

Physical Activity and Performance at School

A Systematic Review of the Literature Including a Methodological Quality Assessment

Amika Singh, PhD; Léonie Uijtdewilligen, MSc; Jos W. R. Twisk, PhD; Willem van Mechelen, PhD, MD; Mai J. M. Chinapaw, PhD

Objective: To describe the prospective relationship between physical activity and academic performance.

Data Sources: Prospective studies were identified from searches in PubMed, PsycINFO, Cochrane Central, and Sportdiscus from 1990 through 2010.

Study Selection: We screened the titles and abstracts for eligibility, rated the methodological quality of the studies, and extracted data.

Main Exposure: Studies had to report at least 1 physical activity or physical fitness measurement during childhood or adolescence.

Main Outcome Measures: Studies had to report at least 1 academic performance or cognition measure during childhood or adolescence.

Results: We identified 10 observational and 4 intervention studies. The quality score of the studies ranged from 22% to 75%. Two studies were scored as high quality. Methodological quality scores were particularly low for the reliability and validity of the measurement instruments. Based on the results of the best-evidence synthesis, we found evidence of a significant longitudinal positive relationship between physical activity and academic performance.

Conclusions: Participation in physical activity is positively related to academic performance in children. Because we found only 2 high-quality studies, future high-quality studies are needed to confirm our findings. These studies should thoroughly examine the dose-response relationship between physical activity and academic performance as well as explanatory mechanisms for this relationship.

Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2012;166(1):49-55

Author Affiliations:

Department of Public and Occupational Health, EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research (Drs Singh, van Mechelen, and Chinapaw and Ms Uijtdewilligen), and Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics (Dr Twisk), Vrije Universiteit (VU) University Medical Center, and Department of Methodology and Applied Biostatistics, Institute of Health Sciences, VU University (Dr Twisk), Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND SPORTS are generally promoted for their positive effect on children's physical health; regular participation in physical activity in childhood is associated with a decreased cardiovascular risk in youth and adulthood.¹ There is also a growing body of literature suggesting that physical activity has beneficial effects on several mental health outcomes, including health-related quality of life and better mood states.¹

In addition to the positive physical and mental health impact of physical activity, there is a strong belief that regular participation in physical activity is linked to enhancement of brain function and cognition,² thereby positively influencing academic performance. There are several hypothesized mechanisms for why exercise is beneficial for cognition, including (1) increased blood and oxygen flow to the brain³; (2) increased levels of norepinephrine and endorphins,^{4,5} resulting in a reduction of stress and an improvement of mood⁶; and (3) increased growth factors that help to create new nerve cells and sup-

port synaptic plasticity.^{7,8} Besides these suggested physiological effects, regular participation in sport activities may improve children's behavior in the classroom, increasing the odds of better concentration on the academic content of these lessons.

Although schools are able to offer unique opportunities for structured physical activity for children, there is a tendency to cut back physical education lessons. The increasing pressures to improve academic scores often lead to additional instructional time for subjects such as mathematics and language at the cost of time for being physically active.

Given the suggested relationship and the ongoing discussions on the replacement of physical education lessons by academic subjects, we aimed to review the evidence on the longitudinal relationship between these 2 variables.

Two previous reviews^{9,10} have studied the influence of physical activity on academic performance. Trudeau and Shephard⁹ present an overview of the literature on the relationship between physical activity in the school setting and sev-

eral outcome measures, including academic performance. Based on quasi-experimental data, they report that physical education programs demand a substantial reduction in time allocated for academic tuition. Because the children's academic performance did not change, they conclude that learning efficiency had improved. Furthermore, Trudeau and Shephard report that cross-sectional studies generally indicate a positive association between physical activity and academic achievement.

The review by Taras¹⁰ argues that there may be some acute beneficial effects of physical activity, but the long-term improvement of academic achievement is not well established. Taras concludes that the acute cognitive benefits of physical activity may adequately compensate for time spent away from academic areas.

To summarize, the literature provides inconclusive evidence on the positive longitudinal relationship between physical activity and academic performance. However, there is a strong general belief that this relationship is present, and research in this area is ongoing.

No systematic review with the specific focus on the longitudinal relationship between general physical activity and academic performance has been performed. Therefore, we present in this article the results of a systematic review of the literature, examining this longitudinal relationship. We include only prospective data and take into account the methodological quality of the studies.

