

Syntax and Semantics of V^-

Roger Burtonpatel

October 22, 2023

1 Syntax

We present a grammar of V^- :

Programs	P	$::=$	$\{d\}$	definition
Definitions	d	$::=$	$\text{val } x = e_\alpha$	bind name to expression
Expressions	e_α	$::=$	x, y, z	names
			α	final results
			$\text{if } [g_\alpha \{[]g_\alpha\}] \text{ fi}$	if-fi
			$K\{e_\alpha\}$	value constructor application
			$e_{\alpha_1} e_{\alpha_2}$	function application
Guarded Expressions	g_α	$::=$	$\rightarrow \alpha$	terminating α
			$e_\alpha; g_\alpha$	intermediate expression
			$\exists\{x\}.g_\alpha$	existential
			$e_{\alpha_1} = e_{\alpha_2}; g_\alpha$	equation
Value Constructors	K	$::=$	$::$	cons
			$[]$	empty list
			$\#x$	name beginning with #
			$\text{A-Z}x$	name beginning with capital letter
			$[- +](0-9)^+$	signed integer literal

A *name* is any token that is not an integer literal, does not contain whitespace, a bracket, or parenthesis, and is not a value constructor name or a reserved word.

2 Refinement ordering on environments

$$\rho \subseteq \rho' \text{ when } \text{dom } \rho \subseteq \text{dom } \rho' \\ \text{and } \forall x \in \text{dom } \rho : \rho(x) \subseteq \rho'(x)$$

3 Forms of Judgement for V^- :

<i>Metavariables</i>	
ϑ	a value produced from evaluating α .
eq	equation
reject	equation rejection
r	$\vartheta \mid \text{reject}$: a result of ϑ or rejection
ρ	environment: $\text{name} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\perp$
$\rho\{x \mapsto y\}$	environment extended with name x mapping to y
\mathcal{T}	Context of all temporarily stuck equations (a sequence)
e_α	An expression
g_α	A guarded expression
$\not\Leftarrow$	Inability to compile to a decision tree; a compile time error
<i>Sequences</i>	
ε	the empty sequence
$S_1 \cdot S_2$	Concatenate sequence S_1 and sequence S_2
$x \cdot S_2$	Cons x onto sequence S_2

Expressions

An expression in core Verse evaluates to produce possibly-empty sequence of values. In V^- , values depend on α . If α is a Verse-like expression, ϑ will be a value sequence. If it is an ML-like expression, it will be a single value.

A guarded expression evaluates to produce a **result**. A result is either a possibly-empty sequence of values or reject.

$$r ::= \vartheta \mid \mathbf{reject}$$

$$\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash \alpha \Downarrow \vartheta \quad (\text{EVAL-EXPR})$$

$$\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r \quad (\text{EVAL-GUARDED-EXPR})$$

If a guarded expression cannot be evaluated without producing logical variables at runtime, it cannot be expressed as a decision tree. This notation indicates this failure (think of \Leftarrow as a fallen tree), which results in a compile-time error.

$$\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \rightsquigarrow \Leftarrow \quad (\text{NOTREE})$$

4 Sequences

The trivial sequence is ε . Sequences can be concatenated with infix \cdot . In an appropriate context, a value like x stands for the singleton sequence containing x .

$$\varepsilon \cdot ys \equiv ys$$

$$ys \cdot \varepsilon \equiv ys$$

$$(xs \cdot ys) \cdot zs \equiv xs \cdot (ys \cdot zs)$$

5 Rules (Big-step Operational Semantics) for V^- :

Evaluating Guarded Expressions

Evaluating simple parts of guarded expressions

$$(\text{EVAL-ARROWEXPR}) \quad \frac{\rho; \varepsilon \vdash e \Downarrow \vartheta}{\rho; \varepsilon \vdash \rightarrow e \Downarrow \vartheta}$$

$$(\text{EVAL-EXISTS}) \quad \frac{\rho\{x \mapsto \perp\}; \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash \exists x. g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

$$(\text{EVAL-EXPSEQ}) \quad \frac{\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash e_\alpha \Downarrow \vartheta \quad \rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash e_\alpha; g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

