



Cyberscope

Audit Report

# Rocket Protocol

August 2024

Network     BASE

Address     0xe1104FBbB566dc5807b34F896df096F0572Fdf20

Audited by   © cyberscope

# Table of Contents

<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Risk Classification</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Review</b>	<b>4</b>
Audit Updates	4
Source Files	4
<b>Overview</b>	<b>6</b>
Before Start Functionality	6
Funding and Refunding	6
Starting Trading and Refunding	6
After Start Functionality	7
Minting Tokens	7
Claiming Extra ETH	7
Command Implementation	7
Liquidity Pool Initialization	7
<b>Findings Breakdown</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Diagnostics</b>	<b>10</b>
ILC - Ineffective Logic Checks	11
Description	11
Recommendation	11
RRC - Redundant Require Checks	12
Description	12
Recommendation	12
UEL - Unused ETH Lock	13
Description	13
Recommendation	13
L04 - Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions	14
Description	14
Recommendation	14
L16 - Validate Variable Setters	15
Description	15
Recommendation	15
L19 - Stable Compiler Version	16
Description	16
Recommendation	16
<b>Functions Analysis</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Inheritance Graph</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Flow Graph</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Disclaimer</b>	<b>23</b>

**About Cyberscope****24**

# Risk Classification

The criticality of findings in Cyberscope's smart contract audits is determined by evaluating multiple variables. The two primary variables are:

1. **Likelihood of Exploitation:** This considers how easily an attack can be executed, including the economic feasibility for an attacker.
2. **Impact of Exploitation:** This assesses the potential consequences of an attack, particularly in terms of the loss of funds or disruption to the contract's functionality.

Based on these variables, findings are categorized into the following severity levels:

1. **Critical:** Indicates a vulnerability that is both highly likely to be exploited and can result in significant fund loss or severe disruption. Immediate action is required to address these issues.
2. **Medium:** Refers to vulnerabilities that are either less likely to be exploited or would have a moderate impact if exploited. These issues should be addressed in due course to ensure overall contract security.
3. **Minor:** Involves vulnerabilities that are unlikely to be exploited and would have a minor impact. These findings should still be considered for resolution to maintain best practices in security.
4. **Informative:** Points out potential improvements or informational notes that do not pose an immediate risk. Addressing these can enhance the overall quality and robustness of the contract.

Severity	Likelihood / Impact of Exploitation
● Critical	Highly Likely / High Impact
● Medium	Less Likely / High Impact or Highly Likely/ Lower Impact
● Minor / Informative	Unlikely / Low to no Impact

## Review

Contract Name	FairLaunchLimitBlockTokenV3
Compiler Version	v0.8.24+commit.e11b9ed9
Optimization	20000 runs
Explorer	<a href="https://basescan.org/address/0xe1104fbbb566dc5807b34f896df096f0572fdf20">https://basescan.org/address/0xe1104fbbb566dc5807b34f896df096f0572fdf20</a>
Address	0xe1104fbbb566dc5807b34f896df096f0572fdf20
Network	BASE
Symbol	MEME
Decimals	18
Total Supply	20,000,000,000

## Audit Updates

Initial Audit	12 Jun 2024 <a href="https://github.com/cyberscope-io/audits/blob/main/phei/v1/audit.pdf">https://github.com/cyberscope-io/audits/blob/main/phei/v1/audit.pdf</a>
Corrected Phase 2	12 Aug 2024

## Source Files

Filename	SHA256
NoDelegateCall.sol	3ed325984f14f783c63cc3c2bfd42b82e6dc9136cd8153984665a3a506a3edfb

<b>Meme.sol</b>	98414250a13a8eb2adf176e7e9f66f03d348784e9e9c736add5150d8ae01212b
<b>IMeme.sol</b>	efc7b77b59df5252ac8029639292a864dc42625e97aaa5cc463b44f0180d274a
<b>IFairLaunch.sol</b>	8a4f83221d50264b74526f30249a396c3190e92bb9e8599d8cddc3b9e94c4fc9
<b>FairLaunchLimitBlockV3.sol</b>	e871128ed383b375a8f91806ae0048cffa3d49d3f16ae9ad87f82851b5f245bc

## Overview

The `FairLaunchLimitBlockV3` contract is designed to facilitate a fair token launch process, incorporating various functionalities for refunding, funding, minting, and starting token trading. It integrates mechanisms to handle user funds, ensure fairness through block-based conditions, and leverage Uniswap for liquidity provision.

