

Chapter 10: Conditional Heteroscedastic Models

Time Series Analysis

WISE,XMU

§10.1 Features of Financial Time Series

Some common features of financial time series

- ▶ Asset prices are generally non stationary.
- ▶ Returns are usually stationary.
- ▶ Return series might show no or little autocorrelation.
- ▶ Volatility of the return series appears to be clustered.
- ▶ Serial dependence exists among the squared returns
- ▶ Normality assumption might be violated
- ▶ leverage effects may need to be considered, i.e. changes in prices negatively correlated with changes in volatility.

§10.1 Conditional Heteroscedastic Models

- ▶ We introduce ARCH(1) model to handle heteroscedasticity.
- ▶ Consider the following model:

$$a_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \quad \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 a_{t-1}^2,$$

where ϵ_t is Gaussian white noise with unit variance,
and $\alpha_0 > 0, \alpha_1 > 0$.

Note: In general, ϵ_t 's are iid r.v. with mean 0 and var 1.

Note: Usually we require $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$.

- ▶ Another View: ARCH(1) in a_t is like AR(1) in a_t^2 .

Let $\eta_t = a_t^2 - \sigma_t^2$. Then $a_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 a_{t-1}^2 + \eta_t$.

It can be shown that η_t is uncorrelated with mean 0.

Hence ARCH(1) can be viewed as a AR(1) in a_t^2 .

It captures quadratic dependence.

► Property of ARCH(1):

$$E(\epsilon_t | I_{t-1}) = 0, \text{ var}(\epsilon_t | I_{t-1}) = 1,$$

$$E(\epsilon_t) = 0, \text{ var}(\epsilon_t) = 1,$$

$$\rho(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j. \quad \rho(\epsilon_i^2, \epsilon_j^2) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j.$$

$$E(a_t | I_{t-1}) = 0, \text{ var}(a_t | I_{t-1}) =: \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 a_{t-1}^2,$$

$$E(a_t) = 0, \text{ var}(r_t) = \frac{\alpha_0}{1-\alpha_1},$$

$$\rho(a_i, a_j) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j. \quad \rho(a_i^2, a_j^2) = \alpha_1^{|i-j|} \text{ if } i \neq j.$$

- Prediction interval under normality: $[-1.96\hat{\sigma}_{t+j}, 1.96\hat{\sigma}_{t+j}]$

How to obtain $\hat{\sigma}_{t+j}$?

One-step ahead: $\hat{\sigma}_{t+1}^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 a_t^2$.

multi-step: use iterative calculations and replace a_{t+j}^2 is $\hat{\sigma}_{t+j}^2$.

for example: $\hat{\sigma}_{t+2}^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \hat{\sigma}_{t+1}^2$

Another representation:

rewrite $\sigma_{t+1}^2 - \gamma_0 = \alpha_1(a_t^2 - \gamma_0)$, where $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0/(1 - \alpha_1)$.

Hence $\hat{\sigma}_{t+1}^2 = \gamma_0 + \alpha_1(a_t^2 - \gamma_0)$.

$\hat{\sigma}_{t+2}^2 = \gamma_0 + \alpha_1(\hat{\sigma}_{t+1}^2 - \gamma_0) = \gamma_0 + \alpha_1^2(a_t^2 - \gamma_0)$.

In general, $\hat{\sigma}_{t+j}^2 = \gamma_0 + \alpha_1^j(a_t^2 - \gamma_0)$.

Comment: The process a_t is uncorrelated. So past info is not useful to predict the future value of a_t .

However, the process a_t is not independent as nonlinear dependence exists. ARCH model is trying to capture the quadratic dependence on a_t . Hence it could capture volatility clustering, and yield more helpful predicted intervals.

- More property: heavy tail

$$\begin{aligned} E(a_t^4) = E(\sigma_t^4 \epsilon_t^4) &= E[E(\sigma_t^4 \epsilon_t^4 | I_{t-1})] \\ &= E[\sigma_t^4 3] \geq 3[E(\sigma_t^2)]^2 = 3[\text{var}(a_t)]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $kur = \frac{E(a_t^4)}{[\text{var}(a_t)]^2} \geq 3$.

