(12) Among Eigen Value becomposition (EVD) & Singular Value becomposition (SVD), SVD is more generalizable

due to the following reasons; a) It exist Unlike EVID, SVD exist for all sosts of modrixes (Rectargular). Again EVD has some limitations i.e. though ils applicable to square matrices it doen't guarantee the decomposition for some reason;

i) Eigen-values computed may not exist in the underlying

is Non-equality of "Algebraic & Geometric" multiplicity.

b) Frother coith SVD eigenvectors obtained one orthogonal which itself gran es a boon for subsequent Voperations, which may not be the case with EVD. Plus the eigen-values obtained are non-negative.

Note well discuss why SVD exists?

A Say X is an mixty matrix (i.e. X: R" -> R") with sank or ( were or & min (m/n)). Now, we claim that \*XTX is symmetric & positive-semidefinite (which we'll show later why \$0?).

A Due to it's symmetricity (of XTX), it is diagonalizable with an arthonormal Obasis of eigen-vectors. Und these eigenvalues (i.e., the square-soot of thead), & the eigen-rectors of XTX of the eigen-vectors of XXT, all constitute to give the expression  $X = \bigcup \sum V T$  . The eigen-vectors of (X T X).

Now we'll show how we'd arrived to the above dosmula?

a) hymmetrialy: (XTX) = XTXT = XTX

Claim: (Need to prove) XTX is PSD > VT(XTX)V >0 4 V ( Ø O) V

```
\mathbf{V}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{X}^{\dagger}\mathbf{X})\mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V})^{\dagger}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V})^{2} \geqslant 0 - \mathbf{D}
    Now since XTX is diagonalizable, Let it EVD

from be V DVT i.e XTX = VDVT = \( \frac{n}{k=1} \)
          \Rightarrow u^{T}(X^{T}X)u = u^{T}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}V_{k}\lambda_{k}V_{k}^{T}\right)u
                               = 2 1 ( uT Vh Vh u)
                                 = \(\frac{2}{h=1}\) \land \(\nu_h^T(a)^2 \) \(\nu_h^T(a)^2 \)
                                                    ( : XTX TS PSD =>
   Sufficient condition &
→ 9f All In >0, the above expression uT(XTX) u >0
                              is true.
  But we want stricter conditions:
    Considers Vi' as eigen-value of XTX, then
                   v_i^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \right) v_i = V_i^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \lambda_i v_i \right) = \lambda_i \left( v_i^{\mathsf{T}} v_i \right) \geqslant 0
                 ⇒ \lambda; >0 (": V; TV; =1 (": XTX 75 PSD)
                                           (since orthonismal))
 d) let \lambda = 67^2, \lambda_2 = 62^2 > --- > \lambda_8 = 65^2 > 0 (since
     -> Now, why only &' eigenvalues, because
 values while others are 0'.
    -> fince dim (Range-space (X7X)) = 8 then 3 V., V2,..., Vr
       orthonormal eigen-vectors corresponding to 3' non-zero
       eigen-values Cie. 11, 12, -, 2r) & construct Vrije,
       Vn = vothonormal basic for eigen-value = 0 (basically
       this spans the NCXTX) & can be constructed using gran-
      Schmidt Osthogonalization process).
```

rector of XXT with eigen-value 5,2 (check). Now here an elementary form of SVO can be seen, ie. X Pi = Ui oi Contract  $V_{nxy} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_r \\ v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_r \end{bmatrix}, Z_r = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & \cdots & \sigma_r \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_3 & \cdots & \sigma_r \\ \sigma_3 & \cdots & \sigma_r \end{bmatrix}_{x \times x}$ ( Ur = mxx matrix with Ui = 1 Xvi (as ith column). Ux = BXN25-, > Ox 5x = KNX Ur ZrVrT = XVrVrT = X Inxn = Xmxn TAgain, we can invoke the tectors from null space of XTX

& in Vy a of XXT in Ux a pack the E Zx with o's to obtain  $A = U_{mxm} = U_{mxn} = 0$  which is busically same as -> Again SVD can be decome camputed using QR decomposition method to make if fast. method to move 17 1011

For a symmetriz matrix, SVD = EVD. SVD form) B) The method for SVD is already shown above - now well use the motivation from above desiration to gir decompose the given modsix. M =a) let 17: = MTM = [4 11 14] [4 8] = [333 81] 81 17] b) for a symmetriz matrix EVD = SVD. la's compute the eigen-value of A' using "characteristic" equation:

