCSs from the OISST dataset were however consistent in that they are again comprised of the smallest three events. All of the coastal sections in the OISST dataset show that at least two of their largest MCSs occurred at the same time at different sites. This is a level of co-occurrence that the *in situ* data do not show.

A three-way ANOVA on the individual event data for both the *in situ* and OISST data combined (i.e. as with the annual data) with three factors (coast, event type, dataset) yielded significant marginal effects and interactions between all factors ( $p \leq 0.03$ ).

As well as having significantly different cumulative intensities, we see in Figure 2 that the three

Is anova appropriate here if the events are non-independent?  
-TW I believe it is. -RWS

**Table 3:** The three largest MHWs and MCS per coast from the *in situ* (A, B) and OISST (C, D) data. The coast column shows in which coastal section the event occurred. The site column gives the name of the site, as seen in Table S1, which gives the index number necessary to find its location along the coast in Figure 1. The start date column gives the day on which the event began and the duration [days] column shows how many days the event lasted for. The mean intensity and cumulative intensity columns are explained in Table 1.

coast	site	start date	duration [days]	mean intensity [°C]	cumulative intensity [°C·days]
<i>in situ</i>					
<b>A — MHW</b>					
west	Sea Point	1996-01-04	40	3.08	123.20
west	Sea Point	2005-05-21	39	2.56	99.66
west	Sea Point	1975-12-30	38	2.62	99.41
south	Muizenberg	1999-12-01	98	3.17	310.30
south	Mossel Bay	1993-06-25	97	1.77	171.30
south	Muizenberg	1999-10-20	35	4.47	156.40
east	Nahoon Beach	1995-10-14	18	5.18	93.31
east	Eastern Beach	1985-12-27	19	3.33	63.18
east	Orient Beach	1990-06-25	12	3.80	45.59
<b>B — MCS</b>					
west	Sea Point	1990-06-23	44	-2.88	-126.60
west	Sea Point	1983-06-10	39	-2.84	-110.90
west	Sea Point	2000-11-28	23	-3.70	-85.04
south	Muizenberg	1984-07-14	63	-2.92	-183.70
south	Muizenberg	1992-03-24	56	-2.78	-155.60
south	Ystervarkpunt	2000-05-11	51	-2.94	-150.10
east	Sodwana	2004-02-12	17	-3.25	-55.20
east	Orient Beach	1984-03-31	13	-3.73	-48.44
east	Orient Beach	1995-12-6	15	-3.01	-45.13
<b>OISST</b>					
<b>C — MHW</b>					
west	Sea Point	1992-01-21	39	2.96	115.60
west	Hout Bay	1992-01-20	36	3.15	113.50
west	Kommetjie	2004-10-29	53	2.03	107.40
south	Knysna	1992-05-3	50	2.41	120.40
south	Fish Hoek	2004-10-30	53	1.92	101.60
south	Pollock Beach	1994-03-27	31	3.19	99.05
east	Nahoon Beach	2006-10-21	25	1.81	45.34
east	Eastern Beach	2000-06-24	26	1.58	41.12
east	Orient Beach	2000-06-24	26	1.58	41.12
<b>D — MCS</b>					
west	Kommetjie	2010-12-13	54	-3.92	-211.90
west	Hout Bay	2010-12-25	41	-4.06	-166.30
west	Sea Point	2010-12-25	41	-3.78	-154.90
south	Hamburg	1984-02-5	65	-3.91	-254.20
south	Storms River Mouth	1982-03-13	60	-2.79	-167.30
south	Tsitsikamma East	1982-03-13	60	-2.79	-167.30
east	Eastern Beach	2010-12-26	32	-2.90	-92.85
east	Orient Beach	2010-12-26	32	-2.90	-92.85
east	Eastern Beach	1984-02-24	22	-3.97	-87.26

largest events occurring for each time series within the OISST dataset were often different from those seen in the *in situ* dataset, but show a greater amount of co-occurrence with events detected at neighbouring coastal stations than the corresponding *in situ* time series do.

The temperatures on the dates of the largest MHW and MCS for the west and south coasts from the *in situ* data may be seen concurrently with the temperatures from the matching OISST time series in Figure 3. We see that when the largest events were occurring in the *in situ* data, the temperatures in the OISST data did not show similarly intense events.

### 3.3. Co-occurrence rates


The proportion of co-occurrence found for MHWs and MCSs between the datasets for each site can be seen in Figure 4 and Figure 5 respectively. When using the lag windows before and after the *in situ* event and comparing all events, the width of the lag window increases from 2 to 14 days the mean proportion of co-occurrence for all sites increases linearly for MHWs (0.09 to 0.38) and MCSs (0.10 to 0.30). Using these same constraints, the south coast sites had the largest mean increase in co-occurrence for MHWs (0.10 to 0.45) and MCSs (0.11 to 0.34), whereas the west coast sites showed the smallest increase for MHWs (0.07 to 0.28) and MCSs (0.08 to 0.19). With all variables controlled for in the same manner, the co-occurrence rates between the different coastal sections were not significantly different for MHWs or MCSs at 2 day nor 14 day lags ( $p \geq 0.12$ ).

The directionality of the lag window also affects the co-occurrence of events. Comparing all events within a 14 day lag window before the *in situ* event gives higher mean ( $\pm$ SD) rates of co-occurrence for MHWs ( $0.22 \pm 0.13$ ) than for the same lag window after the *in situ* event for MHWs ( $0.18 \pm 0.10$ ). This same comparison for MCSs shows that the lag window before the *in situ* event ( $0.16 \pm 0.09$ ) had slightly lower rates of co-occurrence than the lag window after the *in situ* event ( $0.17 \pm 0.08$ ). When the smaller events were screened from comparison and only the largest half of the events used (50<sup>th</sup> percentile), the difference in mean ( $\pm$ SD) co-occurrence proportions for MHWs event lessens at  $0.16 \pm 0.11$  before the *in situ* event and  $0.15 \pm 0.12$  after. The mean ( $\pm$ SD) co-occurrence proportion of MCSs at this level was less when using a lag window before the *in situ* event at  $0.05 \pm 0.08$  than for a lag window after at  $0.08 \pm 0.08$ .

There was no co-occurrence for the largest MCSs between the datasets, whereas four of the 21 time series showed co-occurrence for their most extreme MHWs (Figure 4). Interestingly, all four time series were on the south coast and three of the four showed co-occurrence for their largest MHW when the *in situ* event preceded the OISST event.

### 3.4. Decadal trends in MHWs and MCSs


The decadal trends in MHWs and MCSs calculated for each OISST time series may be seen in Table 4. The mean ( $\pm$ SD) decadal trend for MHW occurrence in the OISST dataset is  $0.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ dec}^{-1}$  across all sites and  $-0.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ dec}^{-1}$  for MCSs. The decadal trends in MHW occurrence increased from the colder Benguela fed west coast to warmer Agulhas driven east coast with the mean ( $\pm$ SD) decadal MHW trend on the west coast being  $0.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ dec}^{-1}$ , the south coast being  $0.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ dec}^{-1}$  and the east




**Figure 2:** The daily temperature values for each *in situ* time series (light blue) used in this study and the corresponding OISST time series (dark blue) extracted for comparison as seen in Figure 1. The top three MHWs are indicated by circles (with the rank inside) for each site as judged by greatest cumulative intensity. The top three MCSs for each site are indicated by squares (with the rank inside). Sites 1–4 represent the west coast (WC), sites 5–17 represent the south coast (SC) and sites 18–21 represent the east coast (EC). The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) values for the daily temperatures between each paired set of time series are displayed in the upper left corner of each panel.



