### **Answers for Homework 1**

**Byung Kim** 

for CS74040: NLP Fall 2019 by Prof. Alla Rozovskaya

Due: 10/03/2019

```
In [1]: import preprocessing
import training
import testing
```

#### **Question 1:**

How many word types (unique words) are there in the training corpus? Please include the padding symbols and the unknown token.

There are 15031 word types in the training corpus.

#### **Question 2:**

How many word tokens are there in the training corpus?

```
In [4]: print('There are', len(train_list), 'word tokens in the training corpus.')
There are 498474 word tokens in the training corpus.
```

#### **Question 3:**

What percentage of word tokens and word types in each of the test corpora did not occur in training (before you mapped the unknown words to <unk> in training and test data)?

```
In [5]: # First, the filepaths for the test data
test_fp = 'data/brown-test.txt'
learner_fp = 'data/learner-test.txt'
```

```
In [7]: # For word tokens in test data but not in training data
def unseen_tokens_perc(test_list, train_dict):
    unseen_sum = 0
    for token in test_list:
        if token not in train_dict:
            unseen_sum += 1
        return float(unseen_sum)/len(test_list)

# For word types in test data but not in training data
def unseen_type_perc(test_dict, train_dict):
    unseen_sum = 0
    for key in test_dict:
        if key not in train_dict:
            unseen_sum += 1
        return float(unseen_sum)/len(test_dict)
```

```
In [8]:
        print('For the brown-test data:')
        print('The percentage of tokens not in the training data for brown-test is:
        {:.2%}'.format(
              unseen tokens perc(test temp, train tdict)))
        print('The percentage of word types not in the training data for brown-test i
        s: {:.2%}'.format(
              unseen_type_perc(test_tdict, train_tdict)))
        ----')
        print('For the learner-test data:')
        print('The percentage of tokens not in the training data for learner-test is:
        {:.2%}'.format(
              unseen_tokens_perc(learner_temp, train_tdict)))
        print('The percentage of word types not in the training data for learner-test
         is: {:.2%}'.format(
              unseen_type_perc(learner_tdict, train_tdict)))
        For the brown-test data:
        The percentage of tokens not in the training data for brown-test is: 5.99%
        The percentage of word types not in the training data for brown-test is: 22.7
        6%
        For the learner-test data:
        The percentage of tokens not in the training data for learner-test is: 5.05%
        The percentage of word types not in the training data for learner-test is: 1
        6.35%
```

#### Question 4:

What percentage of bigrams (bigram types and bigram tokens) in each of the test corpora that did not occur in training (treat <unk> as a token that has been observed).

```
In [9]: # First, I have to preprocess the test data
    test_list = preprocessing.preprocess_test(test_fp, train_dict)
    learner_list = preprocessing.preprocess_test(learner_fp, train_dict)

In [10]: # Then I build the bigram lists for all three data sets
    train_bigrams = training.bigrams(train_list)
    test_bigrams = training.bigrams(test_list)
    learner_bigrams = training.bigrams(learner_list)

# And the bigram dictionary with counts for all three data sets
    train_bdict = training.bigram_dict(train_list)
    test_bdict = training.bigram_dict(test_list)
    learner_bdict = training.bigram_dict(learner_list)
```

```
In [11]:
        # Recycling unseen tokens perc and unseen type perc from Question 3
         print('For the brown-test data:')
         print('The percentage of bigram tokens not in the training data for brown-test
         is: {:.2%}'.format(
              unseen tokens perc(test bigrams, train bdict)))
         print('The percentage of bigram types not in the training data for brown-test
         is: {:.2%}'.format(
              unseen type perc(test bdict, train bdict)))
         print('-----
         ----')
         print('For the learner-test data:')
         print('The percentage of bigram tokens not in the training data for learner-te
         st is: {:.2%}'.format(
              unseen tokens perc(learner bigrams, train bdict)))
         print('The percentage of bigram types not in the training data for learner-tes
         t is: {:.2%}'.format(
              unseen type perc(learner bdict, train bdict)))
         For the brown-test data:
         The percentage of bigram tokens not in the training data for brown-test is: 2
         2.65%
         The percentage of bigram types not in the training data for brown-test is: 3
         8.52%
         For the learner-test data:
         The percentage of bigram tokens not in the training data for learner-test is:
         24.84%
         The percentage of bigram types not in the training data for learner-test is:
```

