Typesetting a math thesis with ccool

Erwann Rogard and Olympia Hadjiliadis* 2020/05/31

Abstract

To test and showcase ccool[2], here's a portion of an applied math thesis[1, pp. 25-27] typeset using it. The source file, thesis.tex, is set up in a way that \documentclass{article} can be replaced by \documentclass{llnc}[3].

Contents

Listing 1: preamble.	2
Listing 2: short.	2
Listing 3: long.	3
References	9

This document was generated using class other than llncs and 2020/04/28 v2.8 cool — A key-value document command parser.

^{*}http://math.hunter.cuny.edu/~olympia/

Listing 1. Preamble^a

^aCheck the source file for exhaustivity

```
\usepackage{amsmath, amsthm, commath}
\usepackage{csquotes}
\usepackage[T1]{fontenc}
\newtheorem{lemma}{Lemma}
\AfterEndEnvironment{lemma}{\CcoolHook}
\newtheorem{definition}{Definition}
\AfterEndEnvironment{definition}{\CcoolHook}
```

Listing 2. Short

```
\Ccool{
       SuchThat = \{;^{\sim}\},
       Time = \{t\},
       Process = \{ \xi \},
       StopT = \{ T \},
       EvalAt = \CcoolLambda{(#1)}
[The CUSUM statistic process and the corresponding one-sided
       CUSUM stopping time are defined as follows:
\begin{definition}\label{the CUSUM statistic} Let~]
              Scale = { \lambda },
              Real = {\mathcal{R}}
       }+*s{{~\in~}}[~and~]
              CUSUMthresh = { \nu }
       }+*c{$#1\in\Real^{+}$.}
       [~Define the following processes:]
       {
              LogWald = { u },
              CUSUMst = { \StopT_{c} },
              CUSUM = \{ y \},
              LogWaldInf = { m }
        [\begin{enumerate}
       \left( \sum_{s\in \mathbb{Z}} EvalAt\{ Scale \} = Scale \right)
        \Lambda = \prod_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} 
        \Time } \CUSUM_{s}\EvalAt{ \Scale }$.}
       \left( \frac{s\CUSUM_{\Time}\EvalAt{ \Scale } = \right)
        \LogWaldInf_{\Time}\EvalAt{ \Scale } -
        \LogWald_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\LogWald}_{\tilde{\Log
        statistic process.}
       \item{$\CUSUMst\EvalAt{ \Scale, \LogWaldInf } = \inf \left[
```

```
\Time \ge 0 \SuchThat \CUSUM_{\Time}\EvalAt{\Scale} \ge \LogWaldInf \right]$, which is the CUSUM stopping time.} \end{enumerate}\end{definition}\par]{}
```

The CUSUM statistic process and the corresponding one-sided CUSUM stopping time are defined as follows:

Definition 1. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{R}^+$. Define the following processes:

- 1. $u_t(\lambda) = \lambda \xi_t \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t$; $m_t(\lambda) = \inf_{0 \le s \le t} y_s(\lambda)$.
- 2. $y_t(\lambda) = m_t(\lambda) u_t(\lambda) \ge 0$, which is the CUSUM statistic process.
- 3. $T_c(\lambda, m) = \inf [t \ge 0; y_t(\lambda) \ge m]$, which is the CUSUM stopping time.