► Extension: ARCH(q)

- let ϵ_t be Gaussian white noise with unit variance
- a_t is an ARCH(q) process if

$$a_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t \quad \sigma_t = \sqrt{\alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i a_{t-i}^2}$$

► GARCH(p, q)

- a_t is the GARCH(p, q) process if

$$a_t = \epsilon_t \sigma_t \quad \sigma_t = \sqrt{\alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i a_{t-i}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i \sigma_{t-i}^2}$$

► Property:

1. GARCH has no prediction power for mean value
2. GARCH is heavy tailed and thus better handle outliers
3. GARCH models conditional volatility by capturing quadratic dependence: $a_t \sim GARCH(m, s) \Rightarrow a_t^2 \sim ARMA(\max(m, s), s)$
 $a_t^2 = \sigma_t^2 \epsilon_t^2 = \sigma_t^2(1 + \delta_t) = \sigma_t^2 + e_t$, so $\sigma_t^2 = a_t^2 - e_t$.

$$\begin{aligned} a_t^2 &= \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i a_{t-i}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j (a_{t-j}^2 - e_{t-j}) + e_t \\ &= \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\max(m,s)} (\alpha_i + \beta_i) a_{t-i}^2 + e_t + \sum_{j=1}^s (-\beta_j) e_{t-j} \end{aligned}$$

AR coefficient: $\alpha_i + \beta_i, i = 1, \dots, \max(m, s);$

MA coefficient: $-\beta_j, j = 1, \dots, s.$

► Property for GARCH(1,1):

$$E(\epsilon_t | I_{t-1}) = 0, \text{ var}(\epsilon_t | I_{t-1}) = 1,$$

$$E(\epsilon_t) = 0, \text{ var}(\epsilon_t) = 1,$$

$$\rho(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j. \quad \rho(\epsilon_i^2, \epsilon_j^2) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j.$$

$$E(a_t | I_{t-1}) = 0, \text{ var}(a_t | I_{t-1}) =: \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 a_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2,$$

$$E(a_t) = 0, \text{ var}(a_t) = \alpha_0 / [1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\max(m,s)} (\alpha_i + \beta_i)]$$

$$\rho(a_i, a_j) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j. \quad \rho_{a^2}(1) = \frac{(1+\phi\theta)(\phi+\theta)}{1+\theta^2+2\phi\theta} = \frac{\alpha_1(1-\alpha_1\beta_1-\beta_1^2)}{1-2\alpha_1\beta_1-\beta_1^2},$$

$$\rho_{a^2}(j) = (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) \rho_{a^2}(j-1) \text{ for } j > 1.$$

► Forecast

Key idea: replace a_{t+j}^2 by $\hat{\sigma}_{t+j}^2$.

$$\sigma_{t+1}^2 = \alpha_0 + \sum_i \alpha_i a_{t+1-i}^2 + \sum_j \beta_j \sigma_{t-j+1}^2. \text{ So } \hat{\sigma}_{t+1}^2 = \sigma_{t+1}^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{t+2}^2 &= \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i a_{t+2-i}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j \sigma_{t-j+2}^2 \\ &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 a_{t+1}^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m \alpha_i a_{t+2-i}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t+1}^2 + \sum_{j=2}^s \beta_j \sigma_{t-j+2}^2\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\hat{\sigma}_{t+2}^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \hat{\sigma}_{t+1}^2 + \beta_1 \hat{\sigma}_{t+1}^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m \alpha_i a_{t+2-i}^2 + \sum_{j=2}^s \beta_j \sigma_{t-j+2}^2$$

As $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\hat{\sigma}_{t+k}^2 \rightarrow \text{var}(a_t) = \alpha_0 / [1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\max(m,s)} (\alpha_i + \beta_i)]$$

- ▶ A more general framework: $AR(1)/ARCH(1)$.
 - ▶ Suppose that

$$y_t - \mu = \phi(y_{t-1} - \mu) + a_t,$$

- ▶ where a_t is an ARCH(1) process.

so y_t is like an AR(1) process, except that the noise term a_t is not independent white noise but rather an ARCH(1) process.