#### Question 5 & 6:

- 5. Compute the log probabilities of the following sentences under the three models (ignore capitalization and pad each sentence as described above). Please list all of the parameters required to compute the probabilities and show the complete calculation. Which of the parameters have zero values under each model?
  - · He was laughed off the screen .

38,64%

- There was no compulsion behind them .
- I look forward to hearing your reply .
- 6. Compute the perplexities of each of the sentences above under each of the models.

The answers to both question 5 and 6 will be shown per model together.

```
In [12]: from testing import perplexity
```

```
In [13]: # First, I preprocess the three sentences and put them into a list
         s1 = 'He was laughed off the screen .'
         s2 = 'There was no compulsion behind them .'
         s3 = 'I look forward to hearing your reply .'
         s1_list = preprocessing.sentence_preprocess(s1, lst=[])
         s2 list = preprocessing.sentence preprocess(s2, lst=[])
         s3 list = preprocessing.sentence preprocess(s3, lst=[])
         print(s1 list)
         print(s2 list)
         print(s3_list)
         ['<s>', 'he', 'was', 'laughed', 'off', 'the', 'screen', '.', '</s>']
         ['<s>', 'there', 'was', 'no', 'compulsion', 'behind', 'them', '.', '</s>']
         ['<s>', 'i', 'look', 'forward', 'to', 'hearing', 'your', 'reply', '.', '</s
         >']
In [14]: | # We need a dictionary of the training set before <unk> was substituted
         # to see if any of these were unseen
         # train tdict from Question 3 is as such
         s1 unk = preprocessing.unkify test(s1 list, train dict)
         s2_unk = preprocessing.unkify_test(s2_list, train_dict)
         s3_unk = preprocessing.unkify_test(s3_list, train_dict)
         print(s1 unk)
         print(s2_unk)
         print(s3 unk)
         ['<s>', 'he', 'was', 'laughed', 'off', 'the', 'screen', '.', '</s>']
         ['<s>', 'there', 'was', 'no', '<unk>', 'behind', 'them', '.', '</s>']
         ['<s>', 'i', 'look', 'forward', 'to', 'hearing', 'your', 'reply', '.', '</s
         >']
```

#### Part A: Unigram Maximum Likelihood Model

```
In [15]: # First we train the unigram model
unigram_probs = training.unigram_train(train_list, train_dict)
```

#### The Parameters for Each Sentence:

$$l = rac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^m log_2 p(s_i)$$
 s refers to the size of the corpus

$$egin{aligned} l_{s1} &= rac{1}{9}log_2(p(< s >) *p(he) *p(was) *p(laughed) *p(off) *p(the) *p(screen) *p(.) *p(< /s > \ &= rac{1}{9}log_2(rac{c(< s >)}{s} *rac{c(he)}{s} *rac{c(was)}{s} *rac{c(laughed)}{s} *rac{c(off)}{s} *rac{c(the)}{s} *rac{c(screen)}{s} *rac{c(< /s >)}{s}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} l_{s2} = \frac{1}{9}log_2(p(< s >) * p(there) * p(was) * p(no) * p(< unk >) * p(behind) * p(them) * p(.) * p(< \\ = \frac{1}{9}log_2(\frac{c(< s >)}{s} * \frac{c(there)}{s} * \frac{c(was)}{s} * \frac{c(no)}{s} * \frac{c(< unk >)}{s} * \frac{c(behind)}{s} * \frac{c(them)}{s} * \frac{c(.)}{s} * \frac{c()}{s}) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} l_{s3} &= \frac{1}{10}log_2(p(< s >) * p(i) * p(look) * p(forward) * p(to) * p(hearing) * p(your) * p(reply) * p(.) \\ * p(< / s >)) \\ &= \frac{1}{10}log_2(\frac{c(< s >)}{s} * \frac{c(i)}{s} * \frac{c(look)}{s} * \frac{c(forward)}{s} * \frac{c(to)}{s} * \frac{c(hearing)}{s} * \frac{c(your)}{s} * \frac{c(reply)}{s} * \frac{c(.)}{s} * \frac{c(< / s >)}{s} \end{split}$$