Shifting an equation to the context

$$(\text{G-MOVE-TO-CTX}) \quad \frac{\rho; eq \cdot \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash eq; g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

Evaluating with different types of equations

$$(\text{G-EQEXPS}) \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} x, y \text{ are distinct and fresh} \\ \rho\{x \mapsto \perp, y \mapsto \perp\}; x = e_{\alpha_1} \cdot y = e_{\alpha_2} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r \end{array}}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot e_{\alpha_1} = e_{\alpha_2} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

$$(\text{G-EQNAMEEXP}) \quad \frac{\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash e_\alpha \Downarrow \vartheta \quad \rho\{x \mapsto \vartheta\}; \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r'}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot x = e_\alpha \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r'}$$

$$(G\text{-EQNAMES-VALS-SUCC}) \frac{\begin{array}{c} x, y \in \text{dom } \rho \\ \rho(x) = \vartheta, \rho(y) = \vartheta \\ \rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r \end{array}}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

$$(G\text{-EQNAMES-VALS-FAIL}) \frac{\begin{array}{c} x, y \in \text{dom } \rho \\ \rho(x) = \vartheta, \rho(y) = \vartheta' \\ \vartheta \neq \vartheta' \end{array}}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow \mathbf{reject}}$$

$$(G\text{-EQNAMES-BOTS-FAIL}) \frac{\begin{array}{c} x, y \in \text{dom } \rho \\ \rho(x) = \perp, \rho(y) = \perp \\ x, y \text{ do not appear in } \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \end{array}}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \rightsquigarrow \notin}$$

$$(G\text{-EQNAMES-BOTVAL-SUCC}) \frac{\begin{array}{c} x, y \in \text{dom } \rho \\ \rho(x) = \perp, \rho(y) = \vartheta \\ \rho\{x \mapsto \vartheta\}; \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r' \end{array}}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r'}$$

$$(G\text{-VCON-SINGLE-FAIL}) \frac{K \neq K'}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot K = K' \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow \mathbf{reject}}$$

$$(G\text{-VCON-SINGLE-SUCC}) \frac{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot K = K \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

$$(G\text{-VCON-MULTI-FAIL}) \frac{K \neq K'}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot K(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) = K'(e'_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e'_{\alpha_n}) \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow \mathbf{reject}}$$

$$(G\text{-VCON-MULTI-ARITY-FAIL}) \frac{n \neq m}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot K(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) = K(e'_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e'_{\alpha_m}) \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow \mathbf{reject}}$$

$$(G\text{-VCON-MULTI-SUCC}) \frac{\rho; [e_{\alpha_i} = e'_{\alpha_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n] \cdot \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot K(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) = K(e'_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e'_{\alpha_n}) \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

Evaluating General Expressions

$$(IF\text{-FI-SUCCESS}) \frac{\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow \vartheta}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash \text{IF } [g_\alpha \Box \dots] \text{FI} \Downarrow \vartheta}$$

$$(IF\text{-FI-REJECT}) \frac{\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow \mathbf{reject} \quad \rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash \text{IF } [\dots] \text{FI} \Downarrow \vartheta}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash \text{IF } [g_\alpha \Box \dots] \text{FI} \Downarrow \vartheta}$$

$$(VCON\text{-EMPTY}) \frac{}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash K \Downarrow K}$$

$$(VCON\text{-MULTI}) \frac{\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash e_{\alpha_i} \Downarrow \vartheta_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq n}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \vdash K(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) \Downarrow K(\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n)}$$

6 The very suspect rule from question 5

$$(EQ\text{NAMES-BOTS-SUCC}) \frac{\begin{array}{c} x, y \in \text{dom } \rho \\ \rho(x) = \perp, \rho(y) = \perp \\ \text{Either } x \text{ or } y \text{ appears in } \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \\ \rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r \end{array}}{\rho; \mathcal{T} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$