Since a_t is uncorrelated, we could show that $\rho_y(k) = \phi^{|k|}$, and $\rho_{a^2}(k) = \alpha_1^{|k|}$.

► ARIMA/GARCH model

$r_t \sim \text{ARIMA}(p, d, q)$ with error term a_t .

$$\phi(B)(1 - B)^d(r_t - \mu) = \theta(B)a_t,$$

$a_t \sim \text{GARCH}(s, m)$, i.e. $a_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t$, where

$$\sigma_t = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i a_{t-i}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j \sigma_{t-j}^2$$

- Property: $\rho_r(j)$ could be derived from $ARIMA(p, d, q)$ process.

$\rho_\epsilon(j) = 0$ as ϵ_t 's are iid with mean 0 and var 1.

$\rho_a(j) = 0$ as a_t 's are WN.

$\rho_{a^2}(j)$ could be derived from $ARMA(\max(m, s), s)$ process,

where the AR coefficients are $\alpha_i + \beta_i$, $i = 1, \dots, \max(m, s)$,

and the MA coefficients are $-\beta_j$, $j = 1, \dots, s$.

- One step Forecast when $d = 0$.

Rewrite the model as

$$r_t = \mu(1 - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i) + \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i r_{t-i} + a_t + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j a_{t-j}$$

Predicted Value:

$$\hat{Y}_{t+1} = \mu(1 - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i) + \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i r_{t+1-i} + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j e_{t+1-j}$$

Assume normality. The 95% P.I. is $\hat{Y}_{t+1} \pm 1.96 * \sqrt{\sigma_{t+1}^2}$,
where $\sigma_{t+1}^2 = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i a_{t+1-i}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j \sigma_{t+1-j}^2$.

- ▶ How to fit and assess the data?
 - ▶ Model the mean
 - ▶ test for ARCH effect
 - ▶ Determine the order for ARCH part
 - ▶ Estimation
 - ▶ Model checking.

```
library(fGarch)
da=read.table("m-intc7308.txt",header=T)
intc=log(da$rtn+1) # log returns
acf(intc); acf(intc^2); pacf(intc^2)
Box.test(intc^2,lag=10,type='Ljung')
m1=garchFit(~garch(1,0),data=intc,trace=F)
summary(m1)
stdresid=m1@residuals/m1@sigma.t
acf(stdresid); acf(stdresid^2)
```

► Disadvantage of GARCH process

Traditional GARCH model could not handle asymmetric market response that may react more strongly to a negative return than a positive return of the same magnitude. A simple remedy is to add a dummy variable, which yields GJR-Garch:

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \sum_i (\tilde{\alpha}_i a_{t-i}^2 + \tilde{\gamma}_i a_{t-i}^2 I_{a_{t-i} \leq 0}) + \sum_j \beta_j \sigma_{t-j}^2.$$

Note the above model could also be written as

$$\sigma_t^2 = \omega + \sum_i \alpha_i (|a_{t-i}| - \gamma_i a_{t-i})^2 + \sum_j \beta_j \sigma_{t-j}^2,$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i(1 - \gamma_i)^2$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_i = 4\alpha_i\gamma_i$.

- ▶ In general, the asymmetric power GARCH is

$$a_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t,$$

$$\sigma_t^\delta = \omega + \sum_i \alpha_i (|a_{t-i}| - \gamma_i a_{t-i})^\delta + \sum_j \beta_j \sigma_{t-j}^\delta.$$

- ▶ $\delta = 2, \gamma = 0 \Rightarrow$ Garch
- ▶ $\delta = 1, \gamma = 0 \Rightarrow$ Absolute Value Garch
- ▶ $\delta = 2 \Rightarrow$ GJR Garch
- ▶ $\delta = 1 \Rightarrow$ TGarch

Reference

Please read Chapter 12 of Cryer & Chan (2008).