

6.1 Introduction. Nested Intervals.

- If the sequence itself is really new, unrelated to other sequences whose limits we already know, the only tool we have for showing it has a limit is the Completeness Property

"A bounded monotone sequence converges to a limit"

Definition: Suppose we have a sequence of closed intervals $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, having the property that each interval lies inside the previous one $a_n \leq a_{n+1} \leq b_{n+1} \leq b_n$.

Such a sequence of intervals is said to be nested

Theorem: The Nested Intervals Theorem

- Suppose $[a_n, b_n]$ is an infinite sequence of nested intervals, whose lengths tend to 0. ($\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n - a_n = 0$)
Then there is one and only one number L in all the intervals

6.2 Cluster Points of Sequences

- numbers that the sequence gets arbitrarily close to, infinitely often
- a sequence can have many cluster points

Definition: Cluster Points (or point of accumulation, or limit point)

- K is a cluster point of the sequence $\{a_n\}$ if, given $\epsilon > 0$, $a_n \approx_\epsilon K$ for infinitely many n
- For both a limit L and a cluster point K of a sequence $\{a_n\}$, the a_n must get arbitrarily close. But the a_n must stay close to a limit L , whereas they need only visit the vicinity of a cluster point K infinitely often. Every limit L is automatically a cluster point

Theorem: Cluster Point Theorem

- K is a cluster point of $\{a_n\} \Leftrightarrow K$ is the limit of some subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$

6.3 The Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

Theorem: Bolzano-Weierstrass

- A bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence

6.4 Cauchy Sequence

- Given $\epsilon > 0$, $a_m \approx_\epsilon a_n$ for $m, n \gg 1$

Theorem : The Cauchy Criterion for Convergence

- If $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, then $\{a_n\}$ converges

i) $\{a_n\}$ is bounded

ii) $\{a_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$

iii) Let $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$, then $\{a_n\} \rightarrow L$

6.5 The Completeness Property for sets

Definitions

- An **upper bound** for S is a number b such that $x \leq b$ for all $x \in S$

- S is said to be **bounded above** if S has an upper bound

- A number m is the **maximum** of S if m is an upper bound for S and $m \in S$

Definition : Supremum

- Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The **supremum** of S is a number \bar{m} satisfying;

sup-1: \bar{m} is an upper bound for S : $x \leq \bar{m}$ for all $x \in S$

sup-2: \bar{m} is the least upper bound for S , that is $x \leq b$ for all $x \in S \Rightarrow \bar{m} \leq b$

Proposition :

- If $\max S$ exists, then $\sup S$ exists, and $\sup S = \max S$. The numbers $\sup S$ and $\max S$ are unique, if they exist.

Theorem : Completeness Property for Sets

- If S is non-empty and bounded above, $\sup S$ exists

Definitions

- A **lower bound** for S is a number b such that $x \geq b$ for all $x \in S$

- S is said to be **bounded below** if S has a lower bound

- A number m is the **minimum** of S if m is a lower bound for S and $m \in S$

Definition : Infimum

- Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The **infimum** of S is a number \bar{m} satisfying;

inf-1: \bar{m} is a lower bound for S : $x \geq \bar{m}$ for all $x \in S$

inf-2: \bar{m} is the greatest lower bound for S , that is $x \geq b$ for all $x \in S \Rightarrow \bar{m} \geq b$

Proposition :

- If $\min S$ exists, then $\inf S$ exists, and $\inf S = \min S$. The numbers $\sup S$ and $\inf S$ are unique, if they exist.

Theorem : Completeness Property for Sets

- If S is non-empty and bounded below, $\inf S$ exists