None of these parameters are zero for the unigram model, as < unk > is treated as an observed token The function in unigram\_predict prints all the individual log probabilities of the tokens.

```
In [16]:
        s1 predict = testing.unigram predict(s1 unk, unigram probs)
        print('The log probability of sentence 1 in the Unigram ML Model is: {:.3f}'.f
        ormat(s1 predict))
        print('The perplexity of sentence 1 in the Unigram ML Model is: {:.1f}'.format
        (perplexity(s1 predict)))
        print('-----')
        s2 predict = testing.unigram predict(s2 unk, unigram probs)
        print('The log probability of sentence 2 in the Unigram ML Model is: {:.3f}'.f
        ormat(s2 predict))
        print('The perplexity of sentence 2 in the Unigram ML Model is: {:.1f}'.format
        (perplexity(s2 predict)))
        print('-----')
        s3_predict = testing.unigram_predict(s3_unk, unigram_probs)
        print('The log probability of sentence 3 in the Unigram ML Model is: {:.3f}'.f
        ormat(s3 predict))
        print('The perplexity of sentence 3 in the Unigram ML Model is: {:.1f}'.format
        (perplexity(s3 predict)))
        print('-----')
        The unigram log probability for <s> is -4.261
        The unigram log probability for he is -6.387
        The unigram log probability for was is -6.597
        The unigram log probability for laughed is -13.501
        The unigram log probability for off is -10.276
        The unigram log probability for the is -4.337
        The unigram log probability for screen is -15.02
        The unigram log probability for . is -4.486
        The unigram log probability for </s> is -4.261
        The log probability of sentence 1 in the Unigram ML Model is: -7.681
        The perplexity of sentence 1 in the Unigram ML Model is: 205.2
        The unigram log probability for <s> is -4.261
        The unigram log probability for there is -8.648
        The unigram log probability for was is -6.597
        The unigram log probability for no is -8.964
        The unigram log probability for <unk> is -5.237
        The unigram log probability for behind is -11.552
        The unigram log probability for them is -9.262
        The unigram log probability for . is -4.486
        The unigram log probability for </s> is -4.261
        The log probability of sentence 2 in the Unigram ML Model is: -7.030
        The perplexity of sentence 2 in the Unigram ML Model is: 130.7
        ______
        The unigram log probability for <s> is -4.261
        The unigram log probability for i is -7.268
        The unigram log probability for look is -11.075
        The unigram log probability for forward is -13.373
        The unigram log probability for to is -5.67
        The unigram log probability for hearing is -14.02
        The unigram log probability for your is -10.408
        The unigram log probability for reply is -14.069
        The unigram log probability for . is -4.486
        The unigram log probability for </s> is -4.261
        The log probability of sentence 3 in the Unigram ML Model is: -8.889
        The perplexity of sentence 3 in the Unigram ML Model is: 474.1
```

#### Part B: Bigram Maximum Likelihood Model

```
In [17]: # The function bigram_train in training.py automatically generates bigrams out
    of the training data
    # and we can just use train_bdict from Question 4,
    # which is just a dictionary of all the bigrams and their counts
    # thus, the following trains the bigram model
    bigram_probs = training.bigram_train(train_bdict, train_list, train_dict)
```

$$The \textit{Parameters for Each Sentence:} \\ l_{s1} = \frac{1}{9}log_2(p(he| < s >) *p(was|he) *p(laughed|was) *p(off|laughed) *p(the|off) *p(screen|t + p(.|screen) *p(|.)) \\ = \frac{1}{9}log_2(\frac{c(he|s>)}{c(~~)} *\frac{c(was|he)}{c(he)} *\frac{c(laughed|was)}{c(was)} *\frac{c(off|laughed)}{c(laughed)} *\frac{c(the|off)}{c(off)} *\frac{c(screen|the)}{c(the)} + \frac{c(.|screen)}{c(screen)} *\frac{c(~~|c(.)screen)}{c(.)} *\frac{c(.|screen)}{c(.|screen)} *\frac$$

