# Notes on real analysis

Rodolfo J Amancio

January 14, 2023

# Contents

1	Pre	face	5	
2	The real numbers			
	2.1	Irrational numbers	7	
	2.2	Preliminaries	8	
		2.2.1 Set theory	8	
		2.2.2 Function	11	
		2.2.3 Induction	12	
	2.3	Defining $\mathbb{R}$	13	
		2.3.1 The incompleteness of $\mathbb{Q}$	13	
		2.3.2 The definition of $\mathbb{R}$	14	
		2.3.3 The density of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{R}$	14	
	2.4	Cardinality	15	
		2.4.1 Countable, uncountable and countably infinite sets	16	

4 CONTENTS

## Chapter 1

## **Preface**

First, let me be clear. I am not a mathematician. These notes are not intended as a manual, however I like to teach, explain science, and I am firm believer that the best way to learn something is to teach each. Richard Feynman famously said the best way to learn is to follow these steps:

- 1. Study: arguably the easiest part, whether you like to take notes on paper, tablet or your computer. Whether you like to sit on a table or on the couch. However, as many people who have come this far know, reading, taking notes or making exercises only take you so far.
- 2. Teach: this is where the fun begins, as you try to explain something you know (or think you know) to someone, you start being more aware of your limitations, of the gaps in the proofs you cannot explain, the unexpected questions that may appear lead you astray. Even without an audience, this is a nice thing to do as it forces you to be clear and think about how to explain something in a clear yet rigorous way.
- 3. Fill the gaps: now it is time to come back to studying, reading more, exploring new books, papers or what else. Once you have discovered your limitations on the previous step, you are once again in the position to learn and study, but now you know where to look.
- 4. Simplify: one of the greatest sins we commit is to get stuck with fancy proofs, delude ourselves in the beauty of math. Make it so that people will understand and enjoy what they are reading or listening.

In this document, I have written my learnings from studying Real Analysis. I hope to learn more while writing it.

## Chapter 2

## The real numbers

During high school math we are often given a simplified definition of the real numbers, one it may take a while to fully grasp how awkward it is: "The real numbers is the set which contains the rational numbers and the irrational numbers". Taking alone it may seem a reasonable statement. In fact, it is true. However, if we start with the natural numbers there is a very concise and clear way of writing it:

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \tag{2.1}$$

Taking one step further, the integers follow quite naturally:

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{..., -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$$
(2.2)

And even for the rationals, we can clearly write:

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} : p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } q \neq 0 \right\}$$
 (2.3)

Now, for the real numbers things are not so clear. So, we are stuck with our initial understanding of the big set which includes the rational and irrational numbers. So, let's start by looking more carefully at this similarly weird creature.

## 2.1 Irrational numbers

Before we proceed, let's take a minute to appreciate why we need irrational numbers. The following result will play an important role to distinguish the "holes" of the rational numbers when compared with the reals. We begin with a theorem.

**Theorem 1.** There is no such number whose square root is 2

*Proof.* As stated before, a rational number is one that can be written in the form p/q, with  $q \neq 0$ . Our approach here is what is called proof by contradiction. We will assume the opposite of what we want to prove, once we arrive at some absurd result we will conclude our initial assumption was wrong. Therefore, assume  $\exists p, q \in \mathbb{Z} : (p/q)^2 = 2$ , additionally, we take p and q with no common

factors, such that the fraction p/q is written in its simplest form.

If this is true, we can rearrange the relation into:  $p^2 = 2q^2$ . Which implies  $p^2$  is an even number, since the square of any odd number is odd, p must also be even, *i.e.* p = 2r.

Now, replacing p on the previous equation yields  $4r^2 = 2q^2 \Rightarrow q^2 = 2r^2$ , which implies  $q^2$  and so is q.

This directly contradicts our initial assumption, since p and q are both even from the result above. Hence, our initial assumption must be wrong, and we conclude  $\nexists p, q \in \mathbb{Z} : (p/q)^2 = 2$ .