**Figure 3:** The temperature values from the *in situ* and OISST data during the largest MHW and MCS from the south and west coasts respectively from the *in situ* data. The left column shows the *in situ* temperature values during the event while the right column shows the OISST temperature values occurring on the same dates. The top row shows the largest MHW that occurred on the south coast while the second row shows the largest MHW that occurred on the west coast. The bottom two rows show the largest *in situ* MCS that occurred on the south and west coasts respectively.



**Figure 4:** Proportion of MHW co-occurrence between *in situ* and OISST datasets for each site where sites 1-4 represent the west coast (WC), sites 5-17 the south coast (SC) and 18-21 the east coast (EC). Columns denoted with “before” show the proportion of co-occurrence when events in the OISST data occurred on or before the dates of the *in situ* events. The columns denoted with “after” show the proportion of co-occurrence when OISST events occurred after the *in situ* event dates. The x-axis indicates the size of the events, based on percentiles, used for calculating the co-occurrence proportions. The days of lag used, from 2-14, are shown here in diminishing shades of red. The numbers above each panel show the ID number for each site. The names of the sites that relate to these ID numbers may be found in Figure 2 and Table S1.



**Figure 5:** Proportion of MCS co-occurrence between *in situ* and OISST datasets for each site as seen for MHWs in Figure 4. The days of lag are shown here in diminishing shades of blue.



**Table 4:** The results of general linear models (Poisson with log-link) fitted to the MHW and MCS annual event data of each time series >30 years from the *in situ* (A) and OISST (B) datasets showing the change in extreme events over time. The ID column gives the ID number necessary to locate the site in Figure 1 and may also be used to find the site name given in Table S1. The coast column shows within which coastal section the time series may be found. The trend columns show the decadal trends of increasing or decreasing extreme events. The  $R^2$  columns shows the coefficients of determination for each general linear model and the  $p$  columns show the significance of the trend.

ID	coast	MHW			MCS		
		trend	$R^2$	$p$	trend	$R^2$	$p$
<b>A — <i>in situ</i></b>							
1	west	0.2	0.03	0.04	-0.5	0.09	0.00
2	west	-0.2	0.01	0.15	0.2	0.02	0.13
6	south	0.1	0.00	0.68	0.2	0.03	0.06
7	south	0.7	0.18	0.00	-0.2	0.02	0.13
<b>B — <i>OISST</i></b>							
1	west	0.3	0.05	0.01	-0.2	0.03	0.05
2	west	0.1	0.00	0.55	0.1	0.00	0.57
3	west	0.0	0.00	0.91	0.2	0.02	0.05
4	west	0.1	0.01	0.37	-0.2	0.02	0.06
5	south	0.3	0.04	0.02	-0.6	0.14	0.00
6	south	0.5	0.09	0.00	-0.7	0.17	0.00
7	south	0.3	0.04	0.03	-0.6	0.12	0.00
8	south	0.4	0.07	0.00	-0.6	0.13	0.00
9	south	0.4	0.08	0.00	-0.7	0.19	0.00
10	south	0.3	0.05	0.01	-0.6	0.13	0.00
11	south	0.3	0.03	0.04	-0.4	0.07	0.00
12	south	0.2	0.03	0.05	-0.3	0.03	0.03
13	south	0.2	0.02	0.13	-0.2	0.02	0.08
14	south	0.2	0.02	0.13	-0.2	0.02	0.08
15	south	-0.0	0.00	0.75	0.0	0.00	0.71
16	south	-0.1	0.00	0.61	0.0	0.00	0.80
17	south	0.1	0.00	0.45	-0.4	0.04	0.01
18	east	0.3	0.05	0.01	-0.4	0.08	0.00
19	east	0.3	0.05	0.01	-0.4	0.08	0.00
20	east	0.2	0.02	0.06	-0.3	0.04	0.02
21	east	0.2	0.02	0.15	-0.7	0.16	0.00

coast at  $0.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ dec}^{-1}$ . Just as MHWs are occurring more frequently per decade on the east coast than the west, the count of MCSs is decreasing more on the east coast than the west. The decadal trend in MCSs on the west coast is  $0.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ dec}^{-1}$ ,  $-0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ dec}^{-1}$  on the south coast and  $-0.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ dec}^{-1}$  on the east coast.

Of the four *in situ* time series that reached the 30 year minimum length, the mean ( $\pm$ SD) decadal trend for MHWs was  $0.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ dec}^{-1}$  and  $-0.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ dec}^{-1}$  for MCSs. There were two sites from the west coast and two from the south, excluding the east coast from a possible calculation of decadal change for *in situ* MHWs and MCSs. The mean ( $\pm$ SD) decadal trend for MHWs (MCSs) on the west coast was  $0.0 \pm 0.3 \text{ dec}^{-1}$  ( $-0.2 \pm 0.5 \text{ dec}^{-1}$ ) and  $0.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ dec}^{-1}$  ( $0.0 \pm 0.3 \text{ dec}^{-1}$ ) on the south. Note that none of the decadal trends for MCSs from the >30 year *in situ* time series from the west coast were significant.

The mean ( $\pm$ SD) proportion of MHWs occurring in the second half of the shorter time series was  $1.7 \pm 1.3$  ( $p=0.37$ ) and that of MCSs was  $0.8 \pm 0.6$  ( $p=0.39$ ), neither being significantly different. The counts for both MHWs and MCSs between the first and second halves of the time series for the west and south coasts were significantly different. Showing agreement with the longer time series, the short west coast time series had significantly more MHWs in the second half at  $1.5 \pm 0.6$  ( $p=0.03$ ), but it

differed from the longer time series by having more MCSs in the second half at  $1.8 \pm 0.6$  ( $p < 0.01$ ). The short south coast time series showed agreement with the longer time series for both MHWs with a proportion of  $2.1 \pm 1.4$  ( $p < 0.01$ ) and MCSs with a proportion of  $0.5 \pm 0.3$  ( $p < 0.01$ ). The short east coast time series however, showed no agreement with their longer counterparts. The proportion of MHWs in the second half of these short time series was  $0.7 \pm 0.4$  ( $p = 0.10$ ) and the proportion of MCSs was  $1.0 \pm 0.8$  ( $p = 0.57$ ).

### 3.5. Offshore MHWs and MCSs


Figure 6 shows the mean count, duration and intensity of each pixel from the OISST dataset as derived from the annual data for the region of ocean surrounding southern Africa. The most MHWs occurred well south of the tip of the continent whereas some of the most frequent MCS activity was found directly against the west coast. This helps explain the finding that MHWs were longer and more intense in the *in situ* dataset, whereas the OISST dataset shows MCSs to be longer and more intense. As for the duration of events, it is clear from Figure 6 that the MHWs and MCSs detected in the Agulhas current are relatively short-lived. Almost all of the pixels that show events in the upper range of the durations detected occurred well away from the coast, too. This explains why the events in the *in situ* data had a greater duration. As for the intensity of offshore events, the west and south coasts had centres of intensity very near the shore whereas the east coast generally did not. The most intense events detected in the OISST data were found along or south of the south coast, corroborating the finding that the south coast had the greatest proportion of co-occurrence amongst the three coastal sections.

A fair bit of discussion missed into all this as well. -TW  
I decided not to remove this though... - RWS


Whereas the mean count, duration and intensity of MHWs and MCSs offshore are telling, the picture is not complete without also considering the trend in these metrics, too. We see in Figure 7 that the count, duration and intensity of MHWs have almost exclusively been increasing throughout the studied regions of the Indian and Atlantic Oceans. The count of MCS has been decreasing most rapidly along the coast of southern Africa with a couple of notable exceptions near Port Elizabeth and north of the Cape Peninsula where the count of MCSs has been increasing very near to the coast. The duration of MCSs is seen to generally increase further offshore with most of the nearshore region changing little or decreasing. The intensity of MCSs was either changing little near the coast or was increasing dramatically, as seen in the dark blue spot north of the Cape Peninsula in the “MCS Intensity (mean) Trend” panel in Figure 7. This means that a consistent >30 year trend in extreme cold events, more so than anywhere else in this region of the ocean, has been acting on the coastal waters here.

