Deep Learning[1] Notes

Yan JIN

22 novembre 2016

1 3.8 Expectation, Variance and Covariance

$$Expectation: \mathbb{E}_{X \sim P}[f(x)] = \int p(x)f(x)dx$$

$$Variance: Var(f(x)) = \mathbb{E}[(f(x) - \mathbb{E}[f(x)])^2]$$

$$Covariance: Cov(f(x), g(x)) = \mathbb{E}[(f(x) - \mathbb{E}[f(x)])(g(x) - \mathbb{E}[g(x)])]$$

2 5.4 Estimators, Bias and Variance

2.1 5.4.1 Point Estimation

2.2 5.4.2 Bias

$$bias(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_m) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_m) - \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Proof of formula (5.39):

$$\hat{\mu}_m = \mathbb{E}[x^{(i)}] = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x^{(i)}$$

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_m]$$

$$Var(x^{(i)}) = \mathbb{E}[(x^{(i)} - \mu)^2] = \sigma^2$$

$$Var(\hat{\mu}_m) = \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\mu}_m - \mu)^2] = \frac{\sigma^2}{m}$$

so:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[\hat{\sigma}_{m}^{2}] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x^{(i)} - \hat{\mu}_{m})^{2}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x^{(i)} - \mu + \mu - \hat{\mu}_{m})^{2}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x^{(i)} - \mu)^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x^{(i)} - \mu)(\mu - \hat{\mu}_{m}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m}(\mu - \hat{\mu}_{m})^{2}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x^{(i)} - \mu)^{2} + 2m(\hat{\mu}_{m} - \mu)(\mu - \hat{\mu}_{m}) + m(\mu - \hat{\mu}_{m})^{2}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x^{(i)} - \mu)^{2} - m(\hat{\mu}_{m} - \mu)^{2}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{m}(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\left[(x^{(i)} - \mu)^{2}\right] - m\mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\mu}_{m} - \mu)^{2}\right]) \\ &= \frac{1}{m}(mVar(x^{(i)}) - mVar(\hat{\mu}_{m})) \\ &= Var(x^{(i)}) - Var(\hat{\mu}_{m}) \\ &= \sigma^{2} - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{m} = \frac{m-1}{m}\sigma^{2} \end{split}$$

2.3 5.4.2 Variance and Standard Error

Variance : $Var(\hat{\theta})$

Standard Error : $SE(\hat{\theta}) = \sqrt{Var(\hat{\theta})}$

2.4 5.4.4 Trading off Bias and Variance to Minimize Mean Square Error

Proof (5.54):

$$MSE = \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\theta}_m - \theta)^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_m^2] - 2\theta \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}_m) + \theta^2$$

$$Bias(\hat{\theta}_m)^2 = (\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_m] - \theta)^2$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_m]^2 - 2\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_m]\theta + \theta^2$$

$$Var(\hat{\theta}_m) = \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\theta}_m - \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_m])^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_m^2 - 2\hat{\theta}_m \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_m] + \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_m]^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_m^2] - \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_m]^2$$

$$\Rightarrow MSE = Bias(\hat{\theta}_m)^2 + Var(\hat{\theta}_m)$$

3 Frequentist Statistics and Baysian Statistics

Frequentist: Estimate a single value of θ , then making all predictions thereafter based on that **one** estimate; Baysian: Consider **all** possible values of θ when making a prediction; Frequentist: The true parameter value θ is **fixed but unknown**, while $\hat{\theta}$ is a random variable and a function of **the dataset**(which is seen as **random**);

Baysian : **Dataset** is directly observed and is **not random**; the true parameter value θ is **unknown or uncertain** and thus is represented as a random variable;

Differences between MLE(Maximum Likelihood Estimation) and Bayesian estimation:

- 1. MLE : Make predictions using a **point estimate** of θ ; Bayesian : Using a **full distribution** over θ ;
- 2. MLE : Address the uncertainty on a given point estimate of θ by evaluating its **variance**; Bayesian : Simply **integrate over it**;
- 3. Baysian: Use a priori, which expresses a preference for **simpler and smooth models**, and seems as a source of **subjective human judgment** impacting the predictions;
- 4. Baysian : Generalize much better when training data is small, but high computation cost when training data is large;

3.1 Frequentist Statistics - Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

For data samples $x^{(1)},...,x^{(m)}$ drawn independently from **the true but unknown** data generating distribution $p_{data}(x)$

 $p_{model}(\boldsymbol{x};\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is a parametric family of probability distribution over the space indexed by $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ for estimating the $p_{data}(\boldsymbol{x})$.

$$\theta_{ML} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{argmax} \ p_{model}(\mathbb{X}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) =$$

3.2 Baysian Statistics

Prior probability distribution (the prior) : $p(\theta)$

For data samples $x^{(1)},...,x^{(m)}$, we reform the belief about $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (the posterior $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|x^{(1)},...,x^{(m)})$) by the data likelihood $p(x^{(1)},...,x^{(m)}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and the prior $p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ via **Bayes' rule**:

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|x^{(1)},...,x^{(m)}) = \frac{p(x^{(1)},...,x^{(m)}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{p(x^{(1)},...,x^{(m)})}$$

3.3 Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Estimation

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{MAP} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{argmax} \ p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{x}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{argmax} \ log \ p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) + log \ p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

MAP has the advantage of leveraging information that is brought by **the prior** and cannot be found in **the training data**. This information helps to **reduce the variance** in the MAP point estimate (compare to ML estimate), but **increase bias**.

 $MLE(log \ p(\theta|x)) + Regularization \ with \ weight \ decay(log \ p(\theta)) = MAP \ to \ Bayesian \ inference.$

4 Chapter 11 Practical Methodology

Practical design process:

- 1. Determine error metric and target value;
- 2. Establish a Baseline Model;
- 3. Determine bottlenecks in performance;
- 4. Repeatedly make incremental changes : gathering new data, adjusting hyperparameters, or changing algorithms;

4.1 11.3 Determining Whether to Gather More Data

- 1. Determine whether the performance on the training set is acceptable;
 - If performance on the training set is poor:
- 2. Increase the size of the model: add more layers; add more hidden unites to each layer; turning the learning rate etc.

If still not work well: data needed to be cleaned or gathered;

Else:

3. Measure performance on test set;

If performance good, done!

Else if test set performance is much worse than training set performance:

4. Gather data;

If not easy to gather data:

- 5. Reduce the size of the model; Improve regularization(adjust weight decay coefficients or add dropout); If test set performance is still unacceptable:
- 6. Gather data;

Reference

[1] Ian Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville. Deep learning. Book in preparation for MIT Press, 2016.