Chapter 1

Removing Left-Recursion

1.1 Theory

parsley-garnish bases its left-recursion factoring transformation on the work of Baars and Swierstra [2004], adapted to fit PEG semantics. At a high-level, the transformation involves "unfolding" each non-terminal production into three parts:

- empty: The semantic actions of the parser, if it can derive the empty string. Conceptually, this has type Option[A] where A is the type of the semantics.

- nonLeftRec: The non-left-recursive part of the parser that does not derive the empty string. This will have some type Parsley[A].

- leftRec: The left-recursive call, which in the general left-recursive case, corresponds to a repeated postfix operator of type Parsley[A => A]. This is a function which requires the semantics of the left-recursive non-terminal argument.

This unfolded parser can then be recombined using the postfix combinator. This combinator encapsulates the general form of left-associative parsing, and most other iterative combinators can be derived from it [Willis 2023].

```
// def postfix[A](p: Parsley[A])(op: => Parsley[A => A]): Parsley[A]
val transformed = postfix(nonLeftRec | empty)(leftRec)
```

This transformation is applied in-order to each parser in the source file, replacing the original parser with its factored form if it was left-recursive.

below Can derive empty string? (good resource from packrat parsing paper) pure(x) – yes, semantic action is x empty – no p <|> q – if p or q can derive empty, peg is ordered so semantic action is pe if it can derive empty, else qe p <*> q – if p and q can derive empty, semantic action is pe(qe) due to pure(f) <*> pure(x) == pure(f(x)) law string – only if given argument "", but this also illegal in parsely – explicitly triggers a runtime error, so basically no [error] java.lang.IllegalArgumentException: requirement failed: 'string' may not be passed the empty string ('string("")' is meaningless, perhaps you meant 'pure("")'?) char – no (not implemented as a core comb, should do that) item – no (not implemented as a core comb, should do that?) many(p) – yes (not implemented properly?) – semantic action is empty list?? some(p) – if p can derive empty (not a primitive, defined in terms of many – p <::> many(p)) NT – if referenced rule can derive empty

1.2 Implementation

section intro

Running example   The following left-recursive parser and its transformation into its postfix form will serve as a running example:

```
lazy val example: Parsley[String] = (example, string("a")).zipped(_ + _) | string("b")
```

1.2.1 The Need for an Intermediate AST

The transformations described by Baars and Swierstra [2004] require an explicit representation of the grammar and production rules so that they can be inspected and manipulated before generating code. They achieve this by representing parsers as a deep-embedded datatype in the form of an intermediate AST, in a similar manner to parsley.

Since parsley-garnish is a linter, by nature, it has access to an explicit grammar representation in the form of the full `scala.meta.Tree` AST of the source program. However, this datatype represents general-purpose abstract Scala syntax, rather than the abstract syntax of a specialised parser combinator DSL. This makes it not well-suited for performing domain-specific operations over the AST.

Take for example the task of combining two AST nodes `Term.Name("p")` and `Term.Name("q")`, representing named parsers p and q, with the *ap* combinator `<*>`. This operation can be concisely expressed with Scalameta quasiquotes, rather than manually writing out the full explicit AST:

```
q"p <*> q" ==
  Term.ApplyInfix(
    Term.Name("p"),
    Term.Name("<*>"),
    Type.ArgClause(Nil),
    Term.ArgClause(List(Term.Name("q")), None)
  )
```

However, the operation of inspecting the individual parsers p and q is not as straightforward. Although quasiquotes can be used as extractor patterns in pattern matching, this usage is discouraged due to limitations in their design that makes it easy to accidentally introduce match errors[1]. Thus, extracting the parsers necessitates a long-winded pattern match like so:

```
val ap = SymbolMatcher.normalized("parsley.Parsley.`<*>`")

def deconstructAp(parser: Term) = parser match {
  case Term.ApplyInfix(p, ap(_), _, Term.ArgClause(List(q), _)) => (p, q)
}
```

This involves dealing with abstract general-purpose syntax constructs like `Term.ApplyInfix`, which are low-level details not relevant to the task of manipulating parsers. Although this is not an issue for simple one-off transformations, for more specialised transformations like left-recursion factoring, it would be desirable to abstract away from these low-level syntactic details. This motivates the need for an higher-level, intermediate AST representation that is more specialised to the domain of parser combinators.

