Project 2 - Report

In this project was used the Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient (DDPG) algorithm to solve the environment with 20 agents (second version). The starting point was the implementation provided by Udacity to solve BipedalWalker environment (ddpg-bipedal).

Architectures

The architectures used in the actor and critic can be seen below:

Actor

```
class Actor(nn.Module):
        "Actor (Policy) Model."""
     def __init__(self, state_size, action_size, seed, fc1_units=400, fc2_units=256):
    """Initialize parameters and build model.
               state_size (int): Dimension of each state
               action_size (int): Dimension of each action
seed (int): Random seed
                fc1 units (int): Number of nodes in first hidden layer
          fc2_units (int): Number of nodes in second hidden layer
          super(Actor, self).__init__()
self.seed = torch.manual_seed(seed)
          self.bn1 = nn.BatchNorm1d(fc1_units)
          self.fc1 = nn.Linear(state size, fc1 units)
          self.fc2 = nn.Linear(fc1_units, fc2_units)
          self.fc3 = nn.Linear(fc2_units, action_size)
          self.reset_parameters()
     def reset parameters(self):
          self.fc1.weight.data.uniform_(*hidden_init(self.fc1))
self.fc2.weight.data.uniform_(*hidden_init(self.fc2))
self.fc3.weight.data.uniform_(-3e-3, 3e-3)
     def forward(self, state):
    """Build an actor (policy) network that maps states -> actions."""
    x = F.relu(self.bn1(self.fc1(state)))
          x = F.relu(self.fc2(x))
          return torch.tanh(self.fc3(x))
```

Critic

```
class Critic(nn.Module):
       "Critic (Value) Model."""
    def __init__(self, state_size, action_size, seed, fcs1_units=400, fc2_units=256):
           "Initialize parameters and build model.
        Params
            state_size (int): Dimension of each state
            action_size (int): Dimension of each action
            seed (int): Random seed
             fcs1_units (int): Number of nodes in the first hidden layer
            fc2_units (int): Number of nodes in the second hidden layer
        super(Critic, self).__init__()
        self.seed = torch.manual seed(seed)
        self.bn1 = nn.BatchNorm1d(fcs1_units)
        self.fcs1 = nn.Linear(state_size, fcs1_units)
        self.fc2 = nn.Linear(fcs1_units+action_size, fc2_units)
self.fc3 = nn.Linear(fc2_units, 1)
        self.reset_parameters()
    def reset parameters(self):
        self.fcs1.weight.data.uniform_(*hidden_init(self.fcs1))
        self.fc2.weight.data.uniform_(*hidden_init(self.fc2))
        self.fc3.weight.data.uniform_(-3e-3, 3e-3)
    def forward(self, state, action):
           "Build a critic (value) network that maps (state, action) pairs -> Q-values."""
        x = F.relu(self.bn1(self.fcs1(state)))
        x = torch.cat((x, action), dim=1)
x = F.relu(self.fc2(x))
        return self.fc3(x)
```

These architectures were found through experimentation. Among the modifications made to the original architecture used in the base code, the modification that had the greatest impact was the inclusion of a batch normalization after the first hidden layer.

Hyperparameters

The hyperparameters used can be seen below:

```
BUFFER_SIZE = int(1e6) # replay buffer size

BATCH_SIZE = 128 # minibatch size

GAMMA = 0.99 # discount factor

TAU = 1e-3 # for soft update of target parameters

LR_ACTOR = 1e-4 # learning rate of the actor

LR_CRITIC = 3e-4 # learning rate of the critic

WEIGHT_DECAY = 0.0001 # L2 weight decay

LEARN_EVERY = 20 # Learning interval

LEARN_TIMES = 10 # Number of times to call learning function
```

Theta and sigma from Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process was also changed to 0.5 and 0.10 respectively.

```
def __init__(self, size, seed, mu=0., theta=0.5, sigma=0.10):
```

LEARN EVERY is used to define the number of time steps required before updating the network weights, once LEARN_EVERY timesteps in the environment have passed, the function to update the network weights (learn) is executed LEARN_TIMES, each time with a different set of experience.

Other Changes

The noise added to the state by Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process was changed, to use random values from a standard normal distribution.

```
dx = self.theta * (self.mu - x) + self.sigma * np.random.standard_normal(self.size)
```

Finally, was implemented a fix to the rewards received by the agent in the environment. As some colleagues pointed out in the peer chat, bugs occasionally occur in the environment and the agent does not correctly receive the reward of 0.1 as it should, but a lower value. To fix this issue, the following line is executed after receiving the rewards.


```
rewards = [0.1 if rew > 0 else 0 for rew in rewards]
```

Results

The agent achieved an average score of 30.0 in approximately 50 episodes, but since the environment is considered solved only after 100 episodes with an average score of 30.0, it continued training until episode 100, finishing with an average score of 58.40.

```
Episode 10
               Average Score: 6.82
                                       Score: 10.69
Episode 20
               Average Score: 11.93
                                       Score: 19.31
Episode 30
               Average Score: 16.70
                                       Score: 25.88
Episode 40
               Average Score: 22.23
                                       Score: 45.63
Episode 50
               Average Score: 27.51
                                       Score: 50.96
Episode 60
               Average Score: 33.65
                                       Score: 79.15
Episode 70
               Average Score: 41.69
                                       Score: 97.54
Episode 80
               Average Score: 48.55
                                       Score: 93.75
Episode 90
               Average Score: 53.99
                                       Score: 98.53
Episode 100
               Average Score: 58.40
                                       Score: 98.59
Environment Solved!
```

Observing the score in the most recent episodes, 70 onwards, it is possible to observe that the agent obtained an almost perfect score, therefore, if the training continued, the average score would probably increase dramatically.



Ideas for Future Work

During the development of the project it became clear that the algorithm is very sensitive to the hyperparameters used, so a good starting point for improving the agent would be an optimization of the hyperparameters, which would probably help the agent to solve the environment more quickly (in less episodes). Another improvement would be the implementation of prioritized experience replay, since like DQN, this algorithm used experience replay to learn agents.

Note

The model weights of the actor and critic used to solve the environment is saved respectively with the names best_actor.pth and best_critic.pth in the project root folder.