# Practical Write-Up

Rodney Lafuente lafuentemercado@college.harvard.edu

Roger Brockett rbrockett@college.harvard.edu

April 1, 2022

# 1 Part A: Feature Engineering, Baseline Models

# 1.1 Approach

What did you do? When relevant, provide mathematical descriptions or pseudocode. Credit will be given for:

- PCA: Describe what the top 500 principal components represent, and how you computed them.
- Logistic regression: Describe how the model you trained predicts output probabilities for each class.

### 1.2 Results

This section should report on the following questions:

• What is the **overall** and **per-class** classification accuracy of the models that you implemented?

| Accuracy | Raw Amplitude | Mel Spectogram |
|----------|---------------|----------------|
| OVERALL  | 0.198         | 0.252          |
| Class 0  | 0.197         | 0.160          |
| Class 1  | 0.026         | 0.462          |
| Class 2  | 0.592         | 0.020          |
| Class 3  | 0.096         | 0.183          |
| Class 4  | 0.072         | 0.227          |
| Class 5  | 0.174         | 0.303          |
| Class 6  | 0.033         | 0.433          |
| Class 7  | 0.119         | 0.102          |
| Class 8  | 0.140         | 0.945          |
| Class 9  | 0.160         | 0.133          |

Table 1: Accuracies of Logistic Regression models on Raw Amplitude and Mel Spectogram Data.

## 1.3 Discussion

This section should report on the following questions:

- Why do you hypothesize one feature representation performed better than the other?
- Why might have asked you to perform PCA first, and what is the impact of that choice?

# 2 Part B: More Modeling

# 2.1 First Step

## 2.1.1 Approach

What did you do? Credit will be given for:

• Provide mathematical descriptions or pseudocode to help us understand how the models you tried make predictions and are trained.

## 2.1.2 Results

This section should report on the following questions:

• What is the overall and per-class classification accuracy of the models that you implemented?

| Accuracy | Raw Amplitude | Mel Spectogram |
|----------|---------------|----------------|
| OVERALL  | 0.248         | 0.334          |
| Class 0  | 0.230         | 0.280          |
| Class 1  | 0.000         | 0.256          |
| Class 2  | 0.746         | 0.211          |
| Class 3  | 0.035         | 0.424          |
| Class 4  | 0.110         | 0.216          |
| Class 5  | 0.390         | 0.375          |
| Class 6  | 0.033         | 0.167          |
| Class 7  | 0.102         | 0.356          |
| Class 8  | 0.212         | 0.441          |
| Class 9  | 0.127         | 0.437          |

Table 2: Accuracies of Random Forest Classifier models on Raw Amplitude and Mel Spectogram Data.

#### 2.1.3 Discussion

Compare your results to the logistic regression models in Part A and discuss what your results imply about the task.

# 2.2 Hyperparameter Tuning and Validation

## 2.2.1 Approach

What did you do? Credit will be given for:

• Making tuning and configuration decisions using thoughtful experimentation. How did you perform your hyperparameter search, and what hyperparameters did you search over?

#### 2.2.2 Results

Present your results of your hyperparameter search in a way that best reflects how to communicate your conclusions.

• RFC: The results from the hyperparameter grid search on Random Forest Classifiers showed...

| # Estimators | Raw Amplitude | Mel Spectogram | Overall Rank |
|--------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| 360          | 0.235         | 0.423          | 5            |
| 400          | 0.235         | 0.428          | 3            |
| 440          | 0.238         | 0.424          | 4            |
| 480          | 0.240         | 0.426          | 1            |
| 520          | 0.242         | 0.424          | 1            |

Table 3: Accuracies of Random Forest Models of Varying Estimator Counts

 $\bullet$  SVM: The results on ...

| C Value | Raw Amplitude | Mel Spectogram | Overall Rank |
|---------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| 0.001   | 0.123         | 0.123          | 5            |
| 0.01    | 0.141         | 0.123          | 4            |
| 0.1     | 0.160         | 0.185          | 3            |
| 1       | 0.175         | 0.267          | 2            |
| 10      | 0.188         | 0.322          | 1            |

Table 4: Accuracies of Support Vector Machine models of Varying C Values

#### 2.2.3 Discussion

Why do you expect the tuned models to perform better than the baseline models and the model used in First Step? Discuss your validation strategy and your conclusions.