METHODS

SELECTION OF THE LITERATURE

We performed a computerized search in 4 electronic bibliographic databases (PubMed, PsycINFO, Cochrane Central, and Sportdiscus) from 1990 through 2010, using search terms suitable to each specific database. The search strategy consisted of 3 elements: (1) physical activity (eg, *physical activity*, *exercise*, *physical fitness*, and *sport*); (2) academic achievement (eg, *academic achievement*, *cognition*, *academic performance*, and *school learning*); and (3) age (eg, *child*, *infant*, *adolescent*, and *0-18 years old*). These terms were used as MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) and free text words in all databases. The full search strategy can be obtained on request.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Studies were included if they were prospective studies (observational cohorts and intervention studies) examining the longitudinal relationship between physical activity and academic performance in young people. Eligible studies described (1) at least 1 physical activity or physical fitness measurement during childhood or adolescence and (2) at least 1 academic achievement or cognition measure during childhood or adolescence. We included only full-text articles published after 1990 in English-language peer-reviewed journals.

SELECTION PROCESS

First, a single reviewer (L.U.) checked all titles and abstracts of articles identified through the search process to identify po-

tentially relevant articles. In case of uncertainty, a second reviewer (A.S.) screened the article. In total, 14 studies fulfilled all inclusion criteria.

DATA EXTRACTION

The first reviewer (L.U.) extracted data from the identified studies, including (1) the study population, (2) the study design, (3) a measure of physical activity and academic achievement/cognition, and (4) main results.

METHODOLOGICAL QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Both reviewers (A.S. and L.U.) independently scored the included studies. Disagreements were discussed and resolved.

The methodological quality of the included studies was scored on the basis of a criteria list that was adapted from the criteria lists developed for observational longitudinal studies^{11,12} and for prognosis studies in systematic reviews.¹³ The criteria list (eTable 1; <http://www.archpediatrics.com>) included 11 items and assessed the methodological quality in the following 4 dimensions: (1) participation rate (n=1), (2) study attrition (n=3), (3) data collection (n=4), and (4) data analysis (n=3). The criteria answer format included positive, negative, and don't know. We gave a positive score if the publication provided an informative description of the criterion at issue and met the quality criterion. A negative score was given in case of an informative description but an inadequate performance. In case of no or insufficient information, we scored the quality item at issue with a question mark. If the study referred to another publication containing relevant information about the same study, we retrieved the additional publication to score the criterion of concern. In case we were not able to decide on the rating of a criterion based on the information in the publication, we contacted the authors for additional information or clarification. If the information could not be retrieved, a question mark was given.

For each study, we calculated the percentage of items that a study scored positively on methodological quality. A study was considered to be of high methodological quality if the quality score was at least 70%. A score less than 70% was defined as low quality.

LEVEL OF SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

To synthesize the methodological quality of the studies and to be able to draw conclusions regarding the relationship between physical activity and academic achievement, we applied the best-evidence synthesis.¹⁴ This rating system consists of 3 levels and takes into account the number, methodological quality, and consistency of outcomes of the studies, as follows:

- Strong evidence, provided by generally consistent findings in multiple (≥ 2) high-quality studies;
- Moderate evidence, provided by generally consistent findings in 1 high-quality study and 1 or more low-quality studies, or in multiple low-quality studies;
- Insufficient evidence, when only 1 study was available or findings were inconsistent in multiple (≥ 2) studies.

We considered results to be consistent when at least 75% of the studies showed results in the same direction, which was defined according to significance ($P < .05$). If 2 or more studies were of high methodological quality, we disregarded the studies of low methodological quality in the evidence synthesis.