In order to deal with irrational numbers, the set of real numbers is the natural extension necessary. Before we deal with it in a rigorous way, will start with the necessary tools to help us on this journey.

### 2.2 Preliminaries

This section aims to define some basic definitions and results that will help us to deal with real numbers, and the other topics of interest.

### 2.2.1 Set theory

**Definition 1** (Set). A set is a collection of objects, called elements or members. An empty set is a set with no elements, denoted  $\varnothing$ .

Usually, we write a set A as  $A = \{a_1, a_2, ...\}$  where  $a_1, a_2, ...$  are the elements of the set. Some important notations are:

- $a \in A$ : meaning a is an element of A
- $a \notin A$ : meaning a is not an element of A
- $\forall$ : meaning 'for all'. For example, in mathematical notation the expression 'for all a which is an element of A' would be  $\forall a \in B$
- ∃: meaning 'there exists'. The opposite would be ∄
- $\bullet \Rightarrow$ : implies
- $\bullet \Leftrightarrow : \text{ if and only if }$

On a sidenote, the terms 'implies' and 'if and only if' have fundamental differences which will lead to different approaches in demonstrations and results. For instance, let's say proposition  $P_1$  implies proposition  $P_2$ . In mathematical notation  $P_1 \Rightarrow P_2$ . This means that if  $P_1$  is true, so is  $P_2$ , but it does not say anything about the opposite direction. That is, if  $P_2$  is true, not necessarily  $P_1$  is true. On the other hand when the relation is  $P_1$  is true if, and only if,  $P_2$  is true. Or,  $P_1 \Leftrightarrow P_2$  then the relation works both ways: if  $P_1$  is true, so is  $P_2$  and if  $P_2$  is true, so is  $P_1$ . During proofs, when we have a Leftrightarrow relation, the result must be proven in both directions.

**Definition 2** (Subset). A is a subset of B if, every element of A is also an element of B. Notation:  $A \subseteq B$ . Equivalently, if B is a superset of A, it is denoted  $B \supseteq A$ .

2.2. PRELIMINARIES 9

Informally, we understand that two sets are equal if every element of one set is also an element of the other, and vice-versa. On mathematical notation:

**Definition 3** (Equal sets). Two sets, A and B, are equal if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ . Hence, A = B.

**Definition 4** (Proper subset). A set A is a proper subset of B if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A \neq B$ . Notation:  $A \subseteq B$ .

Now, tow (or more) sets can be combined by operations. We define:

- Union:  $A \cup B = \{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$
- Intersection:  $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$
- Difference:  $A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$
- Complement:  $A^C = \{x \notin A\}$

**Definition 5** (Disjoint sets). Two sets, A and B, are disjoint if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

Some important from set theory are the so-called De Morgan's laws:

**Theorem 2** (De Morgan's Laws). If A, B and C are sets, then:

- $(B \cup C)^C = B^C \cap C^C$
- $(B \cap C)^C = B^C \cup C^C$
- $A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
- $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

Following the proof of the first result is shown, the other results can be derived similarly.

*Proof.* Two sets X and Y are equal if  $X \subseteq Y$  and  $Y \subseteq X$ . Our goal is to show that  $(B \cup C)^C \subseteq B^C \cap C^C$  and  $(B \cup C)^C \supset B^C \cap C^C$ .

Let  $x \in (B \cup C)^C$ . It follows that  $x \notin (B \cup C)$  then  $x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$ . So,  $x \in B^C \cap C^C$  and we have  $(B \cup C)^C \subseteq B^C \cap C^C$ .

From the opposite direction, let  $x \in B^C \cap C^C$ . Then  $x \in B^C$  and  $x \in C^C$  which means  $x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$ . So  $x \notin (B \cup C) \Rightarrow x \in (B \cup C)^C$ . So  $B^C \cap C^C \subseteq (B \cup C)^C$ . Since  $(B \cup C)^C \subseteq B^C \cap C^C$  and  $B^C \cap C^C \subseteq (B \cup C)^C$ , we have  $(B \cup C)^C \subseteq B^C \cap C^C$ .