## 4. Discussion

Our results clearly show that MHWs and MCSs detected in two different ocean temperature datasets display markedly differing metrics regarding the events’ timing, count, duration and intensity. These differences appear to be related to the nature and variability of physical oceanographic processes at broad- and local-scales, and the coupling of the processes across these scales. These findings illustrate



**Figure 6:** Mean values from the annual data of each pixel from the OISST dataset around southern Africa. The first second and third rows show the mean count [n], duration [days] and intensity [°C] respectively of MHWs in the first column and MCSs in the second. The annual event values within each pixel were averaged to create one final value with which each pixel is populated.



**Figure 7:** Decadal trend values calculated from the annual OISST data around southern Africa. The decadal trends were calculated by fitting a linear model to the annual data of each pixel for the relevant metrics and multiplying the slope of the line by 10. The panels are in the same position as Figure 6.

how a study of the properties of anomalous events can provide novel insights into drivers of the thermal regime along coastlines, and can be used to arrive at some mechanistic insights into the nature and origin of MHWs (MCSs).

#### 4.1. Relation between local- and broad-scale MHWs and MCSs

The difference between the *in situ* and OISST datasets is striking. We anticipated a large degree of coupling between the anomalously warm events manifesting in datasets that encapsulate local- and broad-scale patterns, as represented by the *in situ* and OISST datasets, respectively. We found instead that the broad-scale dataset yields heatwaves that are more frequent and longer in duration, but less intense than its local-scale counterpart. Cold-spells, for which we expected a greater deal of decoupling between local- and broad-scale manifestations, indeed show evidence of such lack of coupling. MCSs are more frequently seen and last longer in the OISST data; however, the mean intensity of MCSs at the broad-scale is lower than at the coast. The significantly larger intensities of both MHWs and MCSs from the *in situ* data may be an artefact of the greater ability of *in situ* temperature loggers to record maximum and minimum temperatures than remotely sensed data (Smale and Wernberg, 2009). Furthermore, there is no difference in the count of MHWs and MCSs emerging at the two scales, but the duration and intensity of MHWs relative to MCSs across scale are modified by the section of the coast in which they occur. Taken at face value, these findings suggest that both MHWs and MCSs are completely decoupled between coastal and offshore regions. A more in-depth assessment of the rates of coupling is provided later (see *Co-occurrence rates*, below), and it shows that *some* coupling is indeed present, particularly in MHWs. Another consideration for the apparent lack of relation between these datasets is that the OISST temperatures are collected at the surface and though they are converted from a "skin" temperature (roughly a micron deep) to a "bulk" temperature (roughly half a metre deep) (Reynolds et al., 2007), this is still much shallower than the temperatures recorded in the *in situ* data, which are generally recorded within several metres from the surface. However, that we have compared these deeper *in situ* data to OISST data averaged across the width of the continental shelf at each site, and not simply to the nearest available pixel, should largely address any inconsistencies in temperature caused by mismatches in depth as mixed layer of the mesoscale temperature patterns of the open ocean should reach deeper than several metres.

An important outcome emerging from the above analysis is that the OISST dataset shows many weak events occurring often whereas the *in situ* dataset shows fewer but more intense events. The interaction between count and duration is an important consideration, because this influences the cumulative intensity metric ( $^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{days}$ ). This indeed emerges in mean cumulative intensities calculated for the MHWs and MCSs, which are highest in the *in situ* data. Events near the coast are more intense overall. Heatwaves are ubiquitous throughout the world's oceans, but if this pattern of intensification of anomalous events at the coast holds true for different world regions, it creates interesting challenges for the management of marine living resources and ecosystems at the coast.

Of the metrics presented in this paper, cumulative intensity is perhaps the most important ecologically. As a product of the intensity and duration of an event, cumulative intensity may be used

as an index to measure the threat of extreme events to coastal ecosystems, as is done with coral reefs (e.g. Selig et al., 2010). Research conducted on the damaging effects of extreme events (e.g. Wernberg et al., 2013) record the cumulative temperature above the seasonal average experienced by the coastal ecosystem in question. As the body of research on extreme events increases, we think that these cumulative intensity values may be used to establish a global index of the thresholds for different ecosystems at which ecological perturbations, impairment or destruction has occurred. Combined with near real time monitoring, this metric may then be used to improve the decision making process on how when and how best to respond to unusually warm or cold bodies of water.

We found that a large number of the events occurring between these two datasets were unrelated and that some other influence(s) could be having a more pronounced effect on the temperatures experienced at the coast than the ocean temperatures offshore. This conclusion is important in the light of the very strong mismatch in the temperatures recorded by thermal loggers installed *in situ* at the coast (i.e. local-scale) compared to the measurement of the ocean’s temperature from space (i.e. broad-scale) (Smit et al., 2013). Smit et al. (2013) showed biases of up to +6 °C between the same local-scale dataset used here and AVHRR and MODIS Terra SST, with a strong spatial pattern. The insight emerging from the present study is that there are not only spatial influences affecting the mismatch between local- and broad-scale thermal patterns, but that there is a temporal component to these biases too. In other words, it is not possible to apply a constant and simple bias adjustment to the *in situ* and SST time series used by Smit et al. (2013). Such a deterioration of the offshore thermal signal in coastal waters is known to occur due to the local modifications of nearshore circulation patterns by headlands, embayments, influences of the bathymetry and other such perturbations that introduce eddies and fronts and a shoaling of the thermocline (Okubo, 1973; Pingree and Maddock, 1979; Wolanski and Hamner, 1988; Black et al., 1990; Grundlingh and Largier, 1991; Graham and Largier, 1997). These processes are not yet fully understood, and our understanding of physical oceanographic process remains weighted toward the mesoscale, as was noted by Graham and Largier (1997) in the light of their study on upwelling shadows, another process responsible for the local modification of a phenomenon that is generally studied at the broad-scale. These local-scale deviations are known to affect coastal ecological processes, such as the transport, dispersal and settlement of larvae (Pineda, 1994; McCulloch and Shanks, 2003; Narváez et al., 2004) and the dynamics of phytoplankton and nutrient delivery (Graham and Largier, 1997; Pineda, 1994), and it is likely that thermal patterns over similar scales will also have local significance for the biology of the nearshore region.

#### 4.2. MHWs and MCSs in different coastal sections

Meaningful patterns of event metrics also emerge between coasts. The number of anomalously warm or cold events between coasts is not influenced by whether we are looking at coastal or offshore regions and each coastal section is equally prone to experience a MHW or a MCS due to the probabilistic definition of these events. Differences emerge in a comparison of the duration and intensity metrics, however. The east coast differs from the other two coastal sections in that MHWs and MCSs at both the local- and broad-scale are of shortest duration here; however, comparing the event duration between

events, we see that in this region the cold spells last longer than heatwaves. Furthermore, the east coast also displays the least intense MHWs but most intense MCSs of any coastal region along offshore portions of South Africa’s coastline. This stretch of coastline is heavily influenced by the warm Agulhas Current, separated from the coast only by a very narrow and steep continental shelf, which abruptly expands into the >200km wide Agulhas Bank south of Port Alfred (Figure 1). This narrow continental slope margin allows upwelling on the shoreward side of the Agulhas Current to occur along the entire length of the east coast, independent of local atmospheric conditions (Lutjeharms et al., 2000). It is therefore possible that this constant forcing of the Agulhas current on the east coast would cause the events arising there to dissipate more quickly and return to a ‘normal,’ less variable state. This seems to be a plausible explanation for why the largest events, both hot and cold, recorded on the east coast are so much smaller than those along the other two coastal sections. We suggest that the hydrodynamic properties of the Agulhas Current have prevented any prolonged temperature events from persisting. Contrary to this conclusion it is clear from the literature that some of the largest documented heatwaves have been caused by anomalously high heat content of ocean currents, such as the Leeuwin Current in the case of the Western Australia MHW (Feng et al., 2013; Pearce and Feng, 2013; Wernberg et al., 2013). Conversely, large MHWs such as that documented in the north west Atlantic Ocean in 2012 have been shown to be coupled strongly with atmospheric phenomena (Mills et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014, 2015). Therefore, while no MHWs or MCSs with cumulative intensities rivalling those of the largest events seen on the other two coasts have yet occurred on the east coast, the potential exists for some further-afield phenomenon to cause such an event here.