Table. Description of the Studies Reporting on the Relationship Between Physical Activity and Academic Performance in Children and Adolescents

Source (Quality Score)	Study Design/Country/ Follow-up Duration	Characteristics Study Sample at Baseline	Measure of Physical Activity	Measure of Academic Achievement	Main Results
Nelson and Gordon-Larsen, ¹⁷ 2006 (70%)	Observational/ US/1-2 y	7th-12th grades (age, 12-18 y) Both sexes ^a (N = 11 957)	Standard 7-day recall questionnaire; self-reported participation in PE, school-based sports, academic clubs, sports with parents, and use of recreation centers	Self-reported score in math or English	Students with high participation in school-based physical activities and students with ≥ 5 bouts per week MVPA were more likely to earn higher grades
Eitle and Eitle, ²⁶ 2002 (60%)	Observational/ US/2 y	10th grade (age, 15-16 y) Only boys (N = 5018)	Self-reported basketball, football, or "other sports" participation	Math and reading test; self-reported grades	Students who played football or basketball had poorer math and reading scores; white male students who participated in other sports earned higher grades than white male students who played football and basketball and black male students who played football, basketball, or other sports
Carlson et al, ¹⁸ 2008 (55%)	Observational/ US/5.5 y	Mean (SE) age, 74.9 (0.1) mo 58.9% Boys (N = 5316)	PE participation reported by classroom teachers	Math and reading tests; IRT scale scores	Female students with the highest exposure to PE demonstrated small academic benefits for reading and math
Eitle, ²⁷ 2005 (55%)	Observational/ US/2 y	8th grade (age, 13-14 y) 48.5% Boys (N = 10 087)	Self-reported participation in baseball/softball, basketball, football, or "other team sports" and individual sports	Standardized test scores	Participating in other team sports or individual sports was associated with enhanced achievement; playing baseball/softball, football, or basketball had a negative effect on scores for male students; baseball/softball participation had a negative effect on math scores for black female students; participating in other team sports had a positive effect on math and reading scores for white female students; participating in basketball had a negative effect on math and science scores for black male students
Coe et al, ²² 2006 (45%)	Observational/ US/1 school year (11 mo)	Mean (SD) age, 11.5 (0.4) y Both sexes ^a (N = 214)	Self-reported 3-day physical activity recall	Grades; Terra Nova (national standardized test) scores	Students who met or exceeded guidelines for vigorous physical activity earned higher grades

(continued)

RESULTS

LITERATURE SEARCH

The systematic literature search yielded 844 publications. After excluding duplicates (n=26) and those that were not published as full-text articles (n=87) or were published before 1990 (n=259), we screened the titles and abstracts of 472 publications, of which 14 articles were identified as relevant. The **Table** provides a summary of the studies included in the present review on their main characteristics, that is, study population, study de-

sign, measures of physical activity and academic achievement/cognition, and main results. An extensive description of the studies can be found in eTable 2.

GENERAL

Twelve of the 14 studies¹⁷⁻²⁸ were performed in the United States, 1 in Canada,¹⁵ and 1 in South Africa.¹⁶ Four articles described the results of school-based interventions. The sample size ranged from 53 participants¹⁶ to approximately 12 000 participants,¹⁷ aged 6 through 18 years. Follow-up duration varied from 8 weeks¹⁶ to more than 5 years.^{18,19}

Table. Description of the Studies Reporting on the Relationship Between Physical Activity and Academic Performance in Children and Adolescents (continued)

