```
Goals
          In this lab, you will:
            ullet automate the process of optimizing w and b using gradient descent.
          Tools
          In this lab, we will make use of:

    NumPy, a popular library for scientific computing

            · Matplotlib, a popular library for plotting data

    plotting routines in the lab_utils.py file in the local directory

In [1]: import math, copy
           import numpy as np
           import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
           plt.style.use('./deeplearning.mplstyle')
           from lab_utils_uni import plt_house_x, plt_contour_wgrad, plt_divergence, plt_gradients
          Problem Statement
          Let's use the same two data points as before - a house with 1000 square feet sold for $300,000 and a house with 2000 square
          feet sold for \$500,000.
                                                  Size (1000 sqft) Price (1000s of dollars)
                                                                               300
                                                                               EUU
In [2]: # Load our data set
           x_{train} = np.array([1.0, 2.0]) #features
           y_train = np.array([300.0, 500.0]) #target value
           Compute_Cost
          This was developed in the last lab. We'll need it again here.
In [3]: #Function to calculate the cost
           def compute_cost(x, y, w, b):
               m = x.shape[0]
               for i in range(m):
                    f_wb = w * x[i] + b
                    cost = cost + (f_wb - y[i])**2
               total\_cost = 1 / (2 * m) * cost
               return total_cost
          Gradient descent summary
          So far in this course, you have developed a linear model that predicts f_{w,b}(x^{(i)}) :
                                                       f_{w,b}(x^{(i)})=wx^{(i)}+b
                                                                                                                      (1)
          In linear regression, you utilize input training data to fit the parameters w,b by minimizing a measure of the error between our
           predictions f_{w,b}(x^{(i)}) and the actual data y^{(i)}. The measure is called the cost, J(w,b). In training you measure the cost
          over all of our training samples x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}
                                               J(w,b) = rac{1}{2m} \sum_{m=1}^{m-1} (f_{w,b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2
                                                                                                                      (2)
          In lecture, gradient descent was described as:
                                                    repeat until convergence: {
                                                        w = w - lpha rac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial w}
                                                                                                                      (3)
                                                        b = b - lpha rac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial b}
          where, parameters w, b are updated simultaneously.
          The gradient is defined as:
                                             rac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial w} = rac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (f_{w,b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x^{(i)}
                                                                                                                      (4)
                                             rac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial b} = rac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (f_{w,b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})
                                                                                                                      (5)
           Here simultaniously means that you calculate the partial derivatives for all the parameters before updating any of the
          Implement Gradient Descent
           You will implement gradient descent algorithm for one feature. You will need three functions.
            • compute_gradient implementing equation (4) and (5) above
               compute_cost implementing equation (2) above (code from previous lab)

    gradient_descent , utilizing compute_gradient and compute_cost

           Conventions:
            • The naming of python variables containing partial derivatives follows this pattern, \frac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial h} will be dj_db.
             • w.r.t is With Respect To, as in partial derivative of J(wb) With Respect To b.
          compute_gradient
           compute_gradient implements (4) and (5) above and returns \frac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial w}, \frac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial b}. The embedded comments describe the
In [4]: def compute_gradient(x, y, w, b):
               Computes the gradient for linear regression
                  x (ndarray (m,)): Data, m examples
                  y (ndarray (m,)): target values
                  w,b (scalar) : model parameters
               Returns
                  dj_dw (scalar): The gradient of the cost w.r.t. the parameters w
                  dj_db (scalar): The gradient of the cost w.r.t. the parameter b
               # Number of training examples
               m = x.shape[0]
               dj_dw = 0
               dj_db = 0
               for i in range(m):
                    f_wb = w * x[i] + b
                    dj_dw_i = (f_wb - y[i]) * x[i]
                    dj_db_i = f_wb - y[i]
                    dj_db += dj_db_i
                    dj_dw += dj_dw_i
               dj_dw = dj_dw / m
               dj_db = dj_db / m
               return dj_dw, dj_db
                                                           The lectures described how gradient descent utilizes the partial
          derivative of the cost with respect to a parameter at a point to update that parameter.
          Let's use our compute_gradient function to find and plot some partial derivatives of our cost function relative to one of the
 In [5]: plt_gradients(x_train,y_train, compute_cost, compute_gradient)
           plt.show()
                        Cost vs w, with gradient; b set to 100
                                                                               Gradient shown in quiver plot
              50000
              40000
              30000
              20000
              10000
          Above, the left plot shows \frac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial w} or the slope of the cost curve relative to w at three points. On the right side of the plot, the
          derivative is positive, while on the left it is negative. Due to the 'bowl shape', the derivatives will always lead gradient descent
          toward the bottom where the gradient is zero.
          The left plot has fixed b=100 . Gradient descent will utilize both \frac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial w} and \frac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial b} to update parameters. The 'quiver plot'
           on the right provides a means of viewing the gradient of both parameters. The arrow sizes reflect the magnitude of the
          gradient at that point. The direction and slope of the arrow reflects the ratio of \frac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial w} and \frac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial b} at that point. Note that the
           Gradient Descent
           Now that gradients can be computed, gradient descent, described in equation (3) above can be implemented below in
           gradient_descent . The details of the implementation are described in the comments. Below, you will utilize this function
In [6]: def gradient_descent(x, y, w_in, b_in, alpha, num_iters, cost_function, gradient_function):
               Performs gradient descent to fit w,b. Updates w,b by taking
               num_iters gradient steps with learning rate alpha
               Args:
                 x (ndarray (m,)) : Data, m examples
                 y (ndarray (m,)) : target values
                  w_in, b_in (scalar): initial values of model parameters
                  alpha (float): Learning rate
                  num_iters (int): number of iterations to run gradient descent
                  cost_function: function to call to produce cost
                  gradient_function: function to call to produce gradient
               Returns:
                  w (scalar): Updated value of parameter after running gradient descent
                  b (scalar): Updated value of parameter after running gradient descent
                  J_history (List): History of cost values
                  p_history (list): History of parameters [w,b]