The Parser ADT

parsley-garnish therefore takes a similar approach as Baars and Swierstra [2004] and parsley itself, building an intermediate AST as a deep-embedded parser combinator tree. Fig. 1.1 shows how this is implemented as a `Parser` algebraic data type (ADT). All `Parser` types represent parsley combinators, with the sole exception of `NonTerminal` to represent references to named parsers.

Deconstructing parsers   Syntactic sugar for deconstructing parsers is provided by `unapply` methods on symbolic extractor objects. This makes pattern matching on parsers feel more natural:

---

[1] https://scalameta.org/docs/trees/guide.html#with-quasiquotes-1

```scala
trait Parser
case class NonTerminal(ref: Symbol) extends Parser
case class Pure(x: Term) extends Parser
case object Empty extends Parser
case class Ap(p: Parser, q: Parser) extends Parser
case class Choice(p: Parser, q: Parser) extends Parser
```

Fig. 1.1: A subset of the core combinators in the `Parser` ADT.

```scala
object <*> {
  def unapply(parser: Ap): Option[(Parser, Parser)] = Some((parser.p, parser.q))
}

def deconstructAp(parser: Parser) = parser match {
  case p <*> q => (p, q)
}
```

Constructing parsers   Defining infix operators as extension methods on the `Parser` trait provides syntactic sugar for constructing parsers:

```scala
implicit class ParserOps(private val p: Parser) extends AnyVal {
  def <*>(q: Parser): Parser = Ap(p, q)
  def <|>(q: Parser): Parser = Choice(p, q)
  def map(f: Term): Parser = FMap(p, f)
}

implicit class MultiParserOps(private val ps: List[Parser]) extends AnyVal {
  def zipped(f: Term): Parser = Zipped(f, ps)
}
```

This makes working with `Parser` terms feel closer to writing `parsley` code. For example, notice how constructing the *code* representation of the `example` parser resembles how the original parser itself would be written:

```scala
val exNT = NonTerminal(Sym(Term.Name("example").symbol))

// val ex: Parsley[String] =     (ex,  string("a")).zipped(  _ + _ )  |  string("b")
   val ex: Parser           = List(exNT,   Str("a")).zipped(q"_ + _") <|>    Str("b")
```

1.2.2   Lifting to the Intermediate Parser AST

Converting the raw Scala AST to this intermediate parser combinator AST requires the following basic operations:

1. Identifying all named parsers defined in the source program – these correspond to non-terminal symbols in the grammar.

2. Lifting the definition each parser into the intermediate AST, i.e. a `Parser` object.

3. Collecting these into a map to represent the high-level grammar – the unique symbol of each named parser is mapped to its corresponding `Parser` object, along with extra meta-information required for the transformation.

Most importantly, this meta-information includes a reference to a parser's original node in the Scala AST, so lint diagnostics or code rewrites can be applied to the correct location in the source file:

```scala
case class ParserDefn(name: Term.Name, parser: Parser, tpe: Type.Name, originalTree: Term)
```

Identifying Named Parsers

Finding AST nodes corresponding to the definition sites of named parsers involves pattern matching on `val`, `var`, and `def` definitions with a type inferred to be some `Parsley[_]`. This type information is accessed by querying the Scalafix semantic API for the node's symbol information. Consider the labelled AST structure of the `example` parser:

```scala
// lazy val example: Parsley[String] = (example, string("a")).zipped(_ + _) | string("b")
// ^^^^     ^^^^^^^  ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^    ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
// mods     pats       decltpe                                       rhs

val exampleTree = Defn.Val(
  mods = List(Mod.Lazy()),
  pats = List(Pat.Var(Term.Name("example"))),
  decltpe = Some(
    Type.Apply(Type.Name("Parsley"), Type.ArgClause(List(Type.Name("String"))))
  ),
  rhs = Term.ApplyInfix(...)
)
```