The south coast is fundamentally different from the other coastal sections in that it is dominated not by one strong current, such as the Agulhas or Benguela Currents, but rather consists of a broad continental shelf on which the interplay of these two currents form a ‘mixing-pot’ between two oceans, as well as hosting an attendant array of complex coastal processes that modify the already thermally variable waters overlying the Agulhas Bank (Lutjeharms et al., 2003; Roberts, 2005; Hutchings et al., 2009). Consequently this section of coastline has one of the most variable thermal regimes along the entire South African coastline. Some of the *in situ* time series here are recorded in relatively shallow embayments, allowing for atmospheric heating to have a marked effect there — as is indeed seen in the cumulative intensities for MHWs in False Bay — and further offshore sheer-edge forcing creates eddies resulting from the departure of the Agulhas Current from the Agulhas Bank that may then be projected across the shelf (Lutjeharms et al., 2003). Such high temperature events last longer, and even if their mean intensities are lower, this contributes towards their high cumulative intensities there. The significantly more intense MCSs on the south coast are attributed to the much greater mean intensity of events around Tsitsikamma (Sites 12–14). Without these three sites the MCSs on the south coast are not significantly greater than those along the other two coastal sections. (Roberts, 2005) hypothesised that a wind forced upwelling cell occurs near Tsitsikamma and the mean intensity of the events recorded here seems to support this.

The west coast does not show exceptional patterns in MHW and MCS metrics. At first glance, we

were surprised to find that the mean intensity of west coast (EBUS) coastal MCSs is lower than those on the south coast. Upwelling systems are defined by periodic occurrences of cold water of deep origin near the coast (Lutjeharms et al., 2000; Hutchings et al., 2009) and it seems intuitive to associate these with the cold-spells. But cold upwelled water *defines* the climatology for the region, and for a cold spell to manifest in an upwelling region the temperature of that water would have to be colder than the 90th percentile based on a 30-year climatological baseline period and would have to last for five or more days. Accordingly, upwelling events that occur within a seasonally predictable cycle are not extreme in nature, and are therefore not flagged as MCSs. An upwelling event would need to be particularly cold or a-seasonal in its occurrence to be recorded as a MCS. This is certainly possible however, the very nature of the required a-seasonality of MCSs obfuscates which phenomena may potentially be driving the extreme cold temperatures. This consideration casts doubt on our thoughts to use MCSs as a means to detect the intensification of upwelling, which is a plausible prediction in an age when Earth’s climate gradually warms (García-Reyes et al., 2015). Results show that offshore MCS are, on average, lasting significantly longer, occurring significantly more often and the largest events are more intense. As upwelling is known to occur along the coast here (Hutchings et al., 2009), that the offshore MCSs occur more often and last longer is strong evidence that the MCS algorithm is not a good proxy for upwelling detection and that the coastal cold events detected here are likely due to other factors. Upwelling events should have notable properties, such as rate of onset and duration of peak intensity, which set them apart from other events that may cause colder water to occur at the surface. Further work on the definition of the characteristics of upwelling events could be coupled with the MCS algorithm for accurate use in upwelling detection in temperature time series.

#### 4.3. Patterns in mean cumulative intensity

The pattern of mean cumulative intensity within the local-scale data is very clear in that warm and cold events on the south coast are much more extreme than those along the west and east coasts. The OISST data are less conclusive on whether the south or west coast experiences the most extreme events, but it is apparent from all of the analyses from both datasets that the east coast experiences very few extreme MHWs or MCSs. These findings suggest that the east coast is the most thermally stable of the three coastal sections, and that MHWs or MCSs with mean cumulative intensities that could potentially damage ecosystems are least prone to develop there. It is the south coast region, however, where coastal ecosystems are most at risk due to excessively warm events.

Within the south coast (Sites 5–17), the sites within False Bay (Sites 5–7) were found to have greater cumulative intensities for MHWs and MCSs than the sites over the Agulhas Bank (Sites 8–17). Whereas it has been shown here that the Agulhas Bank experiences more thermal variation than the other two coastal sections, False Bay, which is ~50 km across, is situated within the transition zone between the Benguela and Agulhas Currents (Smit et al., 2013) and contains the most variable time series in the entire *in situ* dataset. Lower resolution satellite temperature products, such as Pathfinder version 5.0, have been shown to inadequately resolve the SST within the relatively small body of water that is False Bay (Dufois and Rouault, 2012). Embayments such as this often display thermal ranges



(both temporally and spatially) large enough to effect species ranges (Ling et al., 2009) and are of great ecological (Klumb et al., 2003) and economic importance (Lugendo et al., 2005). We think that such regions are also more prone to MHWs (and perhaps MCSs) due to an even stronger decoupling from broad-scale thermal patterns and drivers: indeed, two of the three largest MHWs and MCSs detected in the local-scale dataset were recorded within False Bay, whereas only one large MHW and no MCSs were detected with the OISST dataset . This illustrates the problem of using satellite temperature data for coastal ecological applications, and emphasizes the need for more comprehensive coverage of coastal ecosystems in long-term seawater temperature monitoring programmes.

Here I think you need t discuss coupling to atmosphere. -TW  
I didn't add anything here as this is discussed earlier. -RWS

Although we are generally sceptical of use of most gridded SST product for coastal applications, there are exceptions to this general 'rule' in situations where a good deal of local knowledge of regional oceanographic patterns and processes is available. Our example of the discrepancies for the size of the events recorded in False Bay serves to illustrate the usefulness of satellite SST data to detect events near the coast. The aforementioned wind forced coastal upwelling cell near Tsitsikamma (Sites 12–14) argued for by Roberts (2005) being one example. That these three sites show greater cumulative intensities for MCSs than all but one time series from the OISST dataset may support the hypothesis for the existence of such a coastal upwelling cell. It is important to stress here, however, that the usefulness of the SST product does not reside in its use in isolation, but that our findings based on this product are compared and contrasted with findings derived from an analysis of the local-scale set of data. We also need to be aware that, as mentioned earlier, the current MCS algorithm should not be taken as showing upwelling events *per se* with any fidelity. Greater insight is necessary before hypotheses about upwelling can be investigated in this manner. This would be an intriguing use of the MCS algorithm if it can be used to validate one of multiple competing hypotheses that as of yet may not have been able to be tested in any other way. This would however require additional data such as changes in salinity and/ or nutrients, vertical velocity or wind speeds.

Another important consideration is the co-occurrence of the events with the highest mean cumulative intensity within and between datasets. As can be seen in Table 3, none of the top three MHWs or MCSs for any of the coastal sections from the *in situ* dataset are the same. They are all individually different events occurring at different times. The OISST dataset tells an entirely different story in that all but one of the coastal sections, for both MHWs and MCSs, have at least two of the three top events occurring at the same time. This means that the largest events detected in the OISST data occur over a broad area at the same time, whereas the *in situ* events are isolated not only in time, but also in the location in which they occur. This further reinforces our conclusion that the events detected by the different datasets are often intrinsically different from one another.