The function in bigram\_predict prints all the individual log probabilities of the tokens. The parameters that yield zero probability are listed below.

```
In [18]: # I create bigrams of the three sentences
s1_bigrams = training.bigrams(s1_unk)
s2_bigrams = training.bigrams(s2_unk)
s3_bigrams = training.bigrams(s3_unk)
print(s1_bigrams)
print(s2_bigrams)
print(s3_bigrams)

[('<s>', 'he'), ('he', 'was'), ('was', 'laughed'), ('laughed', 'off'), ('off', 'the'), ('the', 'screen'), ('screen', '.'), ('.', '</s>')]
[('<s>', 'there'), ('there', 'was'), ('was', 'no'), ('no', '<unk>'), ('<unk)</pre>
```

>', 'behind'), ('behind', 'them'), ('them', '.'), ('.', '</s>')]

s>')]

[('<s>', 'i'), ('i', 'look'), ('look', 'forward'), ('forward', 'to'), ('to',
'hearing'), ('hearing', 'your'), ('your', 'reply'), ('reply', '.'), ('.', '

```
s1 bpred = testing.bigram predict(s1 bigrams, bigram probs, len(s1 unk))
print('The probability of sentence 1 in the Bigram ML Model is: {:.3f}'.format
(s1 bpred))
print('The perplexity of sentence 1 in the Bigram ML Model is infinite.')
print('----')
s2_bpred = testing.bigram_predict(s2_bigrams, bigram_probs, len(s2_unk))
print('The log probability of sentence 2 in the Bigram ML Model is: {:.3f}'.fo
rmat(s2 bpred))
print('The perplexity of sentence 2 in the Bigram ML Model is: {:.1f}'.format(
perplexity(s2 bpred)))
print('-----')
s3_bpred = testing.bigram_predict(s3_bigrams, bigram_probs, len(s3_unk))
print('The probability of sentence 3 in the Bigram ML Model is: {:.3f}'.format
(s3 bpred))
print('The perplexity of sentence 3 in the Bigram ML Model is infinite.')
print('-----')
The bigram log probability for ('<s>', 'he') is -3.608
The bigram log probability for ('he', 'was') is -3.106
This is an unobserved bigram. The probability for ('was', 'laughed') is 0.
This is an unobserved bigram. The probability for ('laughed', 'off') is 0.
The bigram log probability for ('off', 'the') is -2.422
The bigram log probability for ('the', 'screen') is -13.005
This is an unobserved bigram. The probability for ('screen', '.') is 0.
The bigram log probability for ('.', '</s>') is 0.0
The probability of sentence 1 in the Bigram ML Model is: 0.000
The perplexity of sentence 1 in the Bigram ML Model is infinite.
______
The bigram log probability for ('<s>', 'there') is -6.1
The bigram log probability for ('there', 'was') is -1.706
The bigram log probability for ('was', 'no') is -5.423
The bigram log probability for ('no', '<unk>') is -5.208
The bigram log probability for ('<unk>', 'behind') is -11.368
The bigram log probability for ('behind', 'them') is -4.053
The bigram log probability for ('them', '.') is -2.567
The bigram log probability for ('.', '</s>') is 0.0
The log probability of sentence 2 in the Bigram ML Model is: -4.047
The perplexity of sentence 2 in the Bigram ML Model is: 16.5
The bigram log probability for ('<s>', 'i') is -4.827
The bigram log probability for ('i', 'look') is -11.66
The bigram log probability for ('look', 'forward') is -5.852
The bigram log probability for ('forward', 'to') is -1.854
This is an unobserved bigram. The probability for ('to', 'hearing') is 0.
This is an unobserved bigram. The probability for ('hearing', 'your') is 0.
The bigram log probability for ('your', 'reply') is -8.52
The bigram log probability for ('reply', '.') is -2.273
The bigram log probability for ('.', '</s>') is 0.0
The probability of sentence 3 in the Bigram ML Model is: 0.000
The perplexity of sentence 3 in the Bigram ML Model is infinite.
```