Listing 3. Long

```
\Ccool
  Cond = \{ \setminus mid \},
  Cont = \{C\},
  EssSup = { \textrm{ess\,sup} },
  Expect = { E },
  EvalAt = \CcoolLambda{(#1)},
  Event = \CcoolLambda{\left\{#1\right\}},
  Integrate = \CcoolLambda[mmmm]{\int_{#1}^{#2} #3 \dif#4},
  Measure = { P },
  OpRHS = \CcoolLambda{\left[#1\right]},
  Sequence = \CcoolLambda{\left\{#1\right\}},
  SigmaAlg = { \mathcal{F} },
  SigmaAlgOp = { \operatorname{\sigma} },
  SuchThat = \{;^{\sim}\},
  Time = { t },
  Union = { \cup }
[We begin by considering the observation process~]
{ Process = { \xi } *c{\simeq {\#1}_{\tau}} 
[ with the following dynamics:]
  Drift = { \mu_i },
  Noise = \{ w \},
  ChangePoint = { \tau }
[\begin{displaymath}
  \Process_{\Time} = \left\{ \right\}
    \begin{array}{11}
      \dif\Noise_{\Time} & \Time \le \ChangePoint \\
```

```
\Drift\, \dif\Time + \dif\Noise_{\Time} & t >
  \ChangePoint, ~~i=1,2.
    \end{array}\right\}
\end{displaymath}where $\ChangePoint$, the time of change, is
  assumed to be an unknown constant; $\Drift$, the possible
  drifts the process can change to, are assumed known, but the
  specific drift the process is changing to is assumed to be
  determined by nature and is thus unknown. Our goal is to
  detect the change and not to infer which of the change
  occurred.]{}
\Ccool[The probability triple is~]
  Space = { \Cont{[}0,\infty{)} },
  IdxMeasure = { \Measure^i_{\ChangePoint} }
[\begin{equation*}
  \left(\Space, \SigmaAlg_{\infty},
  \Sequence{\SigmaAlg_{\Time}},
  \Measure\right)~\forall~i=1,2,~\rm{and}~\ChangePoint~\in~{[}0,\infty{)},
\end{equation*}where $\Space$ is the space of continuous
  functions,
$\SigmaAlg_{\Time} = \SigmaAlgOp\left\{\Process_{\Time}, \Time
  \ge 0\right\}$, $\SigmaAlg_{\infty} =
  \left\{\SigmaAlg_{\Time}\right\}_{\Time=\infty} =
  \Union_{\Time>0} \SigmaAlg_{\Time}$, and $\IdxMeasure$ is the
  family of probability measures generated by the observation
  process \S \simeq \{\top \} when the change is
  $i=1,2$ and the change-point is $\ChangePoint$. Notice that
  $\Measure_{\Time=\infty}$ is the Wiener measure.\par]{}
\Ccool[The objective is to detect the change as soon as
  possible, which is achieved through the means of a stopping
  rule ]
  StopT = \{ T \}
[ adapted to the filtration \sigma_{\sigma}. This means
  that at each instant $\Time$ the information that is
  available up to that instant. If~]
{
 MeasureStop = { \Measure_{\ChangePoint} }
[~is the true distribution, then in the event that
  $\Event{\StopT \ge \ChangePoint}$ it is desired that the
  conditional expectation of \sigma \
  small. Notice that $\Event{\StopT \ge \ChangePoint} \in~$]
  SigmaAlgStop = { \SigmaAlg_{\ChangePoint} }
```

```
}*[.\par]{}
\Ccool[But of course, $\forall~\Time > \ChangePoint$,
  $\left\{\StopT = \Time\right\} \in \SigmaAlg_{t} \supset
  \SigmaAlgStop$. One of the possible performance measures of
  the detection delay, suggested by Lorden in
  \textdel{\cite{Lorden:1971}},
considers the worst detection delay over all paths before the
  change and all possible change points $\ChangePoint$. It is]
 Penalty = J
}
[\begin{equation}
  {\Penalty}\EvalAt{\ChangePoint}=\sup_{\ChangePoint}\,
  \EssSup\, \Expect_{\ChangePoint}\OpRHS{
  (\StopT-\ChangePoint)^{+}\Cond\SigmaAlgStop },
  \label{oLorden}
\end{equation} giving rise to the following constrained
  stochastic optimization problem:]{}
\Ccool{ OptimThresh = { \gamma } }
[\begin{equation}
  \begin{array}{c}
    \Expect_{\infty}\OpRHS{\StopT} \geq \OptimThresh.
  \end{array}\label{eqnproblemL}
\end{equation}\par]{}
\Ccool[One can immediately notice that the small detection