Source (Quality Score)	Study Design/Country/ Follow-up Duration	Characteristics of Study Sample at Baseline	Measure of Physical Activity	Measure of Academic Achievement	Main Results
Miller et al, ²⁴ 2005 (45%)	Observational/ US/2 y	Mean age, 14.4 y 45% Boys (N = 586)	Self-reported participation in sports	Self-reported GPA	Female athletes reported higher GPAs than female nonathletes
Crosnoe, ²³ 2002 (36%)	Observational/ US/3 school years	Freshmen/sophomores (age, 14-16 y) Both sexes ^a (N = 2651)	Self-reported extracurricular activities	Self-reported GPA	Compared with male nonathletes, change in academic achievement was less negative for male athletes, female athletes, and female nonathletes
Stevens et al, ¹⁹ 2008 (36%)	Observational/ US/5 y	Kindergarten (age, 5-6 y) Math, 49.8% boys (N = 6482) Reading, 49.5% boys (N = 6393)	Parent-reported child's aerobic physical activity, exercise behavior, and participation in sports team or league; report by school administrators on PE participation	Tests on math and reading	PE had a negative effect on math achievement in male students; participation in physical activity had a positive effect on math and reading achievement in both sexes
Hanson and Kraus, ²⁸ 1998 (30%)	Observational/ US/2 y	Sophomores (age, 15-16 y) Both sexes ^a (N = 11 683)	Self-reported participation in sports or cheerleading/pep club	Self-reported grades; standardized test scores	For female students, there was a negative effect of participating in cheerleading/pep club on science achievement
Silliker and Quirk, ²⁰ 1997 (22%)	Observational/ US/approximately 4 mo	Freshmen to seniors (age, 14-18 y) Both sexes ^a (N = 123)	Self-reported participation in extracurricular activity	Self-reported GPA	Students had higher GPAs in season than out of season
Donnelly et al, ²¹ 2009 (75%)	Cluster RCT/ US/3 school years	Mean (SD) age, 8.2 (0.4) y 48.8% Boys (N = 203)	Intervention: additional 90 min of physical activity	WIAT-II-A	Children in experimental group improved their academic achievement scores
Ahamed et al, ¹⁵ 2007 (50%)	Cluster RCT/Canada/ 16 mo	Mean (SD) age, 10.2 (0.6) y 49.7% Boys (N = 288)	Intervention: additional 15 minutes of classroom-based activities	CAT-3	Academic performance was not different between intervention groups
Fredericks et al, ¹⁶ 2006 (43%)	RCT/South Africa/8 wks	1st grade (age, 6-7 y) 56.6% Boys (N = 53)	Intervention: participation in a 10-wk sensory-integration developmental movement program	ASB; reading age test; math age test; DAP	All groups performed better after intervention period; the experimental group performed better on spatial, reading, and math skills after 10-wk program
Sallis et al, ²⁵ 1999 (38%)	RCT/US/2 y	Mean (SD) age, 9.5 (0.4) y 52.2% Boys (N = 754)	Intervention: an additional 30 min of health-fitness and skill-fitness activities	MAT6 and MAT7	Cohort 1: intervention group showed less decline on language scores and increased reading scores; cohort 2: intervention group showed less decline on reading and basic battery scores but more decline on language scores

Abbreviations: ASB, Aptitude Test for School Beginners; CAT-3, Canadian Achievement Test; DAP, Draw-a-Person Test; GPA, grade point average; IRT, item response theory; MAT6/MAT7, Metropolitan Achievement Tests, 6th and 7th Editions; MVPA, moderate and vigorous physical activity; PE, physical education; RCT, randomized controlled trial; US, United States; WIAT-II-A, Wechsler Individual Achievement Test-Second Edition.

^aNo further descriptive data were available on the baseline sample.

METHODOLOGICAL QUALITY

In eTable 3, we present the methodological scoring of the included studies, which ranged from 22%²⁰ to 75%.²¹

Two studies had a score of at least 70% and thus were considered to be of high methodological quality.

About one-third of the studies^{15,22-25} examined whether dropouts from the study were comparable to the study

sample at the follow-up measurements. Three of these²³⁻²⁵ reported that the dropouts significantly differed from the study sample at follow-up.

Six of 10 observational studies^{17,18,23,24,26,27} included in the present review controlled for confounding by socioeconomic status by including proxy measures for socioeconomic status, such as parental educational level.

MEASUREMENT OF PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

Eight studies^{17,20,22-24,26-28} examined self-reported athletic participation (eg, being a member of a sports club and the total number of athletic activities) as a measure of physical activity. Two studies^{18,19} assessed participation in physical education classes using the reports by significant others; Carlson et al¹⁸ relied on teacher report, and Stevens et al,¹⁹ reports by school administrators.¹⁹ Stevens et al¹⁹ also had parents report their child's aerobic physical activity, exercise behavior, and participation in a sports team or league.

Two studies^{17,22} used self-reported physical activity questionnaires or recalls to assess physical activity beyond athletic participation. The same 2 studies reported the use of a valid (criteria 5) and reliable (criteria 7) measurement instrument of physical activity.

The 4 intervention studies^{15,16,21,25} did not measure physical activity or sports participation but increased the amount of physical activity offered within the school setting.

No study included in this systematic review used an objective measure of physical activity.

MEASUREMENT OF ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

Four studies assessed academic achievement by self-reported grades^{17,20,23,24}; 7, by cognitive test scores^{15,16,18,19,21,25,27}; and 3, by both measures.^{22,26,28} All intervention studies^{15,16,21,25} used cognitive tests to assess academic performance. Test batteries and self-reported grades took into account a large variety of academic performance skills, including reading, mathematics, world studies, and history.