#### 4.4. Co-occurrence rates

Looking at lags that precede the *in situ* events, the rates of co-occurrence for MCSs are much lower than for MHWs (Figure 4 and Figure 5). This shows that if these events are indeed related, more MHWs are being caused by mesoscale activity than MCSs, as was expected. This finding is supported further by comparing the rates of co-occurrence for MCS lagged before and after the *in situ* event

occurred. More MCS from the OISST data are shown to occur after the *in situ* events for all coastal sections. This may suggest some local heat loss process, perhaps related to atmospheric cold events, cooling the waters near the coast, which then gradually with time spreads to waters further offshore. Such localised coastal phenomena have been implicated for several of the cold spells which have caused the mass mortality of some marine species (e.g. Gunter, 1941; Firth et al., 2011). The co-occurrence rates of MHWs before and after the *in situ* events are however similar. This implies that extreme heat events may be propagating onshore from offshore mesoscale activity however, extreme heat events originating near the coast and seeping offshore to affect the thermal regime there appears to be equally possible.

We also infer from the proportions of co-occurrence for time series on the south coast, which are generally much higher than at the other two coasts, that events are caused by the much higher level of influence from mesoscale phenomena occurring over the Agulhas Bank. We also see that there is a higher proportion of co-occurrence for the larger MHWs and MCSs on the south coast when a lag window after the *in situ* event is applied. This supports the argument that events originating in the nearshore are then propagating out onto the Agulhas Bank and affecting the oceanography there more often than mesoscale events originating on the Agulhas Bank are affecting the nearshore environment. The overall low rate of co-occurrence for all three coastal sections reinforces the argument that it is not the mesoscale phenomena of the open ocean abutting the southern African landmass that are driving extreme events in the nearshore.

The very low proportion of co-occurrence between the datasets, and the decline in the proportion as the smaller events are screened out is strong evidence against the hypothesis that mesoscale activity, both warm and cold, is causing nearshore extreme thermal events. The small increases in co-occurrence for some sites as only larger events were compared does imply that there is some relationship between the inshore and offshore, but that some other variable (e.g. atmospheric forcing) may be having a greater effect on inshore events.

#### 4.5. Climate change

As MHWs and MCSs are temperature related phenomena we would be remiss not to discuss the relevance of our findings in relation to climate change. The count of the MHWs and MCSs that occur along the coast is less telling in this regard than the trend in these events themselves. Although all but four of the *in situ* time series used in this investigation are too short to draw adequate conclusions on the decadal trends seen in MHWs and MCSs, the OISST data are not. As hypothesised, these data show positive decadal trends for MHWs and negative trends for MCSs. This means that over the past 33 years of satellite observation along our shore-normal transects, MHWs have been increasing every decade for each coastal section while MCSs have been decreasing. Whereas the literature surrounding the potential increase (decrease) of MHWs (MCSs) in the ocean is still in its infancy, atmospheric scientists have been investigating the shift in extreme events for over a decade. Both historic and modelled research shows that climate change is leading to an increase of extreme hot events in the

I cant see that this section is much about climate change?? Seems to simply be a comparison of historical data and geography for which you do not need to invoke climate change. -TW I don't know that I agree with this statement completely. Either way, it was my understanding that this section is entitled "Climate Change" as this is a buzz word. -RWS

atmosphere (Easterling et al., 2000; Perkins and Alexander, 2013), and decreasing extreme cold (Meehl, 2004). Therefore we are unsurprised to find the same pattern in the ocean.

When one looks more broadly at the ocean around southern Africa this pattern generally holds up. We see in Figure 7 that the count, duration and intensity of MHWs have almost exclusively increased throughout the studied regions of the Indian and Atlantic oceans. The count of MCS has decreased most rapidly along the coast of southern Africa with a couple of notable exceptions near Port Elizabeth and north of the Cape Peninsula where the count of MCSs is increasing very near to the coast. The duration of MCSs is seen to generally increase further offshore with most of the nearshore changing little or decreasing. The intensity of MCSs is either changing little near the coast or is increasing dramatically, as seen in the dark blue patch north of the Cape Peninsula in the “MCS Intensity (mean) Trend” panel in Figure 7. This means that a consistent >30 year trend in extreme cold events, more so than anywhere else in this region of the ocean, has been acting on the coastal waters here. Investigations into the impact this may have had on these ecosystems may produce interesting findings in regards to the effect of climate change on ecosystems.

Though less conclusive than the trends calculated from the longer time series, similar patterns were found in the shorter *in situ* time series as well. As the algorithm used to calculate these events is based on percentiles, it stands to reason that as the mean temperature of South Africa’s coastal waters has been increasing by 0.1°C per decade on average since the early 1970s (Schlegel and Smit, in review), there will be an increase in MHWs and a decrease in MCSs. The gradual mean increase in temperature will cause the algorithm used here to be biased in its detection of MHWs as time progresses simply because temperatures are generally warmer in the later half of the time series therefore, the chances of the algorithm detecting a MHW increases because the base temperature from which the MHW will be fluctuating from will be greater than the beginning of the time series. Ultimately, for the species and ecosystems experiencing this increase in duress, the semantic argument of the viability of percentiles provides little solace.

Although Hobday et al. (2016) provide caveats regarding using event metrics across datasets, we nevertheless feel that it would be informative to bring the heatwave metrics measured along the South African south coast into context by comparing them with MHWs from regions (see Hobday et al., 2016). We see that at our coastal locations, Muizenberg and Mossel Bay, heatwaves have *on average* cumulative intensities ranging from 156.4 to 310.30 °C·days, mean intensities from 1.77 to 4.47 °C and lasting from 35 to 98 days. The two longest individual MHW events experienced by the Western Australian reef system (Feng et al., 2013) had a cumulative intensity of 237 °C·days, a mean intensity of 2.5 °C and a duration of 95 days in 1999; and a cumulative intensity of 276 °C·days, a mean intensity of 2.51 and a duration of 110 days (and ongoing at the time of their analysis) in 2014. The 2012 MHW of the north west Atlantic (Mills et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014) had a cumulative intensity of 145 °C·days and mean intensity of 4.00 °C over 56 days, while the subsequent 2012/2013 heatwave went to 443 °C·days and a mean intensity of 2.59 °C and lasted for 187 days. Both of these MHWs were reported to have had major ecological consequences (Feng et al., 2013; Mills et al., 2012; Chen

et al., 2014), but no investigations have yet been done to see if similar negative effects have taken place at the sites where we have recorded intense heatwaves locally. Probably none have occurred as evidence for such do not exist amongst the fisheries survey data collected annually, but a more detailed investigation is warranted to see if *some* of the ecological changes known for the south coast region (Bolton et al., 2012) can be linked to such events. Nevertheless, considering that the south coast is a ‘hot-spot’ for heatwaves, the fact that these events are increasing in count and knowledge that events already experienced in the region are already on par with the intensity and duration of similar events known to have had ecological effects elsewhere in the world, it is a matter of time before South Africa experiences a similar marine environmental ‘natural’ disaster .