  delay requirement is offset by the requirement that the
  frequency of "false reactions" be controlled. In other words,
  the meaning of the requirement that
  \Expect_{\left( \ \ \ \ \right)} \ge \CDT} \leq \CDT is that,
  the mean time between alarms under the Wiener measure (i.e.
  the measure corresponding to there not being any change) is
  at least as big as $\OptimThresh$. One can also write
  $$\Expect_{\infty}\OpRHS{\StopT} = \Integrate{0}{\infty}{
  notice that the above requirement is equivalent to the
  requirement of a small
  Measure_{\left( \right)} \Omega {\StopT{<}\times }, which is the
  probability of a false alarm (type I error).\par]{}
\Ccool[It is easily seen that, in seeking solutions to the
  above problem, we can restrict our attention to stopping
  times that satisfy the false alarm constraint with equality.
  This is because, if $\Expect_{\infty}\OpRHS{\StopT} >
  \OptimThresh$, we can produce a stopping time that achieves
```

```
the constraint with equality without increasing the
  detection delay, simply by randomizing between $\StopT$ and
  the stopping time that is identically $0$. This was first
  seen by Moustakides in the discrete case \char'[see
  \textdel{\cite{Moustakides:1986}}.\char']\par]{}
\Ccool[To this effect, we introduce the following definition:
\begin{definition}Define~]
 {
   StopTthresh = { \mathcal{K} }
  }+*
  [ to be the set of all stopping rules $\StopT$ that
  are adapted to $\SigmaAlg_{\Time}$ and that satisfy
  $\Expect_{\infty}\OpRHS{T}=\OptimThresh$.
\end{definition}\par]{}
\Ccool[The CUSUM statistic process and the corresponding
  one-sided CUSUM stopping time are defined as follows:
\begin{definition}\label{the CUSUM statistic}Let~]
 {
   Scale = { \lambda },
   Real = {\mathcal{R}}
  }+*s{{~\in~}}
  [~Define the following processes:]
   CUSUMthresh = { \nu }
  }+*c{$#1\in\Real^{+}$.}[]
   LogWald = { u },
   CUSUM = \{ y \},
   LogWaldInf = { m }
 }+
  [\begin{enumerate}
  \item{$\LogWald _{\Time}\EvalAt{ \Scale } = \Scale
  $\LogWaldInf _{\Time}\EvalAt{ \Scale } = \inf_{ 0\le s
  \label{lem:cusum_{s}\EvalAt{ \Scale }$.}
  \item{$\CUSUM _{\Time}\EvalAt{ \Scale } = \LogWaldInf
  _{\Time}\EvalAt{ \Scale }-
                            \LogWald _{\Time}\EvalAt{ \Scale
  }\geO$, which is the CUSUM statistic process.}
  \item{$\CUSUMst\EvalAt{ \Scale, \LogWaldInf } = \inf \OpRHS{
  \Time \ge 0 \SuchThat \CUSUM_{\Time}\EvalAt{\Scale} \ge
  \LogWaldInf }$, which is the CUSUM stopping time.}
  \end{enumerate}\end{definition}\par]{}
\Ccool[We are now in a position to examine two very important
  properties of the one-sided CUSUM stopping time. The first
```

```
is a characteristic specifically inherent in the CUSUM
  statistic, and is summarized in the following lemma:
\begin{lemma}\label{12} Fix $\ChangePoint \in {[}0,\infty{)}$.
  Let $\Time\ge\ChangePoint$, and consider the process
  $$\CUSUM_{\Time, \ChangePoint} =
  \LogWald_{\Time}-\LogWald_{\ChangePoint}-\inf_{\ChangePoint\le
  s\le \Time}(\LogWald_{\Time}-\LogWald_{\ChangePoint}).$$
 This is the CUSUM process when starting at time
  $\ChangePoint$. We have that $\CUSUM_{\Time}\ge
  \CUSUM_{\Time,\ChangePoint}$ with equality if
  $\CUSUM_{\ChangePoint}=0$. \end{lemma}\par
\begin{proof} Note
  that\begin{equation}\CUSUM_{\Time}=\CUSUM_{\Time},\ChangePoint}
    +\left(\inf_{\ChangePoint\le s\le
  \Time}(\LogWald_{s}-\LogWald_{\ChangePoint})
     +\CUSUM_{\ChangePoint}\right)^{+}\ge
  \CUSUM_{t,\ChangePoint} \label{restart}
 \end{equation} and that \inf_{\alpha}
  \Time\{\LogWald_{s}-\LogWald_{\ChangePoint}}\le0$.
\end{proof}]{}
```