PRINCIPAL FINDINGS: RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

Observational Studies

Nine observational studies^{17-20,23,24,26-28} compared subgroups on the basis of their participation in sports (ie, athletes with nonathletes or students who participated in physical education or organized sports in the school setting) instead of measuring actual levels of physical activity. The results of these studies were inconsistent with regard to the relationship between sports participation and academic performance.

Three studies,^{17,19,22} including one of high methodological quality,¹⁷ assessed time spent in physical activity (moderate or vigorous physical activity). Two of these studies^{17,19} assessed leisure time physical activity and sports/physical education participation. All 3 studies reported a positive relationship with academic performance.

Intervention Studies

All intervention studies used a (cluster) randomized controlled trial design. Three of the 4 intervention studies,^{16,21,25} including one of high methodological quality,²¹ observed a significant beneficial effect of physical activity on academic performance. Sallis et al²⁵ reported positive effects on language skills (cohorts 1 and 2), reading skills (cohorts 1 and 2), and a basic test battery (cohort 2). There were no significant differences between the intervention and control groups with regard to mathematics (cohorts 1 and 2) and a basic test battery (cohort 1). Ahamed et al¹⁵ reported no significant difference in cognitive performance test results between the intervention and control groups after a 16-month intervention (ie, 15 minutes of daily additional classroom-based physical activity).

Evidence Synthesis

For the evidence synthesis, we combined the observational (n=10) and intervention (n=4) studies. According to the best-evidence synthesis,¹⁴ we found strong evidence of a significant positive relationship between physical activity and academic performance. The findings of 1 high-quality intervention study²¹ and 1 high-quality observational study¹⁷ suggest that being more physically active is positively related to improved academic performance in children.

COMMENT

Evidence from the studies included in the present systematic review and its methodological quality assessment suggests that there is a significant positive relationship between physical activity and academic performance. Nevertheless, we must stress that only 2 of 14 studies were rated as being of high methodological quality, which is the minimum number of studies needed for "strong evidence." However, both high-quality studies supported our hypothesis of physical activity being positively related to academic performance in children.

The main strengths of this review are (1) its extensive literature search and (2) its inclusion of longitudinal and intervention studies only. Because of the latter strength, it is difficult to compare our review with previous reviews. Our findings support the cautious conclusion of a positive relationship between physical activity and academic performance suggested by the 2 previous reviews.^{9,10}

LITERATURE SEARCH AND SELECTION PROCEDURE

Some of the following potential limitations we share with other systematic reviews, that is, limitations introduced by the literature search and selection procedure. We tried to minimize selection bias by checking reference lists of previously published reviews^{9,10} and articles retrieved in the search for longitudinal or intervention studies that we might have missed. Owing to publication bias, posi-

tive findings are more likely to be published,^{29,30} leading to an overestimation of a potential positive relationship between physical activity and academic performance. Screening references of identified studies and systematic reviews may have resulted in an overrepresentation of studies with positive results that are more likely to be referred to, leading to reference bias.

SYNTHETIC APPROACH

Combining the results from all 14 studies is complicated by the heterogeneity between studies with regard to (1) outcome measures used to assess participation in physical activity; (2) outcome measures used to assess academic performance; (3) the study sample (size, sex, age, socioeconomic status, and ethnicity); and (4) study design (observational vs intervention studies).

In general, there were 2 types of physical activity measures. Studies defined children as being active based on their participation in organized sports, or they administered a physical activity questionnaire to the child or to significant others (eg, teachers, school administrators, or parents). Recording of the child's participation in certain physical (organized) activities alone does not provide a complete overview of the child's overall physical activity level and may therefore underestimate the relationship between physical activity and academic performance. This assumption is supported by the results of a recent study of Leek et al,³¹ who argue that participation in organized sports does not ensure that youth meet physical activity recommendations.

Both studies^{17,22} that used reliable and valid self-report measures of physical activity reported significant positive relationships between moderate to vigorous physical activity and academic performance.

None of the studies included in the present systematic review used objective measures of physical activity, indicating the necessity for future studies to assess physical activity by using accelerometers.