               w = copy.deepcopy(w_in) # avoid modifying global w_in
               # An array to store cost J and w's at each iteration primarily for graphing later
               J_history = []
               p_history = []
               b = b_{in}
               w = w_i
               for i in range(num_iters):
                    # Calculate the gradient and update the parameters using gradient_function
                    dj_dw, dj_db = gradient_function(x, y, w , b)
                    # Update Parameters using equation (3) above
                    b = b - alpha * dj_db
                    w = w - alpha * dj_dw
                    # Save cost J at each iteration
                    if i<100000: # prevent resource exhaustion</pre>
                         J_history.append( cost_function(x, y, w , b))
                         p_history.append([w,b])
                    # Print cost every at intervals 10 times or as many iterations if < 10
                    if i% math.ceil(num_iters/10) == 0:
                         print(f"Iteration {i:4}: Cost {J_history[-1]:0.2e} ",
                                f"dj_dw: {dj_dw: 0.3e}, dj_db: {dj_db: 0.3e} ",
                                f"w: {w: 0.3e}, b:{b: 0.5e}")
               return w, b, J_history, p_history #return w and J,w history for graphing
In [7]: # initialize parameters
           w_init = 0
           b_{init} = 0
           # some gradient descent settings
          iterations = 10000
           tmp_alpha = 1.0e-2
           # run gradient descent
           w_final, b_final, J_hist, p_hist = gradient_descent(x_train ,y_train, w_init, b_init, tmp_al
           pha,
                                                                        iterations, compute_cost, compute_gradie
           Iteration 1000: Cost 3.41e+00 dj_dw: -3.712e-01, dj_db: 6.007e-01 w: 1.949e+02, b: 1.082
          28e+02
          Iteration 2000: Cost 7.93e-01 dj_dw: -1.789e-01, dj_db: 2.895e-01 w: 1.975e+02, b: 1.039
          Iteration 3000: Cost 1.84e-01 dj_dw: -8.625e-02, dj_db: 1.396e-01 w: 1.988e+02, b: 1.019
          12e+02
           Iteration 4000: Cost 4.28e-02 dj_dw: -4.158e-02, dj_db: 6.727e-02 w: 1.994e+02, b: 1.009
          Iteration 5000: Cost 9.95e-03 dj_dw: -2.004e-02, dj_db: 3.243e-02 w: 1.997e+02, b: 1.004
                                                           Take a moment and note some characteristics of the gradient descent
                                                           process printed above.
             • The cost starts large and rapidly declines as described in the slide from the lecture.
            • The partial derivatives, dj_dw, and dj_db also get smaller, rapidly at first and then more slowly. As shown in the
               diagram from the lecture, as the process nears the 'bottom of the bowl' progress is slower due to the smaller value of the
               derivative at that point.
           Cost versus iterations of gradient descent
          A plot of cost versus iterations is a useful measure of progress in gradient descent. Cost should always decrease in
          successful runs. The change in cost is so rapid initially, it is useful to plot the initial decent on a different scale than the final
          descent. In the plots below, note the scale of cost on the axes and the iteration step.
In [8]: # plot cost versus iteration
           fig, (ax1, ax2) = plt.subplots(1, 2, constrained_layout=True, figsize=(12,4))
           ax1.plot(J_hist[:100])
           ax2.plot(1000 + np.arange(len(J_hist[1000:])), J_hist[1000:])
           ax1.set_title("Cost vs. iteration(start)"); ax2.set_title("Cost vs. iteration (end)")
                                           ; ax2.set_ylabel('Cost')
           ax1.set_ylabel('Cost')
           ax1.set_xlabel('iteration step') ; ax2.set_xlabel('iteration step')
           plt.show()
                                 Cost vs. iteration(start)
                                                                                        Cost vs. iteration (end)
                                                                      3.5
             80000
              70000
                                                                      3.0
              60000
                                                                      2.5
              50000
                                                                      2.0
             40000
                                                                      1.5
             30000
                                                                      1.0
              20000
                                                                      0.5
              10000
                                                                      0.0
          Predictions
          Now that you have discovered the optimal values for the parameters w and b, you can now use the model to predict housing
          values based on our learned parameters. As expected, the predicted values are nearly the same as the training values for the
          same housing. Further, the value not in the prediction is in line with the expected value.
In [9]: print(f"1000 sqft house prediction {w_final*1.0 + b_final:0.1f} Thousand dollars")
           print(f"1200 sqft house prediction {w_final*1.2 + b_final:0.1f} Thousand dollars")
           print(f"2000 sqft house prediction {w_final*2.0 + b_final:0.1f} Thousand dollars")
          1000 sqft house prediction 300.0 Thousand dollars
          1200 sqft house prediction 340.0 Thousand dollars
          2000 sqft house prediction 500.0 Thousand dollars
          Plotting
           You can show the progress of gradient descent during its execution by plotting the cost over iterations on a contour plot of the
In [10]: fig, ax = plt.subplots(1,1, figsize=(12, 6))
           plt_contour_wgrad(x_train, y_train, p_hist, ax)
                                       Contour plot of cost J(w,b), vs b,w with path of gradient descent
               200
              -200
              -400
                -100
                                                                200
                                                                                300
                                                                                                400
          Above, the contour plot shows the cost(w,b) over a range of w and b. Cost levels are represented by the rings. Overlayed,
           using red arrows, is the path of gradient descent. Here are some things to note:
            • The path makes steady (monotonic) progress toward its goal.
            • initial steps are much larger than the steps near the goal.
          Zooming in, we can see that final steps of gradient descent. Note the distance between steps shrinks as the gradient
In [11]: fig, ax = plt.subplots(1,1, figsize=(12, 4))
           plt_contour_wgrad(x_train, y_train, p_hist, ax, w_range=[180, 220, 0.5], b_range=[80, 120,
           0.5],
                         contours=[1.5.10.20].resolution=0.5)
                                      Contour plot of cost J(w,b), vs b,w with path of gradient descent
              115
              110
              105
                            185
                                                                            205
          Increased Learning Rate
                                                           In the lecture, there was a discussion related to the proper value of the
                                                           learning rate, \alpha in equation(3). The larger \alpha is, the faster gradient
                                                           descent will converge to a solution. But, if it is too large, gradient
                                                           descent will diverge. Above you have an example of a solution which
                                                           converges nicely.
                                                           Let's try increasing the value of \alpha and see what happens:
In [12]: # initialize parameters
           w_init = 0
           b_{init} = 0
           # set alpha to a large value
           iterations = 10
           tmp_alpha = 8.0e-1
           # run gradient descent
           w_final, b_final, J_hist, p_hist = gradient_descent(x_train ,y_train, w_init, b_init, tmp_al
           pha,
                          iterations, compute_cost, compute_gradie
0: Cost 2.58e+05 dj_dw: -6.500e+02, dj_db: -4.000e+02 w: 5.200e+02, b: 3.200
           00é+02
          Iteration
                          1: Cost 7.82e+05 dj_dw: 1.130e+03, dj_db: 7.000e+02 w: -3.840e+02, b:-2.400
          00e+02
          Iteration
                          2: Cost 2.37e+06 dj_dw: -1.970e+03, dj_db: -1.216e+03 w: 1.192e+03, b: 7.328
          00e+02
                          3: Cost 7.19e+06 dj_dw: 3.429e+03, dj_db: 2.121e+03 w: -1.551e+03, b:-9.638
          Iteration
```