#### **Fields**

**Definition 6** (Field). A set F is a field if satisfies the following properties:

- For addition
  - 1. If  $x, y \in F \Rightarrow x + y \in F$
  - 2. Commutativity:  $\forall x, y \in F : x + y = y + x$

- 3. Associativity:  $\forall x, y, z \in F : (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$
- 4. Additive identity:  $\exists 0 \in F : 0 + x = x, \forall x \in F$
- 5. Additive inverse:  $\exists -x \in F : x + (-x) = 0, \forall x \in F$
- For multiplication
  - 1. If  $x, y \in F \Rightarrow x \cdot y \in F$
  - 2. Commutativity:  $\forall x, y \in F : x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
  - 3. Associativity:  $\forall x, y, z \in F : (x \cdot y)z = x(y \cdot z)$
  - 4. Multiplicative identity:  $\exists 1 \in F : x \cdot 1 = x, \forall x \in F$
  - 5. Multiplicative inverse:  $\exists x^{-1} \in F : x \cdot x^{-1} = 1, \forall x \in F$

**Theorem 3.** If F is a field,  $\forall x \in F : x \cdot 0 = 0$ .

*Proof.* If 
$$x \in F$$
 then  $0x \in F$  so  $0 = 0x + (-0x) = 0x + 0x + (-0x) = 0x$ .

**Definition 7** (Ordered field). An ordered field F is a field which satisfies  $\forall x, y, z \in F$ :

- 1. If x < y then x + z < y + z
- 2. If x > 0 and y > 0 then xy > 0

#### **Bounds**

**Definition 8** (Bounds). Let  $A \subseteq B$ . Then,

- 1. If  $\exists u \in B : u \geq a, \forall a \in A \text{ then } A \text{ is bounded above and } u \text{ is an upper bound for } A$ .
- 2. If  $\exists l \in B : l \leq a, \forall a \in A \text{ then } A \text{ is bounded below and } l \text{ is a lower bound for } A$ .

**Example** Consider the set  $B = \mathbb{R}$  and A = [0, 1]. Then,  $2, 2.5, \pi$  are all upper bounds for A. Similarly,  $-1, 0, -\pi$  are all lower bounds for A.

**Definition 9** (Supremum). Let  $A \subseteq B$  with A bounded above. Then s is the least upper bound (or supremum) if:

- 1. s is an upper bound for A, and
- 2. If u is another upper bound for A then  $s \leq u$ .

Mathematically, we write  $s = \sup A$ .

**Definition 10** (Infimum). Let  $A \subseteq B$ , with A bounded below. Then, i is the greatest lower bound (or infimum) of A if:

- 1. i is a lower bound for A, and
- 2. If l is another lower bound for A then  $i \geq l$ .

Mathematically, we write  $i = \inf A$ .

2.2. PRELIMINARIES 11

**Example** Consider  $B = \mathbb{R}$  and  $A = (0,1) \subseteq A$ . Then  $1, \pi, 10$  are all upper bounds for A but 1 is the least upper bound (or infimum). On the other hand, -10, -1, 0 are all lower bounds for A, but only 0 is the greatest lower bound (or infimum) of A.

The previous example shows an important characteristic of the supremum (or infimum). In this case  $0 \notin A$  and  $1 \notin B$ . We can also define:

**Definition 11** (Maximum). Let A be a set bounded above, then M is the maximum of A if  $M \in A$  and  $M \ge a, \forall a \in A$ .

**Definition 12** (Minimum). Let A be a set bounded below, then m is the minimum of A if  $m \in A$  and  $m \le a, \forall a \in A$ .

