Syntax and Semantics of V^-

Roger Burtonpatel

October 22, 2023

1 Syntax

We present a grammar of V^-:

Programs	P	::=	$\{d\}$	definition
Definitions	d	::=	`val` x `=` e_α	bind name to expression
Expressions	e_α	::=	x, y, z	names
		|	`if [` g_α $\{[]g_\alpha\}$ `] fi`	if-fi
		|	$K\{e_\alpha\}$	value constructor application
		|	e_{α_1} e_{α_2}	function application
Guarded Expressions	g_α	::=	$\rightarrow \alpha$	terminating α
		|	e_α; g_α	intermediate expression
		|	$\exists\{x\}.g_\alpha$	existential
		|	$e_{\alpha_1} = e_{\alpha_2}$; g_α	equation
Value Constructors	K	::=	`::`	cons
		|	`[]`	empty list
		|	`#`x	name beginning with `#`
		|	`A-Z`x	name beggining with capital letter
		|	`[-|+](0 - 9)+`	signed integer literal

A *name* is any token that is not an integer literal, does not contain whitespace, a bracket, or parenthesis, and is not a value constructor name or a reserved word.

2 Refinement ordering on environments

$$\rho \subseteq \rho' \text{ when } \operatorname{dom}\rho \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\rho' \\ \text{and } \forall x \in \operatorname{dom}\rho : \rho(x) \subseteq \rho'(x)$$

3 Forms of Judgement for V^-:

Metavariables	
ϑ	a value produced from evaluating α.
eq	equation
reject	equation rejection
r	ϑ | **reject**: a result of ϑ or rejection
ρ	environment: $name \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\perp$
$\rho\{x \mapsto y\}$	environment extended with name x mapping to y
$\mathcal{T}$	Context of all temporarily stuck equations (a sequence)
e_α	An expression
g_α	A guarded expression
$\lightning$	Inability to compile to a decision tree; a compile time error

Sequences	
ε	the empty sequence
$S_1 \cdot S_2$	Concatenate sequence S_1 and sequence S_2
$x \cdot S_2$	Cons x onto sequence S_2

Expressions

An expression in core Verse evaluates to produce possibly-empty sequence of values. In V^-, values depend on α. If α is a Verse-like expression, ϑwill be a value sequence. If it is an ML-like expression, it will be a single value.
A guarded expression evaluates to produce a **result**. A result is either a possibly-empty sequence of values or reject.

$$r ::= \vartheta \mid \textbf{reject}$$

$$\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash \alpha \Downarrow \vartheta \quad \text{(Eval-Expr)}$$
$$\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r \quad \text{(Eval-Guarded-Expr)}$$

If a guarded expression cannot be evaluated without producing logical variables at runtime, it cannot be expressed as a decision tree. This notation indicates this failure (think of $\not\Subset$ as a fallen tree), which results in a compile-time error.

$$\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \rightsquigarrow \not\Subset \quad \text{(NoTree)}$$

4 Sequences

The trivial sequence is ε. Sequences can be concatenated with infix $\cdot$. In an appropriate context, a value like x stands for the singleton sequence containing x.

$$\varepsilon \cdot ys \equiv ys$$
$$ys \cdot \varepsilon \equiv ys$$
$$(xs \cdot ys) \cdot zs \equiv xs \cdot (ys \cdot zs)$$

5 Rules (Big-step Operational Semantics) for V^-:

Evaluating Guarded Expressions

Evaluating simple parts of guarded expressions

$$\text{(Eval-ArrowExpr)}\ \frac{\rho;\ \varepsilon \vdash e \Downarrow \vartheta}{\rho;\ \varepsilon \vdash\!\!\rightarrow e \Downarrow \vartheta}$$

$$\text{(Eval-Exists)}\ \frac{\rho\{x \mapsto \bot\};\ \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash \exists x.\ g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

$$\text{(Eval-Expseq)}\ \frac{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash e_\alpha \Downarrow \vartheta \qquad \rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash e_\alpha;\ g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

Shifting an equation to the context

$$\text{(G-Move-To-Ctx)}\ \frac{\rho;\ eq \cdot \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash eq;\ g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