The primary purpose of the `FairLaunchLimitBlockV3` contract is to manage the launch of a the `Meme` token with specific constraints and commands. It allows users to fund the contract, request refunds, mint tokens, and initiate trading, all governed by predefined block numbers and funding caps to ensure a fair and orderly process.

## Before Start Functionality

### Funding and Refunding

If the `canStart` condition is `false`, indicating that the designated block number has not yet been reached, users can interact with the contract in several ways. They can send native tokens (ETH) to the contract in specific amounts defined as commands. If users send the `REFUND_COMMAND` value (0.0002 ETH), the contract will process a `refund` of their previously contributed funds. Alternatively, if users send any other value, the contract will treat it as a funding contribution, adding the sent amount to their balance and the contract's total fund balance.

### Starting Trading and Refunding

If the `canStart` condition is `true`, meaning the designated block number has been reached but trading has not yet started, users can send the `START_COMMAND` value (0.0005 ETH) to initiate the token trading process. This action will trigger the contract to add liquidity to Uniswap and set the started state to true. Users can also still request refunds, before the `START_COMMAND` happens, by sending the `REFUND_COMMAND` value, which will process their refund as described earlier.

## After Start Functionality

### Minting Tokens

Once the contract has started (i.e., trading is enabled), users can send the `MINT_COMMAND` value (0.0001 ETH) to mint tokens. The contract verifies that the sender has not already minted tokens and calculates the amount of tokens they are eligible to receive based on their contribution relative to the total funds. The tokens are then transferred to the user, and the original `MINT_COMMAND` amount of ETH is returned to the user.

### Claiming Extra ETH

After the contract has started, if the total ETH collected exceeds a predefined `softTopCap`, users can claim their share of the excess ETH by sending the `CLAIM_COMMAND` value (0.0002 ETH). The contract calculates each user's claimable amount based on their contribution proportion and transfers the corresponding ETH to them. This ensures that any excess funds are fairly distributed among the participants.

#### Command Implementation

The contract uses specific ETH values as commands to trigger different functionalities:

- `REFUND_COMMAND` (0.0002 ETH): Triggers the refund process for users who wish to withdraw their contributions before the start.
- `CLAIM_COMMAND` (0.0002 ETH): Allows users to claim extra ETH after the start if the total collected exceeds the soft top cap.
- `START_COMMAND` (0.0005 ETH): Initiates the start of trading and adds liquidity to Uniswap.
- `MINT_COMMAND` (0.0001 ETH): Enables users to mint tokens once trading has started.


#### Liquidity Pool Initialization

The `_start` function initializes a Uniswap V3 liquidity pool, setting the stage for trading. It first verifies that the contract hasn't started and holds enough tokens, then checks if a pool already exists. If not, it calculates the ETH amount to be added, approves token management, and creates the pool via `_initPool`. The function then mints and locks the liquidity tokens to prevent manipulation, marks the contract as started, and emits a



`LaunchEvent` to confirm the successful creation of the liquidity pool. If a locker is specified, the liquidity tokens are securely locked in the contract.

## Findings Breakdown



● Critical	0
● Medium	0
● Minor / Informative	6

Severity	Unresolved	Acknowledged	Resolved	Other
● Critical	0	0	0	0
● Medium	0	0	0	0
● Minor / Informative	6	0	0	0

# Diagnostics

● Critical ● Medium ● Minor / Informative

Severity	Code	Description	Status
●	ILC	Ineffective Logic Checks	Unresolved
●	RRC	Redundant Require Checks	Unresolved
●	UEL	Unused ETH Lock	Unresolved
●	L04	Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions	Unresolved
●	L16	Validate Variable Setters	Unresolved
●	L19	Stable Compiler Version	Unresolved

## ILC - Ineffective Logic Checks

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	FairLaunchLimitBlockV3.sol#L315,291
Status	Unresolved