The heterogeneity of the studies is well illustrated by the variation of the intervention content between the intervention studies. The intervention study by Ahamed et al¹⁵ describes a whole-school approach including a large range of physical activities integrated into the school environment with a duration of 16 months, whereas the study by Fredericks et al¹⁶ reports on the effects of a developmental movement program focusing on perceptual-motor and sensory-motor skills, with a duration of 8 weeks.

We are well aware that one limitation of a synthetic approach is that it can give a false impression of homogeneity across studies. In particular, differences with regard to measurement instruments used and contents of the intervention studies complicate the accumulation of the results and comparability of the studies. Provision of the details on the studies included in the present review will enable the reader to gain insight into these differences (Table and eTable 2).

METHODOLOGICAL CRITERIA LIST

In the absence of a standard list to adequately assess the methodological quality of studies examining the rela-

tionship between physical activity and academic performance in children, we used an adapted criteria list (eTable 1). Therefore, the choice of the items we selected for our quality assessment and the cutoff of 70% are, to some extent, arbitrary.

EXTERNAL VALIDITY

Only half the studies met criterion 1 rating the participation rate (ie, $\geq 70\%$ or a nonselective nonresponse). Because of the studies that did not meet this criterion,^{15,18,19,22,26,27} the results have limited external validity. Another serious threat to the external validity of the studies is the comparison of those who dropped out with those who stayed in the study. Most of the studies did not report this comparison²³⁻²⁵ or it was unclear from the article whether this was adequately assessed.^{17-19,21,26-28}

The generalizability of our findings is limited by the fact that 12 of 14 of the studies included in our review were conducted in the United States. Outcomes for other parts of the world may be quite different.

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY VS SCHOOL SPORTS/PHYSICAL EDUCATION

Most of the studies in the present review have focused on participation in school sports or physical education. Although these activities can provide a general basis for the physical activity habits of children, they do not cover the complete range of physical activities in which children can participate.³¹ To gain insight into the dose-response relationship between physical activity and academic performance, we need more high-quality studies using objective measures of physical activity.

In conclusion, relatively few studies of high methodological quality have explored the relationship between physical activity and academic performance. However, we found evidence that participation in physical activity is positively related to academic performance in young people. More high-quality studies are needed on the dose-response relationship between physical activity and academic performance and on the explanatory mechanisms, using reliable and valid measurement instruments to assess this relationship accurately.

Accepted for Publication: May 25, 2011.

Correspondence: Amika Singh, PhD, Department of Public and Occupational Health, VU University Medical Center, EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, van der Boechorststraat 7, 1081 BT Amsterdam, the Netherlands (a.singh@vumc.nl).

Author Contributions: *Study concept and design:* Singh and Chinapaw. *Acquisition of data:* Singh and Uijtewilligen. *Analysis and interpretation of data:* Singh, Uijtewilligen, Twisk, van Mechelen, and Chinapaw. *Drafting of the manuscript:* Singh and Uijtewilligen. *Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content:* Uijtewilligen, Twisk, van Mechelen, and Chinapaw. *Statistical analysis:* Singh, Twisk, and Chinapaw. *Administrative, technical, and material support:* van Mechelen. *Study supervision:* Singh, van Mechelen, and Chinapaw.

