

## HackSA: Performance of VGG, Inception and ResNet with Horovod

*Authors: Arias Rodrigo, Burca Horia*

*Date: 14/01/2019*

Continuing the analysis with Horovod and the CIFAR10 dataset, we now focus on the performance of the models: VGG, Inception and ResNet

### Performance metrics


As previously stated in lab 11, we use 2 metrics to evaluate the overall performance. The speed of the training process, measured in the average number of samples per second. And the time it takes the complete training, skipping the initialization time.



With that information, the speedup is computed as:

```
speedup_speed = gpus * speed_parallel / speed_serial  
speedup_time = time_serial / time_parallel
```

### Speedup


The speedup measured using both metrics (time and speed), and shown as the number of GPUS grow, in the three models:





## Efficiency

The efficiency is computed for each model, and plot using the two speedup metrics:



## Conclusions

The measurement produced by the `average_example_per_sec` hooks in TensorFlow, leads to different time, compared with the wall time of the train process. We assume that the difference is due to overheads, such as the initialization or communication time.

A more in depth analysis could provide a better explanation on why such differences are observed, and which metric is more reliable to represent the speedup of the training process.