### Description

The contract is implementing checks that do not provide any meaningful logic validation since, based on the contract's setup and variable assignments, they will always evaluate to true. These checks do not contribute to enhancing the security or functionality of the contract, leading to unnecessary complexity and potential inefficiencies.

```
require(_mintAmount > 0, "FairMint: mint amount is zero");
assert(_mintAmount <= totalDispatch / 2);
...
uint256 fee = (amount * refundFeeRate) / 10000;
assert(fee < amount);
```

### Recommendation

It is recommended to consider the removal of these redundant checks to simplify the contract. This will improve the contract's readability and maintainability without compromising its security or intended functionality.

## RRC - Redundant Require Checks

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	FairLaunchLimitBlockV3.sol#L242,307,308
Status	Unresolved

### Description

The contract is performing additional checks that have already been validated earlier in the code. This redundancy can lead to inefficiencies and unnecessary complexity within the contract, potentially increasing gas costs and making the contract harder to maintain.

```
require(started, "FairMint: withdraw extra eth must after start");
...
require(started, "FairMint: not started");
...
require(msg.sender == tx.origin, "FairMint: can not mint to contract.");
```

### Recommendation

It is recommended to consider the removal of redundant checks to streamline the code. This will enhance the efficiency of the contract by reducing unnecessary validations, lowering gas costs, and improving code clarity and maintainability.

## UEL - Unused ETH Lock

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	FairLaunchLimitBlockV3.sol#L425
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

The contract is invoking the `refundETH()` function after minting liquidity, which successfully returns any excess ETH that was not used in the liquidity provision process back to the contract's balance. However, the contract lacks any mechanisms to either utilize this refunded ETH within its existing functionalities or to withdraw it. As a result, the refunded ETH remains locked within the contract indefinitely, potentially leading to inefficiencies or unintended behavior in terms of resource allocation.

```
(  
    uint256 _tokenId,  
    uint128 _liquidity,  
    uint256 _amount0,  
    uint256 _amount1  
) = _positionManager.mint{value: totalAdd}(params);  
_positionManager.refundETH();
```

### Recommendation

It is recommended to consider the intended functionality regarding the refunded ETH. The contract should implement a mechanism to properly handle the refunded ETH, either by integrating it into the contract's operational logic (e.g., adding it to available funds for other uses) or providing a secure method to withdraw or redistribute the funds. This will ensure that the contract's resources are managed efficiently and prevent unnecessary locking of ETH.

## L04 - Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	FairLaunchLimitBlockV3.sol#L36,229
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

The Solidity style guide is a set of guidelines for writing clean and consistent Solidity code. Adhering to a style guide can help improve the readability and maintainability of the Solidity code, making it easier for others to understand and work with.

The followings are a few key points from the Solidity style guide:

1. Use camelCase for function and variable names, with the first letter in lowercase (e.g., myVariable, updateCounter).
2. Use PascalCase for contract, struct, and enum names, with the first letter in uppercase (e.g., MyContract, UserStruct, ErrorEnum).
3. Use uppercase for constant variables and enums (e.g., MAX\_VALUE, ERROR\_CODE).
4. Use indentation to improve readability and structure.
5. Use spaces between operators and after commas.
6. Use comments to explain the purpose and behavior of the code.
7. Keep lines short (around 120 characters) to improve readability.

```
function WETH9() external pure returns (address);  
address _addr
```

### Recommendation

By following the Solidity naming convention guidelines, the codebase increased the readability, maintainability, and makes it easier to work with.

Find more information on the Solidity documentation

<https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/stable/style-guide.html#naming-conventions>.

## L16 - Validate Variable Setters

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	FairLaunchLimitBlockV3.sol#L172,173
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

The contract performs operations on variables that have been configured on user-supplied input. These variables are missing of proper check for the case where a value is zero. This can lead to problems when the contract is executed, as certain actions may not be properly handled when the value is zero.

```
locker = _locker  
projectOwner = _projectOwner
```

### Recommendation

By adding the proper check, the contract will not allow the variables to be configured with zero value. This will ensure that the contract can handle all possible input values and avoid unexpected behavior or errors. Hence, it can help to prevent the contract from being exploited or operating unexpectedly.