As shown above, in the bigram maximum likelihood model, sentence 1 and sentence 3 have 0 probability. In sentence one, the following were 0 probability:

```
    p('was', 'laughed')
    p('laughed', 'off')
    p('screen', '.')
```

And in sentence three, the following were 0 probability:

```
p('to',' hearing')p('hearing',' your')
```

#### Part C: Bigram Model with Add-One Smoothing

```
In [20]: # The function add_one_train in training.py just reuses much of bigram_train c
    ode
    # but adds the proper 1/|V| to all probabilities
    len_dict = len(train_dict)
    add_one_probs = training.add_one_train(train_bdict, train_list, train_dict, le
    n_dict)
```

#### The Parameters for Each Sentence would be the same as the Bigram ML Model:

The only difference would be that p('was', 'laughed'), p('laughed', 'off'), p('screen', '.') in sentence 1 and p('to', 'hearing'), p('hearing', 'your') in sentence 3 would not be zero, but instead would be  $\frac{1}{(w_{i-1}+|V|)}$ .

```
In [21]:
        s1 aopred = testing.add one predict(s1 bigrams, add one probs, train dict, len
        print('The log probability of sentence 1 in the Bigram Add-One Model is: {:.3
        f}'.format(s1 aopred))
        print('The perplexity of sentence 1 in the Bigram Add-One Model is: {:.1f}'.fo
        rmat(perplexity(s1_aopred)))
        print('-----')
        s2 aopred = testing.add one predict(s2 bigrams, add one probs, train dict, len
         (s2 unk))
        print('The log probability of sentence 2 in the Bigram Add-One Model is: {:.3
        f}'.format(s2 aopred))
        print('The perplexity of sentence 2 in the Bigram Add-One Model is: {:.1f}'.fo
        rmat(perplexity(s2_aopred)))
        print('-----')
        s3 aopred = testing.add one predict(s3 bigrams, add one probs, train dict, len
         (s3 unk))
        print('The log probability of sentence 3 in the Bigram Add-One Model is: {:.3
        f}'.format(s3 aopred))
        print('The perplexity of sentence 3 in the Bigram Add-One Model is: {:.1f}'.fo
        rmat(perplexity(s3 aopred)))
         print('-----')
        The add-one log probability for ('<s>', 'he') is -4.265
        The add-one log probability for ('he', 'was') is -4.921
        The add-one log probability for ('was', 'laughed') is -14.301
        The add-one log probability for ('laughed', 'off') is -13.88
        The add-one log probability for ('off', 'the') is -7.666
        The add-one log probability for ('the', 'screen') is -13.276
        The add-one log probability for ('screen', '.') is -13.877
        The add-one log probability for ('.', '</s>') is -0.745
        The log probability of sentence 1 in the Bigram Add-One Model is: -8.103
        The perplexity of sentence 1 in the Bigram Add-One Model is: 275.0
        ______
        The add-one log probability for ('<s>', 'there') is -6.755
        The add-one log probability for ('there', 'was') is -5.413
        The add-one log probability for ('was', 'no') is -7.382
        The add-one log probability for ('no', '<unk>') is -9.161
        The add-one log probability for ('<unk>', 'behind') is -12.201
        The add-one log probability for ('behind', 'them') is -10.432
        The add-one log probability for ('them', '.') is -6.843
        The add-one log probability for ('.', '</s>') is -0.745
        The log probability of sentence 2 in the Bigram Add-One Model is: -6.548
        The perplexity of sentence 2 in the Bigram Add-One Model is: 93.6
        ______
        The add-one log probability for ('<s>', 'i') is -5.484
        The add-one log probability for ('i', 'look') is -13.157
        The add-one log probability for ('look', 'forward') is -11.576
        The add-one log probability for ('forward', 'to') is -10.073
        The add-one log probability for ('to', 'hearing') is -14.599
        The add-one log probability for ('hearing', 'your') is -13.879
        The add-one log probability for ('your', 'reply') is -12.91 The add-one log probability for ('reply', '.') is -11.071
        The add-one log probability for ('.', '</s>') is -0.745
        The log probability of sentence 3 in the Bigram Add-One Model is: -9.349
        The perplexity of sentence 3 in the Bigram Add-One Model is: 652.3
```