## 5. Conclusion

Given that the MHW algorithm is based on the percentiles found within each time series and not on arbitrarily decided minimum or maximum thresholds, one will always find a certain number of MHWs and MCSs in any time series. It is our experience with these data that every time series used from both datasets experiences, on average, at least one MHW and MCS per year. Within each dataset, but not between, the count of events is similar for each coastal section, regardless of the local oceanographic and geographic properties. Instead, it is the duration, mean intensity and also the derived cumulative intensity of the events occurring on the different coastal sections that most clearly define spatial differences — so much so, that this will almost certainly translate to different rates of vulnerabilities of coastal sections, both the ecosystems and the humans that derive benefit from them, to the ravages of climate change. As the rates of co-occurrence between local- and broad-scale data are generally low, and the magnitude and co-occurrence of events within the different datasets differ from one another, we infer that some other force outside of mesoscale temperature phenomena is contributing to extreme inshore events. We think that direct atmospheric forcing of coastal thermal heating is one such driver that needs immediate research attention in order to better understand what is driving the occurrence and intensity of these events.

We have provided a cursory look at an oceanographic basis for explaining these differences, but we think that there is an urgent need to consider more carefully the complex local-scale modifications of broader-scale patterns seen further afield in order to fully appreciate the drivers of coastal thermal variability in space and time. That such studies are still lacking in an age when the pulse of the global ocean is measured with exquisite precision is a reflection on the oceanographic community’s ongoing preoccupation with mainly broad-scale patterns and processes. Globally comprehensive ocean temperatures are made available almost in real time, but to the best of our knowledge the top coastal temperature databases are all comprised of records retrieved from delayed-mode instruments. In the latter case, near-real-time warnings of the onset and intensity of MHWs (or MCSs) are not yet feasible, whereas it is already possible to develop and implement such warning systems using currently-available gridded satellite SST products. Researchers in the tropics, on coral reefs specifically, are already doing just this as they implement (near) real-time satellite data for the monitoring of coral bleaching (e.g.

I think this is all very valid, however I think you should be careful here and elsewhere, that this does not become too parochial. Most bigger journals would not publish something that was seen to be local or even regional in scope and focus. There are many places throughout this paper where the local aspects overwhelm the general message. -TW I find this to be a very good point but given recent revelations that PiO may be more lenient with this level of parochialism this may not need to be edited. -RWS

In my opinion too long. -TW I don't think so, but should it be cut down to one paragraph? -RWS

**Table S1:** The metadata and coastal averages for all *in situ* time series used in this study.

ID	site	coast	lon	lat	start date	end date	duration (years)	NA %	mean	sd	min	max
1	Port Nolloth	west	16.87	-29.25	1973-07-22	2011-08-31	38.1	6.8	12.3	1.4	9.2	21.0
2	Sea Point	west	18.38	-33.92	1973-12-31	2011-08-10	37.6	6.3	13.1	1.6	8.7	23.0
3	Hout Bay	west	18.35	-34.05	1991-03-25	2008-04-21	17.1	4.9	11.2	1.8	7.5	16.7
4	Kommetjie	west	18.33	-34.14	1992-02-29	2011-08-17	19.5	7.4	13.3	1.6	9.0	20.4
coast	mean	west					28.1	6.3	12.5	1.6	8.6	20.3
5	Fish Hoek	south	18.44	-34.14	1992-02-29	2011-08-17	19.5	5.9	15.4	2.3	10.0	22.5
6	Muizenberg	south	18.48	-34.10	1973-05-04	2011-08-24	38.3	3.9	15.9	3.0	9.0	25.0
7	Gordons Bay	south	18.86	-34.16	1972-09-12	2011-08-24	39.0	4.0	16.5	2.4	10.0	25.5
8	Hermanus	south	19.25	-34.41	1989-11-30	2011-05-18	21.5	4.1	15.6	1.6	9.0	23.5
9	Ystervarkpunt	south	21.74	-34.40	1995-10-23	2007-06-19	11.7	0.0	17.6	2.6	10.1	23.6
10	Mossel Bay	south	22.16	-34.18	1991-06-26	2007-06-19	16.0	8.4	18.0	2.7	10.1	24.6
11	Knysna	south	23.07	-34.08	1995-03-21	2009-11-04	14.6	6.3	17.3	2.6	10.7	24.2
12	Tsitsikamma West	south	23.65	-33.98	1990-06-30	2007-02-14	16.6	7.7	17.2	2.6	9.5	29.3
13	Storms River Mouth	south	23.90	-34.02	1993-03-31	2008-12-30	15.8	4.0	16.8	2.5	9.4	24.4
14	Tsitsikamma East	south	23.91	-34.03	1991-06-29	2009-11-08	18.4	4.0	16.8	2.5	8.8	23.4
15	Pollock Beach	south	25.68	-33.99	1999-05-12	2011-07-06	12.2	3.0	18.1	2.1	10.8	26.5
16	Humewood	south	25.65	-33.97	1973-08-24	1999-12-30	26.4	3.1	18.0	2.3	11.0	25.0
17	Hamburg	south	27.49	-33.29	1995-10-30	2010-02-25	14.3	6.4	17.5	1.8	12.1	24.1
coast	mean	south					20.3	4.7	17.0	2.4	10.0	24.7
18	Eastern Beach	east	27.92	-33.02	1983-12-31	1998-07-30	14.6	9.8	17.9	1.8	12.5	25.0
19	Orient Beach	east	27.92	-33.02	1983-12-31	2011-07-06	27.5	3.9	18.0	1.6	12.0	26.0
20	Nahoon Beach	east	27.95	-32.99	1983-12-31	1998-07-30	14.6	7.0	18.1	1.7	10.0	25.0
21	Sodwana	east	32.73	-27.42	1994-03-11	2010-01-25	15.9	7.0	24.4	2.0	18.6	29.1
coast	mean	east					18.2	6.9	19.6	1.8	13.3	26.3

<http://coralreefwatch.noaa.gov/satellite/index.php>). Other coastal communities should learn from the work of tropical communities in implementing monitoring systems for extreme temperatures, which is now becoming relevant as climate change is threatening previously (relatively) stable ecosystems, such as temperate kelp forests (Wernberg et al., 2013). We have shown that there is an urgent need for such warning systems to be implemented, and feel that the need is greatest for coastal ecosystems as it is here where the effects of MHWs and MCSs are most intensely seen .

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank DAFF, DEA, EKZNW, KZNSB, SAWS and SAEON for contributing all of the raw data used in this study. Without it, this article and the South African Coastal Temperature Network (SACTN) would not be possible. This research was supported by NRF Grant (CPRR14072378735) and by the Australian Research Council (FT110100174). This paper makes a contribution to the objectives of the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science (ARCCSS). The authors report no financial conflicts of interests. The data and analyses used in this paper may be found at <https://github.com/schrob040/MHW>.

## Supplementary

### Meta-data

Further meta-data for each time series and source listed in geographic order along the South African coast from the border of Namibia to the border of Mozambique may be found in Table S1.

????? this is not at all what we have shown. I know this is yours and AJs wet dream but this is not at all what the paper is about or any ecological impacts for that matter. ... I would strongly recommend you reconsider this conclusion – it should be at least related to what the data shows ... -TW I suppose this last paragraph is a bit snarky and our conclusion as to the immediate necessity of better coastal monitoring networks is not completely justified by the data. -RWS

