

# Cars 4 You: Expediting Car Evaluations with ML

**Group Project Machine Learning 2024/2025**



## **GROUP 12 - HANDOUT**

Project members:

- Ricardo Isidro - 20250374
- Rodrigo Santos - 20250387
- Rodrigo Texeira - 20250393

# Overall structure of our project pipeline:

## 1. Data Import & Exploration

**Training** and **test** datasets are imported using pandas. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) includes **descriptive statistics**, **correlation heatmaps**, and **distribution plots** to identify **trends**, **missing values**, and **outliers**.

---

## 2. Preprocessing & Data Cleaning.

- **Dropped Columns:** *hasDamage* was removed due to a single value (0), providing no predictive information.
- **Text Standardization:** All categorical columns (*Brand*, *model*, etc.) were converted to lower-case to consolidate unique values and fixed typos using `fix_typos()`.

**2.1 Outlier Handling:** **Negative**, **unrealistic**, and **outlier numeric values** were set to **NaN** using boxplot analysis. Outliers were removed only for **Linear Regression**, since **Random Forest** is **robust** to them.

- *mileage* < 0
- *tax* not in range [0, 400]
- *mpg* not in range [0, 150]
- *engineSize* not in range [1, 6]
- *paintQuality%* > 100
- *year* not in range [1990, 2020]
- *previousOwners* not in range [0,4]

The **Linear Regression** model is extremely sensitive to outliers. Therefore, removal and clipping were crucial:

- ❖ **Unrealistic Values** (e.g., *mileage* < 0, *paintQuality%* > 100, *mpg*>150 ): These were removed to prevent **distortion of the regression coefficients**, ensuring the model learns valid and logical relationships.
- ❖ **Extreme Outliers (Interval Clipping):** The removal of very rare/extreme values (e.g., *tax*, *mpg*, *year*, *engineSize*) was performed because these points would have **high leverage**, pulling the regression line and violating the assumption of **linearity** in the main sample.
- ❖ **Percentile Clipping (*tax*, *engineSize*):** Limiting to the 1st/99th percentile **before** logarithmic transformation to **stabilize the model**, reducing the extreme variance introduced by milder outliers.
- ❖ **Log-Transformation (`np.log1p`):** Applied to the target (price) and skewed variables (*mileage*, *mpg* and *tax*), this is essential for Linear Regression because it:
  - **Normalizes distributions**, bringing them closer to the symmetry required for the assumption of **normal residuals** (model error).
  - **Linearizes relationships** (e.g.,  $\log(\text{price})$  vs.  $\log(\text{mileage})$ ).
  - **Reduces Heteroscedasticity** (disproportionate errors at high price values).

### 2.2.1 Categorical Missing Values

Theil's U (Asymmetric Uncertainty) was used to guide the selection of auxiliary variables, ensuring contextual imputations:

- ❖ **Contextual Imputation (*Brand*, *model*):** A custom helper function (`fill_NaN_with_categorical`) was used to fill NaNs with the group mode from the most correlated group. For example, *Brand* was inferred based on the combination of *model*, *transmission*, and *fuelType*, preserving feature dependency.
- ❖ **Simple Imputation (*transmission*, *fuelType*):** NaNs were filled using the global mode of the respective column.

### 2.2.1 Numerical Missing Values

The Correlation Ratio  $R^2$  scores were used to identify the best predictors for numerical variables:

- ❖ **Mixed and Contextual Imputation (*year*, *mileage*, *tax*, *engineSize*):** A mixed-method function

(*fill\_NaN\_with\_mixed*) was used, which fills the NaN with the mode within a group defined by one categorical variable (*model*) and one highly correlated, discretized (*binned*) numerical variable. This highly granular approach maintains the data dependency structure.

- ❖ **Robust Median Imputation (*paintQuality%*, *previousOwners*):** The median was used to fill NaNs. The median is a robust statistic that minimizes the impact of imputation on skewed distributions or those with residual outliers.

### 3. Feature Engineering

- **Categorical Encoding:**
  - **One-Hot Encoding** applied to *Brand*, *transmission*, and *fuelType* to convert nominal categories into binary indicator variables.
  - **K-Fold Target Encoding** was applied to the *Brand* and *model* variables due to the **high cardinality** of the *model* feature. This approach allows the model to effectively capture price-related information (average price patterns by Brand and model) while **reducing overfitting** through cross-validation.
  - **Min-Max normalization** applied to numerical features to standardize scales between 0 and 1. It offers stability to the model and is ideal when the data distribution is already well-behaved (without outliers).

### 4. Feature Selection Strategy

Feature relevance was evaluated using both statistical and model-driven methods:

- **Pearson Correlation:** Used to evaluate linear relationships between numerical variables and the target (price), as well as to identify and remove multicollinear predictors that could distort regression coefficients.
- **Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE):** Performed using **Linear Regression** model to iteratively rank and select the most informative subset of predictors based on their contribution to model performance.
- **LassoCV:** Applied to penalize less relevant predictors through L1 regularization, automatically setting weak feature coefficients to zero and improving model generalization.

Final retained features (used in both models): *year*, *engineSize*, *tax*, *mpg*, *mileage*, *Brand*, *model*, and *transmission*.

### 5. Model Training and Evaluation

- The task was formulated as a supervised regression problem.
- Linear Regression served as a baseline model for interpretability and benchmarking.
- Random Forest Regressor was employed as the final model to capture nonlinear dependencies and feature interactions.
- Evaluation Metrics:
  - **R<sup>2</sup> Score:** Measures the proportion of variance explained by the model.
  - **Mean Absolute Error (MAE):** Represents the average prediction error.
  - **Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE):** Highlights larger deviations more heavily.

#### Pipeline overview table

Stage	Techniques Used
Exploration	Descriptive statistics, histograms, correlation matrix, missing value analysis
Preprocessing	Outlier filtering (IQR & quantile clipping), missing value imputation (median or inferred), typo correction, data type adjustments
Feature Engineering	<i>One-Hot Encoding</i> and K-Fold target encoding (for categorical variables), log transformation for skewed numeric variables, Min-Max normalization
Feature Selection	Pearson correlation, Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE), LassoCV
Modeling	Linear Regression (baseline) and Random Forest Regressor (final model) using 70/30 train-validation split
Evaluation	R <sup>2</sup> , MAE, RMSE — Random Forest achieved better generalization and lower error

- **Results:** The Random Forest Regressor consistently outperformed Linear Regression across all metrics, demonstrating better predictive accuracy, robustness, and generalization to unseen data.