#### Part D: Bigram Model with Discounting and Katz Backoff

```
In [22]: # First, I build set A of the Katz backoff
# Please see training.py for the code
katz_unigrams, bigrams_A = training.build_set_A(train_list)

In [23]: # I do not actually build set B, but instead make an assumption that
# the probability mass of set B is the count of all tokens in set A that appea
r in the corpus
# subtracted from all the tokens in the corpus minus the word itself
# Please see training.py for the code
katz_probs = training.katz_backoff(train_list, katz_unigrams, bigrams_A, len(t
rain_list))
```

#### The Parameters for Each Sentence would also be the same as the Bigram ML Model:

The big difference lies in calculating the probabilities, which differ for observed bigrams vs. unseen bigrams. However, the parameters for calculating the probabilities of each parameter requires the unigram counts for the tokens.

```
In [24]:
        s1_kpred = testing.katz_predict(s1_bigrams, katz_probs, katz_unigrams, bigrams
         _A, len(train_list), len(s1_unk))
         print('The log probability of sentence 1 in the Bigram Katz Backoff Model is:
         {:.3f}'.format(s1 kpred))
         print('The perplexity of sentence 1 in the Bigram Katz Backoff Model is: {:.1
         f}'.format(perplexity(s1_kpred)))
         print('-----')
         s2_kpred = testing.katz_predict(s2_bigrams, katz_probs, katz_unigrams, bigrams
         A, len(train list), len(s1 unk))
         print('The log probability of sentence 2 in the Bigram Katz Backoff Model is:
         {:.3f}'.format(s2 kpred))
         print('The perplexity of sentence 2 in the Bigram Katz Backoff Model is: {:.1
         f}'.format(perplexity(s2_kpred)))
         print('-----')
         s3_kpred = testing.katz_predict(s3_bigrams, katz_probs, katz_unigrams, bigrams
         _A, len(train_list), len(s1_unk))
         print('The log probability of sentence 3 in the Bigram Katz Backoff Model is:
         {:.3f}'.format(s3 kpred))
         print('The perplexity of sentence 3 in the Bigram Katz Backoff Model is: {:.1
         f}'.format(perplexity(s3 kpred)))
         print('-----')
        The Katz log probability for ('<s>', 'he') is -3.608
        The Katz log probability for ('he', 'was') is -3.107
The Katz log probability for ('was', 'laughed') is -11.363
        The Katz log probability for ('laughed', 'off') is -8.805
        The Katz log probability for ('off', 'the') is -2.432 The Katz log probability for ('the', 'screen') is -13.268
        The Katz log probability for ('screen', '.') is -4.113
        The Katz log probability for ('.', '</s>') is -0.0
        The log probability of sentence 1 in the Bigram Katz Backoff Model is: -5.188
         The perplexity of sentence 1 in the Bigram Katz Backoff Model is: 36.5
         ------
        The Katz log probability for ('<s>', 'there') is -6.102
        The Katz log probability for ('there', 'was') is -1.708
        The Katz log probability for ('was', 'no') is -5.429
        The Katz log probability for ('no', '<unk>') is -5.235
        The Katz log probability for ('<unk>', 'behind') is -11.52
        The Katz log probability for ('behind', 'them') is -4.127
        The Katz log probability for ('them', '.') is -2.573
        The Katz log probability for ('.', '</s>') is -0.0
        The log probability of sentence 2 in the Bigram Katz Backoff Model is: -4.077
         The perplexity of sentence 2 in the Bigram Katz Backoff Model is: 16.9
         ______
        The Katz log probability for ('<s>', 'i') is -4.828
         The Katz log probability for ('i', 'look') is -12.66
        The Katz log probability for ('look', 'forward') is -6.044
        The Katz log probability for ('forward', 'to') is -1.911
        The Katz log probability for ('to', 'hearing') is -12.094
        The Katz log probability for ('hearing', 'your') is -8.364
        The Katz log probability for ('your', 'reply') is -9.52 The Katz log probability for ('reply', '.') is -2.399
        The Katz log probability for ('.', '</s>') is -0.0
        The log probability of sentence 3 in the Bigram Katz Backoff Model is: -6.424
        The perplexity of sentence 3 in the Bigram Katz Backoff Model is: 85.9
```