Note that the `decltpe` field refers to the *syntax* of the explicit type annotation, not the *semantic* information the variable's inferred type. Therefore, this field will not always be present, so in the general case, the type must be queried via a symbol information lookup like so:

```scala
exampleTree match {
  case Defn.Val(_, List(Pat.Var(varName)), _, body) =>
    println(s"qualified symbol = ${varName.symbol}")
    varName.symbol.info.get.signature match {
      case MethodSignature(_, _, returnType) =>
        println(s"type = $returnType")
        println(s"structure of type object = ${returnType.structure}")
    }
}
// qualified symbol = path/to/package/ObjectName.example.
// type = Parsley[String]
// structure of type object = TypeRef(
//   NoType,
//   Symbol("parsley/Parsley#"),
//   List(TypeRef(NoType, Symbol("scala/Predef.String#"), List())))
// )
```

Seeing that the type of this AST node is `Parsley[String]`, parsley-garnish can then proceed to convert the `rhs` term into a `Parser` ADT object. The map entry uses the fully qualified symbol for `example` as the key, and the lifted `Parser` object as the value.

Converting Scalameta Terms to the Parser ADT

Having identified the AST nodes which represent parsers, they need to be transformed into the appropriate `Parser` representation. This involves pattern matching on the `scala.meta.Term` to determine which parser combinator it represents, and then constructing the appropriate `Parser` instance.

Each `Parser` defines a partial function `fromTerm` to instantiate a parser from the appropriate `scala.meta.Term`. These `fromTerm` methods perform the menial work of pattern matching on the low-level syntactic constructs of the Scala AST. All `fromTerm` methods are combined to define the `toParser` extension method on `scala.meta.Term` – this is where AST nodes are lifted to their corresponding `Parser` representation.

The pattern matching example from §1.2.1 makes a reappearance in the definition of `Ap.fromTerm`, where the arguments to the `<*>` combinator are instead recursively lifted to `Parser` objects:

```scala
// Type signatures in Parsley:
// p: Parsley[A => B], q: =>Parsley[A], p <*> q: Parsley[B]
case class Ap(p: Parser, q: Parser) extends Parser
object Ap {
  val matcher = SymbolMatcher.normalized("parsley.Parsley.`<*>`")

  def fromTerm: PartialFunction[Term, Ap] = {
    case Term.ApplyInfix(p, matcher(_), _, Term.ArgClause(List(q), _)) =>
      Ap(p.toParser, q.toParser)
  }
}
```

Where a combinator takes a non-parser argument, this is treated as a black box and kept as a raw AST node of type `scala.meta.Term`:

```scala
// x: A, pure(x): Parsley[A]
case class Pure(x: Term) extends Parser
object Pure {
  val matcher = SymbolMatcher.normalized("parsley.ParsleyImpl.pure")

  def fromTerm: PartialFunction[Term, Pure] = {
    case Term.Apply(matcher(_), Term.ArgClause(List(expr), _)) => Pure(expr)
  }
}
```

Building the Grammar Map

The overall process of converting the source file AST to a high-level map of the grammar can therefore be expressed as a single traversal over the AST:

```scala
object VariableDecl {
  def unapply(tree: Tree): ParserDefn = tree match {
    case Defn.Val(_, List(Pat.Var(varName)), _, body) if isParsleyType(varName) =>
      ParserDefn(
        name = varName,
        parser = body.toParser,
        tpe = getParsleyType(varName),
        originalTree = body
      )
    // similar cases for Defn.Var and Defn.Def
  }
}

val nonTerminals: Map[Symbol, ParserDefn] = doc.tree.collect {
  case VariableDecl(parserDef) => parserDefn.name.symbol -> parserDef
}.toMap
```