## References

- Alexander, L. V., Zhang, X., Peterson, T. C., Caesar, J., Gleason, B., Klein Tank, A. M. G., Haylock, M., Collins, D., Trewin, B., Rahimzadeh, F., Tagipour, A., Rupa Kumar, K., Revadekar, J., Griffiths, G., Vincent, L., Stephenson, D. B., Burn, J., Aguilar, E., Brunet, M., Taylor, M., New, M., Zhai, P., Rusticucci, M., Vazquez-Aguirre, J. L., 2006. Global observed changes in daily climate extremes of temperature and precipitation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 111 (D5), D05109.
- Benthuisen, J., Feng, M., Zhong, L., 2014. Spatial patterns of warming off Western Australia during the 2011 Ningaloo Niño: quantifying impacts of remote and local forcing. *Continental Shelf Research* 91, 232–246.
- Black, E., Blackburn, M., Harrison, R. G., Hoskins, B. J., Methven, J., 2004. Factors contributing to the summer 2003 European heatwave. *Weather* 59 (8), 217–223.
- Black, K. P., Gay, S. L., Andrews, J. C., 1990. Residence times of neutrally-buoyant matter such as larvae, sewage or nutrients on coral reefs. *Coral Reefs* 9 (3), 105–114.
- Bolton, J. J., Anderson, R. J., Smit, A. J., Rothman, M. D., 2012. South African kelp moving eastwards: the discovery of *Ecklonia maxima* (Osbeck) Papenfuss at De Hoop Nature Reserve on the south coast of South Africa. *African Journal of Marine Science* 34 (1), 147–151.
- Bond, N. A., Cronin, M. F., Freeland, H., Mantua, N., 2015. Causes and impacts of the 2014 warm anomaly in the NE Pacific. *Geophysical Research Letters* 42 (9), 3414–3420.
- Castillo, K. D., Lima, F. P., 2010. Comparison of in situ and satellite-derived (MODIS-Aqua/Terra) methods for assessing temperatures on coral reefs. *Limnology and Oceanography Methods* 8, 107–117.
- Chen, K., Gawarkiewicz, G., Kwon, Y.-O., Zhang, W. G., 2015. The role of atmospheric forcing versus ocean advection during the extreme warming of the Northeast U.S. continental shelf in 2012. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 120, 1–16.
- Chen, K., Gawarkiewicz, G. G., Lentz, S. J., Bane, J. M., 2014. Diagnosing the warming of the Northeastern U.S. Coastal Ocean in 2012: A linkage between the atmospheric jet stream variability and ocean response. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 119 (1), 218–227.
- Crisp, D. J., 1964. The effects of the severe winter of 1962–63 on marine life in Britain. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 33 (1), 165–210.
- DeCastro, M., Gómez-Gesteira, M., Costoya, X., Santos, F., 2014. Upwelling influence on the number of extreme hot SST days along the Canary upwelling ecosystem. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 119 (5), 3029–3040.
- Deser, C., Alexander, M. A., Xie, S. P., Phillips, A. S., 2010. Sea surface temperature variability: patterns and mechanisms. *Annual Review of Marine Science* 2, 115–143.

Dufois, F., Rouault, M., 2012. Sea surface temperature in False Bay (South Africa): towards a better understanding of its seasonal and inter-annual variability. *Continental Shelf Research* 43, 24–35.

Easterling, D. R., Meehl, G. A., Parmesan, C., Changnon, S. A., Karl, T. R., Mearns, L. O., 2000. Climate extremes: observations, modeling, and impacts. *Science* 289 (5487), 2068–2074.

Feng, M., McPhaden, M. J., Xie, S.-P., Hafner, J., 2013. La Niña forces unprecedented Leeuwin Current warming in 2011. *Scientific Reports* 3, 1277.

Firth, L. B., Knights, A. M., Bell, S. S., 2011. Air temperature and winter mortality: Implications for the persistence of the invasive mussel, *Perna viridis* in the intertidal zone of the south-eastern United States. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 400 (1-2), 250–256.

Firth, L. B., Mieszkowska, N., Grant, L. M., Bush, L. E., Davies, A. J., Frost, M. T., Moschella, P. S., Burrows, M. T., Cunningham, P. N., Dye, S. R., Hawkins, S. J., 2015. Historical comparisons reveal multiple drivers of decadal change of an ecosystem engineer at the range edge. *Ecology and Evolution* 5 (15), 3210–3222.

Fischer, E. M., Lawrence, D. M., Sanderson, B. M., 2011. Quantifying uncertainties in projections of extremes - a perturbed land surface parameter experiment. *Climate Dynamics* 37 (7-8), 1381–1398.

Fischer, E. M., Schär, C., 2010. Consistent geographical patterns of changes in high-impact European heatwaves. *Nature Geoscience* 3 (6), 398–403.

García-Reyes, M., Sydeman, W. J., Schoeman, D. S., Rykaczewski, R. R., Black, B. A., Smit, A. J., Bograd, S. J., 2015. Under pressure: climate change, upwelling, and eastern boundary upwelling ecosystems. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 2 (109), 1–10.

Garrabou, J., Coma, R., Bensoussan, N., Bally, M., Chevaldonné, P., Cigliano, M., Diaz, D., Harmelin, J. G., Gambi, M. C., Kersting, D. K., Ledoux, J. B., Lejeusne, C., Linares, C., Marschal, C., Pérez, T., Ribes, M., Romano, J. C., Serrano, E., Teixido, N., Torrents, O., Zabala, M., Zuberer, F., Cerrano, C., 2009. Mass mortality in Northwestern Mediterranean rocky benthic communities: effects of the 2003 heat wave. *Global Change Biology* 15 (5), 1090–1103.

Gershunov, A., Douville, H., 2008. Extensive summer hot and cold extremes under current and possible future climatic conditions: Europe and North America. In: Diaz, H. F., Murnane, R. J. (Eds.), *Climate Extremes and Society*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, Ch. 5, pp. 74–98.

Glickman, T. S., 2000. *Glossary of Meteorology*. American Meteorological Society, Boston, USA.

Graham, W. M., Largier, J. L., 1997. Upwelling shadows as nearshore retention sites: the example of northern Monterey Bay. *Continental Shelf Research* 17 (5), 509–532.

Grundlingh, M. L., Largier, J. L., 1991. Physical oceanography of False Bay - a review. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa* 47, 387–400.

- Gunter, G., 1941. Death of fishes due to cold on the Texas coast, January, 1940. *Ecology* 22 (2), 203–208.
- Gunter, G., 1951. Destruction of fishes and other organisms on the south Texas coast by the cold wave of January 28-February 3, 1951. *Ecology* 32 (4), 731–736.
- 770 Hobday, A. J., Alexander, L. V., Perkins, S. E., Smale, D. A., Straub, S. C., Oliver, E. C., Benthuyssen, J. A., Burrows, M. T., Donat, M. G., Feng, M., Holbrook, N. J., Moore, P. J., Scannell, H. A., Sen Gupta, A., Wernberg, T., 2016. A hierarchical approach to defining marine heatwaves. *Progress in Oceanography* 141, 227–238.
- Holt, S. A., Holt, G. J., 1983. Cold death of fishes at Port Aransas, Texas: January 1982. The  
775 *Southwestern Naturalist* 28 (4), 464–466.
- Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., Westfall, P., 2008. Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. *Biometrical Journal* 50 (3), 346–363.
- Hutchings, L., van der Lingen, C. D., Shannon, L. J., Crawford, R. J. M., Verheye, H. M. S., Bartholomae, C. H., van der Plas, a. K., Louw, D., Kreiner, A., Ostrowski, M., Fidel, Q., Barlow, R. G., Lamont,  
780 T., Coetzee, J., Shillington, F., Veitch, J., Currie, J. C., Monteiro, P. M. S., 2009. The Benguela Current: an ecosystem of four components. *Progress in Oceanography* 83 (1-4), 15–32.
- Jentsch, A., Kreyling, J., Beierkuhnlein, C., 2007. A new generation of climate-change experiments: events, not trends. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 5 (6), 315–324.
- Klumb, R. A., Rudstam, L. G., Mills, E. L., Schneider, C. P., Sawyko, P. M., 2003. Importance of  
785 Lake Ontario Embayments and Nearshore Habitats as Nurseries for Larval Fishes with Emphasis on Alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*). *Journal of Great Lakes Research* 29 (1), 181–198.
- Kreyling, J., Beierkuhnlein, C., Ellis, L., Jentsch, A., 2008. Invasibility of grassland and heath communities exposed to extreme weather events – additive effects of diversity resistance and fluctuating physical environment. *Oikos* 117 (10), 1542–1554.
- 790 Lima, F. P., Wetthey, D. S., 2012. Three decades of high-resolution coastal sea surface temperatures reveal more than warming. *Nature Communications* 3, 704.
- Ling, S. D., Johnson, C. R., Ridgway, K., Hobday, A. J., Haddon, M., 2009. Climate-driven range extension of a sea urchin: inferring future trends by analysis of recent population dynamics. *Global Change Biology* 15 (3), 719–731.
- 795 Lirman, D., Schopmeyer, S., Manzello, D., Gramer, L. J., Precht, W. F., Muller-Karger, F., Banks, K., Barnes, B., Bartels, E., Bourque, A., Byrne, J., Donahue, S., Duquesnel, J., Fisher, L., Gilliam, D., Hendee, J., Johnson, M., Maxwell, K., McDevitt, E., Monty, J., Rueda, D., Ruzicka, R., Thanner, S., 2011. Severe 2010 cold-water event caused unprecedented mortality to corals of the Florida reef tract and reversed previous survivorship patterns. *PLOS ONE* 6 (8).



