Lab 3: Significance of network metrics

Rodrigo Arias Mallo

November 2, 2017

1 The metric

For selecting the metric, I set the seed to the last 5 digits of my DNI, as recently seen in some hash generation¹, and ran the following command in python:

```
from random import *

seed(64718)

metrics = ["clustering coefficient", "closeness centrality"]

r = randint(0, 1)

print(metrics[r])

Which produced the following output

% python metric.py
clustering coefficient

So I decided to use clustering coefficient C_{WS} as a metric.
```

2 Introduction

2.1 Cleaning the data

The datasets have been uncompressed in data/, and then processed by the script prepare-data.sh, which removes the header with the number of nodes and edges, and outputs the remaining edge list in the same file with a new extension .edges. The properties of the graphs can be seen at the table 1.

After some research I found the BiRewire R package [1] written by A. Gobbi, a fast implementation of the switchin model [2] [3].

3 Proposition

Based on some properties of a graph \hat{G} , we want to create a sequence of random graphs $\langle G \rangle$ by using two different methods, and then test if they maintain a set of measures that we found on \hat{G} .

The first model, the Erdös–Rényi graph takes as input the number of vertex |V| and edges |E| of \hat{G} , and builds a new random graph with the same number

http://klondike.es/klog/2017/09/25/descifrando-las-bases-de-datos-delreferendum-catalan/

| Language | N | E | $\langle k \rangle$ | δ |
|-----------|-------|--------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Arabic | 21531 | 68742 | 6.385 | 2.966×10^{-4} |
| Basque | 12207 | 25541 | 4.185 | 3.428×10^{-4} |
| Catalan | 36865 | 197075 | 1.069×10^{1} | 2.900×10^{-4} |
| Chinese | 40298 | 180925 | 8.979 | 2.228×10^{-4} |
| Czech | 69303 | 257254 | 7.424 | 1.071×10^{-4} |
| English | 29634 | 193078 | 1.303×10^{1} | 4.397×10^{-4} |
| Greek | 13283 | 43961 | 6.619 | 4.984×10^{-4} |
| Hungarian | 36126 | 106681 | 5.906 | 1.635×10^{-4} |
| Italian | 14726 | 55954 | 7.599 | 5.161×10^{-4} |
| Turkish | 20409 | 45625 | 4.471 | 2.191×10^{-4} |

Table 1: Properties of the graphs after preprocessing.

of vertex and edges. The clustering coefficient is then computed for each graph in the sequence $\langle G \rangle$ as $\langle X \rangle$.

We can consider the measurement X as a random variable, with mean E[X] and variance VAR[X]. By computing T elements in the sequence, the sample mean \overline{X} is an unbiased estimator of E[X], and by the central limit theorem, the sample \overline{X} is distributed

3.1 Erdős–Rényi model

The ER model is implemented in python using the networkx package. The gnm_random_graph creates a ER graph with parameters |V| and |E|. An average of T=25 graphs is performed. The measure is taken by calling average_clustering. We see that none of the generated graphs contain a value greater that the orig-

| x | \overline{x}_{ER} | $p(x_{ER} \ge x)$ |
|------------------------|---|--|
| 1.885×10^{-1} | 2.958×10^{-4} | 0.000 |
| 4.671×10^{-2} | 2.971×10^{-4} | 0.000 |
| 2.211×10^{-1} | 2.916×10^{-4} | 0.000 |
| 1.708×10^{-1} | 2.310×10^{-4} | 0.000 |
| 1.217×10^{-1} | 1.124×10^{-4} | 0.000 |
| 2.353×10^{-1} | 4.416×10^{-4} | 0.000 |
| 1.338×10^{-1} | 4.800×10^{-4} | 0.000 |
| 5.085×10^{-2} | 1.549×10^{-4} | 0.000 |
| 1.437×10^{-1} | 4.868×10^{-4} | 0.000 |
| 2.236×10^{-1} | 2.317×10^{-4} | 0.000 |
| | $\begin{array}{c} 1.885 \times 10^{-1} \\ 4.671 \times 10^{-2} \\ 2.211 \times 10^{-1} \\ 1.708 \times 10^{-1} \\ 1.217 \times 10^{-1} \\ 2.353 \times 10^{-1} \\ 1.338 \times 10^{-1} \\ 5.085 \times 10^{-2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccccc} 1.885 \times 10^{-1} & 2.958 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.671 \times 10^{-2} & 2.971 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.211 \times 10^{-1} & 2.916 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.708 \times 10^{-1} & 2.310 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.217 \times 10^{-1} & 1.124 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.353 \times 10^{-1} & 4.416 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.338 \times 10^{-1} & 4.800 \times 10^{-4} \\ 5.085 \times 10^{-2} & 1.549 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.437 \times 10^{-1} & 4.868 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$ |

Table 2: The measures of ER model.

inal one. We can conclude that, even the ER model keeps the number of nodes and edges, the clustering coefficient is smaller.

| Language | x | \overline{x}_S | $p(x_S \ge x)$ |
|------------------------|-------|------------------|----------------|
| Arabic | 0.188 | 0.187 | 0.200 |
| Basque | 0.047 | 0.053 | 1.000 |
| Catalan | 0.221 | 0.145 | 0.000 |
| Chinese | 0.171 | 0.093 | 0.000 |
| Czech | 0.122 | 0.070 | 0.000 |
| English | 0.235 | 0.240 | 1.000 |
| Greek | 0.134 | 0.147 | 1.000 |
| Hungarian | 0.051 | 0.072 | 1.000 |
| Italian | 0.144 | 0.198 | 1.000 |
| Turkish | 0.224 | 0.247 | 1.000 |

Table 3: The measures of the switching model.

4 Results

5 Discussion

6 Methods

References

- [1] A. Gobbi, F. Iorio, D. Albanese, G Jurman, and J. Saez-Rodriguez. BiRewire: High-performing routines for the randomization of a bipartite graph (or a binary event matrix), undirected and directed signed graph preserving degree distribution (or marginal totals), 2017. R package version 3.8.1.
- [2] A. Gobbi, F. Iorio, K.J. Dawson, D.C. Wedge, D. Tamborero, L. Alexandrov, N. Lopez-Bigas, M.J. Garnett, G Jurman, and J. Saez-Rodriguez. Fast randomization of large genomic datasets while preserving alteration counts. BMC Bioinformatics, 30(17):617–623, 2014.
- [3] F. Iorio, M. Bernardo-Faura, A. Gobbi, T. Cokelaer, G Jurman, and J. Saez-Rodriguez. Efficient randomization of biological networks while preserving functional characterization of individual nodes. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 17(1):617–623, 542.