1.2.3 Lowering Back to the Scalameta AST

After all necessary transformations have been applied to parser terms, the final step is to convert them back to a textual representation to be applied as a Scalafix patch. Parsers can be lowered back to `scala.meta.Term` nodes by the inverse of the original `fromTerm` transformation. The `Parser` trait defines this transformation as the method `term`, using quasiquotes to simplify the construction of the `scala.meta.Term` nodes. For example:

```scala
case class Zipped(func: Function, parsers: List[Parser]) extends Parser {
  val term: Term = q"(..${parsers.map(_.term)}).zipped(${func.term})"
}
```

This term can then be pretty-printed into a string, and applied as a Scalafix patch.

1.2.4 Implementing the Left-Recursion Transformation

TODO

Core combinators: `NonTerminal`, `Pure`, `Empty`, `Ap`, `Choice`. Combinators like `String` are theoretically core combinators but they represent boring cases. Some composite combinators are supported, and desugared into the core combinators.

Defining Utility Functions

The transformation requires the use of three higher-order functions:

- The identity function `identity[A]: A => A` is defined in the standard library.

- The flip function reverses the order of arguments applied to a function. This isn't defined in the standard library, so it must be defined manually.

- Function composition is define in the standard library, but a more versatile curried version is required by the transformation, so it is also defined manually.

Therefore, `parsley-garnish` will insert the following definitions into the source file as a patch:

```scala
def flip[A, B, C](f: A => B => C)(x: B)(y: A): C = f(y)(x)
def compose[A, B, C](f: B => C)(g: A => B)(x: A): C = f(g(x))
```

This brings these higher-order functions into scope, allowing the transformed code to make use of it.

The `unfold` method is defined for every single combinator in the `Parser` ADT. Most important is the `Ap` combinator, which is `parsley-garnish`'s primitive for composing parsers.

```scala
case class Ap(p: Parser, q: Parser) extends Parser {
  def unfold: UnfoldedParser = {
    val UnfoldedParser(pe, pn, pl) = p.unfold
    val UnfoldedParser(qe, qn, ql) = q.unfold

    val empty =
      if (pe.isDefined && qe.isDefined) Some(q"${pe.get}(${qe.get})")
      else None

    val lefts = {
      val llr = pl.map(q"flip") <*> q
```

```scala
      val rlr = pe.map(q"ql.map(compose)").getOrElse(Empty)
      llr <|> rlr
    }

    val nonLefts = {
      val lnl = pn <*> q
      val rnl = pe.map(q"f => qn.map(f)").getOrElse(Empty)
      lnl <|> rnl
    }

    UnfoldedParser(empty, nonLefts, lefts)
  }
}
```

Success...?

Running the transformation on the `example` parser yields the output in fig. 1.2. This is... disappointing, to say the

```scala
def flip[A, B, C](f: A => B => C)(x: B)(y: A): C = f(y)(x)
def compose[A, B, C](f: B => C)(g: A => B)(x: A): C = f(g(x))

lazy val example: Parsley[String] = chain.postfix(
  empty | (empty.map((_ + _).curried) | empty <*> example) <*> string("a")
    | string("b") | empty
)(
  (empty.map(flip) <*> example | pure(identity).map(compose((_ + _).curried)))
    .map(flip) <*> string("a")
    | empty | empty
)
```

Fig. 1.2: The initial attempt at factoring out left-recursion from the `example` parser.

least. There are *many* things wrong with the transformed output:

- The parser is horrendously complex and unreadable, its intent entirely obfuscated in a sea of combinators.

- Having to define the `flip` and `compose` functions is not ideal, but inlining them as lambdas would make the code even worse.

- Even worse, the parser does not even typecheck – unlike classical Hindley-Milner-based type systems, Scala only has *local* type inference [Cremet et al. 2006]. As a result, the compiler is unable to correctly infer correct types for `flip` and also asks for explicit type annotations in the lambda `(_ + _).curried`.