#### Summary

#### Log Probabilities and Perplexities by Model

#### Sentence 1

Model	Log Probability	Perplexity
Unigram Maximum Likelihood	-7.681	205.2
Bigram Maximum Likelihood	-Infinite	Infinite
Bigram with Add-One Smoothing	-8.103	275.0
Bigram with Discounting and Katz Backoff	-5.188	36.5

#### Sentence 2

Model	Log Probability	Perplexity
Unigram Maximum Likelihood	-7.030	130.7
Bigram Maximum Likelihood	-4.047	16.5
Bigram with Add-One Smoothing	-6.548	93.6
Bigram with Discounting and Katz Backoff	-4.077	16.9

#### Sentence 3

Model	Log Probability	Perplexity
Unigram Maximum Likelihood	-8.889	474.1
Bigram Maximum Likelihood	-Infinite	Infinite
Bigram with Add-One Smoothing	-9.349	652.3
Bigram with Discounting and Katz Backoff	-6.424	85.9

#### Question 7:

Compute the perplexities of the entire test corpora, separately for the brown-test.txt and learner-test.txt under each of the models. Discuss the differences in the results you obtained.

```
In [25]: # For easier viewing, I recreate the steps above to preprocess and train all t
   he models again
   # 1. Training data preprocessing
   train_fp = 'data/brown-train.txt'
   train_list = preprocessing.preprocess_train(train_fp)
   train_dict = preprocessing.build_dict(train_list)

# Bigrams list and dictionary with counts for training data
   train_bigrams = training.bigrams(train_list)
   train_bdict = training.bigram_dict(train_list)
```

```
In [26]: # 2. Test data preprocessing
         test_fp = 'data/brown-test.txt'
         learner fp = 'data/learner-test.txt'
         test_list = preprocessing.preprocess_test(test_fp, train_dict)
         learner_list = preprocessing.preprocess_test(learner_fp, train_dict)
         # Bigrams lists and dictionaries with counts
         test bigrams = training.bigrams(test list)
         learner_bigrams = training.bigrams(learner_list)
         test_bdict = training.bigram_dict(test_list)
         learner_bdict = training.bigram_dict(learner_list)
In [27]: | # Train Unigram ML Model
         # to create dictionary of tokens and probabilities
         unigram probs = training.unigram train(train list, train dict)
In [28]: # Train Bigram ML Model
         # to create dictionary of bigrams and probabilities
         bigram_probs = training.bigram_train(train_bdict, train_list, train_dict)
In [29]: # Train Bigram with Add-One Smoothing
         add_one_probs = training.add_one_train(train_bdict, train_list, train_dict, le
         n(train dict))
```

## Part A: brown-test.txt

rain list))

```
In [31]: # Unigram ML Model on brown-test.txt
    test_unigram = testing.unigram_predict_no_print(test_list, unigram_probs)
    test_uni_perplexity = perplexity(test_unigram)
    print('The perplexity of the Unigram ML Model on brown-test.txt: {:.1f}'.format(test_uni_perplexity))
```

katz probs = training.katz backoff(train list, katz unigrams, bigrams A, len(t

The perplexity of the Unigram ML Model on brown-test.txt: 365.1

katz unigrams, bigrams A = training.build set A(train list)

