6.1	Introduction. Nested Intervals.
	- If the sequence itself is really new, unrelated to other sequences whose limits we already know, the only
	tool me have for showing it has a limit is the Completeness Property
	"A bounded monotone sequence converges to a limit"
	Definition: Suppose we have a sequence of closed intervals $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ , $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , having the
	property that each interval lies inside the previous one an $\leq a_{n+1} \leq b_{n+1} \leq b_n$ ,
	Such a sequence of intervals is said to be nested
	Theorem: The Nested Intervals Theorem
	- Suppose [an, bn] is an infinite sequence of nested intervals, whose lengths tend to $0$ , $\binom{!}{n+\infty}$ bn-an= $0$ )
	Then there is one and only one number L in all the intervals
6.2	Cluster Points of Sequences
	- numbers that the sequence gets arbitrarily close to , infinitely often
	- a sequence can have many cluster points
	Definition: Cluster Points (or point of accumulation, or limit point)
	- $K$ is a cluster point of the sequence $\{a_n\}$ if, given $\epsilon>0$ , $a_n \underset{\epsilon}{\approx} K$ for infinitely many $n$
	- For both a limit L and a cluster point K of a sequence {an}, the an must get arbitrarily close. But
	the an must stay close to a limit L, whereas they need only visit the vicinity of a cluster point
	K infinitely often. Every limit L is automatically a cluster point
	Theorem: Cluster Point Theorem
	- K is a cluster point of {an? <=> K is the limit of some subsequence {an}
6.3	The Bolzano - Weiestrass Theorem
	Theorem: Bolzano - Weierstrass
	- A bounded sequence {Xn} has a convergent subsequence
6,4	Cauchy Sequence
	- given €>0, am ₹ an for M, N »

	Theorem: The Cauchy Criterion for Convergence
	- If {9n} is a Cauchy sequence, then {an} converges
	i) {an} is bounded
	ii) {an} has a convergent subsequence {an}
	iii) Let $L = \lim_{n \to \infty} \{a_n\}$ , then $\{a_n\} \to L$
6.5	The Completeness Property for sets
	Definitions
	- An upper bound for S is a number b such that $x \leq b$ for all $z \in S$
	- S is said to be bounded above if S has an upper bound
	- A number $m$ is the maximum of $S$ if $m$ is an upper bound for $S$ and $m \in S$
	Definition: Supremum
	- Let S ⊆ R. The supremum of S is a number $\overline{m}$ satisfying;
	$Sup-1: \overline{m}$ is an upper bound for $S: X \leq \overline{m}$ for all $X \in S$
	$sup-2$ ; $m$ is the least upper bound for $S$ , that is $X \leq b$ for all $X \in S \Rightarrow m \leq b$
	Proposition:
	- If max $S$ exists, then sup $S$ exists, and sup $S$ = max $S$ . The numbers sup $S$ and max $S$
	are unique, if they exist.
	Theorem: Completeness Property for Sets
	- If S is non-empty and bounded above, sup S exists
	Definitions
	- A lower bound for S is a number b such that $x \ge b$ for all $x \in S$
	- S is said to be bounded below if S has a lower bound
	- A number $m$ is the minimum of $S$ if $m$ is a lower bound for $S$ and $m \in S$
	Definition: Infimum
	- Let S ⊆ R. The infimum of S is a number m satisfying;
	inf-1: m is a lower bound for S: x≥m for all x∈S
	inf-2; $\overline{m}$ is the greatest lower bound for S, that is $\times \geq b$ for all $\times \in S \Rightarrow \overline{m} \geq b$

Proposition:
- If minS exists, then infS exists, and infS = infS. The numbers infS and infS
are unique, if they exist.
Theorem: Completeness Property for Sets
- If S is non-empty and bounded below, in S exists