We begin by considering the observation process $\{\xi\}_{t>0}$ with the following dynamics:

$$\xi_t = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{d}w_t & t \le \tau \\ \mu_i \; \mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}w_t & t > \tau, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{array} \right\}$$

where τ , the time of change, is assumed to be an unknown constant; μ_i , the possible drifts the process can change to, are assumed known, but the specific drift the process is changing to is assumed to be determined by nature and is thus unknown. Our goal is to detect the change and not to infer which of the change occurred. The probability triple is

$$(C[0,\infty), \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}, P) \ \forall i = 1, 2, \text{ and } \tau \in [0,\infty),$$

where $C[0,\infty)$ is the space of continuous functions, $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma \{\xi_t, t \geq 0\}$, $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t=\infty} = \cup_{t>0} \mathcal{F}_t$, and P^i_τ is the family of probability measures generated by the observation process $\{\xi_t\}$ when the change is i=1,2 and the change-point is τ . Notice that $P_{t=\infty}$ is the Wiener measure. The objective is to detect the change as soon as possible, which is achieved through the means of a stopping rule T adapted to the filtration \mathcal{F}_t . This means that at each instant t the information that is available up to that instant. If P_τ is the true distribution, then in the event that $\{T \geq \tau\}$ it is desired that the conditional expectation of $T - \tau$ should be small. Notice that $\{T \geq \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$.

But of course, $\forall t > \tau$, $\{T = t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t \supset \mathcal{F}_\tau$. One of the possible performance measures of the detection delay, suggested by Lorden in [],

considers the worst detection delay over all paths before the change and all possible change points τ . It is

$$J(\tau) = \sup_{\tau} \operatorname{ess\,sup} E_{\tau} \left[(T - \tau)^{+} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau} \right], \tag{1}$$

giving rise to the following constrained stochastic optimization problem:

$$\inf_{T} J(T) E_{\infty}[T] \ge \gamma.$$
 (2)

One can immediately notice that the small detection delay requirement is offset by the requirement that the frequency of "false reactions" be controlled. In other words, the meaning of the requirement that $E_{\infty}\left[T\right] \geq \gamma$ is that, the mean time between alarms under the Wiener measure (i.e. the measure corresponding to there not being any change) is at least as big as γ . One can also write

$$E_{\infty}[T] = \int_{0}^{\infty} P_{\infty}[T > t] dt$$

and notice that the above requirement is equivalent to the requirement of a small $P_{\infty}[T < t]$, which is the probability of a false alarm (type I error). It is easily seen that, in seeking solutions to the above problem, we can restrict our attention to stopping times that satisfy the false alarm constraint with equality. This is because, if $E_{\infty}[T] > \gamma$, we can produce a stopping time that achieves the constraint with equality without increasing the detection delay, simply by randomizing between T and the stopping time that is identically 0. This was first seen by Moustakides in the discrete case [see [].]

To this effect, we introduce the following definition:

Definition 2. Define K to be the set of all stopping rules T that are adapted to \mathcal{F}_t and that satisfy $E_{\infty}[T] = \gamma$.

The CUSUM statistic process and the corresponding one-sided CUSUM stopping time are defined as follows:

Definition 3. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ Define the following processes: $\nu \in \mathcal{R}^+$.

- 1. $u_t(\lambda) = \lambda \xi_t \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t$; $m_t(\lambda) = \inf_{0 \le s \le t} y_s(\lambda)$.
- 2. $y_t(\lambda) = m_t(\lambda) u_t(\lambda) > 0$, which is the CUSUM statistic process.
- 3. $T_c(\lambda, m) = \inf [t \ge 0; y_t(\lambda) \ge m]$, which is the CUSUM stopping time.

We are now in a position to examine two very important properties of the one-sided CUSUM stopping time. The first is a characteristic specifically inherent in the CUSUM statistic, and is summarized in the following lemma:

Lemma 1. Fix $\tau \in [0, \infty)$. Let $t \geq \tau$, and consider the process

$$y_{t,\tau} = u_t - u_\tau - \inf_{\tau \le s \le t} (u_t - u_\tau).$$

This is the CUSUM process when starting at time τ . We have that $y_t \ge y_{t,\tau}$ with equality if $y_{\tau} = 0$.

Proof. Note that

$$y_t = y_{t,\tau} + \left(\inf_{\tau \le s \le t} (u_s - u_\tau) + y_\tau\right)^+ \ge y_{t,\tau}$$
 (3)

and that $\inf_{\tau \leq s \leq t} (u_s - u_\tau) \leq 0$.

References

- [1] Olympia Hadjiliadis. "Change-point detection of two-sided alternatives in the Brownian motion model and its connection to the gambler's ruin problem with relative wealth perception". PhD thesis. Columbia University, 2005.
- [2] Erwann Rogard. The ccool package. 2020. URL: https://github.com/rogard/ccool.
- [3] Springer. LLNCS LaTeX2e class. URL: https://www.springer.com/gp/computer-science/lncs/conference-proceedings-guidelines.