

1.

$$\underline{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & \rho\sigma^2 & \rho\sigma^2 & \dots & \rho\sigma^2 \\ \rho\sigma^2 & \sigma^2 & \rho\sigma^2 & \dots & \rho\sigma^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho\sigma^2 & \rho\sigma^2 & \rho\sigma^2 & \dots & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

$$= \sigma^2(1-\rho)I + \rho\sigma^2J.$$

$$\text{Then } \bar{\sigma}_{..} = \frac{1}{n^2} \left( n\sigma^2 + 2 \times \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \rho\sigma^2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ n\sigma^2 (1 + (n-1)\rho) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} (1 + (n-1)\rho).$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_{ii} = \frac{1}{n} n\sigma^2 = \sigma^2$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_{i.} = \frac{1}{n} (\sigma^2 + (n-1)\rho\sigma^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} (1 + (n-1)\rho) = \bar{\sigma}_{..}$$

and

$$S = n\sigma^4 + n(n-1)\rho^2\sigma^4 = n\sigma^4(1 + (n-1)\rho^2)$$

Therefore, the numerator of  $\varepsilon$  is

$$\begin{aligned} n^2(\bar{\sigma}_{ii} - \bar{\sigma}_{..})^2 &= n^2 \left( \sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} (1 + (n-1)\rho) \right)^2 \\ &= \sigma^4 (n-1)^2 (1-\rho)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and the denominator of  $\varepsilon$  is

$$(n-1) \left( S - 2n \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\sigma}_{i.}^2 + n^2 \bar{\sigma}_{..}^2 \right) = (n-1) \left( S - 2n^2 \bar{\sigma}_{..}^2 + n^2 \bar{\sigma}_{..}^2 \right)$$

$$= (n-1) \left( S - n^2 \bar{\sigma}_{..}^2 \right) = (n-1) \left\{ n\sigma^4(1 + (n-1)\rho^2) - \sigma^4(1 + (n-1)\rho)^2 \right\}$$

$$= (n-1)\sigma^4 \left\{ 1 + n(n-1)\rho^2 - (1 + (n-1)\rho)^2 \right\}$$

$$= (n-1) \sigma^4 \left\{ n + n^2 p^2 - n p^2 - (1 + 2(n-1)p + (n-1)^2 p^2) \right\}$$

$$= (n-1) \sigma^4 \left\{ n-1 - 2np + 2p + n p^2 - p^2 \right\}$$

$$= (n-1)^2 \sigma^4 (1 - 2p + p^2)$$

$$= (n-1)^2 \sigma^4 (1-p)^2$$

$$\text{Thus } \varepsilon = \frac{\sigma^4 (n-1)^2 (1-p)^2}{(n-1)^2 \sigma^4 (1-p)^2} = 1.$$

2.  $\underline{y}_i = X\beta + \underline{z}\gamma_i + \underline{\varepsilon}_i$

where  $\underline{z} = \underline{1}_n$ ,  $\gamma_i \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\underline{\varepsilon}_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_e^2 I_n)$

$$\text{Var}(\underline{y}_i) = \underline{z} \text{Var}(\gamma_i) \underline{z}^T + \text{Var}(\underline{\varepsilon}_i) = \underline{1}_n \sigma^2 \underline{1}_n^T + \sigma_e^2 I_n$$

$$= \sigma^2 J_n + \sigma_e^2 I_n$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 + \sigma_e^2 & \sigma^2 & \sigma^2 & \dots & \sigma^2 \\ & \sigma^2 + \sigma_e^2 & \sigma^2 & \dots & \sigma^2 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{Sym} & & & & \sigma^2 + \sigma_e^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

3.

$$y_{ij} | a_i \stackrel{\text{indep}}{\sim} N(\mu + a_i, \sigma^2), \quad i=1, \dots, m; j=1, \dots, n$$

$$a_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_a^2)$$

$$\text{Intraclass correlation coefficient } (\rho) = \frac{\sigma_a^2}{\sigma_a^2 + \sigma^2}$$

The ANOVA table for this design is

Source	S.S	df	MS	E(MS)
Group	$SS_{\text{Group}}$	$m-1$	$SS_{\text{Group}}/(m-1)$	$n\sigma_a^2 + \sigma^2$
Error	$SSE$	$nm-m$	$SSE/(nm-m)$	$\sigma^2$
Total	$SS_T$	$mn-1$		

$$\text{where } SS_T = \sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$$

$$SS_{\text{Group}} = \sum_i \sum_j (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$$

$$SSE = SS_T - SS_{\text{Group}}$$

It can be shown that

$$\frac{SSE}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(nm-m)}$$

$$\frac{SS_{\text{Group}}}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_a^2} \sim \chi^2_{(m-1)}$$

and  $SSE$  and  $SS_{\text{Group}}$  are indep.