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

REFERENCES

1. Penedo FJ, Dahn JR. Exercise and well-being: a review of mental and physical health benefits associated with physical activity. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2005; 18(2):189-193.
2. Hillman CH, Erickson KI, Kramer AF. Be smart, exercise your heart: exercise effects on brain and cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2008;9(1):58-65.
3. Jorgensen LG, Nowak M, Ide K, Secher NH. Cerebral blood flow and metabolism. In: Saltin B, Boushel R, Secher N, Mitchell J, eds. *Exercise and Circulation in Health and Disease*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics Publishers; 2000:113-236.
4. Fleshner M. Exercise and neuroendocrine regulation of antibody production: protective effect of physical activity on stress-induced suppression of the specific antibody response. *Int J Sports Med*. 2000;21(suppl 1):S14-S19.
5. Winter B, Breitenstein C, Moeren FC, et al. High impact running improves learning. *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. 2007;87(4):597-609.
6. Yeung RR. The acute effects of exercise on mood state. *J Psychosom Res*. 1996; 40(2):123-141.
7. van Praag H, Kempermann G, Gage FH. Running increases cell proliferation and neurogenesis in the adult mouse dentate gyrus. *Nat Neurosci*. 1999;2(3):266-270.
8. Schinder AF, Poo M. The neurotrophin hypothesis for synaptic plasticity. *Trends Neurosci*. 2000;23(12):639-645.
9. Trudeau F, Shephard RJ. Physical education, school physical activity, school sports and academic performance. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2008;5:10. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-5-10.
10. Taras H. Physical activity and student performance at school. *J Sch Health*. 2005; 75(6):214-218.
11. Singh AS, Mulder C, Twisk JW, van Mechelen W, Chinapaw MJ. Tracking of childhood overweight into adulthood: a systematic review of the literature. *Obes Rev*. 2008;9(5):474-488.
12. Tooth L, Ware R, Bain C, Purdie DM, Dobson A. Quality of reporting of observational longitudinal research. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2005;161(3):280-288.
13. Hayden JA, Côté P, Bombardier C. Evaluation of the quality of prognosis studies in systematic reviews. *Ann Intern Med*. 2006;144(6):427-437.
14. Slavin RE. Best evidence synthesis: an intelligent alternative to meta-analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1995;48(1):9-18.
15. Ahamed Y, Macdonald H, Reed K, Naylor PJ, Liu-Ambrose T, McKay H. School-based physical activity does not compromise children's academic performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2007;39(2):371-376.
16. Fredericks CR, Kokot SJ, Krog S. Using a developmental movement programme to enhance academic skills in grade 1 learners. *S Afr J Res Sport Phys Educ Recreation*. 2006;28(1):29-42.
17. Nelson MC, Gordon-Larsen P. Physical activity and sedentary behavior patterns are associated with selected adolescent health risk behaviors. *Pediatrics*. 2006; 117(4):1281-1290.
18. Carlson SA, Fulton JE, Lee SM, et al. Physical education and academic achievement in elementary school: data from the early childhood longitudinal study. *Am J Public Health*. 2008;98(4):721-727.
19. Stevens TA, Yen T, Stevenson SJ, Lochbaum MR. The importance of physical activity and physical education in the prediction of academic achievement. *J Sport Behav*. 2008;31(4):368-388.
20. Silliker SA, Quirk JT. The effect of extracurricular activity participation on the academic performance of male and female high school students. *Sch Couns*. 1997; 44(4):288-293.
21. Donnelly JE, Greene JL, Gibson CA, et al. Physical Activity Across the Curriculum (PAAC): a randomized controlled trial to promote physical activity and diminish overweight and obesity in elementary school children. *Prev Med*. 2009; 49(4):336-341.
22. Coe DP, Pivarnik JM, Womack CJ, Reeves MJ, Malina RM. Effect of physical education and activity levels on academic achievement in children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2006;38(8):1515-1519.
23. Crosnoe R. Academic and health-related trajectories in adolescence: the intersection of gender and athletics. *J Health Soc Behav*. 2002;43(3):317-335.
24. Miller KE, Melnick MJ, Barnes GM, Farrell MP, Sabo D. Untangling the links among athletic involvement, gender, race, and adolescent academic outcomes. *Sociol Sport J*. 2005;22(2):178-193.
25. Sallis JF, McKenzie TL, Kolody B, Lewis M, Marshall S, Rosengard P. Effects of health-related physical education on academic achievement: Project SPARK. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 1999;70(2):127-134.
26. Eitle T, Eitle DJ. Race, cultural capital, and the educational effects of participation in sports. *Sociol Educ*. 2002;75:123-146.
27. Eitle T. Do gender and race matter? explaining the relationship between sports participation and achievement. *Sociol Spectr*. 2005;25:177-195.
28. Hanson SL, Kraus RS. Women, sports, and science: do female athletes have an advantage? *Sociol Educ*. 1998;71(2):93-110.
29. Egger M, Smith GD. Bias in location and selection of studies. *BMJ*. 1998;316(7124): 61-66.
30. Scherer RW, Dickersin K, Langenberg P. Full publication of results initially presented in abstracts: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 1994;272(2):158-162.
31. Leek D, Carlson JA, Cain KL, et al. Physical activity during youth sports practices. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2011;165(4):294-299.