Proof of the bias-variance decomposition

Assumptions

Labels y are given by a deterministic function f of the input variables x plus some random noise ϵ , such that

$$y(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon \tag{1}$$

The noises ϵ are independent and identically distributed. We will assume a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ^2 , such that

$$\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$
 (2)

There exists a (generally unknown) distribution P from which the input variables \mathbf{x} have been sampled:

$$\exists P \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{x} \sim P$$
 (3)

We can then use this distribution as well as (1) and (2) to sample a dataset \mathcal{D} of N elements

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket} \tag{4}$$

We will estimate a prediction function $\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}}$ from \mathcal{D} , from which we can predict labels \hat{y} from new inputs \mathbf{x} :

$$\hat{y} = \hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{5}$$

Finally, we assume that $\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and ϵ are independent (this is not obvious, we will prove this result for the linear regression later as an exercise).

$$\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}} \perp \!\!\!\perp \epsilon$$
 (6)

Reminders

$$cov[X, Y] = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] \tag{7}$$

 $\mathbb{E}[XY] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$ if and only if X and Y are independent, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}[XY] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] \iff X \perp \!\!\!\perp Y \tag{8}$$

If X = Y then

$$cov[X, X] = var[X] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$$
(9)

Proof

We will prove

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D},\mathbf{x}}[(y(\mathbf{x}) - \hat{y}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}]}_{\text{expected error}} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\hat{f}]]^{2}}_{\text{bias}^{2}} + \underbrace{\text{var}_{\mathcal{D}}[\hat{f}]}_{\text{variance}} + \underbrace{\sigma^{2}}_{\text{noise}} \tag{10}$$

To simplify notations we write $f = f(\mathbf{x})$ and $y = y(\mathbf{x})$

$$\mathbb{E}[(y-\hat{y})^2] = \mathbb{E}[(f+\epsilon-\hat{f})^2] \quad \text{from (1) and (5)}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(f + \epsilon - \hat{f} + \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}]\right)^{2}\right] \tag{12}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\underbrace{(f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])}_{=a \text{ (def.)}} + \underbrace{(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f} + \epsilon)}_{=b \text{ (def.)}}\right)^{2}\right]$$
(13)

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\underbrace{(f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])^{2}}_{=a^{2}} + \underbrace{2(f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f} + \epsilon)}_{=2ab} + \underbrace{(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f} + \epsilon)^{2}}_{=b^{2}}\right]$$
(14)

From the linearity of the expectation \mathbb{E} ,

$$\mathbb{E}[a^2 + 2ab + b^2] = \mathbb{E}[a^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[ab] + \mathbb{E}[b^2]$$
(15)

We have

$$2ab = 2(f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f} + \epsilon) \tag{16}$$

$$= 2(f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f}) + 2(f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])\epsilon \tag{17}$$

$$b^2 = (\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f} + \epsilon)^2 \tag{18}$$

$$= (\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f})^2 + 2(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f})\epsilon + \epsilon^2 \tag{19}$$

So

$$\mathbb{E}[(y-\hat{y})^2] = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(f-\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])^2]}_{=\mathbb{E}[g^2]} + \underbrace{2\mathbb{E}[(f-\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}]-\hat{f})]}_{=c,\,(\text{def})} + \underbrace{2\mathbb{E}[(f-\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])\epsilon]}_{=d,\,(\text{def})}$$
(20)

$$+\underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f})^2]}_{=e \text{ (def.)}} + \underbrace{2\mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f})\epsilon]}_{=g \text{ (def.)}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\epsilon^2]}_{=\mathbb{E}[\epsilon^2]}$$
(21)

Let's have a look at the different terms

$$\mathbb{E}[a^2] = \mathbb{E}[(f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])^2] \tag{22}$$

 $(f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])$ does not depend on \mathcal{D} so

$$\mathbb{E}[a^2] = (f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])^2 \tag{23}$$

$$c = 2\mathbb{E}[(f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f})] \tag{24}$$

Again $(f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])$ does not depend on \mathcal{D} so $\mathbb{E}[f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}]] = f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}]$

$$c = 2(f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f}] \tag{25}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}]] - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] = \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] = 0 \tag{26}$$

so

$$c = 0 (27)$$

Since \hat{f} and ϵ are independent (assumption (6)), from (8) we have

$$d = 2\mathbb{E}[(f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])\epsilon] = 2 \cdot \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\epsilon]}_{\text{from (2)}} \cdot \mathbb{E}[f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}]] = 0$$
 (28)

Similarly

$$g = 2\mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f})\epsilon] = 0 \tag{29}$$

$$e = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}] - \hat{f})^2] = \text{var}[\hat{f}] \quad \text{from (9)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\epsilon^2] = \text{var}[\epsilon] \quad \text{from (9) since } \mathbb{E}[\epsilon]^2 = 0^2 = 0$$

$$= \sigma^2 \quad \text{from (2)}$$
(31)

And finally, from (20), (23), (27), (28), (30), (29), (28) and (31)

$$\mathbb{E}[(y - \hat{y})^2] = (f - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}])^2 + \text{var}[\hat{f}] + \sigma^2$$
(33)

Q.E.D.