In [30]: # Train Bigram with Discounting and Katz Backoff

```
In [32]: # Bigram ML Model on brown-test.txt
    test_bigram = testing.bigram_predict_file(test_fp, bigram_probs, train_dict, l
    en(test_list))
    test_bi_perplexity = perplexity(test_bigram)
    print('The perplexity of the Bigram ML Model on brown-test.txt:',test_bi_perpl
    exity)
```

The perplexity of the Bigram ML Model on brown-test.txt: Too Large or Infinit e

```
In [33]: # Bigram Model with Add-One Smoothing on brown-test.txt
    test_add_one = testing.add_one_predict_no_print(test_bigrams, add_one_probs, t
    rain_dict, len(test_list))
    test_ao_perplexity = perplexity(test_add_one)
    print('The perplexity of the Bigram Add-One Smoothing Model on brown-test.txt:
    {:.1f}'.format(test_ao_perplexity))
```

The perplexity of the Bigram Add-One Smoothing Model on brown-test.txt: 668.7

The perplexity of the Bigram Katz Backoff Model on brown-test.txt: 78.8

#### Part B: learner-test.txt

```
In [35]: # Unigram ML Model on learner-test.txt
learner_unigram = testing.unigram_predict_no_print(learner_list, unigram_probs
)
learner_uni_perplexity = perplexity(learner_unigram)
print('The perplexity of the Unigram ML Model on learner-test.txt: {:.1f}'.for
mat(learner_uni_perplexity))
```

The perplexity of the Unigram ML Model on learner-test.txt: 409.6

```
In [36]: # Bigram ML Model on learner-test.txt
    learner_bigram = testing.bigram_predict_file(learner_fp, bigram_probs, train_d
    ict, len(learner_list))
    learner_bi_perplexity = perplexity(learner_bigram)
    print('The perplexity of the Bigram ML Model on learner-test.txt: ', learner_b
    i_perplexity)
```

The perplexity of the Bigram ML Model on learner-test.txt: Too Large or Infinite

```
In [37]: # Bigram Model with Add-One Smoothing on brown-test.txt
learner_add_one = testing.add_one_predict_no_print(learner_bigrams, add_one_pr
    obs, train_dict, len(learner_list))
learner_ao_perplexity = perplexity(learner_add_one)
print('The perplexity of the Bigram Add-One Smoothing Model on learner-test.tx
t: {:.1f}'.format(learner_ao_perplexity))
```

The perplexity of the Bigram Add-One Smoothing Model on learner-test.txt: 84 5.5

The perplexity of the Bigram Katz Backoff Model on learner-test.txt: 88.8

# Summary Perplexities by Model for Two Test Data Sets

Model	brown-test.txt	learner-test.txt
Unigram Maximum Likelihood	365.1	409.6
Bigram Maximum Likelihood	Infinite	Infinite
Bigram with Add-One Smoothing	668.7	845.5
Bigram with Discounting and Katz Backoff	78.8	88.8

In general, the brown-test had lower perplexities (i.e. was better modeled) than the learner-test. I believe three factors may help explain this:

- 1. The brown-test comes from the same source, and barring any strange data design choices, natural data from the same source should have more similar patterns and structure than if it were otherwise.
- 2. The learner-test contains many letters, as opposed to the brown-test, which has many spoken quotations. The differences between spoken language and written language can also account for the difference in perplexities. As the brown-train has more spoken language, the brown-test would have lower perplexity and vice versa for learner-test. This is observed in Questions 5 and 6, where the training set predicted sentence 3 poorly compared to the other two sentences.
- 3. Finally, because the learner-test is written by non-native speakers of English, you can find differences not normally found in native speech patterns: (e.g. "it should started"). This would raise the perplexity of the learner-test as there would be, on average, more unseen tokens and bigrams.