Notice that a set may have an infimum and not a minimum, as the previous example, since  $0 \notin A$ . The same result is valid for the supremum and maximum. On the other hand, if a set A has a maximum, then it necessarily has a supremum. An equivalent result holds for the infimum and minimum.

#### 2.2.2 Function

The formal definition of function is the following:

**Definition 13** (Function). Given a set A and a set B, a function is a mapping rule which takes as an argument an element  $a \in A$  and associates it with an element of B. We write  $f: A \to B$ . f(a) is used to express the element of B,  $f(a) \in B$ , associated with the element  $a \in A$ . A is called the domain of the function, while B is its codmoain. The image of f is not necessarily equal to B, but refers to  $\{b \in B : b = f(a) \text{ for some } a \in A\} \subseteq B$ .

It is worth noting how this definition liberates math from the usual 'formula' understanding of a function. In particular, this definition is closer to Dirichlet's definition, and it allows math to deal with more interesting and complex functions, such as:

#### **Example - Dirichlet's function**

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$
 (2.4)

This broader definition of function will lead to interesting results and test some limits in math. But more on that latter.

#### Classification

**Definition 14.** The function  $f: A \to B$  is called 1-1 or injective if  $f(a_1) = f(a_2) \Rightarrow a_1 = a_2$ . Equivalently,  $a_1 \neq a_2 \Rightarrow f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$ .

**Definition 15.** The function  $f: A \to B$  is called onto or surjective if  $\forall b \in B, \exists a \in A \text{ such that } f(a) = b$ .

**Definition 16.** A function that is both injective and surjective is called bijective.

#### Composition and inverse

**Definition 17** (Composite function). If  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to C$ , then  $f \circ g: A \to C$  is defined by  $(f \circ g)(x) = g(f(x))$ .

**Definition 18** (Inverse function). Consider  $f: A \to B$  a bijective function. Then the inverse function  $f^{-1}: B \to A$  is defined by: if  $b \in B$  then  $f^{-1}(b) \in A$  is the unique element  $f^{-1}(b)$  such that  $f(f^{-1}(b)) = b$ .

#### 2.2.3 Induction

The natural numbers have a property which leads to very important applications. This can be enunciated as:

#### Well ordering property of $\mathbb{N}$

If  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Then,  $\exists x \in S$  such that  $x \leq y, \forall y \in S$ .

An important tool that arises from it is called 'Induction'. We can state it as:

#### Proof by induction

Let P(n) be a statement depending on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume:

- 1. Base case: P(1) is true
- 2. Inductive case: If P(m) is true, so is P(m+1).

From it, we conclude P(n) is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### **Example** Prove that

$$1 + c + c^{2} + \dots + c^{n} = \frac{1 - c^{n+1}}{1 - c}, \forall c \neq 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (2.5)

using induction.

*Proof.* Following the algorithm presented before:

1. Base case.

$$1 + c = \frac{1 - c^2}{1 - c} = \frac{(1 - c)(1 + c)}{1 - c} = 1 + c \tag{2.6}$$

As expected.

2. Inductive case. Assume

$$1 + c + c^{2} + \dots + c^{m} = \frac{1 - c^{m+1}}{1 - c}$$
 (2.7)

2.3. DEFINING  $\mathbb{R}$ 

is true. Now, for m+1:

$$1 + c + c^{2} + \dots + c^{m+1} = (1 + c + c^{2} + \dots + c^{m}) + c^{m+1}$$

$$= \frac{1 - c^{m+1}}{1 - c} + c^{m+1}$$

$$= \frac{1 - c^{m+1} + c^{m+1} + c^{m+2}}{1 - c}$$

$$= \frac{1 - c^{m+2}}{1 - c}$$
(2.8)

Hence, the relation still holds.

