


Biological Services Program

FWS/OBS-82/10
FEBRUARY 1982

HABITAT SUITABILITY INDEX MODELS



Fish and Wildlife Service

U.S. Department of the Interior

The Biological Services Program was established within the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to supply scientific information and methodologies on key environmental issues that impact fish and wildlife resources and their supporting ecosystems. The mission of the program is as follows:

- To strengthen the Fish and Wildlife Service in its role as a primary source of information on national fish and wildlife resources, particularly in respect to environmental impact assessment.
- To gather, analyze, and present information that will aid decisionmakers in the identification and resolution of problems associated with major changes in land and water use.
- To provide better ecological information and evaluation for Department of the Interior development programs, such as those relating to energy development.

Information developed by the Biological Services Program is intended for use in the planning and decisionmaking process to prevent or minimize the impact of development on fish and wildlife. Research activities and technical assistance services are based on an analysis of the issues, a determination of the decisionmakers involved and their information needs, and an evaluation of the state of the art to identify information gaps and to determine priorities. This is a strategy that will ensure that the products produced and disseminated are timely and useful.

Projects have been initiated in the following areas: coal extraction and conversion; power plants; geothermal, mineral and oil shale development; water resource analysis, including stream alterations and western water allocation; coastal ecosystems and Outer Continental Shelf development; and systems inventory, including National Wetland Inventory, habitat classification and analysis, and information transfer.

The Biological Services Program consists of the Office of Biological Services in Washington, D.C., which is responsible for overall planning and management; National Teams, which provide the Program's central scientific and technical expertise and arrange for contracting biological services studies with states, universities, consulting firms, and others; Regional Staffs, who provide a link to problems at the operating level; and staffs at certain Fish and Wildlife Service research facilities, who conduct in-house research studies.

FWS/OBS-82/10
February 1982

HABITAT SUITABILITY INDEX MODELS:INTRODUCTION

by

Melvin Schamberger
Adrian H. Farmer
and
James W. Terrell
Habitat Evaluation Procedures Group
Western Energy and Land Use Team
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Drake Creekside Building One
2625 Redwing Road
Fort Collins, Colorado 80526

Office of Biological Services
and
Division of Ecological Services
Fish and Wildlife Service
U.S. Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240

This report should be cited as:

Schamberger, M., A. H. Farmer, and J. W. Terrell. 1982. Habitat suitability index models: introduction. U.S.D.I. Fish and Wildlife Service. FWS/OBS-82/10. 2 pp.

INTRODUCTION TO THE HABITAT SUITABILITY INDEX MODEL SERIES

This series provides habitat information for evaluating impacts on fish and wildlife habitat resulting from water or land use changes. The impetus for this series was the Habitat Evaluation Procedures (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1980a), a planning and evaluation technique that focuses on the habitat requirements of fish and wildlife species. The habitat information in this series has been formatted according to Standards for the Development of Habitat Suitability Index Models (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1981).

This series may appear similar to other sources of information that address, in general terms, the habitat requirements of fish and wildlife species. Several other efforts to compile species data bases have been initiated in recent years (e.g., Mason et al. 1979; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1980b). Whereas these other data bases are descriptive in content and contain an array of habitat and population information, this series is unique in that it is constrained to habitat information only, with an emphasis on quantitative relationships between key environmental variables and habitat suitability. In addition, this series synthesizes habitat information into explicit habitat models useful in quantitative assessments.

The models in this series reference numerous literature sources in an effort to consolidate scientific information on species-habitat relationships. Models are included that provide a numerical index of habitat suitability on a 0.0 to 1.0 scale, based on the assumption that there is a positive relationship between the index and habitat carrying capacity (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1981). The models vary in generality and precision, due in part to the amount of available quantitative habitat information and the frequent qualitative nature of existing information. When possible, models are included that are derived from site-specific population and habitat data.

The HSI models are usually presented in three basic formats: (1) graphic; (2) word; and (3) mathematical. The graphic format is a representation of the structure of the model and displays the sequential aggregation of variables into an HSI. Following this, the model relationships are discussed and the assumed relationships between variables, components, and HSI's documented. This discussion of model relationships provides a working version of the model and is, in effect, a word model. Finally, the model relationships are described in mathematical language, mimicking as closely and as simply as possible, the preceding word descriptions.

The models are documented for several reasons. First, the documentation explains the model's structure and inherent assumptions. Second, the model building process involves considerable judgement, and documentation provides the insights necessary to modify the model when these judgements are inconsistent with local or new knowledge. Finally, documentation should facilitate reformulation of the model to meet individual study constraints. Graphic or word model formats may be used to support reconnaissance level assessments, although repeatability may be reduced when using these model forms.