Optional Lab: Gradient Descent for Linear Regression

-30000 60000 W -6000060000 Above, the left graph shows w's progression over the first few steps of gradient descent. w oscillates from positive to negative

-60000

4: Cost 2.18e+07 dj_dw: -5.974e+03, dj_db: -3.691e+03

E. Cost & 620107 di du. 1 0400104 di dh. 6 4210102

the *learning rate is too large* and the solution is diverging. Let's visualize this with a plot.

In [13]: plt_divergence(p_hist, J_hist,x_train, y_train)

Cost vs w, b set to 100

Above, w and b are bouncing back and forth between positive and negative with the absolute value increasing with each iteration. Further, each iteration $\frac{\partial J(w,b)}{\partial w}$ changes sign and cost is increasing rather than decreasing. This is a clear sign that

Cost escalates when learning rate is too large

Cost vs (b, w)

w: 3.228e+03, b: 1.988

... E 00E0±00 h. 0 1EE

1.0

0.2

30000

cost

• developed a routine to compute the gradient

 visualized what the gradient is · completed a gradient descent routine utilized gradient descent to find parameters · examined the impact of sizing the learning rate

and cost grows rapidly. Gradient Descent is operating on both w and b simultaneously, so one needs the 3-D plot on the right **Congratulations!** In this lab you: delved into the details of gradient descent for a single variable.

40e+02 Iteration

86e+03

plt.show()

Sst

In []: