

# Form 5.1 Building Condition Form for Lead Hazard Risk Assessment.

Property address: 14 E Princeton Blvd, Whiteville Apt. No.       

Name of property owner: Ronald S. Hester

Name of risk assessor: Elliott Rodgers Date of assessment: 5/10/22

Condition	Yes	No	Comments
Roof missing parts of surfaces (tiles, boards, shakes, etc.)	X		
Roof has holes or large cracks	X		
Gutters or downspouts broken	X		
Chimney masonry cracked, bricks loose or missing, obviously out of plumb		X	
Exterior or interior walls have obvious large cracks or holes, requiring more than routine pointing (if masonry) or painting	X		
Exterior siding has missing boards or shingles	X		
Water stains on interior walls or ceilings	X		
Walls or ceilings deteriorated	X		
More than "very small" amount of paint in a room deteriorated	X		
Two or more windows or doors broken, missing, or boarded up		X	
Porch or steps have major elements broken, missing, or boarded up		X	
Foundation has major cracks, missing material, structure leans, or visibly unsound		X	
** Total number	8	4	

\* The "very small" amount is the *de minimis* amount under the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule (24 CFR 35.1350(d)), or the amount of paint that is not "paint in poor condition" under the EPA lead training and certification ("402") rule (40 CFR 745.223).

\*\* If the "Yes" column has any checks, the dwelling is usually considered not to be in good condition for the purposes of a risk assessment, and conducting a lead hazard screen is not advisable. However, specific conditions and extenuating circumstances should be considered before determining the final condition of the dwelling and the appropriateness of a lead hazard screen. If the "Yes" column has any checks, and a lead hazard screen is to be performed, describe, below, the extenuating circumstances that justify conducting a lead hazard screen.

**Notes (including other conditions of concern):**