2.3 Defining  $\mathbb{R}$ 

## 2.3.1 The incompleteness of $\mathbb{Q}$

Now, let's revisit our initial problem, namely  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . First, we start with a theorem:

**Theorem 4.** The set  $E = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 < x < \sqrt{2}\}$  is bounded above and does not have a supremum in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

*Proof.* First, consider  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  then  $q^2 < 2 < 4 \Rightarrow q^2 - 4 < 0 \Rightarrow (q-2)(q+2) < 0$ . Since q > 0 we have  $q-2 < 0 \Rightarrow a < 2$ . Hence, 2 is an upper bound for E.

Next, to show that  $\nexists \sup E \in \mathbb{Q}$  we begin by assuming  $x = \sup E \in Q$ .

Assume, for contradiction,  $x^2 < 2$ . Define

$$h = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2 - x^2}{2(2x + 1)}\right\} < 1$$

Then, h > 0. Now we prove  $h + x \in E$ . Computing  $(x + h)^2 = x^2 + 2xh + h^2 < x^2 + 2xh + h$  since h < 1. So

$$(x+h)^{2} < x^{2} + (2x+1)h = x^{2} + (2x+1)\frac{2-x^{2}}{2(2x+1)}$$
$$= x^{2} + 2 - x^{2}$$
$$= 2$$

Therefore  $(x+h)^2 < 2$  which implies  $x+h \in E$  and x+h > x so  $x \neq \sup E$  which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $x^2 \geq 2$ .

Now, assume for contradiction x > 2. Then, define

$$h = \frac{x^2 - 2}{2x}$$

Note that  $x^2 > 2 \Rightarrow h > 0 \Rightarrow x - h < x$ . Now we prove x - h is an upper bound for E. Compute  $(x - h)^2 = x^2 - 2xh + h^2 = x^2 - (x^2 - 2) + h^2 = 2 + h^2 > 2$ . Let  $q \in E$ , *i.e.*  $0 < q < \sqrt{2}$ . Then,  $q^2 < 2 < (x - h)^2 \Rightarrow 0 < (x - h)^2 - q^2 \Rightarrow 0 < (x - h + q)(x - h - q)$  and

$$0 < \left(\frac{x^2 + 2}{2x} + q\right)(x - h - q).$$

Since q > 0 and  $(x^2+2)/(2x) > 0$  then  $0 < x-h-q \Rightarrow q < x-h$ . Thus,  $\forall q \in E, q < x-h \Rightarrow x-h$  is an upper bound for E. Since  $x = \sup E \Rightarrow x \le x+h \Rightarrow h \le 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $x^2 = 2$  and x > 1.

For contradiction, assume  $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that m > n, x = m/n. Then,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $nx \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $S = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : kx \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , note that  $n \in S \Rightarrow S \neq \emptyset$ . By the well-ordering of  $\mathbb{N}$ , S has the least element  $k_0 \in S$ . Define  $k_1 = k_0x - k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $x > 1, k_1 = k_0(x - 1) > 0 \Rightarrow k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $x^2 = 2 \Rightarrow 4 - x^2 > 0 \Rightarrow (2 - x)(2 + x) > 0 \Rightarrow 2 - x > 0 \Rightarrow x < 2$ . Then  $k_1 = k_0(x - 1) < k_0(2 - x) = k_0$ . Thus,  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k_1 < k_0$ . Computing  $xk_1 = x(xk_0 - k_0) = x^2k_0 - xk_0 = 2k_0 - xk_0 = k_0 + (k_0 - xk_0) = k_0 - k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $k_1 \in S$  and  $k_1 < k_0$  which means  $k_0$  is not the least element in S and sup E does not exist in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

#### 2.3.2 The definition of $\mathbb{R}$

First, in order to define  $\mathbb{R}$  the previous result about the lack of an upper bound for  $E = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 < q < \sqrt{2}\}$  allows us to introduce a definition.

**Definition 19** (Least upper bound property). An ordered set S has the least upper bound property if every nonempty and bounded above subset  $E \subseteq S$  has a supremum in S.

The previous definition could be stated about a 'Greatest upper bound property'. Clearly  $\mathbb{Q}$  does not have the Least upper bound property as the previous subsection has shown.