Therefore,  $MS_{\text{Group}} \sim (\sigma^2 + n\sigma_a^2) \frac{\chi_{(m-1)}^2}{m-1}$

$$MSE \sim \frac{\sigma^2 \chi_{(nm-m)}^2}{nm-m}$$

and  $MS_{\text{Group}}$ ,  $MSE$  are indep.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{MS_{\text{Group}}}{MSE} \sim \underbrace{\frac{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_a^2}{\sigma^2}}_{1+n\theta} F_{(m-1, nm-m)}$$

$$\text{where } \theta = \frac{\sigma_a^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\rho}{1-\rho}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{MS_{\text{Group}}/MSE}{1+n\theta} \sim F_{(m-1, nm-m)}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(F_{\alpha/2}(m-1, nm-m) \leq \frac{MS_{\text{Group}}/MSE}{1+n\theta} \leq F_{1-\alpha/2}(m-1, nm-m)) = 1-\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P(F_{\alpha/2}(m-1, nm-m)^{-1} \frac{MS_{\text{Group}}}{MSE} \geq 1+n\theta \geq F_{1-\alpha/2}(m-1, nm-m)^{-1} \frac{MS_{\text{Group}}}{MSE}) = 1-\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P(U \geq \theta \geq L) = 1-\alpha \text{ where } U = \frac{1}{n} \left[ F_{\alpha/2}(m-1, nm-m)^{-1} \frac{MS_{\text{Group}}}{MSE} - 1 \right]$$

$$\text{and } L = \frac{1}{n} \left[ F_{1-\alpha/2}(m-1, nm-m)^{-1} \frac{MS_{\text{Group}}}{MSE} - 1 \right]$$

Since  $\rho = \frac{\theta}{1+\theta}$ , this 95% C.I. for  $\theta$  can be transformed to a 95% C.I. for  $\rho$  as follows

$$\left[ \frac{L}{1+L}, \frac{U}{1+U} \right]$$

4. (a) We need  $L$  to be such that "sweeping" each row of  $L$  down the column vector  $\underline{\mu}$  gives the corresponding element of  $H_b$ . Note that  $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 1 \cdot \mu_1 + (-1) \mu_2 + 0 \cdot \mu_3 + 0 \cdot \mu_4 + 0 \cdot \mu_5$ , so the first row of  $L$  should be  $(1, -1, 0, 0, 0)$ . The other rows are similar, leading to

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) Using the same reasoning as in (a), the first row of this  $L$  should be the same as above. The second row should be  $\mu_2 - \mu_3 = 0 \cdot \mu_1 + 1 \cdot \mu_2 + (-1) \mu_3 + 0 \cdot \mu_4 + 0 \cdot \mu_5$ . The entire matrix looks like

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is clear that both this hypothesis and that in (a) are addressing the same issue. Both correspond to asking whether  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5$ , that is, they both ask whether all five means are equal to the same value.

Note: Neither hypothesis corresponds to  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5 = 0$ .

- (c) We can immediately find  $U$  as the transpose of the matrix  $L$  in (b); that is

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Alternatively, we could have started from first principles and gone through the same reasoning as in (b) but with columns instead of rows.

(d) Note that we want to write  $M_0$  as a  $(1 \times 4)$  vector; i.e.,

$$M_0: \mu_1 - \frac{1}{4}\mu_2 - \frac{1}{4}\mu_3 - \frac{1}{4}\mu_4 - \frac{1}{4}\mu_5 = 0$$

$$\mu_2 - \frac{1}{3}\mu_3 - \frac{1}{3}\mu_4 - \frac{1}{3}\mu_5 = 0$$

$$\mu_3 - \frac{1}{2}\mu_4 - \frac{1}{2}\mu_5 = 0$$

$$\mu_4 - \mu_5 = 0.$$

Now  $\mu^T = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5)$ , so we want to find  $U$  such that "sweeping" the row  $\mu^T$  down each column of  $U$  gives these elements (which are columns of a row vector). We get

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$