$$\begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} A - \lambda I \right) = \\ \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda - 333 \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda - 117 \right) - \left[ \begin{array}{c} 51^2 \\ \end{array} \right] = 0 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow A = 360 \\ \Rightarrow A_1 \times 1 = \lambda_1 \times 1 \\ \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_1 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow A_2 = \lambda_1 \times 1 \\ \Rightarrow A_2 \times 1 = \lambda_2 \times 1 \\ \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_1 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow A_1 = 3 \times 1 \\ \Rightarrow A_2 \times 1 = \lambda_2 \times 1 \\ \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow A_2 = \lambda_2 \times 1 \\ \Rightarrow A_2 \times 1 = \lambda_2 \times 1 \\ \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_1 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow A_2 = \lambda_2 \times 1 \\ \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_1 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow A_2 = \lambda_2 \times 1 \\ \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_1 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow A_2 = \lambda_2 \times 1 \\ \times 1 \\ \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_1 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow A_2 = \lambda_2 \times 1 \\ \times 1 \\ \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_1 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow A_1 = A_2 \times 1 \\ \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_2 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow A_2 = A_2 \times 1 \\ \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_2 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow A_2 = A_2 \times 1 \\ \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_1 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow A_2 = A_2 \times 1 \\ \Rightarrow A_2 \times 1 \\ \Rightarrow A_2 \times 1 \\ \Rightarrow A_3 \times 1 \\ \Rightarrow A_4 \times 1 \\ \Rightarrow$$

 $\frac{90}{300}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{2}{0}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{3}{0}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{3}{0}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{3}{0}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  Hence  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = Touncated SVD.$ From = Same of Fall SVD.Since X' ip in 20 => X' data hay 2' features. d [x Tx] & xxx (were xx mxx  $dim(\chi) = m \times 2$ ,  $m = no \cdot of data points$ ) equal can be interpreted in 2'-ways:  $7 b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow Rank(b) = 0 \Rightarrow All vectors$ in IR2 dies in Neel-space of XTX => (XTX) v=0 x So XTX = 3 ero map & as R(X)=R(XTX)=0 > R(X)=0 > X' consists of m' 3000-vectors. 2)  $b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow Rank(b) = 2 \Rightarrow Both eigen$ vectors (orthonormal ofcourse) are important principal directions, so projecting onto one would result in huge loss of into. That here it we visualize the data, they can be seen to scattered in spherical's manner

So, no PCA can't be useful if all elements of Dove equal. The eigen-vector b) True, if  $0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$ , with  $1, > 12 \Rightarrow 1$  corresponding to I' (i.e. V,) is the direction along which maximum variance is captured. And hence the data point can be projected Duto that line. Fate True all points in X are lying on astraight line  $\Rightarrow X = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2a & 2b \\ \vdots \\ manb \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow Ranh(\hat{X}) = 1 = Ranh(\hat{X}^T\hat{X})$ (where  $\hat{X} = X - \hat{\mu} = mean-shifted$ => since b'= Eigen-value mattrix of XTX, then. => N(XTX) Noelity (XTX) = dim (Null-space (XTX)) = 2-Rank (KTX) (from =1 youth rullify)  $\exists V(\neq 0) \in (Q^{2} \text{ s.t. } (XTX)V = 0.V = 0$   $\begin{cases} X = [X = 0] \\ X = [X = 0] \end{cases}$ Hence d'is an eigen-value not full rank.

(xist of Rank = 1)

(xist of Rank = 1) > thence is not full rank > And Vi's the direction (or line) on which they X' are d) false, Since Nullity = 1 & Rank = 1, one eigen-vector would be from Range-space of XTX & another one from Null-space (since & is an eigen-value), And in this care Range-space (XTX) = [ Null-space (XTX)]

as due to spectral theorem, symmetry XTX would yield eigen-vectors.

both are independent => V'is Now V = [y V2], where

full rank.

e> False,

Since it is being said they are leging on a circle (presumally there I two points in X which are cultinear (cupposedly lying on civile) then I two such vectors which are independent > Applyin Rank(X) = 2 > Ranh(XTX)

= Ray k(X) = 2 => Nell-space (XTX) = LOJ = 3exo vedor

=> \$ do any geno-eigen value => D= [7,0] were 1,, 1270.

> b' is full -rank.

B) False. Since PCA relies on SVD & SVD doesn't invoke any day labels, rother dr we only the data-information to find suitable max-varying direction to preserve information,

the we won't it won't be useful for data daleification. Again, it may so happen that the direction of max-variability to the direction of max-separation bett classes which

is just a more co-incidence.

() A) 2000 p According to Bayes, formula:

If H= Hypothesis & E = Evidence

P(H) = prior (motherwically) & posterior (mothernatically)

Now, interfively what it means is that is (or the statement we're proposing) \* P(H) = probability that hypotheric, hold (is our case, according to question, to the hypothesis so is made about happening of the to a agive person is a given year) P(H/E) = posterior" = 1911s the Belief about the hypothesis we proposed after seeing the evidence. In our care aft it would be framed as follows: " If we have "evidence" that person is having headached sore throat, then what should be the likelinely of catching the that that person has the, Or in other words, und is the probability that our hypothesis is true or what is the confidence on our hypothesis. B) Ane Given: p (STAH | Flu) = 90 (STRH = screthroat &) headache 2) P( Flu) = 5 # 3) P(STAH) = 20/100 To be-found & b(Flu| STdH) -Using Bayes Rule : P(Flu | ST&H) = P(ST&H|Flu). P(Klu) P(ST&H)  $= 9 \frac{9}{100} \cdot \frac{5}{100} = \frac{90.100}{20.100}$  $=\frac{45}{200} = 22.5 \% 00 0.225$