The models should be viewed as hypotheses of species-habitat relationships rather than statements of proven cause and effect relationships. Their value

is to serve as a basis for improved decisionmaking and increased understanding of habitat relationships because they specify hypotheses of habitat relationships that can be tested and improved. Results of model performance tests, when available, are presented or referenced with each model. However, models that have been reliable in specific studies may be less reliable in other situations. For this reason, feedback is encouraged from model users concerning improvements to models, the availability of other habitat models, results of model tests, and suggestions that may increase the effective use of habitat information for fish and wildlife planning. Comments should be sent to one of the addresses below.

The appendices to this series contain supplementary information for model applications. This information is general in nature although certain appendices may apply to only part of the model series. For example, Appendix A provides specific guidance and model application information for inland aquatic fish species and contains sample field data sheets for collecting aquatic field data and converting those data into habitat variable values. Measurement techniques for terrestrial variables are summarized in Hays et al. (1981).

Requests for models and appendices published in this series or feedback concerning model use should be sent to one of the following addresses:

Terrestrial and Inland Aquatic Species

Office of Biological Services
Western Energy and Land Use Team
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
2625 Redwing Road
Fort Collins, Colorado 80526

Estuarine and Marine Species

Office of Biological Services
National Coastal Ecosystem Team
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NASA/Slidell Computer Complex
1010 Gause Boulevard
Slidell, Louisiana 70458


REFERENCES

- Hays, R.L., C. Summers, and W. Seitz. 1981. Estimating wildlife habitat variables. U.S.D.I. Fish and Wildlife Service. FWS/OBS-81/47. 111 pp.
- Mason, W.T., Jr., C.T. Cushwa, C.J. Slaski, and D.M. Gladwin. 1979. A procedure for describing fish and wildlife: Coding instructions for Pennsylvania. U.S.D.I. Fish and Wildlife Service. FWS/OBS-79/19. 21 pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1980a. Habitat Evaluation Procedures (HEP). U.S.D.I. Fish and Wildlife Service. Division of Ecological Services. ESM 102.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1980b. Selected vertebrate endangered species of the seacoast of the United States. U.S.D.I. Fish and Wildlife Service. FWS/OBS-80/01.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1981. Standards for the development of habitat suitability index models for use in the Habitat Evaluation Procedures, U.S.D.I. Fish and Wildlife Service. Division of Ecological Services. ESM 103.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		1. REPORT NO. FWS/OBS-82/10	2.	3. Recipient's Accession No.
4. Title and Subtitle Habitat Suitability Index Models: Introduction				5. Report Date February 1982
				6.
7. Author(s) Schamberger, Farmer, Terrell				8. Performing Organization Rept. No.
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		Habitat Evaluation Procedures Group Western Energy and Land Use Team U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Drake Creekside Building 1 2625 Redwing Road Fort Collins, Colorado 80526		
		10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.		
		11. Contract(C) or Grant(G) No. (C) (G)		
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address		Western Energy and Land Use Team Office of Biological Services U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service U.S. Department of Interior Washington, D. C. 20240		
		13. Type of Report & Period Covered		
		14.		
15. Supplementary Notes				

16. Abstract (Limit: 200 words)
<p>This is one in a series of publications describing habitat requirements of selected fish and wildlife species. Numerous literature sources have been consulted in an effort to consolidate scientific data on species-habitat relationships. These data have subsequently been synthesized into subjective Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) models. The models are based on suitability indices formulated for variables found to affect the life cycle and survival of each species. The models are designed to be modified to evaluate specific habitat alterations using the HSI model building techniques presented in the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Habitat Evaluation Procedures.</p>

17. Document Analysis a. Descriptors			
Habitability Fishes			
b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms			
Habitat Habitat Suitability Index			
c. COSATI Field/Group			
18. Availability Statement Unlimited		19. Security Class (This Report) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages 2
		20. Security Class (This Page) Unclassified	22. Price



U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE REGIONAL OFFICES

REGION 1

Regional Director
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Lloyd Five Hundred Building, Suite 1692
500 N.E. Multnomah Street
Portland, Oregon 97232

REGION 2

Regional Director
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
P.O. Box 1306
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

REGION 3

Regional Director
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Federal Building, Fort Snelling
Twin Cities, Minnesota 55111

REGION 4

Regional Director
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Richard B. Russell Building
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

REGION 5

Regional Director
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
One Gateway Center
Newton Corner, Massachusetts 02158

REGION 6

Regional Director
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
P.O. Box 25486
Denver Federal Center
Denver, Colorado 80225

REGION 7

Regional Director
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1011 E. Tudor Road
Anchorage, Alaska 99503



DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE



As the Nation's principal conservation agency, the Department of the Interior has responsibility for most of our nationally owned public lands and natural resources. This includes fostering the wisest use of our land and water resources, protecting our fish and wildlife, preserving the environmental and cultural values of our national parks and historical places, and providing for the enjoyment of life through outdoor recreation. The Department assesses our energy and mineral resources and works to assure that their development is in the best interests of all our people. The Department also has a major responsibility for American Indian reservation communities and for people who live in island territories under U.S. administration.