Now, for the real numbers,

**Theorem 5** (Existence of  $\mathbb{R}$ ). There exists a unique ordered field which contains  $\mathbb{Q}$  and has the least upper bound property. This field is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 6.** There exists a unique  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that r > 0 and  $r^2 = 2$ .

*Proof.* First, let  $\tilde{E} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < \sqrt{2}\}$ . Then,  $\tilde{E}$  is bounded above. Take  $r = \sup \tilde{E}$ . The same proof as before show r > 1 and  $r^2 = 2$ . We now prove r is unique. Suppose  $\tilde{r} \in \mathbb{R}, \tilde{r} > 0$  and  $\tilde{r}^2 = 2$ . Then,  $0 = \tilde{r}^2 - r^2 = (\tilde{r} - r)(\tilde{r} + r) \Rightarrow 0 = \tilde{r} - r \Rightarrow r = \tilde{r}$ .

## 2.3.3 The density of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{R}$

The set  $\mathbb{Q}$  contains  $\mathbb{N}$ , and  $\mathbb{R}$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The following theorems shows how  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  sit inside  $\mathbb{R}$ :

2.4. CARDINALITY 15

**Theorem 7** (Archimedean property). If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and x > 0 then  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that nx < y.

Proof. Suppose  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and x > 0. We need to show  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that nx < y, i.e. x < y/n. Assume for contradiction  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : n \le y/x$ . Then  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is bounded above, hence it has a supremum, by the least upper bound property of  $\mathbb{R}$  with value  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since a is the supremum of  $\mathbb{N}$  then a-1 is not an upper bound for  $\mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a-1 < m \Rightarrow a < m+1$  which implies a is not an upper bound for  $\mathbb{N}$  contradiction our initial claim.

**Theorem 8** (Densit of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and x < y then  $\exists q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that x < r < y.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and x < y, then:

- 1. If x < 0 < y we have  $r = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ .
- 2. If  $0 \le x < y$  then by the Archimedean property,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that n(y x) > 1 and  $\exists l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that l > nx. Thus,  $S = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k > nx\} \neq \emptyset$ . By the well ordering property of  $\mathbb{N}$ , S has the least element m.

Since  $m \in S \Rightarrow nx < m$ . Since m is the least element of S,  $m-1 \notin S \Rightarrow m-1 \leq nx \Rightarrow m \leq nx+1$ . Thus,  $nx < m < nx+1 \Rightarrow x < m/n < y$ . So,  $r=m/n \in \mathbb{Q}$  is the solution.

3. If  $x < y \le 0$ , then  $0 \le -y < x$ . Then by the previous result  $\exists \tilde{r} \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $-y < \tilde{r} < -x$ , or equivalently  $x < -\tilde{r} < y$  and  $r = -\tilde{r}$  is the solution.

2.4 Cardinality

Now, we turn our attention to cardinality, which is an approach to compare the size of sets.

**Definition 20** (Cardinality). Two sets, A and B, have the same cardinality if there exists a bijective function  $f: A \to B$ .

#### Notation

- If A and B have the same cardinality we write |A| = |B|
- If  $|A| = |\{1, 2, 3, ..., n\}|$  we write |A| = n
- If there exists an injective function  $f:A\to B$  we write  $|A|\le |B|$
- If  $|A| \leq |B|$  and  $|A| \neq |B|$  then |A| < |B|

**Theorem 9** (Cantor-Schorer-Bernstein). If  $|A| \leq |B|$  and  $|B| \leq |A|$  then |A| = |B|

### 2.4.1 Countable, uncountable and countably infinite sets

**Definition 21** (Countably infinite). If  $|A| = |\mathbb{N}|$  then A is countably infinite.

**Definition 22** (Countable sets). If A is countably infinite or finite, then A is countable.

**Definition 23** (Uncountable set). If A is neither countably infinite nor finite, then A is uncountable.