## L19 - Stable Compiler Version

<b>Criticality</b>	Minor / Informative
<b>Location</b>	Meme.sol#L2 IMeme.sol#L2
<b>Status</b>	Unresolved

### Description

The `^` symbol indicates that any version of Solidity that is compatible with the specified version (i.e., any version that is a higher minor or patch version) can be used to compile the contract. The version lock is a mechanism that allows the author to specify a minimum version of the Solidity compiler that must be used to compile the contract code. This is useful because it ensures that the contract will be compiled using a version of the compiler that is known to be compatible with the code.

```
pragma solidity ^0.8.19;
```

### Recommendation

The team is advised to lock the pragma to ensure the stability of the codebase. The locked pragma version ensures that the contract will not be deployed with an unexpected version. An unexpected version may produce vulnerabilities and undiscovered bugs. The compiler should be configured to the lowest version that provides all the required functionality for the codebase. As a result, the project will be compiled in a well-tested LTS (Long Term Support) environment.


## Functions Analysis

Contract	Type	Bases		
	Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
<b>NoDelegateCall</b>	Implementation			
		Public	✓	-
	checkNotDelegateCall	Private		
<b>Meme</b>	Implementation	IMeme, ERC20		
		Public	✓	ERC20
	_update	Internal	✓	
<b>IMeme</b>	Interface	IERC20Meta data		
	meta	External		-
<b>IFairLaunch</b>	Interface			
<b>IUniLocker</b>	Interface			
	lock	External	✓	-
<b>IUniswapV3Factory</b>	Interface			
	getPool	External		-


	createPool	External	✓	-
<b>INonfungiblePositionManager</b>	Interface			
	WETH9	External		-
	mint	External	Payable	-
	createAndInitializePoolIfNecessary	External	Payable	-
	refundETH	External	Payable	-
<b>FairLaunchLimitBlockTokenV3</b>	Implementation	IFairLaunch, Meme, ReentrancyGuard, NoDelegateCall		
		Public	✓	Meme
		External	Payable	noDelegateCall
	canStart	Public		-
	getExtraETH	Public		-
	_claimExtraETH	Private	✓	nonReentrant
	mightGet	Public		-
	_fund	Private	✓	nonReentrant
	_refund	Private	✓	nonReentrant
	_mintToken	Private	✓	nonReentrant
	_start	Private	✓	nonReentrant
	_mintLiquidity	Private	✓	
	_initPool	Private	✓	

	getSqrtPriceX96	Internal		
<b>Math</b>	Library			
	sqrt	Internal		

# Inheritance Graph



# Flow Graph



## Summary

The FairLaunchLimitBlockV3 contract is a comprehensive solution for launching the MEME token contract with built-in functionalities for handling funds, initiating trading, and ensuring fairness through block-based conditions and funding caps, including a refund functionality. This audit investigates security issues, business logic concerns, and potential improvements.

## Disclaimer

The information provided in this report does not constitute investment, financial or trading advice and you should not treat any of the document's content as such. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company without Cyberscope's prior written consent. This report is not nor should be considered an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not nor should be regarded as an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Cyberscope to perform a security assessment. This document does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors' business, business model or legal compliance. This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report represents an extensive assessment process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. Cyberscope's position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. Cyberscope's goal is to help reduce the attack vectors and the high level of variance associated with utilizing new and consistently changing technologies and in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze. The assessment services provided by Cyberscope are subject to dependencies and are under continuing development. You agree that your access and/or use including but not limited to any services reports and materials will be at your sole risk on an as-is where-is and as-available basis. Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives, false negatives and other unpredictable results. The services may access and depend upon multiple layers of third parties.



# About Cyberscope

Cyberscope is a blockchain cybersecurity company that was founded with the vision to make web3.0 a safer place for investors and developers. Since its launch, it has worked with thousands of projects and is estimated to have secured tens of millions of investors' funds.

Cyberscope is one of the leading smart contract audit firms in the crypto space and has built a high-profile network of clients and partners.



**The Cyberscope team**

[cyberscope.io](https://cyberscope.io)