- 800 Lugendo, B. R., Pronker, A., Cornelissen, I., de Groene, A., Nagelkerken, I., Dorenbosch, M., van der Velde, G., Mgaya, Y. D., 2005. Habitat utilisation by juveniles of commercially important fish species in a marine embayment in Zanzibar, Tanzania. *Aquatic Living Resources* 18 (2), 149–158.
- Lünning, K., Yarish, C., Kirkman, H., 1990. *Seaweeds: their environment, biogeography and ecophysiology*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA.
- 805 Lutjeharms, J. R. E., Cooper, J., Roberts, M., 2000. Upwelling at the inshore edge of the Agulhas Current. *Continental Shelf Research* 20 (7), 737–761.
- Lutjeharms, J. R. E., Penven, P., Roy, C., 2003. Modelling the shear edge eddies of the southern Agulhas Current. *Continental Shelf Research* 23 (11-13), 1099–1115.
- Mackenzie, B. R., Schiedek, D., 2007. Daily ocean monitoring since the 1860s shows record warming of  
810 northern European seas. *Global Change Biology* 13 (7), 1335–1347.
- Marsh, H., O’Shea, T. J., Best, R. C., 1986. Research on Sirenians. *Ambio* 15 (3), 177–180.
- Matthes, H., Rinke, A., Dethloff, K., 2015. Recent changes in Arctic temperature extremes: warm and cold spells during winter and summer. *Environmental Research Letters* 10 (11), 114020.
- McCulloch, A., Shanks, A. L., 2003. Topographically generated fronts, very nearshore oceanography  
815 and the distribution and settlement of mussel larvae and barnacle cyprids. *Journal of Plankton Research* 25 (11), 1427–1439.
- Meehl, G. A., 2004. More intense, more frequent, and longer lasting heat waves in the 21st century. *Science* 305 (5686), 994–997.
- Mills, K. E., Pershing, A. J., Brown, C. J., Chen, Y., Chiang, F., Holland, D. S., Lehuta, S., Nye, J. A.,  
820 Sun, J. C., Thomas, A. C., Wahle, R. A., 2012. Fisheries management in a changing climate: lessons from the 2012 ocean heat wave in the Northwest Atlantic. *Oceanography* 26 (2), 191–195.
- Narváez, D. A., Poulin, E., Leiva, G., Hernández, E., Castilla, J. C., Navarrete, S. A., 2004. Seasonal and spatial variation of nearshore hydrographic conditions in central Chile. *Continental Shelf Research* 24 (2), 279–292.
- 825 Okubo, A., 1973. Effect of shoreline irregularities on streamwise dispersion in estuaries and other embayments. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research* 6 (1-2), 213–224.
- Olita, A., Sorgente, R., Natale, S., Gaberšek, S., Ribotti, A., Bonanno, A., Patti, B., 2007. Effects of the 2003 European heatwave on the Central Mediterranean Sea: surface fluxes and the dynamical response. *Ocean Science* 3 (2), 273–289.
- 830 O’Shea, T. J., Beck, C. A., Bonde, R. K., Kochman, H. I., Odell, D. K., 1985. An analysis of manatee mortality patterns in Florida, 1976-81. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 49 (1), 1–11.

Pachauri, R. K., Meyer, L., van Ypersele, J., Brinkman, S., van Kesteren, L., Leprince-Ringuet, N., Van Boxmeer, F., 2014. Climate Change 2014 Synthesis Report.

Pearce, A. F., Feng, M., 2013. The rise and fall of the marine heat wave off Western Australia during the summer of 2010/2011. *Journal of Marine Systems* 111-112, 139–156.

Perkins, S. E., Alexander, L. V., 2013. On the measurement of heat waves. *Journal of Climate* 26 (13), 4500–4517.

Pineda, J., 1994. Internal tidal bores in the nearshore: warm-water fronts, seaward gravity currents and the onshore transport of neustonic larvae. *Journal of Marine Research* 52 (3), 427–458.

Pingree, R. D., Maddock, L., 1979. The tidal physics of headland flows and offshore tidal bank formation. *Marine Geology* 32 (3-4), 269–289.

Rehage, J. S., Blanchard, J. R., Boucek, R. E., Lorenz, J. J., Robinson, M., 2016. Knocking back invasions: variable resistance and resilience to multiple cold spells in native vs. nonnative fishes. *Ecosphere*, e01268.

Reynolds, R. W., Smith, T. M., Liu, C., Chelton, D. B., Casey, K. S., Schlax, M. G., 2007. Daily high-resolution-blended analyses for sea surface temperature. *Journal of Climate* 20 (22), 5473–5496.

Roberts, M. J., 2005. Chokka squid (*Loligo vulgaris reynaudii*) abundance linked to changes in South Africa's Agulhas Bank ecosystem during spawning and the early life cycle. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 62 (1), 33–55.

Selig, E. R., Casey, K. S., Bruno, J. F., 2010. New insights into global patterns of ocean temperature anomalies: implications for coral reef health and management. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 19, 397–411.

Smale, D. A., Wernberg, T., 2009. Satellite-derived SST data as a proxy for water temperature in nearshore benthic ecology Peer reviewed article. *Marine Biology* 387, 27–37.

Smit, A. J., Roberts, M., Anderson, R. J., Dufois, F., Dudley, S. F. J., Bornman, T. G., Olbers, J., Bolton, J. J., 2013. A coastal seawater temperature dataset for biogeographical studies: large biases between in situ and remotely-sensed data sets around the coast of South Africa. *PLOS ONE* 8 (12).

Sura, P., 2011. A general perspective of extreme events in weather and climate. *Atmospheric Research* 101 (1-2), 1–21.

Wernberg, T., Russell, B. D., Moore, P. J., Ling, S. D., Smale, D. A., Campbell, A., Coleman, M. A., Steinberg, P. D., Kendrick, G. A., Connell, S. D., 2011. Impacts of climate change in a global hotspot for temperate marine biodiversity and ocean warming. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 400 (1-2), 7–16.

- Wernberg, T., Smale, D. A., Tuya, F., Thomsen, M. S., Langlois, T. J., de Bettignies, T., Bennett, S.,  
865 Rousseaux, C. S., 2013. An extreme climatic event alters marine ecosystem structure in a global  
biodiversity hotspot. *Nature Climate Change* 3 (1), 78–82.
- Wolanski, E., Hamner, W. M., 1988. Topographically controlled fronts in the ocean and their biological  
influence. *Science* 241 (4862), 177–181.
- Woodward, F. I., 1987. *Climate and Plant Distribution*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,  
870 United Kingdom.