$$\mathcal{D} = Desugar.$$

A fixed desigaring of Scheme cond to V's if-then-else:

$$\mathcal{D}[\![\!] (\texttt{cond})]\!] = \texttt{wrong}$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\![\![\!] (\texttt{cond} [e_g \ e_a] \ \dots)]\!] = \texttt{if} \ \mathcal{D}[\![\![e_g]\!] \texttt{ then } \mathcal{D}[\![\![e_a]\!] \texttt{ else } \mathcal{D}[\![\![\!] (\texttt{cond} \ \dots)]\!]$$

where e_g and e_a are exps.

This translation desugars cond into the Verse if-then-else form, which itself is syntactic sugar for one.

Here is a translation from cond to one.

$$\mathcal{D}[\![(\texttt{cond})]\!] = \texttt{wrong}$$

$$\mathcal{D}[[(cond [e_{q1} e_{a1}] [e_{q2} e_{a2}] \dots [e_{qn} e_{an}])]] =$$

$$(\mathbf{one}\ \{(\mathcal{D}[\![e_{g1}]\!];\ \lambda\langle\rangle.\ \mathcal{D}[\![e_{a1}]\!])\ |\!|\ (\mathcal{D}[\![e_{g2}]\!];\ \lambda\langle\rangle.\ \mathcal{D}[\![e_{a2}]\!])\ |\!|\ \dots\ |\!|\ (\mathcal{D}[\![e_{gn}]\!];\ \lambda\langle\rangle.\ \mathcal{D}[\![e_{an}]\!])\ |\!|\ \lambda\langle\rangle.\ \mathbf{wrong}\})\langle\rangle$$

Inductively:

$$\mathcal{D}[\![\![\![$$
 (cond $[e_g\;e_a]\;\ldots)]\!]\!]=$

(one
$$\{(\mathcal{D}\llbracket e_g \rrbracket; \lambda \langle \rangle. \mathcal{D}\llbracket e_a \rrbracket) \mid \mathcal{D}\llbracket (\text{cond } \ldots) \rrbracket \}) \langle \rangle$$