Evaluating with different types of equations

$$\text{(G-EqExps)}\ \frac{\begin{array}{c}x,\ y \text{ are distinct and fresh} \\ \rho\{x \mapsto \bot,\ y \mapsto \bot\};\ x = e_{\alpha_1} \cdot y = e_{\alpha_2} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r\end{array}}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot e_{\alpha_1} = e_{\alpha_2} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

$$\text{(G-EqNameExp)}\ \frac{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash e_\alpha \Downarrow \vartheta \qquad \rho\{x \mapsto \vartheta\};\ \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r'}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot x = e_\alpha \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r'}$$

$$\text{(G-EqNames-Vals-Succ)}\ \frac{x,\ y \in \operatorname{dom}\rho \quad \rho(x) = \vartheta,\ \rho(y) = \vartheta \quad \rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

$$\text{(G-EqNames-Vals-Fail)}\ \frac{x,\ y \in \operatorname{dom}\rho \quad \rho(x) = \vartheta,\ \rho(y) = \vartheta' \quad \vartheta \neq \vartheta'}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow \textbf{reject}}$$

$$\text{(G-EqNames-Bots-Fail)}\ \frac{x,\ y \in \operatorname{dom}\rho \quad \rho(x) = \bot,\ \rho(y) = \bot \quad x,\ y \text{ do not appear in } \mathcal{T},\ \mathcal{T}'}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \rightsquigarrow \lightning}$$

$$\text{(G-EqNames-BotVal-Succ)}\ \frac{x,\ y \in \operatorname{dom}\rho \quad \rho(x) = \bot,\ \rho(y) = \vartheta \quad \rho\{x \mapsto \vartheta\};\ \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r'}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r'}$$

$$\text{(G-Vcon-Single-Fail)}\ \frac{K \neq K'}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot K = K' \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow \textbf{reject}}$$

$$\text{(G-Vcon-Single-Succ)}\ \frac{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot K = K \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

$$\text{(G-Vcon-Multi-Fail)}\ \frac{K \neq K'}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot K(e_{\alpha_1}, \ldots e_{\alpha_n}) = K'(e'_{\alpha_1}, \ldots e'_{\alpha_n}) \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow \textbf{reject}}$$

$$\text{(G-Vcon-Multi-Arity-Fail)}\ \frac{n \neq m}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot K(e_{\alpha_1}, \ldots e_{\alpha_n}) = K(e'_{\alpha_1}, \ldots e'_{\alpha_m}) \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow \textbf{reject}}$$

$$\text{(G-Vcon-Multi-Succ)}\ \frac{\rho;\ [e_{\alpha_i} = e'_{\alpha_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n] \cdot \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot K(e_{\alpha_1}, \ldots e_{\alpha_n}) = K(e'_{\alpha_1}, \ldots e'_{\alpha_n}) \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$

Evaluating General Expressions

$$\text{(If-Fi-Success)}\ \frac{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow \vartheta}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash \textsc{if}\ [\ g_\alpha \ \square\ \ldots\ ]\ \textsc{fi} \Downarrow \vartheta}$$

$$\text{(If-Fi-Reject)}\ \frac{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow \textbf{reject} \qquad \rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash \textsc{if}\ [\ \ldots\ ]\ \textsc{fi} \Downarrow \vartheta}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash \textsc{if}\ [\ g_\alpha \ \square\ \ldots\ ]\ \textsc{fi} \Downarrow \vartheta}$$

$$\text{(Vcon-Empty)}\ \frac{}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash K \Downarrow K}$$

$$\text{(Vcon-Multi)}\ \frac{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash e_{\alpha_i} \Downarrow \vartheta_i \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \vdash K(e_{\alpha_1}, \ldots e_{\alpha_n}) \Downarrow K(\vartheta_1, \ldots \vartheta_i)}$$

6 The very suspect rule from question 5

$$\text{(EqNames-Bots-Succ)}\ \frac{x,\ y \in \operatorname{dom}\rho \quad \rho(x) = \bot,\ \rho(y) = \bot \quad \text{Either } x \text{ or } y \text{ appears in } \mathcal{T},\ \mathcal{T}' \quad \rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}{\rho;\ \mathcal{T} \cdot x = y \cdot \mathcal{T}' \vdash g_\alpha \Downarrow r}$$