

Mechanizing an elaboration algorithm for the Hindley-Damas-Milner type system

Roger Bosman


Supervisor: Prof. Tom Schrijvers

Type checking, Inference, & Elaboration

Type checking algorithms studied in detail

→ Soundness, completeness, decidability, ...

Compilers like GHC use *elaboration* algorithms



¹Or another output language

Many extensions implemented by elaboration

→ Type classes, implicits, intersection types, ...

Why has nobody mechanized inference with elaboration before?




Declarative vs. Algorithmic

The Hindley-Damas-Milner (HDM) system [1] cannot be implemented directly because its rules are *declarative* and not *algorithmic*.

How to determine τ_1 ?

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \quad \Gamma; x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

τ_1 is quantified *existentially*: no clear way of computing



We need an algorithm!

Classic implementation of HDM: algorithm \mathcal{W} [1]

$\hat{\alpha}$ is *freshly generated* base on Γ

$\hat{\alpha}$ either is solved during type checking e or left in the type (to be solved later)

$$\frac{\hat{\alpha} = \text{fresh}(\Gamma) \quad \mathcal{W}(\Gamma; x : \hat{\alpha}, e) = (\sigma, \tau_2)}{\mathcal{W}(\Gamma, \lambda x. e) = (\sigma, \sigma(\hat{\alpha} \rightarrow \tau_2))}$$

Type checking vs. Elaboration

Type checking

Elaboration

let $x = (\lambda y. \text{unit}) (\lambda z. z)$ **in** ...

Assign existentials

$x :: \hat{\alpha}$
 $y :: \hat{\beta}$
 $z :: \hat{\gamma}$

let $(x :: \hat{\alpha}) =$
 $(\lambda(y :: \hat{\beta}). \text{unit})$
 $(\lambda(z :: \hat{\gamma}). z)$ **in** ...

Solve constraints

$\hat{\beta} = \hat{\gamma} \rightarrow \hat{\gamma}$
 $\hat{\alpha} = \text{Unit}$

let $(x :: \text{Unit}) =$
 $(\lambda(y :: \hat{\gamma} \rightarrow \hat{\gamma}). \text{unit})$
 $(\lambda(z :: \hat{\gamma}). z)$ **in** ...

Generalize


Well-typed ✓

let $(x :: \forall a. \text{Unit}) =$
 $\Lambda a. (\lambda(y :: a \rightarrow a). \text{unit})$
 $(\lambda(z :: a). z)$ **in** ...

Now with explicit scope information!

Our algorithm

List A of existentials in scope of τ



State of affairs

Mechanization ongoing

- Coq proof assistant^[2]
- Generalized rewriting^[3]

- Locally nameless^[4]
- Ott/Lngen^[5,6]

Future work: extend type system with type classes

